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#### Abstract

The family $\mathcal{G}$ of connected graphs with second largest Laplacian eigenvalue at most $\theta$, where $\theta=3.2470$ is the largest root of the equation $\mu^{3}-5 \mu^{2}+6 \mu-1=0$, is characterized by $\mathrm{Wu}, \mathrm{Yu}$ and $\mathrm{Shu}[\mathrm{Y} . \mathrm{R} . \mathrm{Wu}$, G.L. Yu and J.L. Shu, Graphs with small second largest Laplacian eigenvalue, European J. Combin. 36 (2014) 190-197]. Let $G(a, b, c, d)$ be a graph with order $n=2 a+b+2 c+3 d+1$ that consists of $a$ triangle(s), $b$ pendant edge(s), $c$ pendant path(s) of length 2 and $d$ pendant path(s) of length 3 , sharing a common vertex. In this paper, we first prove that the graph $G(a, b, c, d)$ is determined by its Laplacian spectrum. Then we conclude that except for two graphs, all the graphs in $\mathcal{G}$ are determined by their Laplacian spectra.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

The graphs considered in this paper are simple and undirected. Let $G=$ $(V(G), E(G))$ be a graph with vertex set $V(G)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \cdots, v_{n}\right\}$ and edge set $E(G)$, where its order and size are $|V(G)|=n(G)=n$ and $|E(G)|=m(G)=$ $m$, respectively. Denote by $d_{i}(G)$ the degree of a vertex $v_{i}$ in $G$. We denote the diagonal matrix of vertex degrees by $D(G)$ and denote the adjacency matrix by $A(G)$. The maximum eigenvalue of $A(G)$ is called the index of $G$. The matrix $L(G)=D(G)-A(G)(Q(G)=D(G)+A(G))$ is called the Laplacian matrix (signless Laplacian matrix) of $G$. We use $\Phi(G ; \mu)$ to denote the Laplacian characteristic polynomial of $L(G)$. Its eigenvalues will be called the Laplacian eigenvalues of graph $G$. Assume that $\mu_{1}(G) \geq \mu_{2}(G) \geq \cdots \geq \mu_{n}(G)=0$ are the Laplacian eigenvalues of the graph $G$. The Laplacian spectrum of the graph $G$, denoted by $\operatorname{Spec}_{L}(G)$, is the multiset of its Laplacian eigenvalues. Two graphs $G$ and $H$ are said to be $L$-cospectral, denoted by $\operatorname{Spec}_{L}(G)=\operatorname{Spec}_{L}(H)$ if they share the same Laplacian spectrum (i.e., equal Laplacian characteristic polynomial). A graph $G$ is said to be determined by the Laplacian spectrum
( $D L S$ for short) if for any graph $H, \operatorname{Spec}_{L}(G)=\operatorname{Spec}_{L}(H)$ implies that $H$ is isomorphic to $G$. Similar terminology will be used for $A(G)$ and $Q(G)$. So we can speak of adjacency spectrum, signless Laplacian spectrum, $\operatorname{Spec}_{A}(G)$, $\operatorname{Spec}_{Q}(G), A$-cospectral, $Q$-cospectral, $D A S$ and $D Q S$.

Gutman, Gineityte, Lepović and Petrović [7] discovered some connections between photoelectron spectra and the Laplacian eigenvalues of the underlying molecular graphs. Petrović, Gutman, Lepović and Milekić [15] stressed that the results of determining graphs with a small number of Laplacian eigenvalues can be of interest in the photoelectron spectroscopy of organic compounds and characterized all connected bipartite graphs with $\mu_{3}(G)<2$. Recently, there has been a lot of interest in the work of determining graphs with a small number of Laplacian eigenvalues exceeding a given value or studying the bounds of the $k$-th Laplacian eigenvalue. For example, Merris [11] studied the relations between the structure of graphs and the number of eigenvalues greater than two. Zhang [18] studied the graphs with fourth Laplacian eigenvalue less than two. Zhang also characterized all connected bipartite graphs whose third largest Laplacian eigenvalue is less than three in [19]. The background of spectral graph theory and terminology not defined can be found in [2] for references.
van Dam and Haemers [3] asked the question Which graphs are determined by their spectra. This is a difficult problem in the theory of graph spectra. The exact characterization of graphs with second largest eigenvalue exceeding a given value is extensively studied, however, whether they are determined by their Laplacian spectra or not is less considered. Recently, Omidi [13] showed that graphs of index less than 2 are determined by their Laplacian spectra. Li, Guo and Shiu [9] studied extremal graphs for the extremal values of the second largest Laplacian eigenvalue. They also showed that graphs with second largest Laplacian eigenvalue at most 3 are determined by their Laplacian spectra. For the detailed background and some known results on this subject, we refer the readers to the excellent surveys $[12,5,6,4]$ and the references therein.

The family $\mathcal{G}$ of connected graphs with second largest Laplacian eigenvalue at most $\theta$, where $\theta=3.2470$ is the largest root of the equation $\mu^{3}-5 \mu^{2}+$ $6 \mu-1=0$, is characterized by Wu, Yu and Shu in [17]. Let $G(a, b, c, d)$ be a graph with order $n=2 a+b+2 c+3 d+1$ that consists of $a$ triangle(s), $b$ pendant edge(s), $c$ pendant path(s) of length 2 and $d$ pendant path(s) of length 3, sharing a common vertex (see Figure 1). The graph $G(0, b, c, d)$ is also known as a starlike tree (see [8]), $G(a, b, c, 0)$ is also known as a firefly graph (see [9]) and $G(a, 0,0,0)$ is also known as a friendship graph (see [16]). It is well known that the starlike tree $G(0, b, c, d)$ is $D L S$ (see [14]), the firefly graph $G(a, b, c, 0)$
is also $D L S$ (see [9]), and the friendship graph $G(a, 0,0,0)$ were shown to be $D L S$ in [10], $D Q S$ in [16], with one exception in the case $a=16, D A S$ in [1].

In this paper, we first show that the graph $G(a, b, c, d)$ is determined by its Laplacian spectrum. Then we conclude that except for two graphs, all the graphs in $\mathcal{G}$ are determined by their Laplacian spectra.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

We first present some well known results which will play an important role throughout this paper.

Lemma 2.1 ([3]). Let $G$ and $H$ be L-cospectral graphs. Then
(i) $G$ and $H$ have the same number of vertices;
(ii) $G$ and $H$ have the same number of edges;
(iii) $G$ and $H$ have the same number of spanning trees;
(iv) $G$ and $H$ have the same number of components;
(v) $\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}(G)^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}(H)^{2}$;
(vi) $q(G)=6 n_{3}(G)-\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}(G)^{3}=6 n_{3}(H)-\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}(H)^{3}=q(H)$, denote by $n_{3}(G)$ the number of triangles in $G$.

Theorem 2.2 ([14]). Let $G$ be a starlike tree. Then $G$ is determined by its Laplacian spectrum.

Theorem 2.3 ([9]). The firefly graph is determined by its Laplacian spectrum.

Now we quote a theorem due to $\mathrm{Wu}, \mathrm{Yu}$ and $\mathrm{Shu}[17]$ which characterizes all connected graphs with second largest Laplacian eigenvalue no more than $\theta$.

Theorem 2.4 ([17]). Let $G$ be a connected graph. Then $G \in \mathcal{G}$, i.e. $\mu_{2}(G) \leq \theta$ if and only if $G$ is a subgraph of one of the graphs $G(a, b, c, d)$ $(a, b, c, d \geq 0), U_{3}^{3}, B_{3}^{1}, S_{1}, B_{4}^{6}, U_{4}^{13}$ and $H$ shown in Figure 1.

By Theorems 2.2, 2.3 and $2.4, \mathcal{G}$ contains all the graphs, labelled in Tables 1-4 and $H, G(a, b, c, d)$ see Fig. 1. Denote by $P_{n}$ and $C_{n}$ the path and cycle on $n$ vertices, respectively. For convenience in the following discussion, $\mathcal{G}$ can be classified as $\mathcal{G}=W_{0} \cup W_{1} \cup W_{2} \cup W_{3} \cup W_{4}$, where
(i) $W_{0}=\left\{P_{6}, T_{1}, T_{2}\right\}$ (see Table 1),
(ii) $W_{1}=\left\{C_{6}, U_{3}^{i}, U_{4}^{j}\right\}$ for $(i=1,2, \cdots, 13 ; j=1,2, \cdots, 13)$ (see Tables 2 and 3 ),
(iii) $W_{2}=\left\{B_{3}^{i}, B_{4}^{j}\right\}$ for $(i=1,2, \cdots, 5 ; j=1,2, \cdots, 7)$ (see Table 4),
(iv) $W_{3}=\left\{S_{1}, S_{2}, S_{3}\right\}$ (see Table 1),
(v) $W_{4}=\{H, G(a, b, c, d)\}$, where $2 a+b+2 c+3 d+1 \geq 11, a>0, d>0$.


Fig. $1-G(a, b, c, d), U_{3}^{3}, B_{3}^{1}, S_{1}, B_{4}^{6}, U_{4}^{13}$ and $H$.

Table 1
$G \in W_{0}$ and $G \in W_{3}$

| $n(G)$ | $n=6$ | $n=7$ | $n=8$ | $n=10$ | $n=6$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $G$ | $T_{1}$ | $T_{2}$ |  | $S_{2}$ |  |

## 3. GRAPHS IN $\mathcal{G}$ ARE NOT L-COSPECTRAL

As is known, $P_{6}$ and $C_{6}$ are $D L S$. Thus, according to (i) and (ii) of Theorem 2.1, we have the following Lemmas 3.1-3.4.

Lemma 3.1. $G \in W_{i}$ and $H \in W_{j}$ are not $L$-cospectral if $i \neq j$.
Lemma 3.2. The graphs in $W_{0}=\left\{P_{6}, T_{1}, T_{2}\right\}$ (see Table 1) are not $L$ cospectral.

Lemma 3.3. The graphs in $W_{3}=\left\{S_{1}, S_{2}, S_{3}\right\}$ (see Table 1) are not $L$ cospectral.

Lemma 3.4. The graphs in $W_{4}=\{H, G(a, b, c, d)\}$, where $2 a+b+2 c+$ $3 d+1 \geq 11, a>0, d>0$ (see Figure 1) are not L-cospectral.

Lemma 3.5. The graphs in $W_{1}=\left\{C_{6}, U_{3}^{i}, U_{4}^{j}\right\}(i=1,2, \cdots, 13 ; j=$ $1,2, \cdots, 13)$ (see Tables 2 and 3) are not L-cospectral.

Proof. Since the number of spanning trees of graph in $U_{3}^{i}$ is 3 , but the number of spanning trees of graph in $U_{4}^{j}$ is 4 , we conclude that $G \in U_{3}^{i}$ and $H \in$ $U_{4}^{j}$ are not L-cospectral. On the other hand, by Theorem 2.1 (vi), the graphs

Table 2

$$
G \in U_{3}^{i}(i=1,2, \cdots, 13)
$$

| $n(G)$ | $n=6$ | $n=7$ | $n=8$ | $n=9$ | $n=10$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $G$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $q(G)$ | -66 | -74 | -154 | -108 | -170 |
| $G$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $q(G)$ | -54 | -92 | -100 | -246 | -170 |
| $G$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $q(G)$ |  |  |  | -162 | $-374$ |
| $G$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $q(G)$ |  |  |  |  | -254 |

in $U_{3}^{i}$ and $U_{4}^{j}(i=1,2, \cdots, 13 ; j=1,2, \cdots, 13)$ (see Tables 2 and 3) are not L-cospectral, except for $U_{3}^{10}$ and $U_{3}^{11}$. At last, by a direct calculation, we have
$\operatorname{Spec}_{L}\left(U_{3}^{10}\right)=[6.1068,3.2470,3.0797,3,1.5550,1.4469,1,0.3676,0.1981,0]$, $\operatorname{Spec}_{L}\left(U_{3}^{11}\right)=[6.1504,3.1871,3,2.6180,2.3204,1.4757,0.6298,0.3820,0.2366,0]$. Thus, we conclude that all graphs in $W_{1}$ are not L-cospectral. It completes this proof.

By similar arguments, we have the following lemma for graphs in $W_{2}$.
Lemma 3.6. The graphs in $W_{2}=\left\{B_{3}^{i}, B_{4}^{j}\right\}(i=1,2, \cdots, 5 ; j=1,2, \cdots, 7)$ (see Table 4) are not L-cospectral except for $B_{4}^{1}$ and $B_{4}^{2}$, where $\operatorname{Spec}_{L}\left(B_{4}^{1}\right)=$ $\operatorname{Spec}_{L}\left(B_{4}^{2}\right)=[5.2361,3,3,2,0.7639,0]$.

## 4. THE LAPLACIAN SPECTRAL CHARACTERIZATION OF $G(a, b, c, d)$

In this section, we will consider the $D L S$-graphs in $G(a, b, c, d)$. In the following, we first compute the Laplacian polynomial of $G(a, b, c, d)$ and then prove $G(a, b, c, d)$ is $D L S$.

Table 3
$G \in U_{4}^{j}(j=1,2, \cdots, 13)$

| $n(G)$ | $n=4$ | $n=5$ | $n=6$ | $n=7$ | $n=8$ | $n=9$ | $n=10$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $G$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $q(G)$ | -32 | -52 | -90 | -152 | -244 | -168 | -176 |
| $G$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $q(G)$ |  |  | -60 | -98 | -160 | -252 |  |
| G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $q(G)$ |  |  | -72 |  | -106 |  |  |

Table 4
$G \in W_{2}$

| $n(G)$ | $n=6$ | $n=7$ | $n=8$ | $n=9$ | $n=10$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $G$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| q(G) | -98 | -160 | -252 | -260 |  |
| $G$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $q(G)$ | $\begin{array}{cc}-98 & -86\end{array}$ |  | -168 |  |  |
| $G$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $q(G)$ | -74 |  | -162 | -254 | -262 |
| $G$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $q(G)$ |  |  |  |  | -382 |

Lemma 4.1. Let $G(a, b, c, d)$ be a graph with order $n=2 a+b+2 c+3 d+1$ that consists of a triangles, $b$ pendant edges, $c$ pendant paths of length 2 and $d$ pendant paths of length 3, sharing a common vertex (see Figure 1). Then $\Phi(G(a, b, c, d) ; \mu)=\left(\mu^{2}-4 \mu+3\right)^{a}(\mu-1)^{b}\left(\mu^{2}-3 \mu+1\right)^{c-1}\left(\mu^{3}-5 \mu^{2}+6 \mu-1\right)^{d-1} f(\mu)$, where $f(\mu)=\mu^{7}-(2 a+b+c+d+9) \mu^{6}+(16 a+8 b+8 c+8 d) \mu^{5}-(44 a+$ $22 b+23 c+23 d+46) \mu^{4}+(48 a+24 b+29 c+29 d+33) \mu^{3}-(18 a+9 b+15 c+$ $16 d+10) \mu^{2}+(2 a+b+2 c+3 d+1) \mu$.

Proof. Let $G=G(a, b, c, d)$ and we label the $a$ triangles, $b$ pendant edges, $c$ pendant paths of length 2 and $d$ pendant paths of length 3 by $v_{11} v_{12} v_{21} v_{22} \ldots$ $v_{a 1} v_{a 2} ; u_{1} \cdots u_{b} ; w_{11} w_{12} \cdots w_{c 1} w_{c 2}$ and $s_{11} s_{12} s_{13} \cdots s_{d 1} s_{d 2} s_{d 3}$, respectively, where $u$ is the maximum degree vertex of $G(a, b, c, d)$ (see Fig. 1). Then the vertices of $G(a, b, c, d)$ can be partitioned as $\{u\} \cup V \cup U \cup W \cup S$, where

$$
\begin{gathered}
V=\left\{v_{11}, v_{12}, v_{21}, v_{22}, \cdots, v_{a 1}, v_{a 2}\right\}, U=\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{b}\right\} \\
W=\left\{w_{11}, w_{12}, \cdots, w_{c 1}, w_{c 2}\right\}, S=\left\{s_{11}, s_{12}, s_{13}, \cdots, s_{d 1}, s_{d 2}, s_{d 3}\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

The rows and columns of the matrix $\mu I-L(G(a, b, c, d))$ are arranged as the ordering in accordance with the vertices in $\{u\}, V, U, W$ and $S$, respectively. And then by expanding the determinant of $\mu I-L(G(a, b, c, d))$ along the first row, we obtain
$\Phi(G(a, b, c, d) ; \mu)=\left(\mu^{2}-4 \mu+3\right)^{a}(\mu-1)^{b}\left(\mu^{2}-3 \mu+1\right)^{c-1}\left(\mu^{3}-5 \mu^{2}+6 \mu-1\right)^{d-1} f(\mu)$, where $f(\mu)=\mu^{7}-(2 a+b+c+d+9) \mu^{6}+(16 a+8 b+8 c+8 d) \mu^{5}-(44 a+$ $22 b+23 c+23 d+46) \mu^{4}+(48 a+24 b+29 c+29 d+33) \mu^{3}-(18 a+9 b+15 c+$ $16 d+10) \mu^{2}+(2 a+b+2 c+3 d+1) \mu$.

TheOrem 4.2. The graph $G(a, b, c, d)(a>0, d>0$ and $2 a+b+2 c+3 d+$ $1 \geq 11)\left(\right.$ in $\left.W_{4}\right)$ displayed in Figure 1 is determined by its Laplacian spectrum.

Proof. For $G(a, b, c, d) \in \mathcal{G}$ with $n \geq 11$, if there exists a graph $F$ which is L-cospectral with $G(a, b, c, d)$. Then from Theorem 2.4, we have $F \in \mathcal{G}$. By Lemmas 3.1 and 3.4 in section 3, we may write $F=G\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}, c^{\prime}, d^{\prime}\right)$. Moreover, it is well known that the number of edges (or vertices) and spanning trees of a graph can be determined by its L-spectrum by Lemma 2.1. Then by Lemma 4.1 we have

$$
\begin{align*}
2 a+b+2 c+3 d & =2 a^{\prime}+b^{\prime}+2 c^{\prime}+3 d^{\prime}  \tag{1}\\
3 a & =3 a^{\prime}  \tag{2}\\
\Phi(G(a, b, c, d) ; \mu) & =\Phi\left(G\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}, c^{\prime}, d^{\prime}\right) ; \mu\right) \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

In addition, from Eqs. (2) and (3), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& (\mu-1)^{b}\left(\mu^{2}-3 \mu+1\right)^{c-1}\left(\mu^{3}-5 \mu^{2}+6 \mu-1\right)^{d-1} f(\mu) \\
= & (\mu-1)^{b^{\prime}}\left(\mu^{2}-3 \mu+1\right)^{c^{\prime}-1}\left(\mu^{3}-5 \mu^{2}+6 \mu-1\right)^{d^{\prime}-1} g(\mu), \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
f(\mu)=\mu^{7} & -(2 a+b+c+d+9) \mu^{6}+(16 a+8 b+8 c+8 d) \mu^{5} \\
& -(44 a+22 b+23 c+23 d+46) \mu^{4} \\
& +(48 a+24 b+29 c+29 d+33) \mu^{3}  \tag{5}\\
& -(18 a+9 b+15 c+16 d+10) \mu^{2}+(2 a+b+2 c+3 d+1) \mu
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
g(\mu)=\mu^{7} & -\left(2 a^{\prime}+b^{\prime}+c^{\prime}+d^{\prime}+9\right) \mu^{6}+\left(16 a^{\prime}+8 b^{\prime}+8 c^{\prime}+8 d^{\prime}\right) \mu^{5} \\
& -\left(44 a^{\prime}+22 b^{\prime}+23 c^{\prime}+23 d^{\prime}+46\right) \mu^{4} \\
& +\left(48 a^{\prime}+24 b^{\prime}+29 c^{\prime}+29 d^{\prime}+33\right) \mu^{3}  \tag{6}\\
& -\left(18 a^{\prime}+9 b^{\prime}+15 c^{\prime}+16 d^{\prime}+10\right) \mu^{2} \\
& +\left(2 a^{\prime}+b^{\prime}+2 c^{\prime}+3 d^{\prime}+1\right) \mu .
\end{align*}
$$

By Eq. (4), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mu-1)^{b-b^{\prime}}\left(\mu^{2}-3 \mu+1\right)^{c-c^{\prime}}\left(\mu^{3}-5 \mu^{2}+6 \mu-1\right)^{d-d^{\prime}} f(\mu)=g(\mu) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, the term in $g(\mu)$ with the largest exponent is $\mu^{7}$, and similarly for $(\mu-1)^{b-b^{\prime}}\left(\mu^{2}-3 \mu+1\right)^{c-c^{\prime}}\left(\mu^{3}-5 \mu^{2}+6 \mu-1\right)^{d-d^{\prime}} f(\mu)$. So Eq. (7) implies $b=b^{\prime}, c=c^{\prime}$ and $d=d^{\prime}$. That is $G(a, b, c, d)=G\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}, c^{\prime}, d^{\prime}\right)$. Therefore, each $G(a, b, c, d) \in \mathcal{G}$ with $n \geq 11$ is determined by its Laplacian spectrum. We complete this proof.

Combining the Lemmas 3.1-3.6 in Section 3 with the Theorem 4.2 in Section 4, we obtain:

ThEOREM 4.3. Connected graphs with $\mu_{2} \leq \theta$ are $D L S$ except for $B_{4}^{1}$ and $B_{4}^{2}$ shown in Table 4, where $\theta=3.2470$ is the largest root of the equation $\mu^{3}-5 \mu^{2}+6 \mu-1=0$.
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