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#### Abstract

We construct a decreasing sequence of 3 -complete open subsets in $\mathbb{C}^{5}$ such that the interior of their intersection is not 3 -complete. We also prove that, for every $q \geq 2$ there exists a normal Stein space $X$ with only one isolated singularity and a decreasing sequence of open sets that are 2-complete, but the interior of their intersection is not $q$-complete with corners. In the concave case we show that, for every integer $n>1$, there exists a connected complex manifold $M$ of dimension $n$ such that $M$ is an increasing union of 1-concave open subsets and $M$ is not weakly ( $n-1$ )-concave.


## 1 Introduction

Suppose that $\left\{D_{\nu}\right\}$ is a sequence of open subsets of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ and let $D:=\operatorname{Int}\left(\bigcap D_{\nu}\right)$. If each $D_{\nu}$ is a domain of holomorphy, then $D$ is also a domain of holomorphy. More generally, if each $D_{\nu}$ is Hartogs $q$-convex (see Definition 6) then $D$ has the same property. However Hartogs $q$-convexity is not a very useful notion since one does not get vanishing results for the cohomology groups of a Hartogs $q$-convex domain with values in a coherent sheaf. Andreotti and Grauert [1] introduced the notion of $q$-complete complex spaces and proved that they are cohomologically $q$-complete. In their setting, 1 -complete spaces are precisely the Stein spaces. In general the intersection of finitely many $q$-complete domains is not $q$-complete. Therefore, for $q>1$, we consider decreasing sequences of $q$-complete open subsets of a Stein space and we want to study the convexity properties of the interior of their intersection.

We prove, by means of a counterexample, that for a decreasing sequence $\left\{D_{\nu}\right\}$ of $q$ complete domains in $\mathbb{C}^{n}, \operatorname{Int}\left(\bigcap D_{\nu}\right)$ is not necessarily $q$-complete (Theorem 5).

On the other hand, because for domains in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, or more generally in Stein manifolds, Hartogs $q$-convexity is equivalent to $q$-completness with corners (see [15]), it follows that, in the above setting, $\operatorname{Int}\left(\bigcap D_{\nu}\right)$ is $q$-complete with corners.

[^0]We show that a similar statement does not hold for singular complex spaces. Namely, for each $q \geq 2$, we give an example of a normal Stein $X$ space with only one singular point and a decreasing sequence $\left\{D_{\nu}\right\}$ of 2-complete domains in $X$, such that $\operatorname{Int}\left(\bigcap D_{\nu}\right)$ is not $q$-complete with corners (Theorem 6).

As a dual statement, in the concave case, we show that for every integer $n>1$ there exists a connected complex manifold $M$ of dimension $n$ such that $M$ is an increasing union of 1 -concave open subsets and is not weakly ( $n-1$ )-concave (Theorem 8).

## 2 Decreasing sequences of $q$-complete domains

Definition 1. Suppose that $D$ is an open subset of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. A smooth function $\varphi: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called weakly $q$-convex if its Levi form $\sum_{j, k=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^{2} \varphi}{\partial z_{j} \partial \bar{z}_{k}}(p) \xi_{j} \bar{\xi}_{k}$ has at least $n-q+1$ nonnegative eigenvalues at every point $p \in D$. The function $\varphi$ is called strictly $q$-convex if its Levi form has at least $n-q+1$ positive eigenvalues at every point $p \in D$.

Using local embeddings these notions can be extended to complex spaces.
Definition 2. Suppose that $X$ is a complex space and $q$ a positive integer:
(a) The space $X$ is called $q$-convex if there exists a continuous exhaustion function $\varphi$ : $X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (i.e., $\{x \in X: \varphi(x)<c\} \Subset X$ for every $c \in \mathbb{R}$ ) and a compact set $K \subset X$ such that $\varphi$ is strictly $q$-convex on $X \backslash K$.
(b) If we can choose $K=\emptyset$ in the above definition, $X$ is called $q$-complete.

Definition 3. If $H^{p}(X, \mathcal{F})=0$ for every coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on a complex space $X$ and every $p \geq q$, then $X$ is called cohomologically $q$-complete.

By the results of Andreotti and Grauert [1] we have the following theorem.
Theorem 1. Every q-complete complex space is cohomologically q-complete.

## Definition 4.

(a) A continuous function $\varphi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined on a complex space is called $q$-convex with corners if, for every $x \in X$, there exists a neighborhood $U$ of $x$ and finitely many strictly $q$-convex $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$ functions $\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{l}$, defined on $U$, such that $\varphi_{\mid U}=\max \left\{\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{l}\right\}$.
(b) A complex space $X$ is called $q$-complete with corners if there exists a $q$-convex with corners exhaustion function $\varphi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

The next result is a particular case of a theorem due to Diederich and Fornaess [8]. It was generalized to the singular case in [9].

Theorem 2. If $M$ is an n-dimensional $q$-complete with corners complex manifold then $M$ is $\tilde{q}=\left(n-\left[\frac{n}{q}\right]+1\right)$-complete.

For $q \geq 1$ and $r>0$, we denote by $P^{q}(r) \subset \mathbb{C}^{q}$ the polydisk centered at the origin with multiradius $(r, \cdots, r)$. For the following definition, see, e.g., [18].

## Definition 5.

(a) For $1 \leq q<n$ and $0<r, r_{1}<1$, we let $H^{q} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ be defined by $H^{q}:=P^{q}(1) \times$ $P^{n-q}(r) \bigcup\left[P^{q}(1) \backslash \overline{P^{q}\left(r_{1}\right)}\right] \times P^{n-q}(1)$. The pair $\left(H^{q}, P^{n}(1)\right)$ is called a standard Hartogs $q$-figure.
(b) If $M$ is an $n$-dimensional complex manifold and $V \subset U \subset M$ are open subsets, the pair $(V, U)$ is called a Hartogs $q$-figure if there exists a standard Hartogs $q$-figure $\left(H^{q}, P^{n}(1)\right)$ and a biholomorphism $F: P^{n}(1) \rightarrow U$ such that $F\left(H^{q}\right)=V$.

Definition 6. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ be an open set. If for every Hartogs $q$-figure $(V, U)$ we have that $V \subset \Omega$ implies $U \subset \Omega$, then $\Omega$ is called Hartogs $q$-convex.

As we mentioned in the introduction, it was proved in [15] that a domain in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ is Hartogs $q$-convex if and only if it is $q$-complete with corners.

The following result is Satz 2.3 in [16].
Proposition 3. If $X$ is a complex space and $U$ and $V$ are open subsets of $X$ such that $U$ is $p$-complete and $V$ is $q$-complete, then $U \cup V$ is $(p+q)$-complete.

Proposition 4 was proved in [19] in the smooth case and in [11] and [14] in the singular case.

Proposition 4. Suppose that $X$ is a complex space of dimension $n$. If $X$ is cohomologically $q$-complete then $H_{n+i}(X, \mathbb{C})=0$ for every $i \geq q$.

Our first result is the following theorem.
Theorem 5. There exists a sequence $\left\{D_{\nu}\right\}$ of 3-complete open subsets of $\mathbb{C}^{5}$ such that $D_{\nu+1} \subset D_{\nu}$ for every $\nu$ and $\operatorname{Int}\left(\bigcap D_{\nu}\right)$ is not cohomologically 3-complete.

Proof. We consider the following two planes in $\mathbb{C}^{5}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{1}=\left\{z=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}, z_{4}, z_{5}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{5}: z_{1}=z_{2}=z_{3}=0\right\}, \\
& L_{2}=\left\{z=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}, z_{4}, z_{5}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{5}: z_{1}=z_{4}=z_{5}=0\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $U_{1}=\mathbb{C}^{5} \backslash L_{1}, U_{2}=\mathbb{C}^{5} \backslash L_{2}$. It follows that $U_{1}$ and $U_{2}$ are 3-complete. At the same time, since $L_{1} \cap L_{2}=\{0\}$, we have $U_{1} \cup U_{2}=\mathbb{C}^{5} \backslash\{0\}$. Then we have: $H_{8}\left(U_{1}, \mathbb{C}\right)=$ $H_{8}\left(U_{2}, \mathbb{C}\right)=H_{9}\left(U_{1}, \mathbb{C}\right)=H_{9}\left(U_{2}, \mathbb{C}\right)=0$ and $H_{9}\left(U_{1} \cup U_{2}, \mathbb{C}\right)=\mathbb{C}$ since $H_{9}\left(S^{9}, \mathbb{C}\right)=\mathbb{C}$. From the Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence

$$
H_{9}\left(U_{1}, \mathbb{C}\right) \oplus H_{9}\left(U_{2}, \mathbb{C}\right) \rightarrow H_{9}\left(U_{1} \cup U_{2}, \mathbb{C}\right) \rightarrow H_{8}\left(U_{1} \cap U_{2}, \mathbb{C}\right) \rightarrow H_{8}\left(U_{1}, \mathbb{C}\right) \oplus H_{8}\left(U_{2}, \mathbb{C}\right)
$$

it follows that $H_{8}\left(U_{1} \cap U_{2}, \mathbb{C}\right)=\mathbb{C}$.
Let $W$ be a relatively compact open subset of $U_{1} \cap U_{2}$ such that the inclusion $W \hookrightarrow$ $U_{1} \cap U_{2}=\mathbb{C}^{5} \backslash\left(L_{1} \cup L_{2}\right)$ induces an isomorphism $H_{8}(W, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H_{8}\left(U_{1} \cap U_{2}, \mathbb{C}\right)$. In fact we
have an exhaustion $\left\{W_{k}\right\}$ of $U_{1} \cap U_{2}$ such that the inclusion $W_{k} \hookrightarrow U_{1} \cap U_{2}$ induces an isomorphism at all homology and homotopy groups.

For $\nu \geq 1$ we define:

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{1, \nu} & =\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}^{5}: z_{1}=\frac{1}{\nu}, z_{2}=z_{3}=0\right\} \\
L_{2, \nu} & =\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}^{5}: z_{1}=\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\nu}, z_{4}=z_{5}=0\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that $L_{i, \mu} \cap L_{j, \nu}=\emptyset$ if $(i, \mu) \neq(j, \nu)$. Because $W$ is relatively compact in $\mathbb{C}^{5} \backslash\left(L_{1} \cup L_{2}\right)$, it follows that there exists $\nu_{0} \geq 1$ such that, for $\nu \geq \nu_{0}, L_{1, \nu} \cap \bar{W}=\emptyset$ and $L_{2, \nu} \cap \bar{W}=\emptyset$.

For $\nu \geq \nu_{0}$, let $D_{\nu}=\mathbb{C}^{5} \backslash \bigcup_{j=\nu_{0}}^{\nu}\left(L_{1, j} \cup L_{2, j}\right)$. Since $L_{i, \mu} \cap L_{j, \nu}=\emptyset$, it follows that $D_{\nu}$ are 3-complete. Let $D=\operatorname{Int}\left(\bigcap_{\nu \geq \nu_{0}} D_{\nu}\right)$. It follows that $W \subset D \subset \mathbb{C}^{5} \backslash\left(L_{1} \cup L_{2}\right)$. Hence we have

$$
H_{8}(W, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H_{8}(D, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H_{8}\left(\mathbb{C}^{5} \backslash\left(L_{1} \cup L_{2}\right), \mathbb{C}\right)
$$

where the morphisms are induced by inclusions. Since $H_{8}(W, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H_{8}\left(\mathbb{C}^{5} \backslash\left(L_{1} \cup L_{2}\right), \mathbb{C}\right)$ is surjective, it follows that $H_{8}(D, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H_{8}\left(\mathbb{C}^{5} \backslash\left(L_{1} \cup L_{2}\right), \mathbb{C}\right)$, is surjective as well. In particular, we have $H_{8}(D, \mathbb{C}) \neq 0$. Proposition 4 implies that $D$ is not cohomologically 3 -complete.

As we mentioned in the introduction, if $\left\{D_{\nu}\right\}$ is a decreasing sequence of $q$-complete open subsets of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, it follows that $\operatorname{Int}\left(\bigcap D_{\nu}\right)$ is $q$-complete with corners. This is not the case for singular complex spaces, as the following result shows.

Theorem 6. For every integer $q \geq 2$, there exists a normal Stein complex space $X$ with only one isolated singularity, and $\left\{D_{\nu}\right\}$ a decreasing sequence of open subsets of $X$ such that each $D_{\nu}$ is 2 -complete and $\operatorname{Int}\left(\bigcap D_{\nu}\right)$ is not $q$-complete with corners.

Proof. Let $q$ be an integer, $q \geq 2$. Let $\pi: F \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ be a negative vector bundle of rank $r \geq 3 q-1$ and let $S$ be the zero section of $F$ (hence $S$ is biholomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ ). Let $X$ be the blow-down of $S \subset F$ and $\tau: F \rightarrow X$ be the contraction map. We let $x_{0}=\tau(S)$. We fix a point $a \in S$ and we set $U=S \backslash\{a\}$ (hence $U$ is biholomorphic to $\mathbb{C}$ ) and $W=\pi^{-1}(U)$. We have that $\pi: W \rightarrow U$ is a trivial holomorphic vector bundle and therefore $W$ is biholomorphic to $U \times \mathbb{C}^{r}$ (in particular $W$ is Stein). We consider $W_{\nu} \subset F$ a fundamental system of Stein open neighborhoods of $a$ and we define

$$
D_{\nu}=\tau\left(W \cup W_{\nu}\right)
$$

Note that $D_{\nu}$ are open neighborhoods of $x_{0}$ in $X$ and, since $\bigcap W_{\nu}=\{a\}$, we have that $\operatorname{Int}\left(\bigcap D_{\nu}\right)=\tau(W \backslash S)$. Hence $\operatorname{Int}\left(\bigcap D_{\nu}\right)$ is biholomorphic to $W \backslash S$ and therefore to $U \times\left(\mathbb{C}^{r} \backslash\{0\}\right)$.

Note the following points:

- $n=\operatorname{dim} X=r+1 \geq 3 q$.
- As $W$ and $W_{\nu}$ are Stein, by Theorem 3 we have that $W \cup W_{\nu}$ is 2 -complete. Therefore $D_{\nu}$ is 2-convex and since $X$ is Stein, we deduce that $D_{\nu}$ is 2 -complete.
- We have that $U \times\left(\mathbb{C}^{r} \backslash\{0\}\right)$ is not cohomologically $(n-2)$ complete since $\mathbb{C}^{r} \backslash\{0\}$ is not cohomologically $(n-2)$-complete.

Because $n \geq 3 q$, we have that $\tilde{q}=n-\left[\frac{n}{q}\right]+1 \leq n-2$. Using Theorems 1 and 2 we deduce that $U \times\left(\mathbb{C}^{r} \backslash\{0\}\right)$ is not $q$-complete with corners.

Hence although each $D_{\nu}$ is 2-complete, the interior of their intersection is not $q$-complete with corners.

Next we would like to say a few things about the intersection of Stein open subsets of a normal Stein space. Let $X$ be a normal Stein complex space, and $\left\{D_{\nu}\right\}$ be a sequence of Stein open subsets of $X$. It is a completely open problem whether the interior of their intersection is Stein or not, even if $X$ has dimension 2; see [2]. Of course, the problem is due to singularities. However, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 7. Let $X$ be a normal Stein complex space and $\left\{D_{\nu}\right\}$ a sequence of Stein open subsets of $X$. If $D=\operatorname{Int}\left(\cap D_{\nu}\right)$, then we have
(a) $\operatorname{Reg}(X) \cap \partial D$ is dense in $\partial D$.
(b) $D$ is a domain of holomorphy in $X$.

Proof. (a) Suppose that this is not the case and let $x_{0} \in \partial D$ and $W$ a Stein neighborhood of $x_{0}$ such that $\partial D \cap W \subset \operatorname{Sing}(X)$. As $X$ is normal and therefore locally irreducible, we have that $W \backslash \partial D$ is connected. Since $W \backslash \partial D=(W \cap D) \cup(W \backslash \bar{D})$, we deduce that $W \backslash \partial D=W \cap D$. Therefore $W \backslash \operatorname{Sing}(X) \subset D$. Using again the normality of $X$, the Riemann Second Extension Theorem, and the fact that each $D_{\nu}$ is Stein, we deduce that the inclusion $W \backslash \operatorname{Sing}(X) \hookrightarrow D_{\nu}$ extends to $W$ (with values in $D_{\nu}$ ) and therefore $W \subset D_{\nu}$ for every $\nu$. Hence $W \subset D$. In particular $x_{0} \in D$, which contradicts our choice of $x_{0}$.
(b) Obviuously, $D$ is locally Stein at every point $x \in \partial D \cap \operatorname{Reg}(X)$. Then for every sequence $\left\{x_{k}\right\}, x_{k} \in D$, such that $x_{k} \rightarrow x \in \partial D \cap \operatorname{Reg}(X)$ there exists $f \in \mathcal{O}(D)$ which is unbounded on $\left\{x_{k}\right\}$. This was proved for relatively compact domains $D \Subset X$ in [12] and extended to arbitrary domains in [17]. From this fact and part (a), we deduce that $D$ is a domain of holomorphy in $X$.

Remark 1. Using the method in [7] it can be proved that, in the same setting, if $\operatorname{dim}(X)=$ 2 then $D$ satisfies the disk property. This means that if $\bar{\Delta}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z| \leq 1\}$ is the closed unit disk and $f_{n}: \bar{\Delta} \rightarrow X$ is a a sequence of holomorphic functions converging uniformly to a holomorphic function $f: \bar{\Delta} \rightarrow X$ and if $f_{n}(\bar{\Delta}) \subset D$ and $f(\partial \Delta) \subset D$, then $f(\bar{\Delta}) \subset D$.

## 3 Increasing sequences of $q$-concave domains

We want to discuss a dual question, namely concavity properties of a union of $q$-concave open subsets of a complex manifold.

For the next definition, see [1].
Definition 7. A complex space $X$ is called $q$-concave if there exists a continuous function $\varphi: X \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ and a compact set $K \subset X$ such that $\varphi$ is strictly $q$-convex on $X \backslash K$ and $\{x \in X: \varphi(x)>c\} \Subset X$ for every $c>0$.

By analogy with the notion of weakly $q$-convex space, we introduce the following definition:

Definition 8. A complex space $X$ is called weakly $q$-concave if there exists a continuous function $\varphi: X \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ and a compact set $K \subset X$ such that $\varphi$ is weakly $q$-convex on $X \backslash K$ and $\{x \in X: \varphi(x)>c\} \Subset X$ for every $c>0$.

Remark. A proper modification of a $q$-concave manifold is weakly $q$-concave.
Example: The following example appears in [3]. Let $a \in \mathbb{P}^{2}$ and $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence in $\mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash\{a\}$ converging to $a$, and $M$ be the blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash\{a\}$ at this sequence. Then $M$ is weakly 1-concave but it is not 1-concave. Moreover, $M$ is an increasing sequence of 1 -concave open subsets. Indeed, we let $M_{k}, k \geq 1$, be the blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash\left(\{a\} \cup\left\{x_{n}: n \geq\right.\right.$ $k+1\})$ at $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}$. Then $M_{k}$ is an open subset of $M, M_{k} \subset M_{k+1}$ and $\bigcup_{k \geq 1} M_{k}=M$. It was noticed in [10] that if $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ is a countable set of closed, completely pluripolar subsets of a complex manifold $\Omega$ such that $A:=\bigcup A_{n}$ is closed in $\Omega$ and $\Omega^{\prime}$ is an open subset of $\Omega$ such that $\Omega^{\prime} \Subset \Omega$ then $A \cap \Omega^{\prime}$ is completely pluripolar in $\Omega^{\prime}$. It follows then that $\mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash\left(\{a\} \cup\left\{x_{n}: n \geq k+1\right\}\right)$ is 1 -concave and hence $M_{k}$ is 1-concave.

Theorem 8. For every integer $n>1$ there exists a connected complex manifold $M$ of dimension $n$ such that $M$ is an increasing union of 1-concave open subsets and $M$ is not weakly $(n-1)$-concave.

Proof. The following construction was used in [5] and [6]. We start with $\Omega_{0}:=\mathbb{P}^{n}$ (or any compact complex manifold of dimension $n$ ) and we choose $a_{0} \in \Omega_{0}$ to be any point. We set $M_{0}:=\Omega_{0} \backslash\left\{a_{0}\right\}$ and let $p_{0}: \Omega_{1} \rightarrow \Omega_{0}$ be the blow-up of $\Omega_{0}$ at $a_{0}$. Let $a_{1}$ be a point on the exceptional divisor of $p_{0}, M_{1}=\Omega_{1} \backslash\left\{a_{1}\right\}$ and $p_{1}: \Omega_{2} \rightarrow \Omega_{1}$ be the blow-up of $\Omega_{1}$ at $a_{1}$. Suppose now that we have defined inductively:

- $\Omega_{j}$ for $j=0, \ldots, k$;
- $a_{j} \in \Omega_{j}, p_{j}: \Omega_{j+1} \rightarrow \Omega_{j}$ and $M_{j}=\Omega_{j} \backslash\left\{a_{j}\right\}$ for $j=0, \ldots, k-1$.

We choose a point $a_{k}$ on the exceptional divisor of $p_{k-1}: \Omega_{k} \rightarrow \Omega_{k-1}$ such that $a_{k}$ is not on the proper transform of the exceptional divisor of $p_{k-2}: \Omega_{k-1} \rightarrow \Omega_{k-2}$. We let $M_{k}=\Omega_{k} \backslash\left\{a_{k}\right\}$ and $p_{k+1}: \Omega_{k+1} \rightarrow \Omega_{k}$ be the blow-up of $\Omega_{k}$ at $a_{k}$.

Note that $M_{k}$ is an open subset of $M_{k+1}$ for every $k \geq 0$. We set $\tilde{M}:=\bigcup_{k \geq 0} M_{k}$. Each $M_{k}$ is 1-concave since it is the complement of a point in a compact complex manifold. At
the same time, $\tilde{M}$ contains a noncompact connected ( $n-1$ )-dimensional complex subspace $X$ such that all irreducible components of $X$ are compact. This subspace is the union of the (proper transforms of the) exceptional divisors of all the blow-ups defined above.

If $\varphi: M \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ is weakly $(n-1)$-convex outside a compact subset $K$ of $M$, since each irreducible component of $X$ has dimension $n-1$, we have, by the maximum principle, that $\varphi$ must be constant on each irreducible component of $X$ that does not intersect $K$. Therefore it is constant on at least one noncompact connected component of $X \backslash K$. Hence $M$ cannot be weakly $(n-1)$-concave.

Remark 2. It was noticed in [3] that if a complex manifold is an increasing union of 1-concave open subsets then its cohomology with values in any locally free coherent sheaf is separated. It is an open question raised by R. Hartshorne [13] whether a complex connected manifold such that its cohomology with values in any locally free coherent sheaf is finite-dimensional is necessarily a compact manifold.
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