Logical Metatheorems for Metric Spaces I

Speaker: Daniel Ivan, IMAR

March 21, 2013

Given a set X and symbols b_X and d_X , we present the theory $\mathcal{A}_i^{\omega}[X,d]$, an extension of the weakely extensional 'Heyting' arithmetic theory that also contains the axiom of choice principle. $\mathcal{A}_i^{\omega}[X,d]$ is defined over the set of all finite types generated by the ground types N and X, denoted by \mathbf{T}^X .

The goal of this seminar is to prove, using the monotone functional interpretation, the following logical metatheorem.

Let σ, ρ, τ be types of \mathbf{T}^X , such that σ is a type of degree 1, ρ is a type of degree $(\cdot, 0)$, and τ is a type of degree (\cdot, X) . Let $s^{\rho\sigma}$ be a closed term of $\mathcal{A}_i^{\omega}[X, d]$, $B_{\forall}(x^{\sigma}, y^{\rho}, z^{\tau}, u^{\mathbf{0}})$ be a \forall -formula with only x, y, z, u free, and $C(x^{\sigma}, y^{\rho}, z^{\tau}, v^{\mathbf{0}})$ a general formula with only x, y, z, v free. Both B and C are formulas of $\mathscr{L}(\mathcal{A}_i^{\omega}[X, d])$. If

$$\mathcal{A}_{i}^{\omega}[X,d] + \Delta \vdash \forall x^{\sigma} \forall y \leq_{\rho} sx \forall z^{\tau} (\forall u^{\mathbf{0}} B_{\forall}(x,y,z,u) \to \exists v^{\mathbf{0}} C(x,y,z,v)),$$

then one can extract a function $\Phi: \mathcal{S}_{\sigma} \times \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$, such that for all $x \in \mathcal{S}_{\sigma}$ and all $b \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\forall x^{\sigma}, y \leq_{\rho} sx \,\forall z^{\tau} [\forall u \leq_{\mathbf{0}} \Phi(x, b) B_{\forall}(x, y, z, u) \to \exists v \leq_{\mathbf{0}} \Phi(x, b) C(x, y, z, v)]$$

holds in any nonempty metric space whose metric is bounded by $b \in \mathbb{N}$ and that satisfies Δ , where

$$\Delta := \{ \forall \underline{a}^{\underline{\delta}} \exists \underline{b} \leq_{\underline{\sigma}} \underline{r} \, \underline{a} \, \forall \underline{c}^{\underline{\gamma}} \, F_0(\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \underline{c}) \},\$$

and F_0 is a quantifier-free formula of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}_i^{\omega}[X,d])$. The theorem still holds if we add to $\mathcal{A}_i^{\omega}[X,d]$:

• Independence of premise principle, for universal formulas:

$$\operatorname{IP}_{\forall}^{\omega} :\equiv (\forall \underline{x} A_0(\underline{x}) \to \exists y^{\rho} B(y)) \to \exists y^{\rho} (\forall \underline{x} A_0(\underline{x}) \to B(y)),$$

where y is not free in $\forall \underline{x} A_0(\underline{x})$ and A_0 is a quantifier free formula.

• Markov Principle:

$$\mathbf{M}^{\underline{\rho}} :\equiv \neg \neg \exists \underline{x}^{\underline{\rho}} A_0(\underline{x}) \to \exists \underline{x}^{\underline{\rho}} A_0(\underline{x}),$$

where A_0 is an arbitrary quantifier-free formula.

The theorem also holds for tuples of variables $\underline{x}, y, \underline{z}, \underline{u}, \underline{v}$, of appropriate types.