

ON PEXIDER DIFFERENCE FOR A PEXIDER CUBIC FUNCTIONAL EQUATION

S. OSTADBASHI and M. SOLEIMANINIA

Communicated by Mihai Putinar

Let G be an abelian group and let X be a sequentially complete Hausdorff topological vector space over the field \mathbb{Q} of rational numbers. We prove that the Pexiderized cubic functional equation

$$f(kx + y) + f(kx - y) = g(x + y) + g(x - y) + \frac{2}{k}g(kx) - 2g(x)$$

is stable for functions f, g defined on G and taking values in X .

AMS 2010 Subject Classification: 39B52, 39B82, 47B48.

Key words: Hyers-Ulam stability, additive mapping, cubic mapping, topological vector space.

1. INTRODUCTION

More than a half century ago, Ulam [8] posed the famous Ulam stability problem which was partially solved by Hyers [3] in the framework of Banach spaces. The Hyers' theorem was generalized by Aoki [2] for additive mappings. In 1978, Th.M. Rassias [6] extended the theorem of Hyers by considering the unbounded Cauchy difference inequality

$$(1.1) \quad \|f(x + y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \varepsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p) \quad (\varepsilon \geq 0, p \in [0, 1))$$

Najati and Moghimi [5] investigated the Hyers-Ulam stability of the functional equation

$$f(2x + y) + f(2x - y) = f(x + y) + f(x - y) + 2f(2x) - 2f(x)$$

in quasi-Banach spaces. The generalized Hyers-Ulam stability for a mixed additive-cubic functional equation

$$f(2x + y) + f(2x - y) = 2f(x + y) + 2f(x - y) + 2f(2x) - 4f(x)$$

in quasi-Banach spaces has been investigated by Najati and Eskandari [4]. Above functional equation is called the mixed additive-cubic functional equation, since the function $f(x) = ax^3 + bx$ is its solution. Every solution of the

mixed additive-cubic functional equation is said to be a mixed additive-cubic mapping. In [7] the problem of generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of a general mixed additive-cubic functional equation,

$$f(kx + y) + f(kx - y) = kf(x + y) + kf(x - y) + 2f(kx) - 2kf(x)$$

was investigated in quasi-Banach spaces.

Recently, Adam and Czerwik investigated the problem of the Hyers-Ulam stability of a generalized quadratic functional equation in linear topological spaces [1]. Let G be an abelian group and throughout this paper let X be a sequentially complete Hausdorff topological vector space over the field \mathbb{Q} of rational numbers. A mapping $f : G \rightarrow X$ is said to be *quadratic* if and only if it satisfies the following functional equation

$$f(x + y) + f(x - y) = 2f(x) + 2f(y)$$

for all $x, y \in G$. A mapping $f : G \rightarrow X$ is said to be *additive* if and only if it satisfies $f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$ for all $x, y \in G$.

For a given $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f : G \rightarrow X$, we will use the following notation

$$Df(x, y) := f(kx + y) + f(kx - y) - kf(x + y) - kf(x - y) - 2f(kx) + 2kf(x).$$

For given sets $A, B \subseteq X$ and a number $r \in \mathbb{R}$, we define the well known operations

$$A + B := \{a + b : a \in A, b \in B\}, \quad rA := \{ra : a \in A\}.$$

We denote the convex hull of a set $U \subseteq X$ by $\text{conv}(U)$ and by \bar{U} the sequential closure of U . Moreover it is well know that:

- (1) If $A, B \subseteq X$ are bounded sets, then $A + B$, $\text{conv}(A)$ and \bar{A} are bounded subsets of X .
- (2) If $A, B \subseteq X$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, then $\alpha \text{conv}(A) + \beta \text{conv}(B) = \text{conv}(\alpha A + \beta B)$.
- (3) Let X_1 and X_2 be linear spaces over \mathbb{R} . If $f : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ is a cubic function, then $f(rx) = r^3 f(x)$, for all $x \in X_1$ and all $r \in \mathbb{Q}$.
- (4) Let k be a natural number and $f : G \rightarrow X$ be a mapping with $f(0) = 0$ satisfying

$$f(kx + y) + f(kx - y) = kf(x + y) + f(x - y) + 2f(kx) - 2kf(x)$$

then the mapping $G(x) := f(2x) - 8f(x)$ is additive and the mapping $H(x) := f(2x) - 2f(x)$ is cubic.

2. MAIN RESULTS

We start with the following lemma.

LEMMA 1. *Let $k > 1$ be a natural number, G be a k -divisible abelian group and $B \subseteq X$ be a nonempty set. If the functions $f, g : G \rightarrow X$ satisfy*

$$(2.1) \quad f(kx + y) + f(kx - y) - g(x + y) - g(x - y) - \frac{2}{k}g(kx) + 2g(x) \in B$$

for all $x, y \in G$, then

$$(2.2) \quad Df(x, y) \in E := (k + 1) \operatorname{conv}(B - B),$$

$$(2.3) \quad Dg(x, y) \in F := k \operatorname{conv}(B - B).$$

Proof. Putting $y = 0$ in (2.1), we get

$$(2.4) \quad 2f(kx) - \frac{2}{k}g(kx) \in B$$

for all $x \in G$. If we replace kx by x in (2.4), then we have

$$(2.5) \quad kf(x) - g(x) \in \frac{k}{2} B.$$

If we replace x by $x + y$ and x by $x - y$ in (2.5) we obtain

$$(2.6) \quad kf(x + y) - g(x + y) \in \frac{k}{2} B, \quad kf(x - y) - g(x - y) \in \frac{k}{2} B.$$

It follows from (2.1), (2.4) and (2.6) that

$$\begin{aligned} Df(x, y) &= [f(kx + y) + f(kx - y) - g(x + y) - g(x - y) - \frac{2}{k}g(kx) \\ &\quad + 2g(x)] - [2f(kx) - \frac{2}{k}g(kx)] - [kf(x + y) - g(x + y)] \\ &\quad - [kf(x - y) - g(x - y)] + [2kf(x) - 2g(x)] \\ &\in [B - B - \frac{k}{2}B - \frac{k}{2}B + kB] \subseteq (k + 1) \operatorname{conv}(B - B) = E. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, by (2.1) and (2.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} Dg(x, y) &= [kf(kx + y) + kf(kx - y) - kg(x + y) - kg(x - y) - 2g(kx) \\ &\quad + 2kg(x)] - [kf(kx + y) - g(kx + y)] - [kf(kx - y) - g(kx - y)] \\ &\in kB - \frac{k}{2}B - \frac{k}{2}B \subseteq k \operatorname{conv}(B - B) = F. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 2. Let $k > 1$ be a natural number, G be a 2, k -divisible abelian group and $B \subseteq X$ be a bounded set. Suppose that the functions $f, g : G \rightarrow X$ satisfy (2.1) for all $x, y \in G$ and $f(0) = 0$. Then there exists a unique additive function $\mathcal{A} : G \rightarrow X$ such that

$$\mathcal{A}(x) - h_1(x), \mathcal{A}(x) - h_2(x) \in \overline{\operatorname{conv}(\Phi)}, \quad (x \in G),$$

where $\Phi := \frac{k^2+2k+4}{1-k}E + \frac{k^2+2k+8}{1-k}(-E)$, $h_1(x) := k(f(2x) - 8f(x))$ and $h_2(x) := g(2x) - 8g(x)$. Moreover, the function \mathcal{A} is given by

$$\mathcal{A}(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} h_1(2^{n+1}x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} h_2(2^{n+1}x), \quad (x \in G),$$

and the convergence of the sequences are uniform on G .

Proof. By Lemma 1, we get (2.2). Letting $x = 0$ in (2.2), we get

$$(2.7) \quad f(y) + f(-y) \in \frac{1}{1-k}E = \frac{1}{k-1}(-E)$$

for all $y \in G$. Putting $y = x$ in (2.2), we have

$$(2.8) \quad f((k+1)x) + f((k-1)x) - kf(2x) - 2f(kx) + 2kf(x) \in E.$$

Replacing x by $2x$ in (2.8), we obtain

$$(2.9) \quad f(2(k+1)x) + f(2(k-1)x) - kf(4x) - 2f(2kx) + 2kf(2x) \in E.$$

Letting $y = kx$ in (2.2), we get

$$(2.10) \quad f(2(kx)) - kf((k+1)x) - kf(-(k-1)x) - 2f(kx) + 2kf(x) \in E.$$

Letting $y = (k+1)x$ and $y = (k-1)x$ in (2.2), we have

$$f((2k+1)x) + f(-x) - kf((k+2)x) - kf(-kx) - 2f(kx) + 2kf(x) \in E,$$

and

$$(2.11) \quad f((2k-1)x) - (k+2)f(kx) - kf(-(k-2)x) + (2k+1)f(x) \in E.$$

Replacing x and y by $2x$ and x in (2.2), respectively we get

$$(2.12) \quad f((2k+1)x) + f((2k-1)x) - 2f(2kx) - kf(3x) + 2kf(2x) - kf(x) \in E.$$

Replacing x and y by $3x$ and x in (2.2), respectively we get

$$(2.13) \quad f((3k+1)x) + f((3k-1)x) - 2f(3kx) - kf(4x) - kf(2x) + 2kf(3x) \in E.$$

Replacing x and y by $2x$ and kx in (2.2), respectively we get

$$(2.14) \quad f(3kx) + f(kx) - kf((k+2)x) - kf(-(k-2)x) - 2f(2kx) + 2kf(2x) \in E.$$

Setting $y = (2k+1)x$ in (2.2), we get

$$(2.15) \quad f((3k+1)x) + f(-(k+1)x) - kf(2(k+1)x) - kf(-2kx) - 2f(kx) + 2kf(x) \in E.$$

Letting $y = (2k-1)x$ in (2.2), we have

$$(2.16) \quad f((3k-1)x) + f(-(k-1)x) - kf(-2(k-1)x) - kf(2kx) - 2f(kx) + 2kf(x) \in E.$$

Letting $y = 3kx$ in (2.2), we have

$$(2.17) \quad f(4kx) + f(-2kx) - kf((3k+1)x) - kf(-(3k-1)x) - 2f(kx) + 2kf(x) \in E.$$

Subtracting relationships (2.8),(2.15) and (2.16) from (2.13) respectively, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & [f((3k+1)x) + f((3k-1)x) - 2f(3kx) - kf(4x) - kf(2x) + 2kf(3x)] \\ & - 1 \times [f((k+1)x) + f((k-1)x) - kf(2x) - 2f(kx) + 2kf(x)] \\ & - 1 \times [f((3k+1)x) + f(-(k+1)x) - kf(2(k+1)x) - kf(-2kx) \\ & - 2f(kx) + 2kf(x)] - 1 \times [f((3k-1)x) + f(-(k-1)x) \\ & - kf(-2(k-1)x) - kf(2kx) - 2f(kx) + 2kf(x)] \in E - E - E - E. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & kf(2(k+1)x) + kf(-2(k-1)x) - 2f(3kx) - kf(4x) + 2kf(3x) \\ & + 6f(kx) - 6kf(x) - [f((k+1)x) + f(-(k+1)x)] \\ & - [f((k-1)x) + f(-(k-1)x)] + k[f(2kx) + f(-2kx)] \in E + 3(-E). \end{aligned}$$

By (2.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & kf(2(k+1)x) + kf(-2(k-1)x) - 2f(3kx) - kf(4x) + 2kf(3x) \\ & + 6f(kx) - 6kf(x) \in E + 3(-E) + \frac{2}{k-1}(-E) - \frac{k}{k-1}(-E) \\ (2.18) \quad & \subseteq \frac{2k-1}{k-1}E + \frac{3k-1}{k-1}(-E). \end{aligned}$$

By (2.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & f((2k+1)x) + f((2k-1)x) - kf((k+2)x) + 4kf(x) - 4f(kx) \\ & - kf(-(k-2)x) - k[f(kx) + f(-kx)] + [f(x) + f(-x)] \in E + E. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by (2.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & f((2k+1)x) + f((2k-1)x) - kf((k+2)x) + 4kf(x) - 4f(kx) \\ & - kf(-(k-2)x) \in E + E + \frac{k}{k-1}(-E) - \frac{1}{k-1}(-E) \\ (2.19) \quad & \subseteq \frac{2k-1}{k-1}E + \frac{k}{k-1}(-E). \end{aligned}$$

Subtracting relationship (2.19) from (2.12), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (2.20) \quad & kf((k+2)x) + kf(-(k-2)x) - 2f(2kx) + 4f(kx) - kf(3x) \\ & + 2kf(2x) - 5kf(x) \in \frac{2k-1}{k-1}E + \frac{2k-1}{k-1}(-E). \end{aligned}$$

By (2.14) and (2.20), we have

$$(2.21) \quad \begin{aligned} & f(3kx) - 4f(2kx) + 5f(kx) - kf(3x) + 4kf(2x) - 5kf(x) \\ & \in \frac{3k-2}{k-1}E + \frac{2k-1}{k-1}(-E). \end{aligned}$$

By (2.7), (2.15), (2.16) and (2.17), we have

$$(2.22) \quad \begin{aligned} & kf(-(k+1)x) - kf(-(k-1)x) - k^2f(2(k+1)x) \\ & \quad + k^2f(-2(k-1)x) + k^2f(2kx) - (k^2-1)f(-2kx) \\ & \quad + f(4kx) - 2f(kx) + 2kf(x) \in (k+1)E + \frac{k^2}{k-1}(-E). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from by (2.9), (2.10) and (2.22) that

$$\begin{aligned} & f(4kx) - 2f(2kx) - k^3f(4x) + 2k^3f(2x) + (1-k^2)[f(2kx) + f(-2kx)] \\ & \quad + k^2[f(2(k-1)x) + f(-2(k-1)x)] + k[f((k-1)x) + f(-(k-1)x)] \\ & = \{kf(-(k+1)x) - kf(-(k-1)x) - k^2f(2(k+1)x) + k^2f(2kx) \\ & \quad + k^2f(-2(k-1)x) - (k^2-1)f(-2kx) + f(4kx) - 2f(kx) + 2kf(x)\} \\ & \quad + k^2\{f(2(k+1)x) + f(2(k-1)x) - kf(4x) - 2f(2kx) + 2kf(2x)\} \\ & \quad - \{f(2(kx)) - kf((k+1)x) - kf(-(k-1)x) - 2f(kx) + 2kf(x)\} \\ & \in (k+1)E + \frac{k^2}{k-1}(-E) + k^2E + (-E) \\ & \subseteq (k^2+k+1)E + \frac{k^2+k-1}{k-1}(-E). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by (2.7), we obtain

$$(2.23) \quad \begin{aligned} & f(4kx) - 2f(2kx) - k^3f(4x) + 2k^3f(2x) \in (k^2-1)\left(\frac{1}{k-1}(-E)\right) \\ & \quad + k^2\left(\frac{1}{k-1}E\right) + k\left(\frac{1}{k-1}E\right) + (k^2+k+1)E + \frac{k^2+k-1}{k-1}(-E) \\ & \subseteq \frac{k^3+k^2+k-1}{k-1}E + \frac{2k^2+k-2}{k-1}(-E). \end{aligned}$$

Also, we have

$$(2.24) \quad f(2kx) - 2f(kx) - k^3f(2x) + 2k^3f(x) \in \frac{k^3+k^2+k-1}{k-1}E + \frac{2k^2+k-2}{k-1}(-E).$$

By (2.10), we have

$$(2.25) \quad f(4kx) - kf(2(k+1)x) - kf(-2(k-1)x) - 2f(2kx) + 2kf(2x) \in E.$$

Similarly, from (2.23) and (2.25), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & kf(2(k+1)x) + kf(-2(k-1)x) + (2k^3 - 2k)f(2x) - k^3f(4x) \\
 & \in \frac{k^3 + k^2 + k - 1}{k-1}E + \frac{2k^2 + k - 2}{k-1}(-E) + (-E) \\
 (2.26) \quad & \subseteq \frac{k^3 + k^2 + k - 1}{k-1}E + \frac{2k^2 + 2k - 3}{k-1}(-E).
 \end{aligned}$$

Also, from (2.18) and (2.26), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 2f(3kx) - 6f(kx) + (k - k^3)f(4x) - 2kf(3x) + (2k^3 - 2k)f(2x) \\
 & + 6kf(x) \in \frac{k^3 + k^2 + k - 1}{k-1}E + \frac{2k^2 + 2k - 3}{k-1}(-E) \\
 & \quad - \left(\frac{2k-1}{k-1}E + \frac{3k-1}{k-1}(-E) \right) \\
 (2.27) \quad & \subseteq \frac{k^3 + k^2 + 4k - 2}{k-1}E + \frac{2k^2 + 4k - 4}{k-1}(-E).
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand it follows from (2.21) and (2.27) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 8f(2kx) - 16f(kx) + (k - k^3)f(4x) + (2k^3 - 10k)f(2x) \\
 & + 16kf(x) \in \frac{k^3 + k^2 + 4k - 2}{k-1}E + \frac{2k^2 + 4k - 4}{k-1}(-E) \\
 & \quad - 2\left(\frac{3k-2}{k-1}E + \frac{2k-1}{k-1}(-E) \right) \\
 (2.28) \quad & \subseteq \frac{k^3 + k^2 + 8k - 4}{k-1}E + \frac{2k^2 + 10k - 8}{k-1}(-E).
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore by (2.24) and (2.28), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (k^3 - k)(f(4x) - 10f(2x) + 16f(x)) \in 8\left(\frac{k^3 + k^2 + k - 1}{k-1}E \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \frac{2k^2 + k - 2}{k-1}(-E) \right) - \left(\frac{k^3 + k^2 + 8k - 4}{k-1}E + \frac{2k^2 + 10k - 8}{k-1}(-E) \right) \\
 & \subseteq \frac{8k^3 + 10k^2 + 18k - 16}{k-1}E + \frac{k^3 + 17k^2 + 16k - 20}{k-1}(-E).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
 k(f(4x) - 10f(2x) + 16f(x)) \in \phi := & \frac{8k^3 + 10k^2 + 18k - 16}{(k^2 - 1)(k - 1)}E \\
 & + \frac{k^3 + 17k^2 + 16k - 20}{(k^2 - 1)(k - 1)}(-E).
 \end{aligned}$$

Let $h_1 : G \rightarrow X$ be the mapping defined by

$$h_1(x) = k(f(2x) - 8f(x)), \quad (x \in G)$$

then

$$(2.29) \quad h_1(2x) - 2h_1(x) \in \Phi, \quad (x \in G)$$

Replacing x by $2^n x$ in (2.29), we obtain

$$h_1(2^{n+1}x) - 2h_1(2^n x) \in \Phi$$

for all $x \in G$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By induction we can prove

$$(2.30) \quad \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}h_1(2^{n+1}x) - h_1(x) \in \frac{2^{n+1} - 1}{2^{n+1}}\text{conv}(\Phi).$$

We define

$$\mathcal{A}_{n+1}^1(x) := \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}h_1(2^{n+1}x), \quad (x \in G, n \in \mathbb{N})$$

and by (2.30), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{m+n+1}^1(x) - \mathcal{A}_{n+1}^1(x) &= \frac{1}{2^{m+n+1}}h_1(2^{m+n+1}x) - \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}h_1(2^{n+1}x) \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}\left[\frac{1}{2^m}h_1(2^m 2^{n+1}x) - h_1(2^{n+1}x)\right] \\ &\in \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}\left(\frac{2^m - 1}{2^m}\right)\text{conv}(\Phi) \end{aligned}$$

for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in G$. Since B is bounded, we conclude that $\text{conv}(\Phi)$ is bounded. It follows that the sequence $\{\mathcal{A}_n^1(x)\}$ is (uniformly) Cauchy in X for all $x \in G$. Since X is a sequential complete topological vector space, the sequence $\{\mathcal{A}_n^1(x)\}$ is convergent for all $x \in G$, and the convergence is uniform on G . Define

$$\mathcal{A}^1 : G \rightarrow X, \quad \mathcal{A}^1(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{A}_{n+1}^1(x), \quad (x \in G).$$

Thus, from (2.30) and definition of the set $(\frac{2^m - 1}{2^m})\text{conv}(\Phi)$ we have for $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\mathcal{A}^1(x) - h_1(x) \in \overline{\text{conv}(\Phi)}, \quad (x \in G).$$

Similarly for function g we have the results, define for g

$$\mathcal{A}_{n+1}^2(x) := \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}h_2(2^{n+1}x), \quad (x \in G, n \in \mathbb{N})$$

where $h_2(x) := g(2x) - 8g(x)$, $h_2(2x) - 2h_2(x) \in \Phi$ and

$$\mathcal{A}^2(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{A}_{n+1}^2(x), \quad (x \in G).$$

Now, we prove the equality $\mathcal{A}^1 = \mathcal{A}^2$. From (2.5) we obtain

$$h_1(x) - h_2(x) = k[f(2x) - 8f(x)] - [g(2x) - 8g(x)]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= [kf(2x) - g(2x)] - 8[kf(x) - g(x)] \\
&\in N := \frac{k}{2}B + 8\frac{k}{2}(-B), \quad (x \in G).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\frac{1}{2^{n+1}}h_1(x) - \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}h_2(x) \in \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}N, \quad (x \in G, n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Replacing x by $2^{n+1}x$ in the above condition we get

$$\frac{1}{2^{n+1}}h_1(2^{n+1}x) - \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}h_2(2^{n+1}x) \in \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}N, \quad (x \in G, n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ we have $\mathcal{A}(x) := \mathcal{A}^1(x) = \mathcal{A}^2(x)$ for all $x \in G$.

We have from (2.29)

$$h_1(2^{n+1}x) - 2h_1(2^n x) \in \Phi$$

for all $x \in G$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. So

$$\frac{1}{2^n}h_1(2^{n+1}x) - \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}h_1(2^n x) \in \frac{1}{2^n}\Phi.$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$(2.31) \quad \mathcal{A}(2x) = \mathcal{A}^1(2x) = 2\mathcal{A}^1(x) = 2\mathcal{A}(x).$$

On the other hand it can be easily verified that

$$Dh_1(x, y) = k[Df(2x, 2y) - 8Df(x, y)]$$

for all $x \in G$. So, from (2.2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
D\mathcal{A}(x, y) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} Dh_1(2^{n+1}x, 2^{n+1}y) \\
&= k \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \{Df(2^{n+2}x, 2^{n+2}y) - 8Df(2^{n+1}x, 2^{n+1}y)\} \\
&\in k \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} (E - 8E) = 0, \quad (x, y \in G).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, by (4), the mapping $x \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(2x) - 8\mathcal{A}(x)$ is additive. Therefore (2.29) implies that the mapping \mathcal{A} is additive.

To prove the uniqueness of the additive function \mathcal{A} , assume that there exists another additive function $\mathcal{A}' : G \rightarrow X$ which satisfies

$$\mathcal{A}'(x) - h_1(x), \quad \mathcal{A}'(x) - h_1(x) \in \overline{\text{conv}(\Phi)}, \quad (x \in G).$$

Clearly

$$\mathcal{A}'(x) - \mathcal{A}(x) = [\mathcal{A}'(x) - h_1(x)] - [\mathcal{A}(x) - h_1(x)] \in M := \overline{2\text{conv}(\Phi)}.$$

Applying the same method as before we get $\mathcal{A}'(x) = \mathcal{A}(x)$ ($x \in G$). This completes the proof. \square

THEOREM 3. *Let $k > 1$ be a natural number, G be a 2, k -divisible abelian group and $B \subseteq X$ be a bounded and balanced set. Suppose that the functions $f, g : G \rightarrow X$ satisfy (2.1) for all $x, y \in G$. Then there exists unique cubic function $\mathcal{A}' : G \rightarrow X$ such that*

$$\mathcal{A}'(x) - h_3(x), \mathcal{A}'(x) - h_4(x) \in \frac{1}{7} \overline{\text{conv}(\Phi')}, \quad (x \in G),$$

where $\Phi' = \frac{k^2+2k+8}{k^2-k}E + \frac{k^2+2k+4}{k^2-k}(-E)$, $h_3(x) = f(2x) - 2f(x)$ and $h_4(x) = g(2x) - 2g(x)$. Moreover, the functions \mathcal{A}' is given by

$$\mathcal{A}'(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{8^{n+1}} h_3(2^{n+1}x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{8^{n+1}} h_4(2^{n+1}x), \quad (x \in G),$$

and the convergence of the sequences are uniform on G .

Proof. We define $h_3(x) := f(2x) - 2f(x)$, so

$$(2.32) \quad h_3(2x) - 8h_3(x) \in \Phi' := \frac{k^2 + 2k + 8}{k^2 - k}E + \frac{k^2 + 2k + 4}{k^2 - k}(-E).$$

Hence,

$$\frac{1}{8^{n+1}} h_3(2^{n+1}x) - \frac{1}{8^n} h_3(2^n x) \in \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{1}{8^i} \Phi'$$

for all $x \in G, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore,

$$(2.33) \quad \frac{1}{8^{n+1}} h_3(2^{n+1}x) - \frac{1}{8^m} h_3(2^m x) \in \sum_{i=m}^n \frac{1}{8^i} \Phi'$$

for all $x \in G$ and all integers $n > m \geq 1$. Since B is bounded, we conclude that the sequence $\{\frac{1}{8^{n+1}} h_3(2^{n+1}x)\}$ is (uniformly) Cauchy in X for all $x \in G$. Since X is a sequential complete topological vector space, the sequence $\{\frac{1}{8^{n+1}} h_3(2^{n+1}x)\}$ is convergent for all $x \in G$, and the convergence is uniform on G . Define

$$\mathcal{A}'_1 : G \rightarrow X, \quad \mathcal{A}'_1(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{8^{n+1}} h_3(2^{n+1}x), \quad (x \in G).$$

We have from (2.32)

$$\frac{1}{8^{n+1}} h_3(2^{n+1}x) - \frac{1}{8^n} h_3(2^{n+1}x) \in \frac{1}{8^{n+1}} \Phi'$$

for all $x \in G, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$(2.34) \quad \mathcal{A}'_1(2x) = 8\mathcal{A}'_1(x).$$

On the other hand we have

$$D\mathcal{A}'_1(x, y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{8^{n+1}} Dh_3(2^{n+1}x, 2^{n+1}y)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{8^{n+1}} \{Df(2^{n+2}x, 2^{n+2}y) - 2Df(2^{n+1}x, 2^{n+1}y)\} \\
&\in k \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{8^{n+1}} (E - 2E) = 0
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in G$. Hence, by (4), the mapping $x \rightarrow \mathcal{A}'_1(2x) - 2\mathcal{A}'_1(x)$ is cubic. Therefore (2.34) implies that the mapping \mathcal{A}'_1 is cubic. Replacing x by $2^n x$ in (2.32) we obtain

$$h_3(2^{n+1}x) - 8h_1(2^n x) \in \Phi'$$

for all $x \in G$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By induction we can prove

$$(2.35) \quad \frac{1}{8^{n+1}} h_3(2^{n+1}x) - h_1(x) \in \frac{1}{7} \overline{\left(\frac{8^{n+1} - 1}{8^{n+1}}\right) \text{conv}(\Phi')}.$$

Therefore

$$\mathcal{A}'_1(x) - h_1(x) \in \frac{1}{7} \overline{\text{conv}(\Phi')}.$$

Similarly for function g we have the results, define for g

$$\mathcal{A}'_2(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{8^{n+1}} h_4(2^{n+1}x), \quad (x \in G, n \in \mathbb{N})$$

where $h_4(x) := g(2x) - 2g(x)$, $h_4(2x) - 8h_4(x) \in \Phi$.

Similarly as in a previous theorem we can check that \mathcal{A}'_2 is a cubic function satisfying

$$(2.36) \quad \mathcal{A}'_2(x) - h_4(x) \in \frac{1}{7} \overline{\text{conv}(\Phi')}.$$

Moreover, we can prove that $\mathcal{A}'(x) := \mathcal{A}'_1(x) = \mathcal{A}'_2(x)$ for all $x \in G$.

To prove the uniqueness of the cubic function \mathcal{A}' , assume that there exists another cubic function $\mathcal{A}'' : G \rightarrow X$ which satisfies

$$\mathcal{A}''(x) - h_3(x), \quad \mathcal{A}''(x) - h_4(x) \in \frac{1}{7} \overline{\text{conv}(\Phi')}, \quad (x \in G).$$

Clearly

$$\mathcal{A}''(x) - \mathcal{A}'(x) = [\mathcal{A}''(x) - h_1(x)] - [\mathcal{A}'(x) - h_1(x)] \in N := \frac{2}{7} \overline{\text{conv}(\Phi')}$$

for all $x \in G$. Hence, $\mathcal{A}'' = \mathcal{A}'$ and this completes the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Adam and S. Czerwik, *On the stability of the quadratic functional equation in topological spaces*. Banach J. Math. Anal. **1** (2007), 2, 245–251.
- [2] T. Aoki, *On the stability of linear transformation in Banach spaces*. J. Math. Soc. Japan **2** (1950), 64–66.
- [3] D.H. Hyers, *On the Stability of the linear functional equation*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, **27** (1941), 222–224.

- [4] A. Najati and G.Z. Eskandari , *Stability of a mixed additive and cubic functional equation in quasi-Banach spaces*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **342** (2008), 13–18.
- [5] A. Najati and M.B. Moghimi, *Stability of a functional equation deriving from quadratic and additive functions in quasi-Banach spaces*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **337** (2008), 399–415.
- [6] Th.M. Rassias, *On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1978), 297–300.
- [7] T.Z. Xu, J.M. Rassias and W.X. Xu, *Generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of a general mixed additive-cubic functional equation in quasi-Banach spaces*. Acta Math. Sin.-Engl. Ser., **28** (2012), 529–560.
- [8] S.M. Ulam, *Problem in Modern Mathematics, Science Editions*. Wiley, New York, 1960.

Received 3 May 2014

*Urmia University
Faculty of Sciences
Department of Mathematics
Urmia, Iran
s.ostadbashi@gmail.com
m.soleymaninia@gmail.com*