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## 1 Introduction

We consider the following situation:

where $X$ and $T$ are connected complex manifolds of dimensions $n+m$ and $m$ respectively, $\pi$ is a proper and surjective holomorphic submersion, and $\sigma$ is a covering map. Thus, $\widetilde{X}$ can be regarded as a family of n-dimensional complex manifolds over $T$.

We will use the same definition of $q$-convexity as in [1]. For the precise formulation, see the next section.
R. Green and H . Wu [7] proved that a connected, non-compact complex manifold is $n$-complete. In [5] M. Colţoiu and V. Vâjâitu proved the following:

Theorem In the above situation if for some $t_{0}$ the fiber $(\pi \circ \sigma)^{-1}\left(t_{0}\right)$ does not have compact components then there exists an open neighborhood $U$ of $t_{0}$ such that $(\pi \circ \sigma)^{-1}(U)$ is $n$-complete.

Here we want to prove a similar result in the $n$-concave case. In [3] M. Colţoiu proved the following theorem:

Theorem 1. Let $X$ be a connected complex manifold of dimension $n$. Then $X$ is $n$-concave.

Using the same technique as in [5] we will prove the following:

Theorem 2. In the above situation if for some $t_{0}$ the fiber $(\pi \circ \sigma)^{-1}\left(t_{0}\right)$ has at most finitely many compact components then there exists an open neighborhood $U$ of $t_{0}$ such that $\pi \circ \sigma_{\mid(\pi \circ \sigma)^{-1}(U)}:(\pi \circ \sigma)^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ is a $n$ concave morphism.
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## 2 Preliminaries

Definition 1. Let $X$ be a complex manifold. A function $\phi \in C^{\infty}(X, \mathbb{R})$ is said to be strictly $q$-convex if its Levi form

$$
L_{\phi}(z, \xi)=\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial z_{i} \partial \bar{z}_{j}}(z) \xi_{i} \bar{\xi}_{j}, \xi \in T_{z} X
$$

has at least $n-q+1$ positive eigenvalues for every $z \in X$.
Definition 2. Let $X$ be a complex manifold. $X$ is said to be $q$-convex if there exists a compact set $K \subset X$ and a smooth function $\phi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\phi$ is strictly $q$-convex on $X \backslash K$ and for every real number $\alpha$ the level set $\{\phi<\alpha\}$ is relatively compact in $X$. If we can choose $K=\emptyset$, then $X$ is said to be $q$-complete.
$X$ is said to $q$-concave if there exists a compact set $K \subset X$ and a smooth function $\phi: X \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ such that $\phi$ is strictly $q$-convex on $X \backslash K$ and for every positive real number $\alpha$ the level set $\{\phi>\alpha\}$ is relatively compact in $X$.

Definition 3. Let $X$ be a complex manifold and $Y$ a $C^{\infty}$-manifold. $\pi \in$ $C^{\infty}(X, Y)$ is said to be $q$-concave if there exists $\phi \in C^{\infty}\left(X, \mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$and $F \subset X$, a closed subset, such that

1) $\left.\pi\right|_{F}$ is proper
2) $\left.\phi\right|_{X \backslash F}$ is strictly $q$-convex
3)For every $\epsilon>0,\left.\pi\right|_{\{\phi \geq \epsilon\}}$ is proper.

One can state similar definitions for $q$-convex and $q$-complete morphisms. As mentioned in the Introduction the definition of $q$-convexity that we use here is the one in [1]. For Definition 3 see [8]. Some authors include one more condition in this definition. Namely they require that $F \subset\{\phi>\alpha\}$ for some positive number $\alpha$. This is however inconsequential for the conclusion of Theorem 2 since once we found a neighborhood of a point $t_{0} \in T$ we can shrink it and then this extra condition will be satisfied.

Definitions 4 and 5, Lemma 1 and Proposition 1 are due to M. Peternell [11]. We consider $X$ a complex manifold and $W$ an open subset of $X$. We denote by $T X$ the holomorphic tangent bundle of $X$.

Definition 4. i) A subset $\mathcal{M} \subset T X$ is said to be a linear set over $X$ if for every point $x \in X, \mathcal{M}_{x}:=\mathcal{M} \cap T_{x} X \subset T_{x} X$ is a complex vector subspace.
ii) If $\mathcal{M}$ is a linear set over $X$ we define $\left.\mathcal{M}\right|_{W}$ as $\left(\left.\mathcal{M}\right|_{W}\right)_{x}=\mathcal{M}_{x}$ for every $x \in W$ and we put $\operatorname{codim}_{W} \mathcal{M}=\sup _{x \in W} \operatorname{codim} \mathcal{M}_{x}$.
iii) If $Z$ and $X$ are complex manifolds and $\pi: Z \rightarrow X$ is a holomorphic map we set

$$
\pi^{*} \mathcal{M}:=\bigcup_{z \in Z}\left(\pi_{*, z}\right)^{-1}\left(\mathcal{M}_{\pi(z)}\right)
$$

Definition 5. Let $X$ be a complex manifold, $W$ open in $X, \mathcal{M}$ a linear set over $W$, and $\phi \in C^{\infty}(W, \mathbb{R})$.
(a) Let $x \in W$. We say that $\phi$ is weakly 1-convex with respect to $\mathcal{M}_{x}$ if there is a local chart $\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)$ around $x$ such that $L_{\phi}(x, \xi) \geq 0$ for every $\xi \in \mathcal{M}_{y}$.

We say that $\phi$ is weakly 1-convex with respect to $\mathcal{M}$ if $\phi$ is weakly 1-convex with respect to $\mathcal{M}_{x}$ for every $x \in W$.
(b) The function $\phi$ is said to be strictly 1-convex with respect to $\mathcal{M}$ if every point of $W$ admits an open neighborhood $U \subset W$ such that there exists a strictly 1-convex function $\theta$ on $U$ with $\phi-\theta$ weakly 1-convex with respect to $\mathcal{M}_{I_{U}}$.
Lemma 1. Let $Z$ be a complex manifold, $H$ a hermitian metric on $Z$, and $\mathcal{M}$ a linear set over $Z$. Then a function $\phi \in C^{\infty}(Z, \mathbb{R})$ is strictly 1-convex with respect to $\mathcal{M}$ if and only if for every compact set $K \subset Z$ there is $\delta>0$ such that

$$
L_{\phi}(z, \xi) \geq \delta\|\xi\|^{2}
$$

for every $z \in K, \xi \in \mathcal{M}_{z} .(\|\cdot\|$ denotes the norm induced by $H$.)

Proposition 1. Let $X$ be a complex manifold and $\phi \in C^{\infty}(X, \mathbb{R})$ a strictly $q$-convex function. Then there is a linear set $\mathcal{M}$ over $X$ of codimension $\leq q-1$ such that $\phi$ is strictly 1 -convex with respect to $\mathcal{M}$.

Definition 7 and Lemmas 2 and 3, and Proposition 2 are due to M. Colţoiu and V. Vâjâitu [4],[5], and [12]. The proofs of Proposition 2 and Lemma 2 are based on the ideas developed in [6].

Definition 6. Let $Y$ be a complex manifold and $\mathcal{M}$ a linear set over $Y$. We denote by $\mathcal{B}(Y, \mathcal{M})$ the set of all $\phi \in C^{o}(Y, \mathbb{R})$ such that every point of $Y$ admits an open neighborhood $D$ on which there are functions $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{k} \in$ $C^{\infty}(D, \mathbb{R})$ which are strictly 1-convex with respect to $\mathcal{M}_{\left.\right|_{D}}$ and

$$
\phi_{\left.\right|_{D}}=\max \left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{k}\right)
$$

Proposition 2. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a linear set over a complex manifold $Y$ and $f \in$ $\mathcal{B}(Y, \mathcal{M})$. Then for every $\eta \in C^{o}(Y, \mathbb{R}), \eta>0$, there exists $\widetilde{\phi} \in C^{\infty}(Y, \mathbb{R})$ which is strictly 1-convex with respect to $\mathcal{M}$ and

$$
\phi \leq \widetilde{\phi}<\phi+\eta
$$

In particular, if codim $\mathcal{M} \leq q-1$, then $\widetilde{\phi}$ is $q$-convex.
Lemma 2. Let $X$ be a complex manifold and $\left\{W_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ a locally finite open covering of $X$. Suppose $\mathcal{M}_{i}$ are linear sets over $W_{i}, i \in I$. Then there is a linear set $\mathcal{M}$ over $X$ with the following properties:
a) $\operatorname{codim}_{X} \mathcal{M} \leq \sup _{i \in I} \operatorname{codim}_{W_{i}} \mathcal{M}_{i}$.
b) If $\left\{G_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ is an arbitrary family of open subsets of $X$ and $f_{\alpha} \in$ $C^{\infty}\left(G_{\alpha}, \mathbf{R}\right)$ are such that $\left.f_{\alpha}\right|_{G_{\alpha} \cap W_{i}}$ are strictly 1-convex with respect to $\mathcal{M}_{i}$ over $G_{\alpha} \cap W_{i}$, then $f_{\alpha}$ are strictly 1-convex with respect to $\mathcal{M}$ over $G_{\alpha}$.

Lemma 3. Let $X$ be a complex manifold. Let $\left\{V_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathbf{N}}$ and $\left\{W_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathbf{N}}$ be two families of open subsets of $X$ such that:

1) $\left\{V_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathbf{N}}$ is a locally finite open covering of $X$ with relatively compact connected sets,
2) $\emptyset \neq W_{i} \subset V_{i}$ and $W_{i} \cap V_{j}=\emptyset$ if $i \neq j$.

Then for every discrete subset $A \subset X$ there exists a diffeomorphism $\Phi: X \rightarrow$ $X$ with $\Phi(A) \subset \cup_{i \in N} W_{i}$, and $\Phi$ is biholomorphic near $A$.

The next theorem is Theorem 2.3 in [10]. See also [9].
Theorem 3. Let $X$ and $T$ be complex manifolds and $\pi: X \rightarrow T$ be a holomorphic submersion which is proper and surjective.

Then for every $t_{o} \in T$ and every finitely many points $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{s} \in X\left(t_{o}\right):=$ $\pi^{-1}\left(t_{o}\right)$ there is an open neighborhood $U$ of $t_{o}$ and a smooth diffeomorphism $S: U \times X\left(t_{o}\right) \rightarrow X(U)$, where $X(U):=\pi^{-1}(U)$, with the following properties:

1) $S\left(t, X\left(t_{o}\right)\right)=X(t):=\pi^{-1}(t)$ for every $t \in U$.
2) The mappings from $U$ into $X(U)$ given by $t \mapsto S\left(t, x_{o}\right), x_{o} \in X\left(t_{o}\right)$, are holomorphic sections of $\pi: X(U) \rightarrow U$ for every $x_{o} \in X\left(t_{o}\right)$ and $X(U)$ is the disjoint union of their images $\left\{S\left(U, x_{o}\right)\right\}_{x_{o} \in X\left(t_{o}\right)}$.
3) The map $r: X(U) \rightarrow X\left(t_{o}\right)$ given by $S(\pi(x), r(x))=x, x \in X(U)$, is a $C^{\infty}$ retraction of $X(U)$ onto $X\left(t_{o}\right)$ such that there is an open neighborhood $V$ of $\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{s}\right\}$ with $\left.r\right|_{r^{-1}(V)}$ is holomorphic.

## 3 The Results

Proposition 3. Let $X$ be a complex manifold, $Y$ a $C^{\infty}$ manifold and $\pi \in$ $C^{\infty}(X, Y)$. Also let $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of open subsets of $X$ and $F \subset X_{1}$ a closed subset of $X$ such that $\left.\pi\right|_{F}$ is proper, $\cup X_{n}=X$ and for every $n \geq 1$, $\overline{X_{n}} \subset X_{n+1}$. We consider $\mathcal{M}$ a linear set over $X \backslash F$. We suppose that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $\phi_{n} \in C^{\infty}\left(X_{n}, \mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$with the following properties:

1) $\left.\phi_{n}\right|_{X_{n} \backslash F}$ is strictly 1-convex with respect to $\left.\mathcal{M}\right|_{X_{n} \backslash F}$.
2) For every $\epsilon>\left.0 \pi\right|_{\left\{x \in X_{n}: \phi_{n}(x) \geq \epsilon\right\}}$ is proper.

Then there exists $\phi \in C^{\infty}\left(X, \mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$a strictly 1-convex function with respect to $\mathcal{M}$ on $X \backslash F$ and such that $\left.\pi\right|_{\{x \in X: \phi(x) \geq \epsilon\}}$ is proper for every $\epsilon>0$.

Proof. Let $\left\{U_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of open subsets of $X$ such that $U_{n} \subset \subset U_{n+1}$, $U_{n} \subset \subset X_{n}$ and $\cup U_{n}=X$. Let also $\left\{Y_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of compact subsets of $Y$ such that $\cup Y_{n}=Y$ and $Y_{n} \subset \operatorname{Int}\left(Y_{n+1}\right)$.
We will construct inductively a sequence of functions $\psi_{n} \in C^{\infty}\left(X_{n}, \mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$with the following properties:

1) $\psi_{n} \in \mathcal{B}\left(\mathcal{M}, X_{n} \backslash F\right)$
2) $\psi_{n}=\psi_{n-1}$ on $\bar{U}_{n-1}$
3) $\left.\pi\right|_{\left\{x \in X_{n}: \psi_{n}(x) \geq \epsilon\right\}}$ is proper for every $\epsilon>0$.
4) $\psi_{n} \leq \frac{1}{n}$ on $\pi^{-1}\left(Y_{n}\right) \cap\left(X_{n} \backslash X_{n-1}\right)$
5)If $x \in \pi^{-1}\left(Y_{n}\right) \cap X_{n}$ and $\psi_{n}(x)>\frac{1}{n}$ then $\psi_{n}(x)=\psi_{n-1}(x)$

Multiplying by a constant we can suppose that $\phi_{1}<1$ on $\pi^{-1}\left(Y_{1}\right) \cap X_{1}$. Then we put $\psi_{1}=\phi_{1}$.
Suppose now that we have defined $\psi_{1}, \ldots, \psi_{n-1}$ and we construct $\psi_{n}$.
Multiplying $\phi_{n}$ by a constant we can suppose that for every $x \in \bar{U}_{n-1}$, $\phi_{n}(x)<\min \left\{\psi_{n-1}(y): y \in \bar{U}_{n-1}\right\}$ and for every $x \in \pi^{-1}\left(Y_{n}\right), \phi_{n}(x)<\frac{1}{n}$.
We define:

$$
\psi_{n}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\max \left\{\phi_{n}(x), \psi_{n-1}(x)\right\} & \text { on } X_{n-1}, \\
\phi_{n}(x) & \text { on } X_{n} \backslash X_{n-1}
\end{array} .\right.
$$

There exists $W$ a neighborhood of $\partial X_{n-1}$ such that for every $x \in W, \phi_{n}(x)>$ $\psi_{n-1}(x)$. Indeed:
For every $x_{0} \in \partial X_{n-1}$ we take $V$ an open, relatively compact neighborhood. Then $\left\{x \in X_{n-1}: \psi_{n-1}(x) \geq \phi_{n}\left(x_{0}\right)\right\} \cap \pi^{-1}(\pi(\bar{V}))$ is a compact subset of $X_{n-1}$ and it does not contain $x_{0}$. Let $V_{1} \subset V$ an open neighborhood of $x_{0}$ such that $\bar{V}_{1} \cap\left\{x \in X_{n-1}: \psi_{n-1}(x) \geq \phi_{n}\left(x_{0}\right)\right\} \cap \pi^{-1}(\pi(\bar{V}))=\emptyset$. Thus $\bar{V}_{1} \cap\left\{x \in X_{n-1}: \psi_{n-1}(x) \geq \phi_{n}\left(x_{0}\right)\right\}=\emptyset$. Therefore on $\bar{V}_{1}, \phi_{n}\left(x_{0}\right)>$ $\psi_{n-1}(x)$. It follows that $\psi_{n} \in \mathcal{B}\left(\mathcal{M}, X_{n} \backslash F\right)$. On the other hand $\left\{x \in X_{n}\right.$ : $\left.\psi_{n}(x) \geq \epsilon\right\} \subset\left\{x \in X_{n}: \phi_{n}(x) \geq \epsilon\right\} \cup\left\{x \in X_{n-1}: \psi_{n-1}(x) \geq \epsilon\right\}$. Thus $\left.\pi\right|_{\left\{x \in X_{n}: \psi_{n}(x) \geq \epsilon\right\}}$ is proper.

Therefore $\psi_{n}$ satisfies 1)-5).
We define now $\widetilde{\phi}=\lim \psi_{n}$.
$\widetilde{\phi} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{M}, X \backslash F)$ because $\psi_{n}$ is stationary on compacts.
Let $K \subset Y$ be a compact subset and let $\epsilon>0$. Choose $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $K \subset Y_{n}$ and $\epsilon>\frac{1}{n}$.
Then $\pi^{-1}(K) \cap\{x \in X: \widetilde{\phi}(x) \geq \epsilon\} \subset \pi^{-1}\left(Y_{n}\right) \cap\left\{x \in X: \widetilde{\phi}(x) \geq \frac{1}{n}\right\}$.
4) and 5) $\Longrightarrow \psi_{k} \leq \frac{1}{n}$ on $\pi^{-1}\left(Y_{n}\right) \cap\left(X_{k} \backslash X_{n-1}\right)$ for every $k \geq n$. And then $\pi^{-1}\left(Y_{n}\right) \cap\left\{x \in X: \widetilde{\phi}(x) \geq \frac{1}{n}\right\}=\pi^{-1}\left(Y_{n}\right) \cap\left\{x \in X_{n}: \widetilde{\phi}(x) \geq \frac{1}{n}\right\}$.
Using again 5) we obtain that
$\pi^{-1}\left(Y_{n}\right) \cap\left\{x \in X_{n}: \widetilde{\phi}(x) \geq \frac{1}{n}\right\}=\pi^{-1}\left(Y_{n}\right) \cap\left\{x \in X_{n}: \psi_{n}(x) \geq \frac{1}{n}\right\}$ and this set is compact. The conclusion follows now from Proposition 2.

If $Y$ is a point, one can improve the previous proposition as follows:
Proposition 4. Let $X$ be a complex manifold, $\mathcal{M}$ a linear set over $X$ and $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ a sequence of open sets such that $X_{n} \subset \subset X_{n+1}$ and $\cup X_{n}=X$. We
suppose that for every $n \geq 1$ there exists a compact set $K_{n} \subset X_{n}$ and $\phi_{n} \in$ $C^{\infty}\left(X_{n}, \mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$such that: $K_{n} \subset X_{n-1}, \phi_{n}$ is strictly 1-convex with respect to $\mathcal{M}$ on $X_{n} \backslash K_{n}$ and for every $\epsilon>0,\left\{\phi_{n}>\epsilon\right\} \subset \subset X_{n}$. Then there exists $\phi \in C^{\infty}\left(X, \mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$such that $\phi$ is strictly 1 - convex with respect to $\mathcal{M}$ on $X \backslash K_{1}$ and for every $\epsilon>0,\{\phi>\epsilon\} \subset \subset X$.

Proof. The only thing that we have to change in the proof of Proposition 3 is to choose $U_{n}$ such that $K_{n} \subset U_{n}$.

Lemma 4. Let $X$ and $Y$ be $C^{\infty}$ manifolds, $h, g: X \rightarrow(0, \infty), \pi: X \rightarrow Y$ be $C^{\infty}$ functions such that $|g(x)| \leq 1$, and $p$ a positive integer. Then there exists a unique $C^{\infty}$ function $\psi: X \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ such that :

$$
\frac{h^{2}}{\psi}+\frac{g^{p}}{1+\psi}=1
$$

Moreover if $h$ has the property that $\left.\pi\right|_{\{x \in X: h(x) \geq \epsilon\}}$ is proper for every $\epsilon>0$ then $\psi$ has the same property.

Proof. The above equation is in fact a quadratic equation in $\psi$. This equation has a unique positive solution, namely:

$$
\psi=\frac{h^{2}+g^{p}-1+\sqrt{\left(h^{2}+g^{p}-1\right)^{2}+4 h^{2}}}{2}
$$

It follows then that $\psi$ is $C^{\infty}$.
If for some $x \in X \psi(x) \geq \epsilon$, since $g(x) \leq 1$, we have $\frac{g(x)^{p}}{1+\psi(x)} \leq \frac{1}{1+\epsilon}$. It follows then that $\frac{h^{2}(x)}{\psi(x)} \geq 1-\frac{1}{1+\epsilon}=\frac{\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}$. Thus $h(x) \geq \frac{\epsilon \psi(x)}{1+\epsilon} \geq \frac{\epsilon^{2}}{1+\epsilon}$.
Therefore $\{\psi \geq \epsilon\} \subset\left\{h \geq \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{1+\epsilon}}\right\}$.
Proposition 5. Let $X$ be a complex manifold, $Y$ a $C^{\infty}$-manifold, $\pi \in$ $C^{\infty}(X, Y)$ and $H$ a hermitian metric on $X$. Suppose that there exist:
a) $\psi \in C^{\infty}\left(X, \mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$
b) $F$ and $F_{1}$ two closed subsets of $X, U$ a relatively compact open subset of $Y, V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ open subsets of $X$ such that $F_{1} \subset \operatorname{Int}(F),\left.\pi\right|_{F}$ is proper and $V_{1} \cup V_{2}=X$
c) $\mathcal{M}_{1}$ a linear set over $V_{1} \backslash F_{1}$, a $\mathcal{M}_{2}$ linear set over $V_{2} \backslash F_{1}$
with the following properties:

1) for every real number $\epsilon>0,\left.\pi\right|_{\{\psi \geq \epsilon\}}$ is proper,
2) $\psi$ is strictly 1-convex with respect to $\mathcal{M}_{1}$ on $V_{1} \backslash F_{1}$
3) if for every $x \in V_{2} \backslash F_{1}$ we set $\mathcal{K}_{x}:=\left\{\xi \in \mathcal{M}_{2, x}:\langle\partial \psi, \xi\rangle=0\right\}$ then $\mathcal{K}_{x} \neq \mathcal{M}_{2, x}$ and $\psi$ is strictly 1-convex with respect to $\mathcal{K}$ on $V_{2} \backslash F_{1}$ Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the linear set given by the Lemma 2 applied to $\mathcal{M}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{2}$.

Then there exists $\phi \in C^{\infty}\left(\pi^{-1}(U), \mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$such that $\phi$ is strictly 1-convex with respect to $\mathcal{M}$ on $\pi^{-1}(U) \backslash F$ and $\{\phi \geq \epsilon\} \cap \pi^{-1}(K)$ is compact for every $\epsilon>0$ and every compact $K \subset U$.

Proof. Set $\mathcal{L}_{x}:=\mathcal{M}_{2, x} \cap\left\{\xi \in T_{x} X:\langle\partial \psi, \xi\rangle=0\right\}^{\perp}$
Let $V_{3}$ be an open subset of $X$ such that $V_{1} \cup V_{3}=X$ and $\bar{V}_{3} \subset V_{2}$. We will use Proposition 3.
Since $U \subset \subset Y$, multiplying by a constant we can suppose that $|\psi(x)| \leq 1$ for $x \in \pi^{-1}(U)$. There exists also $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\psi(x)>\frac{1}{p}$ for every $x \in F \cap \pi^{-1}(U)$.
Let $X_{n}=\pi^{-1}(U) \cap\left\{x \in X: \psi(x)>\frac{1}{n}\right\}, n \geq p$. Note that $X_{n}$ is a relatively compact subset of $X$.
Because $\bar{V}_{3} \subset V_{2}, F_{1} \subset \operatorname{Int}(F)$ there exist four constants $C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}$ and $C_{4}$ such that:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\left|\left\langle\partial \psi_{x}, \xi\right\rangle\right| \geq C_{1}\left\|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right\|,  \tag{1}\\
L_{\psi}\left(z, \xi^{\prime}\right) \geq C_{2}\left\|\xi^{\prime}\right\|^{2}, \\
\operatorname{Re}\left(L_{\psi}\left(z, \xi^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime \prime}\right)\right) \geq-C_{3}\left\|\xi^{\prime}\right\|\left\|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right\| \\
L_{\psi}\left(z, \xi^{\prime \prime}\right) \geq-C_{4}\left\|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right\|^{2}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

for every $x \in\left(V_{3} \cap X_{n}\right) \backslash F, \xi^{\prime} \in \mathcal{K}_{x}, \xi^{\prime \prime} \in \mathcal{L}_{x}$. Here $\|\cdot\|$ is the norm induced by $H$.
Let $\phi_{n}=\left(\psi-\frac{1}{n}\right)^{k}$ where k is a positive integer.Then:
$L_{\phi_{n}}(z, \xi)=k\left(\psi-\frac{1}{n}\right)^{k-1} L_{\psi}(z, \xi)+k(k-1)\left(\psi-\frac{1}{n}\right)^{k-2}|\langle\partial \psi, \xi\rangle|^{2}$
Because $\psi$ is strictly 1-convex with respect to $\mathcal{M}_{1}$ on $V_{1} \backslash F$, from (2) and Lemma 1 it follows that $\phi_{n}$ is strictly 1 -convex with respect to $\mathcal{M}_{1}$ on $\left(V_{1} \cap X_{n}\right) \backslash F$.
Let $x \in\left(V_{3} \cap X_{n}\right) \backslash F$ and $\xi=\xi^{\prime}+\xi^{\prime \prime} \in \mathcal{M}_{2, x}=\mathcal{K}_{x} \oplus \mathcal{L}_{x}$.
From (1) and (2) we obtain:
$L_{\phi_{n}}(x, \xi) \geq k\left(\psi-\frac{1}{n}\right)^{k-2}\left\{\left(\psi-\frac{1}{n}\right) C_{2}\left\|\xi^{\prime}\right\|^{2}-2\left(\psi-\frac{1}{n}\right) C_{3}\left\|\xi^{\prime}\right\| \cdot\left\|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right\|+\left((k-1) C_{1}^{2}-\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\left(\psi-\frac{1}{n}\right) C_{4}\right)\left\|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right\|^{2}\right\}$
If $k$ is large enough
$\frac{1}{2}\left(\psi-\frac{1}{n}\right) C_{2}\left\|\xi^{\prime}\right\|^{2}-2\left(\psi-\frac{1}{n}\right) C_{3}\left\|\xi^{\prime}\right\| \cdot\left\|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right\|+\left(\frac{k-1}{2} C_{1}^{2}-\left(\psi-\frac{1}{n}\right) C_{4}\right)\left\|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right\|^{2} \geq 0$.
Then we have $L_{\phi_{n}}(x, \xi) \geq \frac{1}{2} C_{2} k\left(\psi-\frac{1}{n}\right)^{k-1}\left\|\xi^{\prime}\right\|^{2}+\frac{k(k-1)}{2} C_{1}^{2}\left(\psi-\frac{1}{n}\right)^{k-2}\left\|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right\|^{2}$.

And since $\xi^{\prime}$ and $\xi^{\prime \prime}$ are orthogonal:

$$
L_{\phi_{n}}(x, \xi) \geq \frac{k}{2}\left(\psi-\frac{1}{n}\right)^{k-2} \cdot \min \left\{C_{2}\left(\psi-\frac{1}{n}\right),(k-1) C_{1}^{2}\right\}\|\xi\|^{2} .
$$

Therefore Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 imply that $\phi_{n}$ is strictly 1-convex with respect to $\mathcal{M}$ on $X_{n} \backslash F$.

In the same time $\left\{x \in X_{n}: \phi_{n}(x) \geq \epsilon\right\}=\left\{x \in X_{n}: \psi(x) \geq \frac{1}{n}+\sqrt[k]{\epsilon}\right\}=$ $\left\{x \in \pi^{-1}(U): \psi(x) \geq \frac{1}{n}+\sqrt[k]{\epsilon}\right\}$ so for every compact $K \subset U$ we have $\left\{x \in X_{n}: \phi_{n}(x) \geq \epsilon\right\} \cap \pi^{-1}(K)=\left\{x \in X: \psi(x) \geq \frac{1}{n}+\sqrt[k]{\epsilon}\right\} \cap \pi^{-1}(K)$ which is compact.

We will now begin to prove Theorem 2. We will proceed as in [5] and we will consider a covering $\left\{V_{1}, \ldots, V_{s}\right\}$ of $X\left(t_{0}\right)=\pi^{-1}\left(t_{0}\right)$ by local charts, each $V_{i}$ biholomorphic to an open ball in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, and a set of points $\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{s}\right\}$ such that $p_{i} \in V_{i}$ and $p_{i} \notin \bar{V}_{j}$ for $i \neq j$. Let $W_{i} \subset V_{i}$ be open neighborhoods of $p_{i}$ biholomorphic to open balls in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ such that $W_{i} \cap V_{j}=\emptyset$ and the retraction $r$ in theorem 3 is holomorphic on $r^{-1}\left(\cup_{i \leq s} W_{i}\right)$.
Lemma 5. There exists a Morse function $h_{0}: \widetilde{X}\left(t_{0}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$and $K \subset \widetilde{X}\left(t_{0}\right)$ a compact subset such that
a) $\left\{h_{0} \geq \epsilon\right\}$ is compact for every $\epsilon>0$
b) $A:=\left\{x: x\right.$ is a critical point for $\left.h_{0}\right\} \backslash K$ is a subset of $\sigma^{-1}\left(\cup_{i \leq s} W_{i}\right)$
c) $h_{0}$ is strictly $n$-convex on a neighborhood of $A$.

Proof. Since $\widetilde{X}\left(t_{0}\right)$ has at most finitely many compact components there is, by Theorem 1 , a compact subset $K_{1} \subset \widetilde{X}\left(t_{0}\right)$ and a $C^{\infty}$ function $h_{1}: \widetilde{X}\left(t_{0}\right) \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}_{+}$such that $\left\{h_{1} \geq \epsilon\right\}$ is compact for every $\epsilon>0$ and $h_{1}$ is strictly $n$-convex on $\widetilde{X}\left(t_{0}\right) \backslash K_{1}$. We may also suppose that $h_{1}$ is a Morse function. See in this sense [2]. Let $A_{1}$ be the set of its critical points that are not in $K_{1}$ (which is a discrete set).

We put $\sigma^{-1}\left(V_{i}\right)=\cup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} M_{i, j}$ and $\sigma^{-1}\left(W_{i}\right)=\cup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} N_{i, j}$ for their decompositions into connected components. Then $\left\{M_{i, j}\right\}$ and $\left\{N_{i, j}\right\}$ satisfy the conditions of Lemma 3. Let $\Phi: \widetilde{X}\left(t_{0}\right) \rightarrow \widetilde{X}\left(t_{0}\right)$ a diffeomorphism such that $\Phi\left(A_{1}\right) \subset \sigma^{-1}\left(\cup_{i \leq s} W_{i}\right)$ and $\Phi$ is holomorphic on a neighborhood of $A$. Then $h_{0}=h_{1} \circ \Phi$ has the required properties.

We choose now a simply connected neighborhood of $t_{0}$ and we lift the map $S$, given by Theorem 3, to $\widetilde{X}$. We observe then that, in order to complete the proof of Theorem 2, it suffices to prove the following:

Proposition 6. Let $X$ be a complex manifold and $\pi: X \rightarrow T$ a holomorphic submersion, where $X$ has dimension $n+m$, and $T=\left\{t \in \mathbb{C}^{m} ;|t|<1\right\}$. Set $X_{t}:=\pi^{-1}(t), t \in T$. Assume that there exists a diffeomorphism $S: T \times X_{0} \rightarrow$ $X$ with the following properties:

1) $S\left(t, X_{0}\right)=X_{t}$ for every $t \in T$.
2) The map $s_{x_{o}}: T \rightarrow X$ given by $s_{x_{0}}(t)=S\left(t, x_{0}\right)$ is a holomorphic section of $\pi$ for every $x_{0} \in X_{0}$ and $X$ is the disjoint union of $\left\{s_{x_{0}}(T)\right\}_{x_{0} \in X_{0}}$.
3) The map $r: X \rightarrow X_{0}$ given by $S(\pi(x), r(x))=x, x \in X$, defines a $C^{\infty}$ retraction of $X$ onto $X_{0}$. Moreover there is a Morse function $h_{0} \in C^{\infty}\left(X_{0}, \mathbb{R}_{+}\right), K \subset X_{0}$ a compact set, $V_{0} \subset X_{0}$ an open set, $V_{0} \supset A:=\left\{x \in X_{0} \backslash K: x\right.$ is a critical point for $\left.h_{0}\right\}$, such that $\left.h_{0}\right|_{V_{o}}$ is $n$-convex, $\left\{h_{0} \geq \epsilon\right\}$ is compact for every $\epsilon>0$ and $\left.r\right|_{r^{-1}\left(V_{0}\right)}$ is holomorphic.

Then for every $U$ an open neighborhood of $0, U \subset \subset T,\left.\pi\right|_{\pi^{-1}(U)}: U \rightarrow U$ is a n-concave morphism.

Proof. Let $g: X \rightarrow(0, \infty), g(x)=\frac{|\pi(x)|^{2}+1}{2}$.
For $x \in X$ let $\Sigma_{x}=\{S(t, r(x)) ; t \in T\}$ and $\Phi_{x}=\pi^{-1}(\pi(x)) . \Sigma_{x}$ and $\Phi_{x}$ are closed submanifolds of $X$.

Following [5] we will use:
Definition 7. A hermitian metric $H$ on $X$ is called "special" if for any point $x \in X$ the complex vector subspaces $T_{x}\left(\Sigma_{x}\right)$ and $T_{x}\left(\Phi_{x}\right)$ of $T_{x} X$ are orthogonal with respect to $H$.

Lemma 6. There exists a special hermitian metric $H$ on $X$.
Let $h=h_{0} \circ r$ and $F_{2}=h^{-1}(K)$. Choose $V_{0}^{\prime}$ an open subset of $X_{0}$ such that $V_{0}^{\prime} \supset A$ and $\overline{V_{0}^{\prime}} \subset V_{0}$ and put $V_{1}=r^{-1}\left(V_{0}\right)$ and $V_{2}=X \backslash r^{-1}\left(\overline{V_{0}^{\prime}}\right)$.
Using Proposition 1 we choose $\mathcal{N}$ a linear set of codimension $\leq n-1$ such that $h_{0}$ is strictly 1-convex with respect to $\mathcal{N}$ over $V_{0}$ and put $\mathcal{M}_{1}=r^{*}(\mathcal{N})$. Since $h$ does not have critical points in $V_{2} \backslash F_{2}$, at any point $x \in V_{2} \backslash F_{2}$ we have an orthogonal decomposition with respect to $H: T_{x} X=\Gamma_{x}^{\prime} \oplus \Gamma_{x}^{\prime \prime}$ where $\Gamma_{x}^{\prime}$ is the holomorphic tangent space at $x$ to the real hypersurface $\{h=h(x)\}$ and $\Gamma_{x}^{\prime \prime}$ is its orthogonal complement. Thus $\Gamma_{x}^{\prime \prime}$ is a 1-dimensional complex vector space and $T_{x}\left(\Sigma_{x}\right) \subset \Gamma_{x}^{\prime}$, so $\Gamma_{x}^{\prime \prime}$ and $T_{x}\left(\Sigma_{x}\right)$ are orthogonal (with respect
to $H$ ). Therefore $\Gamma_{x}^{\prime \prime} \subset T_{x}\left(\Phi_{x}\right)$.
We set $\mathcal{M}_{2}=\mathcal{M}_{2}^{\prime} \oplus \mathcal{M}_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ where $\mathcal{M}_{2}^{\prime}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ are linear sets over $V_{2} \backslash F_{2}$ given by $\mathcal{M}_{2, x}^{\prime}=T_{x}\left(\Sigma_{x}\right)$ and $\mathcal{M}_{2, x}^{\prime \prime}=\Gamma_{x}^{\prime \prime}$.
Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the linear set given by Lemma 2 applied to $\mathcal{M}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{2}$.
Since $K$ is compact there exists $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $x \in K, h_{0}(x)>\frac{1}{p}$.
Let $X_{n}=\left\{x \in X: h(x)>\frac{1}{n}\right\}, n \geq p$. Then $F_{2} \subset X_{n}$. Let $F$ and $F_{1}$ be two closed $X$ such that $F \subset{ }_{X}{ }_{p}, F_{1} \subset \operatorname{Int}(F), F_{2} \subset \operatorname{Int}\left(F_{1}\right)$ and $\left.\pi\right|_{F}$ is proper.
We will find for each $n \geq p$ a function $\phi_{n} \in C^{\infty}\left(X_{n}, \mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$such that $\left.\phi_{n}\right|_{X_{n} \backslash F}$ is strictly 1-convex with respect to $\left.\mathcal{M}\right|_{X_{n} \backslash F}$ and $\left\{x \in X_{n} \cap \pi^{-1}(U): \phi_{n}(x) \geq\right.$ $\epsilon\} \cap \pi^{-1}(L)$ is compact for every real number $\epsilon>0$ and every compact $L \subset U$. The conclusion of the proposition will follow then from Proposition 3.

To obtain $\phi_{n}$ we will use Proposition 5.
Let $Y$ be an open subset of $T$ such that $U \subset \subset Y \subset \subset T$.
$\left(\overline{\pi^{-1}(Y)} \cap \bar{V}_{2} \cap \bar{X}_{n}\right) \backslash \operatorname{Int}\left(F_{1}\right)$ is a compact subset of $X$ and for every $\left.x \in \overline{\left(\pi^{-1}(Y)\right.} \cap \bar{V}_{2} \cap \bar{X}_{n}\right) \backslash \operatorname{Int}\left(F_{1}\right)$ and $\xi^{\prime \prime} \in \Gamma_{x}^{\prime \prime},\left\langle\partial h_{x}, \xi^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle \neq 0$.
Since $\Gamma_{x}^{\prime \prime}$ depends continuously on $x$ it follows that there exists $C>0$ such
 every $\xi^{\prime \prime} \in \Gamma_{x}^{\prime \prime}$

Let $h_{n}=e^{\alpha_{n}\left(h-\frac{1}{n}\right)}-1$ where $\alpha_{n}$ is a positive real number.
$L_{h_{n}}(x, \xi)=\alpha_{n} e^{\alpha_{n}\left(h-\frac{1}{n}\right)}\left(L_{h}(x, \xi)+\alpha_{n}|\langle\partial h, \xi\rangle|^{2}\right)$. Choose $\alpha_{n}$ large enough such that on $\left(\pi^{-1}(Y) \cap V_{2} \cap X_{n}\right) \backslash \operatorname{Int}\left(F_{1}\right), L_{h_{n}}\left(\xi^{\prime \prime}\right) \geq 0$ for every $\xi^{\prime \prime} \in \mathcal{M}_{2}^{\prime \prime}$.
Note that because $\left\langle\partial h, \xi^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle \neq 0$ we have also $\left\langle\partial h_{n}, \xi^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle \neq 0$
If $\xi=\xi^{\prime}+\xi^{\prime \prime} \in \mathcal{M}_{2}^{\prime} \oplus \mathcal{M}_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ since $h$ is constant on $\Sigma_{x}$ we get $\left\langle\partial h, \xi^{\prime}\right\rangle=0$ and $L_{h}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)=0$. It follows then that $\left\langle\partial h_{n}, \xi^{\prime}\right\rangle=0$ and $L_{h_{n}}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)=0$.

A direct computation shows that $L_{g}(\xi)=L_{g}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right) \geq C_{1}\left\|\xi^{\prime}\right\|^{2}$ for some $C_{1}>0$ (see also Lemma 8 in [5]).
Let $C_{2}, C_{3}$ be positive constants such that on $\left(\pi^{-1}(Y) \cap V_{2} \cap X_{n}\right) \backslash \operatorname{Int}\left(F_{1}\right)$ we have:
$\left|\left\langle\partial h_{n}, \xi^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle\right| \geq C_{2}\left\|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right\|$ and $2 \operatorname{Re}\left(L_{h_{n}}\left(\xi^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime \prime}\right)\right) \geq-C_{3}\left\|\xi^{\prime}\right\|\left\|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right\|$
and choose a positive integer $p$ such that $p \geq 3$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{p-1}{4} \frac{C_{1} C_{2}^{2}}{g(x)} \geq C_{3}^{2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $x \in\left(\pi^{-1}(Y) \cap V_{2} \cap X_{n}\right) \backslash \operatorname{Int}\left(F_{1}\right)$. (Notice that $\frac{1}{2} \leq g(x) \leq 1$.)

Let $\psi_{n} \in C^{\infty}\left(X_{n}, \mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{h_{n}^{2}}{\psi_{n}}+\frac{g^{p}}{1+\psi_{n}}=1 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

There exists such $\psi_{n}$ by Lemma 4 and $\left.\pi\right|_{\left\{x \in X_{n}: \psi_{n}(x) \geq \epsilon\right\}}$ is proper (the level sets for $h_{n}$ are level sets for $\left.h\right)$.
For $x \in\left(\pi^{-1}(Y) \cap V_{2} \cap X_{n}\right) \backslash F$ let $\mathcal{K}_{x}:=\left\{\xi \in \mathcal{M}_{2, x}:\left\langle\partial \psi_{n}, \xi\right\rangle=0\right\}$.
Differentiating (4) once we obtain:

$$
\left(\frac{h_{n}^{2}}{\psi_{n}^{2}}+\frac{g^{p}}{\left(1+\psi_{n}\right)^{2}}\right)\left\langle\partial \psi_{n}, \xi\right\rangle=\frac{2 h_{n}}{\psi_{n}}\left\langle\partial h_{n}, \xi^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle+\frac{p g^{p-1}}{1+\psi_{n}}\left\langle\partial g, \xi^{\prime}\right\rangle
$$

Since $\left\langle\partial h_{n}, \xi^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle \neq 0$ and $\left\langle\partial g, \xi^{\prime}\right\rangle \neq 0$ for $\xi^{\prime} \neq 0$ and $\xi^{\prime \prime} \neq 0$ it follows that $\mathcal{K}_{x} \neq \mathcal{M}_{2, x}$.
Also for $\xi \in \mathcal{K}_{x}$ we obtain: $\left\langle\partial g, \xi^{\prime}\right\rangle=-\frac{1+\psi_{n}}{p g^{p-1}} \frac{2 h_{n}}{\psi_{n}}\left\langle\partial h_{n}, \xi^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle$
Differentiating (4) twice we obtain:

$$
\rho L_{\psi_{n}}(\xi)=\frac{2 h_{n}}{\psi_{n}} L_{h_{n}}(\xi)+\frac{p g^{p-1}}{1+\psi_{n}} L_{g}(\xi)+A(\xi)+B(\xi)+\frac{p(p-1) g^{p-2}}{4\left(1+\psi_{n}\right)}|\langle\partial g, \xi\rangle|^{2}
$$

where $\rho, A(\xi)$ and $B(\xi)$ are given by:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\rho=\left(\frac{h_{n}^{2}}{\psi_{n}^{2}}+\frac{g^{p}}{\left(1+\psi_{n}\right)^{2}}\right) \\
A(\xi)=\frac{2}{\psi_{n}}\left\{\left|\left\langle\partial h_{n}, \xi\right\rangle\right|^{2}-\frac{2 h_{n}}{\psi_{n}} \operatorname{Re}\left(\left\langle\partial h_{n}, \xi\right\rangle \overline{\left\langle\partial \psi_{n}, \xi\right\rangle}\right)+\frac{h_{n}^{2}}{\psi_{n}^{2}}\left|\left\langle\partial \psi_{n}, \xi\right\rangle\right|^{2}\right\} \\
B(\xi)=\frac{g^{p-2}}{1+\psi_{n}}\left\{\frac{3}{4} p(p-1)|\langle\partial g, \xi\rangle|^{2}-\frac{2 p g}{\left(1+\psi_{n}\right)} \operatorname{Re}\left(\langle\partial g, \xi\rangle \overline{\left\langle\partial \psi_{n}, \xi\right\rangle}\right)+\frac{2 g^{2}}{\left(1+\psi_{n}\right)^{2}}\left|\left\langle\partial \psi_{n}, \xi\right\rangle\right|^{2}\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

Notice that $A(\xi) \geq 0$ and $B(\xi) \geq 0$.
For $\xi \in \mathcal{K}_{x}$ using the previous inequalities we obtain that:

$$
\rho L_{\psi_{n}}(\xi) \geq-\frac{2 h_{n}}{\psi_{n}} C_{3}\left\|\xi^{\prime}\right\|\left\|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right\|+\frac{p g^{p-1}}{1+\psi_{n}} C_{1}\left\|\xi^{\prime}\right\|^{2}+\frac{p(p-1) g^{p-2}}{4\left(1+\psi_{n}\right)}\left|\left\langle\partial g, \xi^{\prime}\right\rangle\right|^{2}
$$

And (5) implies that

$$
\rho L_{\psi_{n}}(\xi) \geq-\frac{2 h_{n}}{\psi_{n}} C_{3}\left\|\xi^{\prime}\right\|\left\|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right\|+\frac{p g^{p-1}}{1+\psi_{n}} C_{1}\left\|\xi^{\prime}\right\|^{2}+\frac{(p-1)\left(1+\psi_{n}\right)}{p g^{p}} \frac{h_{n}^{2}}{\psi_{n}^{2}} C_{2}^{2}\left\|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right\|^{2}
$$

But (3) implies that:

$$
\frac{p g^{p-1}}{2\left(1+\psi_{n}\right)} C_{1}\left\|\xi^{\prime}\right\|^{2}-\frac{2 h_{n}}{\psi_{n}} C_{3}\left\|\xi^{\prime}\right\|\left\|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right\|+\frac{(p-1)\left(1+\psi_{n}\right)}{2 p g^{p}} \frac{h_{n}^{2}}{\psi_{n}^{2}} C_{2}^{2}\left\|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right\|^{2} \geq 0
$$

and therefore

$$
\rho L_{\psi_{n}}(\xi) \geq \frac{p g^{p-1}}{2\left(1+\psi_{n}\right)} C_{1}\left\|\xi^{\prime}\right\|^{2}+\frac{(p-1)\left(1+\psi_{n}\right)}{2 p g^{p}} \frac{h_{n}^{2}}{\psi_{n}^{2}} C_{2}^{2}\left\|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right\|^{2}
$$

Since $\xi^{\prime}$ and $\xi^{\prime \prime}$ are orthogonal this last inequality implies that $\psi_{n}$ is strictly 1-convex with respect to $\mathcal{K}$.

Because $r$ is holomorphic on $V_{1}$ it follows that $L_{h}(\xi)=L_{h}\left(\xi^{\prime \prime}\right)$ and we deduce that $\psi_{n}$ is strictly 1-convex with respect to $\mathcal{M}_{1}$ on $V_{1}$. Thus all the conditions of Proposition 5 are fulfilled.
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