Resolvent expansion for the discrete one-dimensional Schrödinger operator

Arne Jensen

Department of Mathematical Sciences Aalborg University

Bucharest July 2014

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

Background

The results presented here are joint work with Kenichi Ito, Kobe University, Japan. The talk is based on the following preprint:

• K. Ito, A. Jensen, A complete classification of threshold properties for one-dimensional Schrödinger operators, arXiv:1312.1396

The techniques used come from a series of papers with Gheorghe Nenciu on resolvent expansions.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

We consider sequences $x \colon \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{C}$ and the operator on sequences

$$(H_0x)[n] = -(x[n+1] + x[n-1] - 2x[n]).$$

Restricted to $\mathcal{H} = \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ it is a bounded selfadjoint operator with

$$\sigma(H_0) = \sigma_{\rm ac}(H_0) = [0, 4].$$

Its resolvent is denoted by $R_0(z) = (H_0 - z)^{-1}$, $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [0, 4]$. Let $H = H_0 + V$, V a compact selfadjoint operator on \mathcal{H} . Then $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(H) = [0, 4]$.

We consider sequences $x \colon \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{C}$ and the operator on sequences

$$(H_0x)[n] = -(x[n+1] + x[n-1] - 2x[n]).$$

Restricted to $\mathcal{H} = \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ it is a bounded selfadjoint operator with

$$\sigma(H_0) = \sigma_{\rm ac}(H_0) = [0, 4].$$

Its resolvent is denoted by $R_0(z) = (H_0 - z)^{-1}$, $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [0, 4]$. Let $H = H_0 + V$, V a compact selfadjoint operator on \mathcal{H} . Then $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(H) = [0, 4]$.

Goal: Analyze the thresholds 0 and 4 of H in terms of $R(z) = (H - z)^{-1}$.

<ロト 4 回 ト 4 回 ト 4 回 ト 1 回 9 0 0 0</p>

We only present results for the threshold 0. Results for 4 follow directly due to the following well known observation.

Define $(Jx)[n] = (-1)^n x[n]$. Then J is bounded selfadjoint and unitary on \mathcal{H} . Let $V_J = JVJ^{-1}$. We have

$$J(H_0 + V)J^{-1} = -(H_0 + V_J - 4)$$

and thus

$$JR(z)J^{-1} = J(H_0 + V - z)^{-1}J^{-1} = -(H_0 + V_J - (z - 4))^{-1}.$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

We introduce for $s \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\mathcal{L}^{s} = \ell^{1,s}(\mathbb{Z}) = \left\{ x \colon \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{C}; \ \|x\|_{1,s} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (1+n^{2})^{s/2} |x[n]| < \infty \right\},\$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}^s)^* &= \ell^{\infty, -s}(\mathbb{Z}) \\ &= \big\{ x \colon \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{C}; \ \|x\|_{\infty, -s} = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (1 + n^2)^{-s/2} |x[n]| < \infty \big\}. \end{aligned}$$

The superscript s is dropped when s = 1: $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}^1$, $\mathcal{L}^* = (\mathcal{L}^1)^*$. We denote the set of all bounded operators from a general Banach space \mathcal{K} to another \mathcal{K}' by $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K}')$, and replace \mathcal{B} by \mathcal{C} when considering those for the compact operators.

We define $\mathcal{B}^s = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{L}^s, (\mathcal{L}^s)^*).$

Assumption

Let $V \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be self-adjoint, and assume that there exist a real number $\beta \geq 1$, a Hilbert space \mathcal{K} , an injective operator $v \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{L}^{\beta}) \cap \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{L})$ and a self-adjoint unitary operator $U \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ such that

$$V = vUv^* \in \mathcal{B}((\mathcal{L}^{\beta})^*, \mathcal{L}^{\beta}) \cap \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{L}^*, \mathcal{L}).$$

This is a version of the classical factored perturbation technique, used extensively by both Kato and Kuroda in the 60-ies and 70-ies. Here we incorporate decay conditions into the assumptions.

Assumption

Let $V \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be self-adjoint, and assume that there exist a real number $\beta \geq 1$, a Hilbert space \mathcal{K} , an injective operator $v \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{L}^{\beta}) \cap \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{L})$ and a self-adjoint unitary operator $U \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ such that

$$V = vUv^* \in \mathcal{B}((\mathcal{L}^{\beta})^*, \mathcal{L}^{\beta}) \cap \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{L}^*, \mathcal{L}).$$

This is a version of the classical factored perturbation technique, used extensively by both Kato and Kuroda in the 60-ies and 70-ies. Here we incorporate decay conditions into the assumptions.

This class includes sums of multiplicative perturbations and finite rank perturbations. It is a much larger class than previously considered in this context.

Resolvent expansion

We state the main result on resolvent expansion in a simplified form.

For $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [0, \infty)$ we take the determination of the square root with $\operatorname{Im} \sqrt{z} > 0$.

Theorem

Suppose $\beta \ge 4$ in the Assumption, and let $N \in [-1, \beta - 5]$ be any integer. Then, as $z \to 0$ in $\mathbb{C} \setminus [0, \infty)$, the resolvent R(z) has the asymptotic expansion in the uniform topology of \mathcal{B}^{N+4} :

$$R(z) = \sum_{j=-2}^{N} z^{j/2} G_j + \mathcal{O}(z^{(N+1)/2}), \quad G_j \in \mathcal{B}^{j+3},$$

and the coefficients G_j can be computed explicitly.

Introduction Main results Examples of perturbations Strategy Asymptotic expansion of $R_0(\kappa)$ Expansion of $M(\kappa)$ Inversion p

Main results

Resolvent expansion

The main new result here is the complete classification of all possibilities for the coefficients.

This amounts to a complete classification of solutions to

 $(H_0 + V)x = 0$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

We now classify the possibilities in the expansion, based on the generalized zero eigenspace. Define

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{E}} = \{ \Psi \in (\mathcal{L}^{\beta})^*; \ H\Psi = 0 \}, \quad \widetilde{d} = \dim \widetilde{\mathcal{E}},$$

We can show that the eigenspace is finite-dimensional, and the eigenfunctions have special asymptotics at infinity. Define the sequences $\mathbf{1}, \boldsymbol{\sigma} \in (\mathcal{L}^0)^*$ and $\mathbf{n}, |\mathbf{n}| \in \mathcal{L}^*$ by

$$\mathbf{1}[n] = 1, \quad \boldsymbol{\sigma}[n] = \begin{cases} \pm 1 & \text{if } \pm n > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } n = 0, \end{cases} \quad \mathbf{n}[n] = n, \quad |\mathbf{n}|[n] = |n|,$$

respectively.

Theorem

Suppose $\beta \geq 1$ in the Assumption. Then,

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{E}} \subset \mathbb{C}\mathbf{n} \oplus \mathbb{C}|\mathbf{n}| \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbb{C}\boldsymbol{\sigma} \oplus \mathcal{L}^{eta-2}, \quad \widetilde{d} < \infty.$$

The classification of the singular part of the resolvent expansion uses the following (canonical) subspaces.

$$\mathcal{E} = \widetilde{\mathcal{E}} \cap (\mathbb{C}\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbb{C}\boldsymbol{\sigma} \oplus \mathcal{L}^{\beta-2}), \quad d = \dim \mathcal{E};$$
$$E = \widetilde{\mathcal{E}} \cap \mathcal{L}^{\beta-2}, \quad d_0 = \dim E.$$

We have $E \subset \mathcal{E} \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}$ and $d_0 \leq d \leq \widetilde{d} \leq d_0 + 4$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ◆□ ◆ ◇◇◇

Classification of threshold $\lambda = 0$

Definition

The threshold $\lambda = 0$ is said to be

- 1. a regular point, if $\mathcal{E} = E = \{0\}$;
- 2. an exceptional point of the first kind, if $\mathcal{E} \supseteq E = \{0\}$;
- 3. an exceptional point of the second kind, if $\mathcal{E} = E \supseteq \{0\}$;
- 4. an exceptional point of the third kind, if $\mathcal{E} \supseteq E \supseteq \{0\}$.

Our major new result is the equivalence of this classification with a classification based on the coefficients G_{-2} and G_{-1} in the resolvent expansion.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Theorem

Suppose $\beta \geq 4$ in the Assumption. Then $d = d_0 + 2$, and there exist bases $\Psi_j \in E$, $j = 1, \ldots, d_0$, and $\Psi_j \in \mathcal{E}/E$, $j = d_0 + 1, \ldots, d$, such that

$$G_{-2} = -\sum_{j=1}^{d_0} \langle \Psi_j, \cdot \rangle \Psi_j, \quad G_{-1} \equiv i \sum_{j=d_0+1}^d \langle \Psi_j, \cdot \rangle \Psi_j \mod \langle E, \cdot \rangle E,$$

where $\langle E, \cdot \rangle E \subset \mathcal{B}((\mathcal{L}^{\beta-2})^*, \mathcal{L}^{\beta-2})$ is the subspace spanned by the operators of the form $\langle \Psi, \cdot \rangle \Psi'$ with $\Psi, \Psi' \in E$. Furthermore, one can choose $\Psi_j \in E$, $j = 1, \ldots, d$, to be orthonormal, and hence $-G_{-2}$ is the orthogonal projection onto E.

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆□ > ◆□ > ◆□ > ◆□ >

Different formulation of the previous classification results:

- Regular case: $G_{-2} = 0$, $G_{-1} = 0$.
- Exceptional point first kind: $G_{-2} = 0$, $G_{-1} \neq 0$, Rank $G_{-1} \leq 2$.
- Exceptional point second kind: $G_{-2} \neq 0$. G_{-1} may be zero or nonzero.

• Exceptional point third kind: $G_{-2} \neq 0$, $G_{-1} \neq 0$, Rank $G_{-1} \leq 2$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Main results

Comments:

• The regular case is the generic case.

Comments:

- The regular case is the generic case.
- An exceptional point of the first kind is also called the threshold resonance case, and $x \in \mathcal{E}/E$ threshold resonance functions. At most two linearly independent threshold resonance functions exist.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Comments:

- The regular case is the generic case.
- An exceptional point of the first kind is also called the threshold resonance case, and $x \in \mathcal{E}/E$ threshold resonance functions. At most two linearly independent threshold resonance functions exist.
- An exceptional point of the second kind corresponds to existence of zero eigenfunctions. Any finite value of d_0 may occur.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Comments:

- The regular case is the generic case.
- An exceptional point of the first kind is also called the threshold resonance case, and $x \in \mathcal{E}/E$ threshold resonance functions. At most two linearly independent threshold resonance functions exist.
- An exceptional point of the second kind corresponds to existence of zero eigenfunctions. Any finite value of d_0 may occur.
- An exceptional point of the third kind is a combination of those of the first and second kind.

Comments:

- The regular case is the generic case.
- An exceptional point of the first kind is also called the threshold resonance case, and $x \in \mathcal{E}/E$ threshold resonance functions. At most two linearly independent threshold resonance functions exist.
- An exceptional point of the second kind corresponds to existence of zero eigenfunctions. Any finite value of d_0 may occur.
- An exceptional point of the third kind is a combination of those of the first and second kind.
- For V multiplicative, i.e. (Vx)[n] = V[n]x[n] for some decaying function V: Z → C, only regular points and exceptional points of the first kind occur. In this case Rank G₋₁ ≤ 1. In particular, zero eigenvalues do not occur.

We now give some examples of perturbations. A general example:

Proposition

Let $\beta \geq 1$ be any real number, and $v_j \in \mathcal{L}^{\beta}$, j = 1, 2, ..., be at most a countable number of linearly independent vectors with

$$\sum_{j} \|v_{j}\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\beta}}^{2} < \infty.$$

Then for any $\sigma_j \in \{\pm 1\}$ the operator series

$$V = \sum_{j} \sigma_j \langle v_j, \cdot \rangle v_j$$

converge in the uniform topology of $\mathcal{B}((\mathcal{L}^{\beta})^*, \mathcal{L}^{\beta})$ and satisfy the Assumption with the same β .

For a multiplicative V, (Vx)[n] = V[n]x[n], the condition is $\sum_n (1+n^2)^{\beta} |V[n]| < \infty$. Note that in the discrete case these are the only local perturbations, i.e. $\operatorname{supp} Vx \subseteq \operatorname{supp} x$.

For multiplicative potentials examples of zero resonances can be constructed using the von Neumann-Wigner technique (1929). We are looking for a multiplicative potential V such that there is a sequence $x \in (\mathcal{L}^0)^*$ satisfying

$$-(x[n+1] + x[n-1] - 2x[n]) + V[n]x[n] = 0.$$

We find such V by first choosing x and then taking V accordingly to $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

$$V[n] = \frac{x[n+1] + x[n-1]}{x[n]} - 2.$$

Local perturbation with threshold resonance

Example 1.

$$x[n] = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } n = 0, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases} \quad V[n] = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } n = 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } n = \pm 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}; \end{cases}$$

Example 2.

$$x[n] = \begin{cases} 3 & \text{if } n = 0, \\ 2 & \text{if } n = \pm 1, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases} \quad V[n] = \begin{cases} -2/3 & \text{if } n = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } n = \pm 1, \\ 1 & \text{if } n = \pm 2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

1

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ★ □▶ = 三 の < ⊙

Examples of threshold eigenvalues

Let us define the potential \boldsymbol{V} by

$$V = -\sum_{j=1}^{N} \langle v_j, \cdot \rangle v_j; \quad v_j[n] = \begin{cases} \sqrt{2} & \text{if } n = 3j, \\ -1/\sqrt{2} & \text{if } n = 3j \pm 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then the linearly independent sequences $\Psi_j \in \mathcal{L}$, $j = 1, \ldots, N$, given by

$$\Psi_j[n] = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 3j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

all satisfy $H\Psi_j = (H_0 + V)\Psi_j = 0$ and $\Psi_j \in \mathcal{L}^{\beta}$ for all $\beta > 0$. Thus we have examples of zero eigenvalues with $d_0 = N$, for any $N \ge 1$.

An example of both a threshold eigenvalue and threshold resonances We define the following sequences:

$$\phi_j[n] = \begin{cases} -1, & n = 4j, \\ 1, & n = 4j + 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$
$$u_j[n] = \begin{cases} 1 & n \le 4j, \\ -1 & n > 4j, \end{cases} \qquad j = 0, 1, 2.$$

Then we define

$$Vx = -\sum_{j=0}^{2} \langle x, \phi_j \rangle \phi_j.$$

With these definitions we have

$$(H_0 + V)u_j = 0, \quad j = 0, 1, 2.$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

An example of both a threshold eigenvalue and threshold resonances

If we define

$$w[n] = \begin{cases} 1 & n = 1, 2, 3, 4, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

then we have $u_2 = \frac{1}{2}u_0 + \frac{1}{2}u_1 + w$.

It is easy to see that this example can be modified to provide a threshold eigenvalue of any finite multiplicity, besides the two linearly independent resonance functions.

Strategy

For $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [0,\infty)$ we fix $\operatorname{Im} \sqrt{z} > 0$ and then introduce

$$\kappa = -i\sqrt{z}; \quad z = -\kappa^2.$$

This is convenient, since we will be able to work with selfadjoint operators. We write $R(z) = R(\kappa)$ etc.

Central object of study is

$$M(\kappa) = U + v^* R_0(\kappa) v.$$

It is a symmetrized version of $1 + R_0(z)V$. Central idea is that the study of $R(\kappa)$ is reduced to the study of $M(\kappa)$ via the relations:

$$R(\kappa) = R_0(\kappa) - R_0(\kappa)vM(\kappa)^{-1}v^*R_0(\kappa),$$

$$M(\kappa)^{-1} = U - Uv^*R(\kappa)vU.$$

Strategy

From

$$M(\kappa) = U + v^* R_0(\kappa) v.$$

and

$$R(\kappa) = R_0(\kappa) - R_0(\kappa)vM(\kappa)^{-1}v^*R_0(\kappa)$$

follows the strategy:

- Obtain an asymptotic expansion of $R_0(\kappa)$ around $\kappa = 0$
- Show that the asymptotic expansion of $M(\kappa)$ leads to invertibility and asymptotic expansion of $M(\kappa)^{-1}$.
- Combine these result to obtain the expansion of $R(\kappa)$.

Asymptotic expansion of $R_0(\kappa)$

The Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{H} \to L^2(\mathbb{T}), \mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$, our conventions are: For $x \in \mathcal{H}$ and $f \in L^2(\mathbb{T})$

$$(\mathcal{F}x)(\theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-in\theta} x[n], \quad (\mathcal{F}^{-1}f)[n] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{T}} e^{in\theta} f(\theta) \, d\theta.$$

We have

$$\mathcal{F}(H_0 x)(\theta) = (2 - 2\cos\theta)(\mathcal{F} x)(\theta) = \left(4\sin^2\frac{\theta}{2}\right)(\mathcal{F} x)(\theta),$$

Thus

$$\mathcal{F}(R_0(z)x)(\theta) = \frac{(\mathcal{F}x)(\theta)}{4\sin^2(\theta/2) - z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [0, 4].$$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖 • のへで

Asymptotic expansion of $R_0(\kappa)$

For $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [0,4]$ sufficiently close to 0 change the variable from z to ϕ through the correspondence

$$z = 4\sin^2\frac{\phi}{2}, \quad \text{Im } \phi > 0.$$

Then $R_0(z)$ is given by convolution with the function

$$R_0(z;n) = \frac{ie^{i\phi|n|}}{2\sin\phi}.$$

This leads to the asymptotic expansion we need.

Asymptotic expansion of $R_0(\kappa)$

Proposition

Let $N \ge -1$ be any integer. Then, as $\kappa \to 0$ with $\operatorname{Re} \kappa > 0$, the resolvent $R_0(\kappa)$ has the expansion in \mathcal{B}^{N+2} :

$$R_0(\kappa) = \sum_{j=-1}^N \kappa^j G_j^0 + \mathcal{O}(\kappa^{N+1}), \quad G_j^0 \in \mathcal{B}^{j+1},$$

and the coefficients G_j^0 are given explicitly as convolution operators with polynomials $G_j^0(n)$ of degree j + 1 in |n|. For instance,

$$\begin{split} G^0_{-1}(n) &= \frac{1}{2}, \quad G^0_0(n) = -\frac{1}{2}|n|, \quad G^0_1(n) = \frac{1}{4}|n|^2 - \frac{1}{16}, \\ G^0_2(n) &= -\frac{1}{12}|n|^3 + \frac{1}{12}|n|, \quad G^0_3(n) = \frac{1}{48}|n|^4 - \frac{5}{96}|n|^2 + \frac{3}{256}. \end{split}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Expansion of $M(\kappa)$

Proposition

Suppose $\beta \geq 1$ in the Assumption, and let $N \in [-1, \beta - 2]$ be any integer. Then, as $\kappa \to 0$ with $\operatorname{Re} \kappa > 0$, the operator $M(\kappa)$ has the expansion in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$:

$$M(\kappa) = \sum_{j=-1}^{N} \kappa^{j} M_{j} + \mathcal{O}(\kappa^{N+1}),$$

where the coefficients $M_j \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ are given by

$$M_0 = U + v^* G_0^0 v, \quad M_j = v^* G_j^0 v \text{ for } j \neq 0.$$

Framework

Let \mathcal{K} be a Hilbert space and $A(\kappa)$ a family of bounded operators on \mathcal{K} with $\kappa \in D \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. Suppose that

- 1. The set $D \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ is invariant under the complex conjugation and accumulates on $0 \in \mathbb{C}$.
- 2. For each $\kappa \in D$ the operator $A(\kappa)$ satisfies $A(\kappa)^* = A(\overline{\kappa})$ and has a bounded inverse $A(\kappa)^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$.
- 3. As $\kappa \to 0$ in *D*, the operator $A(\kappa)$ has an expansion in the uniform topology of the operators at \mathcal{K} :

$$A(\kappa) = A_0 + \kappa \widetilde{A}_1(\kappa); \quad \widetilde{A}_1(\kappa) = \mathcal{O}(1).$$
(1)

4. The spectrum of A_0 does not accumulate at $0 \in \mathbb{C}$ as a set.

If A_0 is invertible in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$, the Neumann series provides a formula for the expansion of $A(\kappa)^{-1}$:

$$A(\kappa)^{-1} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-1)^j \kappa^j A_0^{-1} \left[\widetilde{A}_1(\kappa) A_0^{-1} \right]^j.$$

Assume A_0 not invertible in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$. By assumption the operator $A(\kappa)^{-1}$ is defined for $\kappa \in D$, however the expansion around $\kappa = 0$ may now contain powers of κ^{-1} .

Some terminology

The *pseudoinverse* a^{\dagger} of a complex number $a \in \mathbb{C}$ is

$$a^{\dagger} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a = 0 \\ a^{-1} & \text{if } a \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

If $\mathcal{K}' \subset \mathcal{K}$ is a closed subspace, we identify $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}')$ with its embedding in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ in the standard way. For an operator $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}') \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ we say that A is *invertible in* $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}')$ if there exists an operator $A^{\dagger} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}')$ such that $A^{\dagger}A = AA^{\dagger} = 1_{\mathcal{K}'}$, which we identify with the orthogonal projection onto $\mathcal{K}' \subset \mathcal{K}$. A^{\dagger} is the pseudoinverse of the operator.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ○ □ ○ ○ ○

Proposition

Let $A(\kappa)$ be the family introduced above. Let Q be the orthogonal projection onto Ker A_0 , and define the operator $a(\kappa) \in \mathcal{B}(Q\mathcal{K})$ by

$$a(\kappa) = \frac{1}{\kappa} \{ 1_{Q\mathcal{K}} - Q(Q + A(\kappa))^{-1}Q \}$$
$$= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-1)^j \kappa^j Q \widetilde{A}_1(\kappa) [(Q + A_0)^{-1} \widetilde{A}_1(\kappa)]^j Q.$$

Then $a(\kappa)$ is bounded in $\mathcal{B}(Q\mathcal{K})$ as $\kappa \to 0$ in D. Moreover, if for each $\kappa \in D$ sufficiently close to 0 the operator $a(\kappa)$ is invertible in $\mathcal{B}(Q\mathcal{K})$, then

$$A(\kappa)^{-1} = (Q + A(\kappa))^{-1} + \frac{1}{\kappa}(Q + A(\kappa))^{-1}a(\kappa)^{\dagger}(Q + A(\kappa))^{-1}.$$

We now apply this result to $A(\kappa) = M(\kappa)$ assuming Ker $M_0 \neq \{0\}$. In this case we can assume a higher expansion $\widetilde{A}_1(\kappa) = A_1 + \kappa \widetilde{A}_2(\kappa)$, $\widetilde{A}_2(\kappa) = \mathcal{O}(\kappa)$. Then we get

$$a(\kappa) = a_0 + \kappa \widetilde{a}_1(\kappa); \quad a_0 = QM_1Q, \quad \widetilde{a}_1(\kappa) = \mathcal{O}(1).$$

If the leading operator a_0 is **invertible** in $\mathcal{B}(Q\mathcal{K})$, then substitution of the Neumann series for $a(\kappa)^{\dagger}$ into the above formula yields the expansion of $A(\kappa)^{-1}$.

We now apply this result to $A(\kappa) = M(\kappa)$ assuming Ker $M_0 \neq \{0\}$. In this case we can assume a higher expansion $\widetilde{A}_1(\kappa) = A_1 + \kappa \widetilde{A}_2(\kappa)$, $\widetilde{A}_2(\kappa) = \mathcal{O}(\kappa)$. Then we get

$$a(\kappa) = a_0 + \kappa \widetilde{a}_1(\kappa); \quad a_0 = QM_1Q, \quad \widetilde{a}_1(\kappa) = \mathcal{O}(1).$$

If the leading operator a_0 is **invertible** in $\mathcal{B}(Q\mathcal{K})$, then substitution of the Neumann series for $a(\kappa)^{\dagger}$ into the above formula yields the expansion of $A(\kappa)^{-1}$.

Otherwise, if a_0 is **not invertible**, by applying the Proposition to $a(\kappa)$ again we obtain the expansion of $a(\kappa)^{\dagger}$, and find that $A(\kappa)^{-1}$ has at least a κ^{-2} singularity in its expansion. We can repeat this argument. The iteration procedure stops when applied to $M(\kappa)$ after a few iterations, since the operator $M(\kappa)^{-1}$ can have at worst a κ^{-2} singularity due to the selfadjointness of H_0 .

Analysis of $\operatorname{Ker} M_0$ and conclusion of the argument

The next step is to analyze the space $\operatorname{Ker} M_0$ and the connection between $x \in \operatorname{Ker} M_0$ and solutions to $H\Psi = 0$.

This analysis is long and somewhat complicated, so I will not present it.

Putting all components together leads to the resolvent expansion, with more precise conditions on β , and with explicit expressions for the leading coefficients.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Comments on the literature

There are some related results analyzing the resolvent of $H = H_0 + V$ for V multiplicative, and obtaining the leading term in the asymptotic expansion.

- D. E. Pelinovsky and A. Stefanov, J. Math. Phys. **49**, 113501, 2008 (only regular case)
- Scipio Cuccagna, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 354 (2009) 594605.

Coefficient G_0^0

Coefficient G_0^0 with kernel convolution by $-\frac{1}{2}|n|$ has representations

$$\begin{aligned} (G_0^0 x)[n] &= -\frac{n}{2} \langle \mathbf{1}, x \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathbf{n}, x \rangle - \sum_{k \ge n} (k-n) x[k] \\ &= \frac{n}{2} \langle \mathbf{1}, x \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathbf{n}, x \rangle - \sum_{k \le n} (n-k) x[k]. \end{aligned}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ □臣 = のへで

Quasi-symmetric eigenspace

The following space is needed in detailed analysis

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{qs} = \widetilde{\mathcal{E}} \cap (\mathbb{C}|\mathbf{n}| \oplus \mathbb{C}\boldsymbol{\sigma} \oplus \mathcal{L}^{\beta-2}), \quad \widetilde{d}_{qs} = \dim \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{qs}.$$

It follows directly from the definition that $E \subset \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{qs}$ and $d_0 \leq \tilde{d}_{qs} \leq d_0 + 2$.

Example of cases: Bases of spaces

	$\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}/E$	\mathcal{E}/E	E	$\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathrm{qs}}/E$	type
Case i.	$\{\Psi^0_1, \Psi^0_2\}$	$\{\Psi^0_1\}$	$0 < \# < \infty$	Ø	exceptional III
Case ii.	$\{\Psi_5,\Psi_2^0\}$	Ø	$0 < \# < \infty$	$\{\Psi_5\}$	exceptional II
Case iii.	$\{\Psi_5,\Psi_2^0\}$	Ø	$0 < \# < \infty$	Ø	exceptional II
Case iv.	$\{\Psi^{0}_{1},\Psi^{-}_{6}\}$	$\{\Psi^0_1\}$	$0 < \# < \infty$	Ø	exceptional III
Case v.	$\{\Psi_5,\Psi_6\}$	Ø	$0 < \# < \infty$	$\{\Psi_5\}$	exceptional II
Case vi.	$\{\Psi_5,\Psi_6\}$	Ø	$0 < \# < \infty$	Ø	exceptional II
Case vii.	$\{\Psi^{0}_{1},\Psi_{4}\}$	$\{\Psi_1^0,\Psi_4\}$	$0 < \# < \infty$	$\{\Psi_4\}$	exceptional III
Case viii.	$\{\Psi_3,\Psi_2^0\}$	$\{\Psi_3\}$	$0 < \# < \infty$	Ø	exceptional III
Case ix.	$\{\Psi_5,\Psi_4\}$	$\{\Psi_4\}$	$0 < \# < \infty$	$\{\Psi_5,\Psi_4\}$	exceptional III
Case x.	$\{\Psi_5,\Psi_4\}$	$\{\Psi_4\}$	$0 < \# < \infty$	$\{\Psi_4\}$	exceptional III
Case xi.	$\{\Psi_3,\Psi_6\}$	$\{\Psi_3\}$	$0 < \# < \infty$	Ø	exceptional III
Case xii.	$\{\Psi_3,\Psi_4\}$	$\{\Psi_3,\Psi_4\}$	$0<\#<\infty$	$\{\Psi_4\}$	exceptional III