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The results of this talk are mainly based on the papers:


Directional regularity: definition and basic properties
Notations

- $X, Y$ are normed vector spaces over the real field $\mathbb{R}$.
- $B(x, \varepsilon)$ and $B[x, \varepsilon]$ are the open and closed balls with center $x \in X$ and radius $\varepsilon > 0$, respectively.
- We use the symbols $B_X, B_X$ and $S_X$ for the open and the closed balls, and the sphere of center 0 and radius 1, respectively.
- For a set $A \subset X$, we denote by $\text{int} A, \text{cl} A, \text{bd} A$ its topological interior, closure and boundary, respectively.
- The cone generated by $A$ is designated by $\text{cone} A$, and the convex hull of $A$ is $\text{conv} A$. 
Notations

- **The polar of a set** $A \subset X$ is

  $$A^\circ := \{ x^* \in X^* \mid \langle x^*, u \rangle \geq -1, \forall u \in A \}. \quad (1)$$

  If $A$ is a cone, then its polar (denoted $A^+$) becomes

  $$A^+ = \{ x^* \in X^* \mid \langle x^*, u \rangle \geq 0, \forall u \in A \}, \quad (2)$$

  and is called the **positive dual cone** of $A$.

- Given two sets $A, B \subset X$, one defines the **distance between $A$ and $B$** by

  $$d(A, B) := \inf\{ \|a - b\| \mid a \in A, b \in B \}. \quad (3)$$

  If $x \in X$ and $A \subset X$, then the **distance from $x$ to $A$** is $d(x, A) := d(\{x\}, A)$. As usual, $d(A, \emptyset) := \infty$. The **excess from $A$ to $B$** is defined as

  $$e(A, B) := \sup\{ d(a, B) \mid a \in A \},$$

  under the convention $e(\emptyset, B) = 0$ if $B \neq \emptyset$ and $e(A, \emptyset) = +\infty$ for any $A$. 
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Multifunctions

- Let $F : X \rightrightarrows Y$ be a multifunction and $A \subset X$. **The domain, the graph of $F$ and the image of $A$ through $F$** are denoted respectively by
  
  $\text{Dom} \, F := \{ x \in X \mid F(x) \neq \emptyset \}$,
  
  $\text{Gr} \, F := \{ (x, y) \in X \times Y \mid y \in F(x) \}$,
  
  $F(A) := \bigcup_{x \in A} F(x)$.

- The **inverse set-valued map** of $F$ is $F^{-1} : Y \rightrightarrows X$ given by
  
  $F^{-1}(y) := \{ x \in X \mid y \in F(x) \}$. 

Let $F : X \rightrightarrows Y$ be a multifunction and $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in \text{Gr} F$.

- $F$ is said to be **open at linear rate** $L > 0$ **around** $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ if there exist a positive number $\varepsilon > 0$ and two neighborhoods $U \in \mathcal{V}(\bar{x}), V \in \mathcal{V}(\bar{y})$ such that, for every $\rho \in (0, \varepsilon)$ and every $(x, y) \in \text{Gr} F \cap [U \times V],

$$B(y, \rho L) \subset F(B(x, \rho)).$$
Linear openness, metric regularity, Aubin property: classical case

Let $F : X \rightrightarrows Y$ be a multifunction and $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in \text{Gr} F$.

- $F$ is said to be open at linear rate $L > 0$ around $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ if there exist a positive number $\varepsilon > 0$ and two neighborhoods $U \in \mathcal{V}(\bar{x}), V \in \mathcal{V}(\bar{y})$ such that, for every $\rho \in (0, \varepsilon)$ and every $(x, y) \in \text{Gr} F \cap [U \times V],

\[ B(y, \rho L) \subset F(B(x, \rho)). \]

The modulus of openness of $F$ around $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$, denoted by $\text{sur} F(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$, is the supremum of $L > 0$ such that $F$ is open at linear rate $L$ around $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$. 
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- $F$ is said to be **metrically regular around** $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ with constant $L > 0$ if there exist two neighborhoods $U \in \mathcal{V}(\bar{x}), V \in \mathcal{V}(\bar{y})$ such that, for every $(x, y) \in U \times V$,

$$d(x, F^{-1}(y)) \leq Ld(y, F(x)).$$
Linear openness, metric regularity, Aubin property: classical case

- \( F \) is said to be **metrically regular around \((\bar{x}, \bar{y})\) with constant \( L > 0 \) if there exist two neighborhoods \( U \in \mathcal{V}(\bar{x}), V \in \mathcal{V}(\bar{y}) \) such that, for every \((x, y) \in U \times V,\)

  \[
  d(x, F^{-1}(y)) \leq Ld(y, F(x)).
  \]

  **The modulus of regularity** of \( F \) around \((\bar{x}, \bar{y})\), denoted by \( \text{reg} F(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \), is the infimum of \( L > 0 \) such that \( F \) is metrically regular around \((\bar{x}, \bar{y})\) with the constant \( L \).
Linear openness, metric regularity, Aubin property: classical case

- $F$ is said to have the Aubin property around $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ with constant $L > 0$ if there exist two neighborhoods $U \in \mathcal{V}(\bar{x}), V \in \mathcal{V}(\bar{y})$ such that, for every $x, u \in U$,

$$e(F(x) \cap V, F(u)) \leq L d(x, u).$$
Linear openness, metric regularity, Aubin property: classical case

- $F$ is said to have the **Aubin property around** $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ with constant $L > 0$ if there exist two neighborhoods $U \in \mathcal{V}(\bar{x}), V \in \mathcal{V}(\bar{y})$ such that, for every $x, u \in U$,

  \[ e(F(x) \cap V, F(u)) \leq Ld(x, u). \]

The **modulus of the Aubin property** of $F$ around $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$, denoted by $\text{lip } F(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$, is the infimum of $L > 0$ such that $F$ has the Aubin property around $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ with the constant $L$. 
Linear openness, metric regularity, Aubin property: links

Proposition 1

Let $F : X \rightrightarrows Y$ be a multifunction and $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in \text{Gr} F$. Then $F$ is open at linear rate around $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ iff $F^{-1}$ has the Aubin property around $(\bar{y}, \bar{x})$ iff $F$ is metrically regular around $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$. Moreover, in every of the previous situations,

$$\text{reg} F(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = (\text{sur} F(\bar{x}, \bar{y}))^{-1} = \text{lip} F^{-1}(\bar{y}, \bar{x}).$$  (3)
Minimal time function

We study a minimal time function which prove to be adequate to deal with the directional phenomena in variational analysis and optimization.

- Let $\Omega \subset X$ and $M \subset S_X$ be nonempty sets. Then the function

$$T_M(x, \Omega) := \inf \{ t \geq 0 \mid \exists u \in M : x + tu \in \Omega \}$$

is called the directional minimal time function with respect to $M$. 
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  \[ e_M(A, B) := \sup_{x \in A} T_M(x, B). \]
  Remark that $e_M(A, B) = \infty$ if $A \not\subset B - \text{cone } M$. If $M = S_X$, $e_M(A, B)$ becomes the usual excess from $A$ to $B$.

- Moreover, we denote in what follows $T_M(x, \{u\})$ by $T_M(x, u)$. 

Some notations

Our approach. Minimal time function

Directional regularity: some examples and comparisons
Proposition 2

(i) The domain of the directional minimal time function with respect to $M$ is given by

$$\text{dom } T_M(\cdot, \Omega) = \Omega - \text{cone } M.$$ 

(ii) One has

$$T_M(x, \Omega) = \inf_{u \in M} T_U(x, \Omega).$$

(iii) One has, for any $x \in X$ and $\Omega \subset X$,

$$d(x, \Omega) \leq T_M(x, \Omega).$$

If $M = S_X$, then $\text{dom } T_M(\cdot, \Omega) = X$ and

$$T_M(x, \Omega) = d(x, \Omega), \quad \forall x \in X.$$
Let $F : X \rightrightarrows Y$ be a set-valued map and $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in \text{Gr} F$, $L \subset S_X$, $M \subset S_Y$.

- One says that $F$ is **directionally linearly open around $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ with respect to $L$ and $M$ with modulus $\alpha > 0$** if there are $\varepsilon > 0$ and some neighborhoods $U$ of $\bar{x}$ and $V$ of $\bar{y}$ such that, for every $r \in (0, \varepsilon]$ and every $(x, y) \in [U \times V] \cap \text{Gr} F$,

$$B(y, \alpha r) \cap [y - \text{cone } M] \subset F(B(x, r) \cap [x + \text{cone } L]) .$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)
Directional regularity: definitions

Let $F : X \rightrightarrows Y$ be a set-valued map and $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in \text{Gr} F, L \subset S_X, M \subset S_Y$.
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The modulus of directional openness of $F$ around $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ with respect to $L$ and $M$, denoted by $\text{dirsur}_{L \times M} F(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$, is the supremum of $\alpha > 0$ such that $F$ is directionally linearly open around $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ with respect to $L$ and $M$ with modulus $\alpha$.
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$$T_L(x, F^{-1}(y)) \leq \alpha \cdot T_M(y, F(x)).$$ (6)
Directional regularity: definitions

One says that $F$ is \textbf{directionally metrically regular around $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ with respect to $L$ and $M$ with modulus $\alpha > 0$} if there are $\varepsilon > 0$ and some neighborhoods $U$ of $\bar{x}$ and $V$ of $\bar{y}$ such that, for every $(x, y) \in U \times V$ such that $T_M(y, F(x)) < \varepsilon$,

$$T_L(x, F^{-1}(y)) \leq \alpha \cdot T_M(y, F(x)).$$

(6)

The modulus of \textbf{directional regularity} of $F$ around $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ with respect to $L$ and $M$, denoted by $\text{dirreg}_{L \times M} F(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$, is the infimum of $\alpha > 0$ such that $F$ is directionally metrically regular around $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ with respect to $L$ and $M$ with modulus $\alpha$. 
**Directional regularity: definitions**

- One says that $F$ is **directionally Aubin continuous around** $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ **with respect to** $L$ and $M$ **with modulus** $\alpha > 0$ if there are some neighborhoods $U$ of $\bar{x}$ and $V$ of $\bar{y}$ such that, for every $x, u \in U$,

\[
e_M(F(x) \cap V, F(u)) \leq \alpha T_L(u, x).
\]
Directional regularity: definitions

- One says that $F$ is directionally Aubin continuous around $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ with respect to $L$ and $M$ with modulus $\alpha > 0$ if there are some neighborhoods $U$ of $\bar{x}$ and $V$ of $\bar{y}$ such that, for every $x, u \in U$,

$$e_M(F(x) \cap V, F(u)) \leq \alpha T_L(u, x).$$  \(7\)

The modulus of the directional Aubin property of $F$ around $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ with respect to $L$ and $M$, denoted by $\text{dirlip}_{L \times M} F(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$, is the infimum of $\alpha > 0$ such that $F$ has the directional Aubin property around $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ with respect to $L$ and $M$ with modulus $\alpha$. 
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- Observe that, when one takes $L = S_X, M = S_Y$, the previous concepts reduce to the usual metric regularity, linear openness and Aubin property around the reference point.

Proposition 3

Let $F : X \rightrightarrows Y$ be a set-valued map and $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in \text{Gr} F, L \subset S_X, M \subset S_Y, and \alpha > 0$. Then $F$ is directionally metrically regular around $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ with respect to $L$ and $M$ with modulus $\alpha$ iff $F$ is directionally linearly open around $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ with respect to $L$ and $M$ with modulus $\alpha^{-1}$ iff $F^{-1}$ is directionally Aubin continuous around $(\bar{y}, \bar{x})$ with respect to $M$ and $L$ with modulus $\alpha$.

Moreover, in every of the previous situations,

$$\text{dirreg}_{L \times M} F(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = (\text{dirsur}_{L \times M} F(\bar{x}, \bar{y}))^{-1} = \text{dirlip}_{M \times L} F^{-1}(\bar{y}, \bar{x}).$$  (8)
Directional regularity with respect to a variable

For a set-valued mapping $F : X \times Y \rightrightarrows Z$, one may speak about the directional regularities with respect to one variable, uniformly for the other.

- We use the notation $F_y := F(\cdot, y)$, we consider nonempty sets $L \subset S_X$, $M \subset S_Z$, and we say that $F$ is directionally metrically regular relative to $x$ uniformly in $y$ around $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}) \in \text{Gr} F$ with respect to $L$ and $M$ with modulus $\alpha > 0$ if there are $\varepsilon > 0$ and neighborhoods $U$ of $\bar{x}$, $V$ of $\bar{y}$, and $W$ of $\bar{z}$ such that, for every $y \in V$, and every $(x, z) \in U \times W$ such that $T_M(z, F_y(x)) < \varepsilon$,

$$T_L(x, F_y^{-1}(z)) \leq \alpha \cdot T_M(z, F_y(x)).$$

(9)
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$$T_L(x, F_y^{-1}(z)) \leq \alpha \cdot T_M(z, F_y(x)).$$

The modulus of directional regularity of $F$ relative to $x$ uniformly in $y$ around $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z})$ with respect to $L$ and $M$, denoted by $\text{dirreg}^x_{L \times M} F(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z})$, is defined as the infimum of $\alpha > 0$ such that the above property holds.

- Analogously, one may define the other two regularity properties relative to one variable, uniformly for the other, and the regularity moduli are denoted by $\text{dirsur}^x_{L \times M} F(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z})$ and $\text{dirlip}^x_{L \times M} F(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z})$. 
Consider $X := Y := \mathbb{R}$, and take $f : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ a strictly increasing function such that $f(0) = 0$ and $\lim_{x \to \infty} f(x) = \infty$. Define $L := \{-1\}$, $M := \{-1, 1\}$, and the multifunction $F : X \rightrightarrows Y$ given by

$$F(x) := \begin{cases} [0, f(x)], & \text{if } x \geq 0 \\ \emptyset, & \text{if } x < 0. \end{cases}$$

Then the multifunction $F$ is directionally Aubin continuous with respect to $L$ and $M$, around any $(x, y) \in \text{Gr } F$, with any modulus $\alpha > 0$, but $F$ is not Aubin continuous around $(0, 0)$, for instance.
Directional regularity: some examples

1. Consider $X := Y := \mathbb{R}$, and take $f : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ a strictly increasing function such that $f(0) = 0$ and $\lim_{x \to \infty} f(x) = \infty$. Define $L := \{-1\}$, $M := \{-1, 1\}$, and the multifunction $F : X \rightrightarrows Y$ given by

$$F(x) := \begin{cases} [0, f(x)], & \text{if } x \geq 0 \\ \emptyset, & \text{if } x < 0. \end{cases}$$

Then the multifunction $F$ is directionally Aubin continuous with respect to $L$ and $M$, around any $(x, y) \in \text{Gr } F$, with any modulus $\alpha > 0$, but $F$ is not Aubin continuous around $(0, 0)$, for instance.

2. Consider $X := Y := \mathbb{R}$, $L := \{-1\}$, $M := \{-1, 1\}$, and take $f : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ with $f(0) = 0$ be a Lipschitz function with modulus $\alpha > 0$. Then the multifunction $F : \mathbb{R} \rightrightarrows \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$F(x) := \begin{cases} \{f(x)\}, & \text{if } x \geq 0 \\ \emptyset, & \text{if } x < 0. \end{cases}$$

is directionally Aubin continuous with respect to $L$ and $M$ around $(0, 0)$, with modulus $\alpha$, but is not Aubin continuous around $(0, 0)$. 
Next, we compare our concepts with other related ones. First, recall the notion of the directional metric regularity in a given direction by Huynh and Théra.

**Definition 1**

A set-valued mapping $F : X \rightrightarrows Y$ from a metric space $(X, \varrho)$ to a normed space $(Y, \| \cdot \|)$ is said to be **directionally metrically regular** at $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in \text{Gr} \ F$ in a direction $w \in Y$ with a constant $\kappa > 0$ if there exist $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ such that, for every $(x, y) \in B(\bar{x}, \varepsilon) \times B(\bar{y}, \varepsilon)$ satisfying $d(y, F(x)) < \varepsilon$ and $y \in F(x) + \text{cone} \ B(w, \delta)$,

$$d(x, F^{-1}(y)) \leq \kappa d(y, F(x)).$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)
Directional regularity: comparisons

Proposition 4

Let \((X, \| \cdot \|)\) and \((Y, \| \cdot \|)\) be two normed spaces, \(F : X \rightrightarrows Y\) be a set-valued mapping, and \((\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in \text{Gr } F\). If \(F\) is directionally metrically regular at \((\bar{x}, \bar{y})\) in a direction \(w \in Y\) with a constant \(\kappa > 0\), then there is a nonempty closed \(M \subset S_Y\) with cone \(M\) being convex such that \(F\) is directionally metrically regular around \((\bar{x}, \bar{y})\) with respect to \(S_X\) and \(M\) with the constant \(\kappa\).
Directional regularity: comparisons

The converse of the previous result does not hold in general, as the next example shows.

**Example 2**

Consider $X := Y := \mathbb{R}$, $M := \{1\}$, $F : X \rightrightarrows Y$ given by

$$F(x) := \begin{cases} \mathbb{R}, & \text{for } x \leq 0 \text{ or } x \geq 1 \\ (-\infty, x^2] \cup [\sqrt{x}, +\infty), & \text{for } x \in (0, 1) \end{cases},$$

and $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) := (0, 0)$. Then $F$ is directionally metrically regular around $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ with respect to $S_X$ and $M$ with the constant $c = 1$. But, for any direction $w \in \mathbb{R}$, $F$ is not directionally metrically regular at $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ in the direction $w$. 
Another related concept is the **regularity along a subspace** by Dmitruk and Kruger.

**Definition 3**

A set-valued mapping $F : X \rightrightarrows Y$ from a normed space $X$ to a metric space $Y$ is called **metrically regular along a closed subspace $H$ of $X$ around** $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in \text{Gr} F$ if there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that, for every $(x, y) \in B(\bar{x}, \varepsilon) \times B(\bar{y}, \varepsilon)$,

$$\inf\{ \|h\| : h \in H \text{ and } x + h \in F^{-1}(y) \} \leq \kappa d(y, F(x)).$$

**Lemma 4**

Let $H$ be a closed subspace of a normed space $X$. Then, for every $\Omega \subset X$ and every $x \in X$,

$$d_H(x, \Omega) := \inf\{ \|h\| : h \in H \text{ and } x + h \in \Omega \} = T_{S_H}(x, \Omega).$$
Corollary 5

Let $F : X \rightrightarrows Y$ be a set-valued mapping between normed spaces $X$ and $Y$ with $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in \text{Gr} F$, $H$ be a closed subspace of $X$, and $\kappa > 0$. If $F$ is metrically regular along $H$ around $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ with the constant $\kappa$, then $F$ is directionally metrically regular around $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ with respect to $S_H$ and $S_Y$ with the constant $\kappa$. Conversely, if $F$ is directionally metrically regular around $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ with respect to $S_H$ and $S_Y$ with the constant $\kappa$, then there is $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for all $(x, y) \in B(\bar{x}, \varepsilon) \times B(\bar{y}, \varepsilon)$ with $d(y, F(x)) < \varepsilon$ inequality (11) holds.
A generalized directional Ekeland Variational Principle
A generalized directional Ekeland Variational Principle

Theorem 5 (generalized Ekeland principle)

Let $X$ be a Banach space and $A \subset X$ be a closed set. Let $M \subset S_X$ be a closed set such that $\text{cone } M$ is convex, $\Omega \subset X$ a compact subset of $X$ with $\Omega \cap A = \emptyset$ and $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ be a bounded from below lower semicontinuous function. Then, for every $x_0 \in \text{dom } f \cap A$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $x_\varepsilon \in A$ such that

\[ f(x_\varepsilon) \leq f(x_0) - \varepsilon T_M(x_\varepsilon, \Omega \cup \{x_0\}) \]  

and

\[ f(x_\varepsilon) < f(x) + \varepsilon T_M(x, \Omega \cup \{x_\varepsilon\}), \forall x \in A \setminus \{x_\varepsilon\}. \]
Directional EVP: some remarks

- If one takes $\Omega = \emptyset$, then $T_M(x, \Omega \cup \{u\})$ reduces to $T_M(x, u)$. 
Directional EVP: some remarks

- If one takes $\Omega = \emptyset$, then $T_M (x, \Omega \cup \{u\})$ reduces to $T_M(x,u)$.
- Moreover, if cone $M$ is convex, then $T_M$ has the properties of a generalized extended-valued quasi-metric:
  (i) $T_M(x,u) = 0$ iff $x = u$;
  (ii) $T_M(x,u) \leq T_M(x,v) + T_M(v,u)$, for all $x,v,u \in X$. 

Corollary 6
Let $X$ be a Banach space and $A \subseteq X$ be a closed set. Let $M \subseteq S_X$ be a closed set such that cone $M$ is convex, and $f: A \to \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded from below lower semicontinuous function. Then, for every $x_0 \in \text{dom } f \cap A$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $x_\varepsilon \in A$ such that

$$f(x_\varepsilon) - f(x_0) \leq \varepsilon T_M(x_\varepsilon, x_0),$$

and

$$f(x_\varepsilon) < f(x_0) + \varepsilon T_M(x_\varepsilon, x_0),$$

for all $x \in A$. 
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Directional EVP: some remarks

- If one takes $\Omega = \emptyset$, then $T_M(x, \Omega \cup \{u\})$ reduces to $T_M(x, u)$.
- Moreover, if cone $M$ is convex, then $T_M$ has the properties of a generalized extended-valued quasi-metric:
  (i) $T_M(x, u) = 0$ iff $x = u$;
  (ii) $T_M(x, u) \leq T_M(x, v) + T_M(v, u)$, for all $x, v, u \in X$.

**Corollary 6**

Let $X$ be a Banach space and $A \subset X$ be a closed set. Let $M \subset S_X$ be a closed set such that cone $M$ is convex, and $f : A \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ be a bounded from below lower semicontinuous function. Then, for every $x_0 \in \text{dom } f \cap A$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $x_\varepsilon \in A$ such that

$$f(x_\varepsilon) \leq f(x_0) - \varepsilon T_M(x_\varepsilon, x_0) \quad (15)$$

and

$$f(x_\varepsilon) < f(x) + \varepsilon T_M(x, x_\varepsilon), \quad \forall x \in A \setminus \{x_\varepsilon\}. \quad (16)$$
Corollary 7

Let $X$, $Y$ be Banach spaces and $A \subseteq X \times Y$ be a closed set. Let $L \subseteq S_X$ and $M \subseteq S_Y$ be closed sets such that $\text{cone } L$ and $\text{cone } M$ are convex, and $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{ \infty \}$ be a bounded from below lower semicontinuous function. Then, for every $(x_0, y_0) \in \text{dom } f \cap A$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $(x_\varepsilon, y_\varepsilon) \in A$ such that

$$f(x_\varepsilon, y_\varepsilon) \leq f(x_0, y_0) - \varepsilon [T_L(x_\varepsilon, x_0) + T_M(y_\varepsilon, y_0)]$$ (17)

and

$$f(x_\varepsilon, y_\varepsilon) < f(x, y) + \varepsilon [T_L(x, x_\varepsilon) + T_M(y, y_\varepsilon)], \forall (x, y) \in A \setminus \{(x_\varepsilon, y_\varepsilon)\}. \quad (18)$$
Minimal time function on product spaces

Lemma 6

Let \((X_1, \| \cdot \|), \ldots, (X_n, \| \cdot \|)\) be normed spaces and positive constants \(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n\) be given. Consider nonempty closed subsets \(L_i\) of \(S_{X_i}\) for \(i = 1, \ldots, n\). Define the equivalent norm \(\| \cdot \|_{\tilde{X}}\) on \(\tilde{X} := X_1 \times \ldots \times X_n\) for each \((u_1, \ldots, u_n) \in \tilde{X}\) by

\[
\| (u_1, \ldots, u_n) \|_{\tilde{X}} := \max\{\alpha_1 \| u_1 \|, \ldots, \alpha_n \| u_n \|\}.
\]

Then there exists \(\tilde{L} \subset S_{\tilde{X}}\) such that \(\text{cone } \tilde{L} = \text{cone } L_1 \times \ldots \times \text{cone } L_n\) and, for each \((u_1, \ldots, u_n), (u'_1, \ldots, u'_n) \in \tilde{X},\)

\[
T_{\tilde{L}}((u_1, \ldots, u_n), (u'_1, \ldots, u'_n)) = \max\{\alpha_1 T_{L_1}(u_1, u'_1), \ldots, \alpha_n T_{L_n}(u_n, u'_n)\}.
\]

(19)
Corollary 8

Let $X$, $Y$ be Banach spaces and $A \subset X \times Y$ be a closed set. Let $L \subset S_X$ and $M \subset S_Y$ be closed sets such that $\text{cone} \, L$ and $\text{cone} \, M$ are convex, and $f : A \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ be a bounded from below lower semicontinuous function. Then, for every $(x_0, y_0) \in \text{dom} \, f \cap A$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $(x_\varepsilon, y_\varepsilon) \in A$ such that

$$f(x_\varepsilon, y_\varepsilon) \leq f(x_0, y_0) - \varepsilon \max\{T_L(x_\varepsilon, x_0), T_M(y_\varepsilon, y_0)\}$$  \hspace{1cm} (20)

and

$$f(x_\varepsilon, y_\varepsilon) < f(x, y) + \varepsilon \max\{T_L(x, x_\varepsilon), T_M(y, y_\varepsilon)\}, \ \forall (x, y) \in A \setminus \{(x_\varepsilon, y_\varepsilon)\}. \hspace{1cm} (21)$$
Ioffe-type criteria for directional regularity
Ioffe-type criteria for directional regularity: single-valued case

**Proposition 7**

Let $(X, \| \cdot \|)$ and $(Y, \| \cdot \|)$ be Banach spaces. Consider a nonempty closed subset $L$ of $S_X$ such that $\text{cone} \ L$ is convex, a nonempty closed subset $M$ of $S_Y$, a point $\bar{x} \in X$, and a mapping $g : X \to Y$ such that there is a neighborhood $U$ of $\bar{x}$ such that the set $D := U \cap \text{Dom} \ g$ is closed and $g$ is continuous on $D$. Then $\text{dirsur}_{L \times M} g(\bar{x})$ equals to the supremum of $c > 0$ for which there is $r > 0$ such that for all $(x, y) \in (B[\bar{x}, r] \cap \text{Dom} g) \times B[g(\bar{x}), r]$, with $0 < T_M(y, g(x)) < +\infty$, there is a point $x' \in \text{Dom} \ g$ satisfying

$$cT_L(x, x') < T_M(y, g(x)) - T_M(y, g(x')).$$

(22)
Ioffe-type criteria for directional regularity: set-valued case

Proposition 8

Let \((X, \| \cdot \|)\) and \((Y, \| \cdot \|)\) be Banach spaces. Consider nonempty closed subsets \(L\) of \(S_X\) and \(M\) of \(S_Y\) such that \(\text{cone} \ L\) is convex, a point \((\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in X \times Y\), and a set-valued mapping \(F : X \rightrightarrows Y\) the graph of which is locally closed near \((\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in \text{Gr} \ F\). Then \(\text{dirs}_L \circ \text{dirs}_M F(\bar{x}, \bar{y})\) equals to the supremum of all \(c > 0\) for which there are \(r > 0\) and \(\alpha \in (0, 1/c)\) such that for any \((x, v) \in (B[\bar{x}, r] \times B[\bar{y}, r]) \cap \text{Gr} \ F\) and any \(y \in B[\bar{y}, r]\), with \(0 < T_M(y, v) < +\infty\), there is a pair \((x', v') \in \text{Gr} \ F\) such that

\[
c \max \{T_L(x, x'), \alpha \| v - v' \| \} < T_M(y, v) - T_M(y, v'). \tag{23}
\]

Idea of the proof. Apply Proposition 7 to \(g := p_Y |_{\text{Gr} \ F}\), where \(p_Y\) is the canonical projection from \(X \times Y\) onto \(Y\).
Stability of the directional regularity
Compositions of multifunctions. Composition stability around a point

Given metric spaces \((X, \varrho), (Y, \varrho),\) and \((Z, \varrho),\) a composition of set-valued mappings \(F : X \rightrightarrows Y\) and \(G : Y \rightrightarrows Z\) is the mapping \(G \circ F : X \rightrightarrows Z\) defined by

\[
(G \circ F)(x) := \bigcup_{y \in F(x)} G(y), \quad x \in X.
\]
Compositions of multifunctions. Composition stability around a point

Given metric spaces \((X, q), (Y, q),\) and \((Z, q),\) a composition of set-valued mappings \(F : X \rightrightarrows Y\) and \(G : Y \rightrightarrows Z\) is the mapping \(G \circ F : X \rightrightarrows Z\) defined by

\[
(G \circ F)(x) := \bigcup_{y \in F(x)} G(y), \quad x \in X.
\]

A product of set-valued mappings \(F_1 : X \rightrightarrows Y\) and \(F_2 : X \rightrightarrows Z\) is the mapping \((F_1, F_2) : X \rightrightarrows Y \times Z\) defined by

\[
(F_1, F_2)(x) := F_1(x) \times F_2(x), \quad x \in X.
\]
Compositions of multifunctions. Composition stability around a point

Given metric spaces \((X, \varrho)\), \((Y, \varrho)\), and \((Z, \varrho)\), a composition of set-valued mappings \(F : X \rightrightarrows Y\) and \(G : Y \rightrightarrows Z\) is the mapping \(G \circ F : X \rightrightarrows Z\) defined by

\[
(G \circ F)(x) := \bigcup_{y \in F(x)} G(y), \quad x \in X.
\]

A product of set-valued mappings \(F_1 : X \rightrightarrows Y\) and \(F_2 : X \rightrightarrows Z\) is the mapping \((F_1, F_2) : X \rightrightarrows Y \times Z\) defined by

\[
(F_1, F_2)(x) := F_1(x) \times F_2(x), \quad x \in X.
\]

**Definition 9**

Let \((X, \varrho)\), \((Y, \varrho)\), and \((Z, \varrho)\) be metric spaces and \((\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}) \in X \times Y \times Z\) be fixed. Consider set-valued mappings \(F : X \rightrightarrows Y\) and \(G : Y \rightrightarrows Z\) such that \(\bar{y} \in F(\bar{x})\) and \(\bar{z} \in G(\bar{y})\). We say that the pair \(F, G\) is composition-stable around \((\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z})\) if for every \(\varepsilon > 0\) there exists \(\delta > 0\) such that, for every \(x \in B(\bar{x}, \delta)\) and every \(z \in (G \circ F)(x) \cap B(\bar{z}, \delta)\), there exists \(y \in F(x) \cap B(\bar{y}, \varepsilon)\) such that \(z \in G(y)\).
Theorem 10

Let \((X, \| \cdot \|), (Y, \| \cdot \|), (Z, \| \cdot \|), \) and \((W, \| \cdot \|)\) be Banach spaces and \((\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}, \bar{w}) \in X \times Y \times Z \times W\) be fixed. Consider nonempty closed subsets \(L\) of \(S_X\), \(M\) of \(S_Y\), \(N\) of \(S_Z\), and \(P\) of \(S_W\) such that cone \(L\), cone \(M\), cone \(N\), and cone \(P\) are convex, set-valued mappings \(F_1 : X \rightrightarrows Y\), \(F_2 : X \rightrightarrows Z\), and \(G : Y \times Z \rightrightarrows W\) such that \(F_1\) has a locally closed graph near \((\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in \text{Gr } F_1\), \(F_2\) has a locally closed graph near \((\bar{x}, \bar{z}) \in \text{Gr } F_2\), and \(G\) has a locally closed graph near \((\bar{y}, \bar{z}, \bar{w}) \in \text{Gr } G\). Define the mapping \(E_{G,(F_1,F_2)} : X \times Y \times Z \rightrightarrows W\) by

\[
E_{G,(F_1,F_2)}(x, y, z) := \begin{cases} 
G(y, z), & \text{if } (y, z) \in (F_1,F_2)(x), \\
\emptyset, & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
\]
Theorem 10: continued

Then

\[
dirsur_{L \times M \times N \times P} E_{G,(F_1,F_2)} (\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}, \bar{w}) \geq dirsur_{L \times M} F_1 (\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \cdot \overset{y}{\text{dirlip}_{M \times P} G(\bar{y}, \bar{z}, \bar{w})} \\
- \overset{z}{\text{dirlip}_{L \times N} F_2 (\bar{x}, \bar{z}) \cdot \overset{z}{\text{dirlip}_{N \times P} G(\bar{y}, \bar{z}, \bar{w})}}.
\]

If, in addition, the pair \((F_1,F_2), G\) is composition-stable around \((\bar{x}, (\bar{y}, \bar{z}), \bar{w})\), then

\[
dirsur_{L \times P} (G \circ (F_1, F_2)) (\bar{x}, \bar{w}) \geq dirsur_{L \times M} F_1 (\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \cdot \overset{y}{\text{dirlip}_{M \times P} G(\bar{y}, \bar{z}, \bar{w})} \\
- \overset{z}{\text{dirlip}_{L \times N} F_2 (\bar{x}, \bar{z}) \cdot \overset{z}{\text{dirlip}_{N \times P} G(\bar{y}, \bar{z}, \bar{w})}}.
\]
Sum stability around a point

**Definition 11**

Let $(X, \rho)$ and $(Y, \rho)$ be metric spaces and $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}) \in X \times Y \times Y$ be fixed. Consider set-valued mappings $F : X \rightrightarrows Y$ and $G : X \rightrightarrows Y$ such that $\bar{y} \in F(\bar{x})$ and $\bar{z} \in G(\bar{x})$. We say that the pair $F, G$ is sum-stable around $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z})$ if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that, for every $x \in B(\bar{x}, \delta)$ and every $w \in (F + G)(x) \cap B(\bar{y} + \bar{z}, \delta)$, there exist $y \in F(x) \cap B(\bar{y}, \varepsilon)$ and $z \in G(x) \cap B(\bar{z}, \varepsilon)$ such that $w = y + z$. 
Sum stability around a point

**Definition 11**

Let \((X, \varrho)\) and \((Y, \varrho)\) be metric spaces and \((\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}) \in X \times Y \times Y\) be fixed. Consider set-valued mappings \(F : X \rightrightarrows Y\) and \(G : X \rightrightarrows Y\) such that \(\bar{y} \in F(\bar{x})\) and \(\bar{z} \in G(\bar{x})\). We say that the pair \(F, G\) is sum-stable around \((\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z})\) if for every \(\varepsilon > 0\) there exists \(\delta > 0\) such that, for every \(x \in B(\bar{x}, \delta)\) and every \(w \in (F + G)(x) \cap B(\bar{y} + \bar{z}, \delta)\), there exist \(y \in F(x) \cap B(\bar{y}, \varepsilon)\) and \(z \in G(x) \cap B(\bar{z}, \varepsilon)\) such that \(w = y + z\).

**Remark 1**

Observe that, if one takes in Definition 9 \(F : X \rightrightarrows Y \times Y\), \(F := (F_1, F_2)\), where \(F_1 : X \rightrightarrows Y\) and \(F_2 : X \rightrightarrows Y\) are two multifunctions, \(G := g\), where \(g : Y \times Y \rightarrow Y\) is given by \(g(y, z) := y + z\), for each \((y, z) \in Y \times Y\), and \((\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}) \in X \times Y \times Y\) such that \((\bar{y}, \bar{z}) \in F_1(\bar{x}) \times F_2(\bar{x})\), then the composition-stability of the pair \(F, G\) around \((\bar{x}, (\bar{y}, \bar{z}), \bar{y} + \bar{z})\) is just the sum-stability of \(F_1, F_2\) around \((\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z})\).
Corollary 9

Let \((X, \| \cdot \|)\) and \((Y, \| \cdot \|)\) be Banach spaces and \((\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}) \in X \times Y \times Y\) be fixed. Consider nonempty closed subsets \(L\) of \(S_X\) and \(M\) of \(S_Y\) such that \(\text{cone } L\) and \(\text{cone } M\) are convex, set-valued mappings \(F_1, F_2 : X \rightrightarrows Y\) such that \(F_1\) has a locally closed graph near \((\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in \text{Gr } F_1\) and \(F_2\) has a locally closed graph near \((\bar{x}, \bar{z}) \in \text{Gr } F_2\). Define the mapping \(E_{F_1,F_2} : X \times Y \times Y \rightrightarrows Y\) by

\[
E_{F_1,F_2}(x, y, z) := \begin{cases} 
    y + z, & \text{if } (y, z) \in (F_1, F_2)(x), \\
    \emptyset, & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
\tag{27}
\]

Then

\[
dirsur_{L \times M \times M \times M} E_{F_1,F_2}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}, \bar{y} + \bar{z}) \geq \; \text{dirlip}_{L \times M} F_1(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) - \text{dirlip}_{L \times M} F_2(\bar{x}, \bar{z}). \tag{28}
\]
Corollary 9

Let \((X, \| \cdot \|)\) and \((Y, \| \cdot \|)\) be Banach spaces and \((\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}) \in X \times Y \times Y\) be fixed. Consider nonempty closed subsets \(L\) of \(S_X\) and \(M\) of \(S_Y\) such that cone \(L\) and cone \(M\) are convex, set-valued mappings \(F_1, F_2 : X \rightharpoonup Y\) such that \(F_1\) has a locally closed graph near \((\bar{x}, \bar{y})\) \(\in Gr F_1\) and \(F_2\) has a locally closed graph near \((\bar{x}, \bar{z})\) \(\in Gr F_2\). Define the mapping \(E_{F_1,F_2} : X \times Y \times Y \rightharpoonup Y\) by

\[
E_{F_1,F_2}(x, y, z) := \begin{cases} 
  y + z, & \text{if } (y, z) \in (F_1, F_2)(x), \\
  \emptyset, & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
\]

Then

\[
dirsur_{L \times M \times M \times M} E_{F_1,F_2}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}, \bar{y} + \bar{z}) \geq dirsur_{L \times M} F_1(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) - dirlip_{-L \times M} F_2(\bar{x}, \bar{z}).
\]

(28)

If, in addition, the pair \(F_1, F_2\) is sum-stable around \((\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z})\), then

\[
dirsur_{L \times M}(F_1 + F_2)(\bar{x}, \bar{y} + \bar{z}) \geq dirsur_{L \times M} F_1(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) - dirlip_{-L \times M} F_2(\bar{x}, \bar{z}).
\]

(29)
Single-valued perturbation

Corollary 10

Let $(X, \| \cdot \|)$ and $(Y, \| \cdot \|)$ be Banach spaces and $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in X \times Y$ be fixed. Consider nonempty closed subsets $L$ of $S_X$ and $M$ of $S_Y$ such that cone $L$ and cone $M$ are convex, a set-valued mapping $F : X \rightrightarrows Y$ the graph of which is locally closed near $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in \text{Gr } F$, and a single-valued mapping $f : X \to Y$ which is continuous at $\bar{x}$. Then

$$\text{dirsur}_{L \times M}(f + F)(\bar{x}, f(\bar{x}) + \bar{y}) \geq \text{dirsur}_{L \times M} F(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) - \text{dirlip}_{-L \times M} f(\bar{x}).$$  (30)
Necessary and sufficient conditions for directional regularity by generalized differentiation
Fréchet-type generalized differentiation objects

- Let $S$ be a non-empty subset of $X$ and let $x \in S$. The Fréchet normal cone to $S$ at $x$ is

\[
\hat{N}(S, x) := \left\{ x^* \in X^* \mid \limsup_{u \to x} \frac{\langle x^*, u - x \rangle}{\|u - x\|} \leq 0 \right\},
\]

where $u \xrightarrow{S} x$ means that $u \to x$ and $u \in S$. 

(31)
Fréchet-type generalized differentiation objects

- Let $S$ be a non-empty subset of $X$ and let $x \in S$. The Fréchet normal cone to $S$ at $x$ is

$$\hat{N}(S,x) := \left\{ x^* \in X^* \mid \limsup_{u \to x} \frac{\langle x^*, u - x \rangle}{\|u - x\|} \leq 0 \right\},$$

where $u \overset{S}{\to} x$ means that $u \to x$ and $u \in S$.

- Let $f : X \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ be finite at $\bar{x} \in X$; the Fréchet subdifferential of $f$ at $\bar{x}$ is the set

$$\hat{\partial} f(\bar{x}) := \{ x^* \in X^* \mid (x^*, -1) \in \hat{N}(\text{epi } f, (\bar{x}, f(\bar{x}))) \},$$

where $\text{epi } f$ denotes the epigraph of $f$. 

\[ \text{(31)} \] 
\[ \text{(32)} \]
Fréchet-type generalized differentiation objects

- Let $S$ be a non-empty subset of $X$ and let $x \in S$. The Fréchet normal cone to $S$ at $x$ is

\[
\hat{N}(S,x) := \left\{ x^* \in X^* \mid \limsup_{u \to x, u \in S} \frac{\langle x^*, u - x \rangle}{\|u - x\|} \leq 0 \right\},
\]  

(31)

where $u \overset{S}{\to} x$ means that $u \to x$ and $u \in S$.

- Let $f : X \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ be finite at $\bar{x} \in X$; the Fréchet subdifferential of $f$ at $\bar{x}$ is the set

\[
\hat{\partial} f(\bar{x}) := \left\{ x^* \in X^* \mid (x^*, -1) \in \hat{N}(\text{epi} f, (\bar{x}, f(\bar{x}))) \right\},
\]  

(32)

where $\text{epi} f$ denotes the epigraph of $f$.

- If $F : X \rightrightarrows Y$ is a set-valued map and $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in \text{Gr} F$, then its Fréchet coderivative at $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ is the set-valued mapping $\hat{D}^* F(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) : Y^* \rightrightarrows X^*$ given by

\[
\hat{D}^* F(\bar{x}, \bar{y})(y^*) := \left\{ x^* \in X^* \mid (x^*, -y^*) \in \hat{N}(\text{Gr} F, (\bar{x}, \bar{y})) \right\}.
\]  

(33)
Subdifferential formulae for $T_M(\cdot, \Omega)$

**Proposition 12**

Let $X$ be a normed vector space, $M \subset S_X$ and $\Omega \subset X$.

(i) If $\bar{x} \in \Omega$, then

$$\partial T_M(\cdot, \Omega)(\bar{x}) = M^o \cap \hat{N}(\Omega, \bar{x}). \quad (34)$$

(ii) Suppose that one of the sets $\Omega$ and $M$ is compact and the other one is closed. Take $\bar{x} \in (\Omega - \text{cone}M) \setminus \Omega$. Then for every $u \in M$ and $\omega \in \Omega$ with $\bar{x} + T_M(\bar{x}, \Omega)u = \omega$, one has

$$\partial T_M(\cdot, \Omega)(\bar{x}) \subset \{x^* \in X^* \mid \langle x^*, u \rangle = -1\} \cap \hat{N}(\Omega, \omega). \quad (35)$$
Asplund spaces

- A Banach space $X$ is **Asplund** if every convex continuous function $f : U \to \mathbb{R}$ defined on an open convex subset $U$ of $X$ is Fréchet differentiable on a dense subset of $U$. 
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Asplund spaces

- A Banach space $X$ is **Asplund** if every convex continuous function $f : U \to \mathbb{R}$ defined on an open convex subset $U$ of $X$ is Fréchet differentiable on a dense subset of $U$.

- The class of Asplund spaces includes all Banach spaces having Fréchet smooth bump functions (in particular, spaces with Fréchet smooth renorms, hence every **reflexive Banach space**) and all spaces with separable duals.

- Important property: the dual unit ball is weak* sequentially compact.

- Characterization: $X$ is Asplund iff every separable closed subspace of $X$ has a separable dual.
Extremal system

- Let $S_1, ..., S_p$ be nonempty subsets of $X$ with $p \geq 2$, and let $\bar{x}$ be a common point of them. We say that $\bar{x}$ is a local extremal point for the system $\{S_1, ..., S_p\}$ if there exist the sequences $(a_{in}) \subset X$, $i = 1, ..., p$, and a neighborhood $U$ of $\bar{x}$ such that $a_{in} \to 0$ for $n \to \infty$ and

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^{p} (S_i - a_{in}) \cap U = \emptyset \text{ for } n \text{ sufficiently large.}$$

(36)

In this case $\{S_1, ..., S_p, \bar{x}\}$ is called an extremal system in $X$. 
Extremal principle holds iff the space is Asplund

- Let \( \{S_1, \ldots, S_p, \bar{x}\} \) be an extremal system in \( X \). Then \( \{S_1, \ldots, S_p, \bar{x}\} \) satisfies the Approximate Extremal Principle (AEP) if for every \( \epsilon > 0 \) there exist \( x_i \in S_i \cap B[\bar{x}, \epsilon] \) and \( x_i^* \in \hat{N}(S_i, x_i) + \epsilon B_{X^*}, i = 1, \ldots, p \), such that

\[
x_1^* + \ldots + x_p^* = 0, \quad \|x_1^*\| + \ldots + \|x_p^*\| = 1.
\]

(37)

holds.
Let \( \{S_1, \ldots, S_p, \bar{x}\} \) be an extremal system in \( X \). Then \( \{S_1, \ldots, S_p, \bar{x}\} \) satisfies the **Approximate Extremal Principle** (AEP) if for every \( \varepsilon > 0 \) there exist \( x_i \in S_i \cap B[\bar{x}, \varepsilon] \) and \( x_i^* \in \hat{N}(S_i, x_i) + \varepsilon B_{X^*}, i = 1, \ldots, p \), such that

\[
x_1^* + \ldots + x_p^* = 0, \quad \|x_1^*\| + \ldots + \|x_p^*\| = 1.
\] (37)

holds.

We say that the extremal principle holds in the space \( X \) if it holds for every extremal system \( \{S_1, \ldots, S_p, \bar{x}\} \) in \( X \), where all the sets \( S_i \) are locally closed at \( \bar{x} \).
Extremal principle holds iff the space is Asplund

- Let \( \{S_1, ..., S_p, \bar{x}\} \) be an extremal system in \( X \). Then \( \{S_1, ..., S_p, \bar{x}\} \) satisfies the \textbf{Approximate Extremal Principle} (AEP) if for every \( \varepsilon > 0 \) there exist \( x_i \in S_i \cap B[\bar{x}, \varepsilon] \) and \( x^*_i \in \hat{N}(S_i, x_i) + \varepsilon B_{X^*}, i = 1, ..., p \), such that

\[
x^*_1 + ... + x^*_p = 0, \quad \|x^*_1\| + ... + \|x^*_p\| = 1.
\]  

(37)

holds.

- We say that the extremal principle holds \textbf{in} the space \( X \) if it holds for every extremal system \( \{S_1, ..., S_p, \bar{x}\} \) in \( X \), where all the sets \( S_i \) are locally closed at \( \bar{x} \).

\textbf{Theorem 13}

\textit{Let} \( X \) \textit{be a Banach space. Then} \( X \) \textit{is Asplund iff the AEP holds in} \( X \).
Another characterization: approximate calculus rule for the Fréchet subdifferential

**Theorem 14**

Let $X$ be a Banach space and $\bar{x} \in X$. Then $X$ is Asplund iff for every $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : X \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ such that $\varphi_1$ is Lipschitz continuous around $\bar{x} \in \text{dom} \varphi_1 \cap \text{dom} \varphi_2$ and $\varphi_2$ is lsc around $\bar{x}$ and for every $\gamma > 0$, the next relation holds

$$\hat{\partial}(\varphi_1 + \varphi_2)(\bar{x}) \subset \bigcup \{\hat{\partial} \varphi_1(x_1) + \hat{\partial} \varphi_2(x_2) \mid x_i \in B[\bar{x}, \gamma], \quad |\varphi_i(x_i) - \varphi_i(\bar{x})| \leq \gamma, \ i = 1, 2\} + \gamma B_{X^*}. \quad (38)$$
Necessary conditions for directional regularity

Proposition 15

Let $X, Y$ be normed vector spaces, and $L \subset S_X, M \subset S_Y$. Consider a multifunction $F : X \rightrightarrows Y$, and take $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in \text{Gr} \ F$.

(i) If $F$ is directionally Aubin continuous around $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ with respect to $L$ and $M$ with modulus $\ell > 0$, then there is $r > 0$ such that for every $w \in L$, every $(x, y) \in \text{Gr} F \cap [B(\bar{x}, r) \times B(\bar{y}, r)]$, and every $(x^*, y^*) \in \text{Gr} \hat{D}^*F (x, y)$, there exists $u \in M$ such that

\[
\langle -x^*, w \rangle \leq \ell \cdot |\langle y^*, u \rangle|.
\] (39)

(ii) If $F$ is directionally linearly open around $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ with respect to $L$ and $M$ with modulus $c > 0$, then there exists $r > 0$, such that for every $u \in M$, every $y^* \in Y^*$, every $(x, y) \in \text{Gr} F \cap [B(\bar{x}, r) \times B(\bar{y}, r)]$, and every $x^* \in \hat{D}^*F (x, y)(y^*)$, there exists $w \in L$ such that

\[
c \cdot \langle y^*, u \rangle \leq |\langle x^*, w \rangle|.
\] (40)
Sufficient conditions for directional regularity: finite dimensional spaces

**Theorem 16**

Let $X, Y$ be **finite dimensional spaces**, and the closed sets $L \subset S_X, M \subset S_Y$, such that cone $L$ and cone $M$ are convex. Consider a closed-graph multifunction $F : X \rightrightarrows Y$, and take $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in \text{Gr} F$. Suppose that there exists $c > 0$, $r > 0$, such that for every $u \in M$ and every $y^* \in Y^*$ such that $\langle y^*, u \rangle = 1$, every $(x, y) \in \text{Gr} F \cap [B(\bar{x}, r) \times B(\bar{y}, r)]$, every $x^* \in \hat{D}^*F(x, y)(y^*)$, there exists $w \in L$ such that

$$c \leq -\langle x^*, w \rangle. \quad (41)$$

Then for every $a \in (0, c)$, the multifunction $F$ is directional linearly open around $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ with respect to $L$ and $M$ with modulus $a$. 
Sufficient conditions for directional regularity: finite dimensional spaces

Idea of the proof.

- Choose appropriate $\varepsilon > 0$, $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \in \text{Gr } F$ close to $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$, take arbitrary $\rho \in (0, \varepsilon)$ and $v \in B(\tilde{y}, \rho a) \cap [\tilde{y} - \text{cone } M]$. 

Sufficient conditions for directional regularity: finite dimensional spaces

Idea of the proof.

- Choose appropriate $\varepsilon > 0$, $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in \text{Gr } F$ close to $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$, take arbitrary $\rho \in (0, \varepsilon)$ and $v \in B(\bar{y}, \rho a) \cap [\bar{y} - \text{cone } M]$.

- Apply directional Ekeland Variational Principle (i.e., Corollary 7) for $-L$ and $M$, and for the function $f : \text{Gr } F \to \mathbb{R}$,

$$f(x, y) := TM(v, y) = T_{-M}(y, v),$$

- to get $(u_b, v_b) \in \text{Gr } F$ with certain properties.
Sufficient conditions for directional regularity: finite dimensional spaces

Idea of the proof.

- Choose appropriate $\varepsilon > 0$, $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in \text{Gr } F$ close to $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$, take arbitrary $\rho \in (0, \varepsilon)$ and $v \in B(\bar{y}, \rho a) \cap [\bar{y} - \text{cone } M]$.
- Apply directional Ekeland Variational Principle (i.e., Corollary 7) for $-L$ and $M$, and for the function $f : \text{Gr } F \to \mathbb{R}$,

\[ f(x, y) := T_{-M}(y, v) = T_{-M}(y, v), \]

- Observe that if $v = v_b$, the conclusion holds.
Idea of the proof.

- Choose appropriate $\varepsilon > 0$, $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \in \text{Gr } F$ close to $(x, y)$, take arbitrary $\rho \in (0, \varepsilon)$ and $v \in B(\tilde{y}, \rho a) \cap [\tilde{y} - \text{cone } M]$.

- Apply directional Ekeland Variational Principle (i.e., Corollary 7) for $-L$ and $M$, and for the function $f : \text{Gr } F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$f(x, y) := T_M(v, y) = T_M(y, v),$$

will get $(u_b, v_b) \in \text{Gr } F$ with certain properties.

- Observe that if $v = v_b$, the conclusion holds.

- Suppose $v \neq v_b$, and finalize proof, by way of contradiction, using the weak approximate calculus rule for the Fréchet subdifferential of the function

$$(x, y) \mapsto T_M(v, y) + b [T_M(y, v_b)] + \delta_{\text{Gr } F}(x, y).$$

\[\square\]
Some preliminaries

Necessary and sufficient conditions for directional regularity

Sufficient conditions for directional regularity: finite dimensional spaces

Sufficient conditions for directional regularity: infinite dimensions

### Sufficient conditions for directional regularity: infinite dimensions, epigraphical multifunction

If $F : X \rightrightarrows Y$ is a multifunction between normed vector spaces, and $K \subset Y$ is a cone, we denote by $\widetilde{F}$ the **epigraphical multifunction associated to $F$, i.e.,** $\widetilde{F} : X \rightrightarrows Y$,

$$\widetilde{F}(x) := F(x) + K, \forall x \in X.$$
Sufficient conditions for directional regularity: infinite dimensions, epigraphical multifunction

- If $F : X \rightrightarrows Y$ is a multifunction between normed vector spaces, and $K \subset Y$ is a cone, we denote by $\tilde{F}$ the epigraphical multifunction associated to $F$, i.e., $\tilde{F} : X \rightrightarrows Y$,

$$\tilde{F}(x) := F(x) + K, \quad \forall x \in X. \quad (42)$$

- In this case, one may use that if $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in \text{Gr} \tilde{F}$ and $\hat{D}^*\tilde{F}(\bar{x}, \bar{y})(y^*) \neq \emptyset$, then $y^* \in K^+$. 

The main difficulty is that, one cannot apply the approximate sum rule for the Fréchet subdifferential, and the proof becomes much more involved.
Sufficient conditions for directional regularity: infinite dimensions, epigraphical multifunction

- If $F : X \rightrightarrows Y$ is a multifunction between normed vector spaces, and $K \subseteq Y$ is a cone, we denote by $\tilde{F}$ the epigraphical multifunction associated to $F$, i.e., $\tilde{F} : X \rightrightarrows Y$,

  $$\tilde{F}(x) := F(x) + K, \quad \forall x \in X. \quad (42)$$

- In this case, one may use that if $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in \text{Gr} \tilde{F}$ and $D^*\tilde{F}(\bar{x}, \bar{y})(y^*) \neq \emptyset$, then $y^* \in K^+$.

- The main difficulty is that, one cannot apply the approximate sum rule for the Fréchet subdifferential, and the proof becomes much more involved.
Sufficient conditions for directional regularity: infinite dimensions, epigraphical multifunction

**Theorem 17**

Let $X, Y$ be Asplund spaces, $K$ be a closed convex cone with nonempty interior, $F : X \rightrightarrows Y$ be a multifunction such that $\tilde{F}$ has closed graph, and $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in \text{Gr } F$. Suppose that there exist $r > 0$, $c > 0$ such that for every $u \in M := K \cap S_Y$, every $y^* \in Y^*$, every $(x, y) \in \text{Gr } \tilde{F} \cap [B(\bar{x}, r) \times B(\bar{y}, r)]$, and every $x^* \in \hat{D}^*\tilde{F}(x, y)(y^*)$,

$$
\langle y^*, u \rangle \cdot c \leq \|x^*\|.
$$

(43)

Then for every $a \in (0, c)$, the multifunction $\tilde{F}$ is directionally linearly open around $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ with respect to $L := S_X$ and $M$ with modulus $a$. 
Sufficient conditions for directional regularity: proof

Proof.

- Take \( a \in (0, c) \), \( b \in \left( \frac{a}{a+1}, \frac{c}{c+1} \right) \) and \( \tau > 0 \) such that
  \[
  \frac{a}{a+1} < b + \tau < \frac{c}{c+1},
  \]
  \[
  b^{-1}a\tau < 2^{-1}r.
  \] (44)

- Choose \((\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \in \text{Gr} \tilde{F} \cap [B(\tilde{x}, 2^{-1}r) \times B(\tilde{y}, 2^{-1}r)]\). We will prove that for every \( \rho \in (0, \tau) \), one has
  \[
  B(\tilde{y}, \rho a) \cap [\tilde{y} - \text{cone } M] \subset \tilde{F}(B(\tilde{x}, \rho)).
  \] (45)

- Take \( \rho \in (0, \tau) \) and \( v \in B(\tilde{y}, \rho a) \cap [\tilde{y} - \text{cone } M] \). Consider the function \( f : \text{Gr} \tilde{F} \to \mathbb{R} \),
  \[
  f(x, y) := T_M(v, y) = T_{-M}(y, v).
  \]
Sufficient conditions for directional regularity: proof

- Remark that \((\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \in \text{dom } f\). Apply to \(f\) the (classical) Ekeland Variational Principle to get \((u_b, v_b) \in \text{Gr } \tilde{F}\) such that

\[
T_M(v, v_b) \leq T_M(v, \tilde{y}) - b \left[ \|u_b - \tilde{x}\| + \|v_b - \tilde{y}\| \right] \tag{46}
\]

and

\[
T_M(v, v_b) \leq T_M(v, y) + b \left[ \|x - u_b\| + \|y - v_b\| \right], \quad \forall (x, y) \in \text{Gr } \tilde{F}. \tag{47}
\]

- Since

\[
T_M(v, \tilde{y}) = \|v - \tilde{y}\|,
\]

we have from (46) that \(T_M(v, v_b)\) is finite and, consequently, \(v \in v_b - \text{cone } M\) and \(T_M(v, v_b) = \|v - v_b\|\).

- Show that \((u_b, v_b) \in \text{Gr } \tilde{F} \cap [B(\tilde{x}, r) \times B(\tilde{y}, r)]\).
Sufficient conditions for directional regularity: proof

- If \( v_b = v \), then
  \[
  b \|\tilde{x} - u_b\| \leq (1 - b) \|\tilde{y} - v\| < (1 - b)a \rho < b \rho,
  \]
  hence \( u_b \in B(\tilde{x}, \rho) \) and \( v \in F(B(\tilde{x}, \rho)) \), which is exactly the conclusion.

- Next, prove that \( v_b = v \) is the only possibility. For this, suppose that \( v \neq v_b \) and consider the function
  \[
  h : X \times Y \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}, \quad h(x, y) := T_M(v, y) + b [\|x - u_b\| + \|y - v_b\|].
  \]
  From (47), we have that the pair \((u_b, v_b)\) is a minimum point for \( h \) on \( \text{Gr} \tilde{F} \).
Sufficient conditions for directional regularity: proof

- We consider the extremal system \((\Omega_1, \Omega_2, (u_b, v_b, h(u_b, v_b)))\), where
  \[
  \Omega_1 := \text{epi } h, \quad \Omega_2 := \text{Gr } \tilde{F} \times \{h(u_b, v_b)\},
  \]
  and apply the Approximate Extremal Principle to get, for any \(\varepsilon > 0\), the existence of \((x_i, y_i, \alpha_i) \in \Omega_i, i = 1, 2, (x_1^*, y_1^*, -\lambda_1) \in \hat{N}(\text{epi } h, (x_1, y_1, \alpha_1)), (-x_2^*, -y_2^*, \lambda_2) \in \hat{N}(\text{Gr } \tilde{F}, (x_2, y_2)) \times \mathbb{R}\) such that
  \[
  \begin{align*}
  &\| (x_i, y_i, \alpha_i) - (u_b, v_b, h(u_b, v_b)) \| < \varepsilon, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad (48) \\
  &1 - \varepsilon \leq \| (x_i^*, y_i^*, -\lambda_i) \| \leq 1 + \varepsilon, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad (49) \\
  &\| (x_1^*, y_1^*, -\lambda_1) + (-x_2^*, -y_2^*, \lambda_2) \| \leq \varepsilon. \quad (50)
  \end{align*}
  \]

- Observe that \(\alpha_2 = \| v - v_b \| > 0\). Since \((x_1^*, y_1^*, -\lambda_1) \in \hat{N}(\text{epi } h, (x_1, y_1, \alpha_1))\), for any \(\gamma > 0\), there exists \(\delta > 0\) such that, for any \((x, y, \alpha) \in \text{epi } h \cap B((x_1, y_1, \alpha_1), \delta)\),
  \[
  \langle x_1^*, x - x_1 \rangle + \langle y_1^*, y - y_1 \rangle - \lambda_1 (\alpha - \alpha_1) \leq \gamma \left( \| x - x_1 \| + \| y - y_1 \| + |\alpha - \alpha_1| \right). \quad (51)
  \]
Because \((x_1, y_1, \alpha_1) \in \text{epi} \ h\), it means that \((x_1, y_1) \in \text{dom} \ h\), i.e., \(y_1 \in v + \text{cone} \ M\). Moreover, there is \(\theta \geq 0\) such that \(\alpha_1 = h(x_1, y_1) + \theta\).

We want to prove that \(\lambda_1 > 0\). Take \(y := y_1\), \(x \in B(x_1, \min \left\{2^{-1}\delta, 2^{-1}b^{-1}\delta\right\})\), and \(\alpha := h(x, y_1) + \theta\). Then

\[|\alpha - \alpha_1| = |h(x, y_1) - h(x_1, y_1)| \leq b \|x - x_1\| < \frac{\delta}{2},\]

hence

\[\|(x, y_1, \alpha) - (x_1, y_1, \alpha_1)\| = \|x - x_1\| + |\alpha - \alpha_1| < \delta.\]

It means that \((x, y_1, \alpha) \in \text{epi} \ h \cap B((x_1, y_1, \alpha_1), \delta)\), hence (51) holds.
Sufficient conditions for directional regularity: proof

Therefore, for any $x \in B(x_1, \min \{2^{-1} \delta, 2^{-1}b^{-1} \delta\})$, we have

$$
\langle x_1^*, x - x_1 \rangle - \lambda_1 (\alpha - \alpha_1) \leq \gamma (\|x - x_1\| + |\alpha - \alpha_1|),
$$

$$
\langle x_1^*, x - x_1 \rangle \leq \lambda_1 (h(x, y_1) - h(x_1, y_1)) + \gamma (\|x - x_1\| + |h(x, y_1) - h(x_1, y_1)|)
$$

$$
\leq (\lambda_1 b + \gamma + \gamma b) \|x - x_1\|.
$$

It follows that $\|x_1^*\| \leq \lambda_1 b + \gamma + \gamma b$ for any $\gamma > 0$ and, therefore, $\|x_1^*\| \leq \lambda_1 b$. We know hence that $\lambda_1 \geq 0$. Suppose, by contradiction, that $\lambda_1 = 0$. Then $x_1^* = 0$, hence, by (49),

$$
1 - \varepsilon \leq \|(x_1^*, y_1^*, -\lambda_1)\| = \|y_1^*\| \leq 1 + \varepsilon.
$$

But since $\|y_1^* - y_2^*\| \leq \varepsilon$ from (50), it follows that

$$
\|y_2^*\| \geq \|y_1^*\| - \|y_1^* - y_2^*\| \geq 1 - 2\varepsilon.
$$

Also, since $x_1^* = 0$, we also have from (50) that $\|x_2^*\| \leq \varepsilon$. 
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Sufficient conditions for directional regularity: proof

- Recall that, since \((-x_2^*, -y_2^*) \in \hat{N}(\text{Gr} \tilde{F}, (x_2, y_2))\), i.e., 
  
  \(-x_2^* \in \hat{D}^*\tilde{F}(x_2, y_2)(y_2^*)\), we have that \(y_2^* \in K^+\). Also, since 
  
  \((u_b, v_b) \in \text{Gr} \tilde{F} \cap [B(\bar{x}, r) \times B(\bar{y}, r)]\), we may suppose, using (48), that the \(\varepsilon\) for which the Approximate Extremal Principle was applied is 
  
  sufficiently small such that \((x_2, y_2) \in \text{Gr} \tilde{F} \cap [B(\bar{x}, r) \times B(\bar{y}, r)]\). Also, since 
  
  \(\text{int} K \neq \emptyset\), we choose \(\varepsilon\) small enough such that there exists \(u_0 \in M\) for which \(B[u_0, \sqrt{\varepsilon}] \subset K\).

- Using the assumption made, we have that for every \(\zeta \in \mathbb{B}_Y\),
  
  \[
  \frac{u_0 + \sqrt{\varepsilon} \zeta}{\|u_0 + \sqrt{\varepsilon} \zeta\|} \in M, \text{ hence }
  \]
  
  \[
  c^{-1} \varepsilon \geq c^{-1} \|x_2^*\| \geq \left\langle y_2^*, \frac{u_0 + \sqrt{\varepsilon} \zeta}{\|u_0 + \sqrt{\varepsilon} \zeta\|} \right\rangle \geq \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon} \left\langle y_2^*, \zeta \right\rangle}{1 + \sqrt{\varepsilon}}, \quad \forall \zeta \in \mathbb{B}_Y.
  \]
  
  It follows that
  
  \[
  c^{-1} \varepsilon \geq \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon} \|y_2^*\|}{1 + \sqrt{\varepsilon}} \geq \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}(1 - 2\varepsilon)}{1 + \sqrt{\varepsilon}}.
  \]
Sufficient conditions for directional regularity: proof

- Hence,
  \[ \sqrt{\varepsilon} \geq c \frac{(1 - 2\varepsilon)}{1 + \sqrt{\varepsilon}} \]
  for any \( \varepsilon \) sufficiently small, a contradiction. It follows that \( \lambda_1 > 0 \).
- We prove next that \( \alpha_1 = h(x_1, y_1) \). Suppose, by contradiction, that \( \alpha_1 > h(x_1, y_1) \). From (51) applied for \( \gamma \in (0, \lambda_1) \), \( (x, y) := (x_1, y_1) \) and \( \alpha \in (h(x_1, y_1), \alpha_1) \) arbitrarily close to \( \alpha_1 \), we get that
  \[ \lambda_1(\alpha_1 - \alpha) < \gamma(\alpha_1 - \alpha), \]
  which give us the contradiction \( \lambda_1 < \gamma \).
Sufficient conditions for directional regularity: proof

- In conclusion, by denoting \((x_0^*, y_0^*) := \frac{1}{\lambda_1} (x_1^*, y_1^*)\), we have that 
\((x_0^*, y_0^*) \in \partial h(x_1, y_1)\). Moreover, since \(h\) is the sum of three convex functions (notice that, in our case, due to [2, Proposition 3.1, (i)], \(T_M(v, \cdot)\) is convex), two of which are Lipschitz, it follows that its Fréchet subdifferential coincides with the Fenchel subdifferential (denoted by \(\partial\)), whence

\[ \partial h(x_1, y_1) \subset \{0\} \times \partial T_M(\cdot, v)(y_1) + b(\mathcal{B}_{X^*} \times \mathcal{B}_{Y^*}) \]

\[ \subset \{0\} \times \{y^* \in Y^* \mid \langle y^*, y_1 - v \rangle = \|y_1 - v\|\} + b(\mathcal{B}_{X^*} \times \mathcal{B}_{Y^*}). \]

- We deduce that \(x_0^* \in b\mathcal{B}_{X^*}\). Moreover (for \(y_1\) sufficiently close to \(v_b\), hence different from \(v\)),

\[ \frac{y_1 - v}{\|y_1 - v\|} =: \bar{u} \in M \]

and there exists \(y_3^* \in \mathcal{B}_{Y^*}\) such that \(\langle y_0^* + by_3^*, \bar{u} \rangle = 1\), hence

\[ \langle y_0^*, \bar{u} \rangle = 1 - b \langle y_3^*, \bar{u} \rangle \geq 1 - b. \]
Sufficient conditions for directional regularity: proof

- Furthermore, using (50), it follows that there is \((x_4^*, y_4^*) \in \mathbb{B}_{X^*} \times \mathbb{B}_{Y^*}\) such that

\[
- (x_0^*, y_0^*) - \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda_1} (x_4^*, y_4^*) = - \frac{1}{\lambda_1} (x_2^*, y_2^*) \in \tilde{N}(\text{Gr} \tilde{F}, (x_2, y_2)),
\]

hence

\[
-x_0^* - \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda_1} x_4^* \in \tilde{D}^* \tilde{F}(x_2, y_2) \left( y_0^* + \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda_1} y_4^* \right).
\]

- Using the assumption made in the formulation of the theorem, it follows that

\[
c \left( 1 - b - \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda_1} \right) \leq c \left\langle y_0^* + \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda_1} y_4^*, \bar{u} \right\rangle \leq \left\| -x_0^* - \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda_1} x_4^* \right\| \leq b + \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda_1}.
\]

This will obviously provide us a contradiction, if we show that we can choose \(\varepsilon\) in such a way such that \(\frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda_1} < \tau\), where \(\tau\) is such that (44) is satisfied.
Sufficient conditions for directional regularity: proof

- Observe that, in view of the fact $\frac{1}{\lambda_1} (x_1^*, y_1^*) \in \partial h(x_1, y_1)$, we have that for any $\gamma > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that, for any $y \in B(y_1, \delta)$,

$$\left\langle \frac{y_1^*}{\lambda_1}, y - y_1 \right\rangle \leq \gamma \|y - y_1\| + h(x_1, y) - h(x_1, y_1),$$

hence, in particular, for any $y \in B(y_1, \delta) \cap [y_1 + \text{cone } M]$,

$$\left\langle \frac{y_1^*}{\lambda_1}, y - y_1 \right\rangle \leq (1 + b + \gamma) \|y - y_1\|,$$

and

$$\langle y_1^*, u \rangle \leq \lambda_1 (1 + b), \quad \forall u \in M. \quad (52)$$

- Using also (50), we find that

$$\langle y_2^*, u \rangle = \langle y_2^* - y_1^*, u \rangle + \langle y_1^*, u \rangle \leq \varepsilon + \lambda_1 (1 + b), \quad \forall u \in M.$$

Moreover, by a similar argument, we obtain that

$$\|x_1^*\| \leq \lambda_1 b < \lambda_1.$$
Sufficient conditions for directional regularity: proof

- Remark that we may suppose, without losing the generality, that the $\varepsilon > 0$ for which the Approximate Extremal Principle was applied is sufficiently small such that

$$D(u_0, \sqrt{\varepsilon}) \subset K \text{ and } \max \left\{ \frac{1}{1 - \sqrt{\varepsilon} - 3\varepsilon} \frac{1}{1 + b} \frac{1}{1 + \sqrt{\varepsilon}} \sqrt{\varepsilon}, \frac{1}{1 - \varepsilon} \right\} < \tau.$$

- Suppose first that $||y_1^*|| \leq \lambda_1$. Hence, by (49), we have (since the dual of the sum norm is the max norm) that

$$1 - \varepsilon \leq ||(x_1^*, y_1^*, -\lambda_1)|| = \max \{ ||x_1^*||, ||y_1^*||, \lambda_1 \} = \lambda_1,$$

and then

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda_1} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{1 - \varepsilon} < \tau.$$
Suppose now \( \|y_1^*\| > \lambda_1 \), hence by (49) we have that \( \|y_1^*\| \geq 1 - \epsilon \), from which we deduce (as above) that \( \|y_2^*\| \geq 1 - 2\epsilon \). Then, for arbitrary \( \zeta \in B_Y \), denote

\[
\begin{align*}
z := \frac{u_0 + \sqrt{\epsilon} \zeta}{\|u_0 + \sqrt{\epsilon} \zeta\|} \in M
\end{align*}
\]

and observe (using that \( \langle y_2^*, u_0 \rangle \geq 0 \)) that

\[
\begin{align*}
\langle y_2^*, z \rangle &= \frac{\langle y_2^*, u_0 + \sqrt{\epsilon} \zeta \rangle}{\|u_0 + \sqrt{\epsilon} \zeta\|} \geq \frac{\langle y_2^*, \sqrt{\epsilon} \zeta \rangle}{\|u_0 + \sqrt{\epsilon} \zeta\|} \geq \frac{\sqrt{\epsilon} \langle y_2^*, \zeta \rangle}{1 + \sqrt{\epsilon}}.
\end{align*}
\]

Since \( \zeta \) was arbitrarily chosen from \( B_Y \), it follows, using also (52), that

\[
\lambda_1 (1 + b) + \epsilon \geq \frac{\sqrt{\epsilon} \|y_2^*\|}{1 + \sqrt{\epsilon}} = \frac{\sqrt{\epsilon} (1 - 2\epsilon)}{1 + \sqrt{\epsilon}},
\]

and hence

\[
\frac{\epsilon}{\lambda_1} \leq \frac{(1 + b) (1 + \sqrt{\epsilon})}{(1 - \sqrt{\epsilon} - 3\epsilon)} \sqrt{\epsilon} < \tau,
\]

as needed.
Sufficient conditions for directional regularity: general case

Make use of Fréchet $\varepsilon-$subdifferential and the $\varepsilon-$support of a function at a point and two results of Fabian to get the following statement.

**Theorem 18**

Let $X, Y$ be Asplund spaces, $M \subset S_Y$ be a closed set, $F : X \rightrightarrows Y$ be a closed graph multifunction, and $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in \text{Gr } F$. Suppose that there exist $r > 0, c > 0$ such that for every $u \in M$, every $y^* \in Y^*$, every $(x, y) \in \text{Gr } F \cap [B(\bar{x}, r) \times B(\bar{y}, r)]$, and every $x^* \in \hat{D}^* F(x, y)(y^*)$,

$$\langle y^*, u \rangle \cdot c \leq \|x^*\| .$$

Then for every $a \in (0, c)$, the multifunction $F$ is directionally linearly open around $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ with respect to $L := S_X$ and $M$ with modulus $a$, provided that one of the following assumptions hold:

(i) $\text{int cone } M \cup \{0\}$ contains lines.
(ii) $M$ is a compact set and either $X$ is finite dimensional or $F$ is proper.
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