

$\mathfrak{aff}(1)$ -INVARIANT n -ARY LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL OPERATOR AND COHOMOLOGY OF $\mathfrak{aff}(1)$ ACTING ON n -ARY LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS

BASDOURI OKBA and NASRI ELAMINE

Communicated by Vasile Brînzănescu

We consider the $\mathfrak{aff}(1)$ -module structure on the spaces of n -ary linear differential operators acting on the spaces of weighted densities. We classify $\mathfrak{aff}(1)$ -invariant n -ary linear differential operators acting on the spaces of weighted densities. We compute the first differential cohomology of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{aff}(1)$ with coefficients in n -ary linear differential operators acting on the spaces of weighted densities.

AMS 2010 Subject Classification: 17B56, 53D55, 58H15.

Key words: affine Lie superalgebra, differential operators, cohomology, weighted densities.

1. INTRODUCTION

Deformation theory plays a crucial role in all branches of mathematics and physics. In physics, the mathematical theory of deformations has proved to be a powerful tool in modeling physical reality. The concepts symmetry and deformations are considered to be two fundamental guiding principle for developing the physical theory further. Formal deformations of arbitrary rings and associative algebras, and the related cohomology questions, were first investigated by Gerstenhaber, in a series of articles [8–11]. The notion of deformation was applied to Lie algebras by Nijenhuis and Richardson [12, 13]. Recently, deformations of Lie algebras with multi-parameters were intensively studied (see, e.g., [5, 6]).

Let $\text{Vect}(\mathbb{R})$ be the Lie algebra of vector fields on \mathbb{R} . Denote by $\mathcal{F}_\lambda = \{f dx^\lambda \mid f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R})\}$ the space of weighted densities of weight $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, i.e., the space of sections of the line bundle $(T^*\mathbb{R})^{\otimes \lambda}$, so its elements can be represented as $f(x)dx^\lambda$, where $f(x)$ is a function and dx^λ is a formal (for a time being) symbol [2]. This space coincides with the space of vector fields, functions and differential forms for $\lambda = -1, 0$ and 1 , respectively.

The space \mathcal{F}_λ is a $\text{Vect}(\mathbb{R})$ -module for the action defined by

$$L_{g \frac{d}{dx}}^\lambda (f dx^\lambda) = (g f' + \lambda g' f) dx^\lambda.$$

Denote by $\mathcal{D}_{\underline{\lambda}, \mu}$, where $\underline{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$, the space of n -ary linear differential operators:

$$\underbrace{\mathcal{F}_{\lambda_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_n}}_{n \otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_\mu,$$

for any $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$. The Lie algebra $\text{Vect}(\mathbb{R})$ acts on the space

$$\mathcal{D}_{\underline{\lambda}, \mu} := \text{Hom}_{\text{diff}}(\mathcal{F}_{\lambda_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_n}, \mathcal{F}_\mu)$$

of these differential operators by:

$$(1.1) \quad X_h.A = L_{X_h}^\mu \circ A - A \circ L_{X_h}^{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)},$$

where $L_{X_h}^{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)}$ is the Lie derivative on $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_n}$ defined by the Leibnitz rule:

$$\begin{aligned} L_{X_h}^{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)}(f_1 dx^{\lambda_1} \otimes \dots \otimes f_n dx^{\lambda_n}) &= L_{X_h}^{\lambda_1}(f_1) \otimes \dots \\ &\quad \otimes f_n dx^{\lambda_n} + \dots + f_1 dx^{\lambda_1} \otimes \dots \otimes L_{X_h}^{\lambda_n}(f_n dx^{\lambda_n}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the space of differential operators is a $\text{Vect}(\mathbb{R})$ -module.

In [4], Bouarroudj computes the first differential cohomology of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ with coefficients in bilinear differential operators acting on the spaces of weighted densities and in [3], a super analogue of [4]. Now, we restrict ourselves to the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{aff}(1)$ which is isomorphic to the Lie subalgebra of $\text{Vect}(\mathbb{R})$ spanned by

$$\{X_1, X_x\}.$$

In this paper, we are interested in the study of the first cohomology spaces $H_{\text{diff}}^1(\mathfrak{aff}(1), \mathcal{D}_{\underline{\lambda}, \mu})$ where $\mathcal{D}_{\underline{\lambda}, \mu}$ is the space of n -ary linear differential operators from $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_n}$ to \mathcal{F}_μ and we classify $\mathfrak{aff}(1)$ -invariant n -ary linear differential operators acting on the spaces of weighted densities.

2. DEFINITIONS AND NOTATIONS

2.1. COHOMOLOGY THEORY

Let us first recall some fundamental concepts from cohomology theory (see, *e.g.*, [7]). Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra acting on a vector space V . The space of n -cochains of \mathfrak{g} with values in V is the \mathfrak{g} -module

$$C^n(\mathfrak{g}, V) := \text{Hom}(\Lambda^n \mathfrak{g}; V).$$

The *coboundary operator* $\delta_n : C^n(\mathfrak{g}, V) \longrightarrow C^{n+1}(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ is a \mathfrak{g} -map satisfying $\delta_n \circ \delta_{n-1} = 0$. The kernel of δ_n , denoted $Z^n(\mathfrak{g}, V)$, is the space of n -cocycles, among them, the elements in the range of δ_{n-1} are called n -coboundaries. We denote $B^n(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ the space of n -coboundaries.

By definition, the n^{th} cohomology space is the quotient space

$$H^n(\mathfrak{g}, V) = Z^n(\mathfrak{g}, V) / B^n(\mathfrak{g}, V).$$

We will only need the formula of δ_n (which will be simply denoted δ) in degrees 0 and 1: for $\Xi \in C^0(\mathfrak{g}, V) = V$, $\delta\Xi(g) := g \cdot \Xi$, and for $\Lambda \in C^1(\mathfrak{g}, V)$,

$$(2.2) \quad \delta(\Lambda)(g, h) := g \cdot \Lambda(h) - h \cdot \Lambda(g) - \Lambda([g, h]) \quad \text{for any } g, h \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

2.2. LIE ALGEBRA aff(1)

The Lie algebra aff(1) is realized as subalgebra of the Lie algebra $\text{Vect}(\mathbb{R})$ [1]:

$$\text{aff}(1) = \text{Span}(X_1 = \frac{d}{dx}, X_x = x \frac{d}{dx}).$$

The commutation relations are

$$[X_1, X_x] = X_1, \quad [X_x, X_x] = 0, \quad [X_1, X_1] = 0.$$

2.3. THE SPACE OF TENSOR DENSITIES ON \mathbb{R}

The Lie algebra, $\text{Vect}(\mathbb{R})$, of vector fields on \mathbb{R} naturally acts, by the Lie derivative, on the space

$$\mathcal{F}_\lambda = \left\{ f dx^\lambda : f \in C^\infty(S^1) \right\},$$

of weighted densities of degree λ . The Lie derivative L_X^λ of the space \mathcal{F}_λ along the vector field $X \frac{d}{dx}$ is defined by

$$(2.3) \quad L_X^\lambda = X \partial_x + \lambda X',$$

where $X, f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and $X' := \frac{dX}{dx}$. More precisely, for all $f dx^\lambda \in \mathcal{F}_\lambda$, we have

$$L_X^\lambda(f dx^\lambda) = (X f' + \lambda f X') dx^\lambda.$$

In the paper, we restrict ourselves to the Lie algebra aff(1) which is isomorphic to the Lie subalgebra of $\text{Vect}(\mathbb{R})$ spanned by

$$\{X_1, X_x\}.$$

2.4. THE SPACE OF n -ARY LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS AS A $\mathfrak{aff}(1)$ -module

The space of n -ary linear differential operators is a $Vect(\mathbb{R})$ -module, denoted

$$\mathcal{D}_{\underline{\lambda}, \mu} := \text{Hom}_{\text{diff}}(\mathcal{F}_{\lambda_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_n}, \mathcal{F}_{\mu}).$$

The $Vect(\mathbb{R})$ action is:

$$(2.4) \quad L_X^{\underline{\lambda}, \mu}(A) = L_X^{\mu} \circ A - A \circ L_X^{\underline{\lambda}},$$

where $\underline{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ and $L_X^{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)}$ is the Lie derivative on $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_n}$ defined by the Leibnitz rule:

$$L_X^{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)}(f_1 dx^{\lambda_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n dx^{\lambda_n}) = L_X^{\lambda_1}(f_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n dx^{\lambda_n} + \cdots + f_1 dx^{\lambda_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes L_X^{\lambda_n}(f_n dx^{\lambda_n}).$$

3. $\mathfrak{aff}(1)$ -INVARIANT n -ARY LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS

In this section, we will investigate differential operators on tensor densities that are $\mathfrak{aff}(1)$ -invariant.

For $\underline{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, we pose $\sigma = \mu - \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 - \dots - \lambda_n$ and we are interested in the space $\mathcal{I}_{\underline{\lambda}}^{\mu}$ of the n -ary linear differential operators who are $\mathfrak{aff}(1)$ -invariant. The elements of $\mathcal{I}_{\underline{\lambda}}^{\mu}$ are described as follows:

THEOREM 3.1. (a) If $\sigma \notin \mathbb{N}$, then $\mathcal{I}_{\underline{\lambda}}^{\mu} = \{0\}$.
(b) There exist $\mathfrak{aff}(1)$ -invariant n -ary linear differential operators

$$\mathcal{I}_{\underline{\lambda}}^{\mu} : \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_n} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \cdots + \lambda_n + k}$$

given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{\underline{\lambda}}^{\mu} : \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_n} &\longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \cdots + \lambda_n + k}, \\ \phi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_n &\longmapsto \sum_{i_1 + i_2 + \cdots + i_n = k} a_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n} \phi_1^{(i_1)} \phi_2^{(i_2)} \cdots \phi_n^{(i_n)}, \end{aligned}$$

where a_{i_1, \dots, i_n} are constants.

Proof. Let A be an n -ary linear differential operator

$$\begin{aligned} A : \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_n} &\longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\mu}, \\ (\phi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_n) &\longmapsto \sum_{k=0}^m \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_n = k} a_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \phi_1^{(i_1)} \cdots \phi_n^{(i_n)}, \end{aligned}$$

where a_{i_1, \dots, i_n} are, a priori, functions. A is aff(1)-invariant if and only if for all $X \in \text{aff}(1)$:

$$X.A = 0 \iff L_X^{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n, \mu}(A)(\phi_1 \otimes \phi_2 \otimes \dots \otimes \phi_n) = 0,$$

for all $(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n) \in \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_1} \times \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_2} \times \dots \times \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_n}$.

• The invariance with respect to X_1 is reflected in:

$$\sum_{k=0}^m \sum_{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_n=k} a'_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \phi_1^{(i_1)} \dots \phi_n^{(i_n)} = 0,$$

hence, a_{i_1, \dots, i_n} is a constant $\forall i_1, \dots, i_n$.

Now $A_k(\phi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \phi_n) = \sum_{i_1+\dots+i_n=k} a_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n} \phi_1^{(i_1)} \dots \phi_n^{(i_n)}$, denotes the

homogeneous component of A of order k . We see that A is aff(1)-invariant if and only if each of its homogeneous components is aff(1)-invariant. So we can without loss of generality, assume that A is homogeneous of order k .

The aff(1)-invariance of A is reflected in:

$$L_X^{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n, \mu}(A)(\phi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \phi_n) = 0,$$

for all $X \in \text{aff}(1)$, $(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n) \in \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_1} \times \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_2} \times \dots \times \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_n}$.

A direct computation proves that

$$L_X^{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n, \mu}(A)(\phi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \phi_n) = (\mu - \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 - \dots - \lambda_n - k) X' \sum_{i_1+\dots+i_n=k} a_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \phi_1^{(i_1)} \dots \phi_n^{(i_n)}.$$

So to examine the aff(1)-invariance of A we distinguish the following two cases:

- If $\sigma \neq k$, then $A = 0$.
- If $\sigma = k$, then

$$A = \sum_{i_1+\dots+i_n=k} a_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \phi_1^{(i_1)} \phi_2^{(i_2)} \dots \phi_n^{(i_n)},$$

where a_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n} are constants.

Hence the result. \square

4. THE SPACE $H_{\text{diff}}^1(\text{aff}(1), \mathcal{D}_{\underline{\lambda}, \mu})$

In this section, we will compute the *differentiable* cohomology of the Lie algebra aff(1) with coefficients in the space of n -ary linear differential operators $\mathcal{D}_{\underline{\lambda}, \mu}$ where $\underline{\lambda} = (\lambda_1 \dots, \lambda_n)$. Namely, we consider only cochains that are given by differentiable maps.

Any 1-cochain $c \in Z_{\text{diff}}^1(\mathbf{aff}(1), \mathcal{D}_{\Delta, \mu})$ has the following general form:

$$c(X, \phi_1, \dots, \phi_n) = X \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \alpha_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \phi_1^{(i_1)} \dots \phi_n^{(i_n)} + X' \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \beta_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \phi_1^{(i_1)} \dots \phi_n^{(i_n)},$$

where $\alpha_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n}$ and $\beta_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n}$ are, a priori, functions.

So, for any integer $k \geq 0$, we define the k -homogeneous component of c by

$$\begin{aligned} c_k(X, \phi_1, \dots, \phi_n) &= X \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_n = k} \alpha_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \phi_1^{(i_1)} \dots \phi_n^{(i_n)} \\ &\quad + X' \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_n = k-1} \beta_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \phi_1^{(i_1)} \dots \phi_n^{(i_n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Of course, we suppose that $\beta_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n} = 0$ if $k = 0$.

LEMMA 4.1. *Any 1-cochain $c \in C_{\text{diff}}^1(\mathbf{aff}(1), \mathcal{D}_{\Delta, \mu})$ is a 1-cocycle if and only if each of its homogeneous components is a 1-cocycle.*

The following lemma gives the general form of any homogeneous 1-cocycle.

LEMMA 4.2. *Up to a coboundary, any k -homogeneous 1-cocycle $c \in Z_{\text{diff}}^1(\mathbf{aff}(1), \mathcal{D}_{\Delta, \mu})$ can be expressed as follows. For all $(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n) \in \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_1} \times \dots \times \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_n}$ and for all $X \in \mathbf{aff}(1)$:*

$$c(X, \phi_1, \dots, \phi_n) = X' \sum_{i_1 + i_2 + \dots + i_n = k-1} \beta_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n} \phi_1^{(i_1)} \dots \phi_n^{(i_n)},$$

where β_{i_1, \dots, i_n} are constants.

Proof. Any k -homogeneous 1-cocycle on $\mathbf{aff}(1)$ has the following general form:

$$\begin{aligned} c(X, \phi_1, \dots, \phi_n) &= X \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_n = k} \alpha_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \phi_1^{(i_1)} \dots \phi_n^{(i_n)} \\ &\quad + X' \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_n = k-1} \beta_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \phi_1^{(i_1)} \dots \phi_n^{(i_n)}, \end{aligned}$$

where α_{i_1, \dots, i_n} and β_{i_1, \dots, i_n} are, a priori, functions. First, we prove that the terms in X can be annihilated by adding a coboundary. Let $b : \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_n} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\mu}$ be a n -ary linear differential operator defined by

$$b(\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_n) = \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_n = k} b_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \phi_1^{(i_1)} \dots \phi_n^{(i_n)},$$

where b_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n} are, a priori, functions and the coefficients b_{i_1, \dots, i_n} are functions satisfying

$$\frac{d}{dx}(b_{i_1, \dots, i_n}) = \alpha_{i_1, \dots, i_n}.$$

Then, for all $X \in \mathbf{aff}(1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta b(X, \phi_1, \dots, \phi_n) &= X \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_n = k} b'_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \phi_1^{(i_1)} \dots \phi_n^{(i_n)} \\ &+ X' \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_n = k} b_{i_1, \dots, i_n} (\mu - \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 - \dots - \lambda_n - k) \phi_1^{(i_1)} \dots \phi_n^{(i_n)}. \end{aligned}$$

We replace c by $\tilde{c} = c - \delta b$ and then we see that the 1-cocycle \tilde{c} does not contain terms in X . So, up to a coboundary, any k -homogeneous 1-cocycle on $\mathfrak{aff}(1)$ can be expressed as follows:

$$c(X, \phi_1, \dots, \phi_n) = X' \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_n = k-1} \beta_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \phi_1^{(i_1)} \dots \phi_n^{(i_n)}.$$

Now, consider the 1-cocycle condition:

$$X \cdot c(Y, \phi_1, \dots, \phi_n) - Y \cdot c(X, \phi_1, \dots, \phi_n) - c([X, Y], \phi_1, \dots, \phi_n) = 0,$$

where $X, Y \in \mathfrak{aff}(1)$ and $(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n) \in \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_1} \times \dots \times \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_n}$. A direct computation proves that we have

$$\begin{aligned} X \cdot c(Y, \phi_1, \dots, \phi_n) &= XY' \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_n = k-1} \beta'_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \phi_1^{(i_1)} \dots \phi_n^{(i_n)} \\ &+ X'Y' \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_n = k-1} \beta_{i_1, \dots, i_n} (\mu - \lambda_1 - \dots - \lambda_n - k) \phi_1^{(i_1)} \dots \phi_n^{(i_n)}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} Y \cdot c(X, \phi_1, \dots, \phi_n) &= X'Y \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_n = k-1} \beta'_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \phi_1^{(i_1)} \dots \phi_n^{(i_n)} \\ &+ X'Y' \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_n = k-1} \beta_{i_1, \dots, i_n} (\mu - \lambda_1 - \dots - \lambda_n - k) \phi_1^{(i_1)} \dots \phi_n^{(i_n)}. \end{aligned}$$

$$c([X, Y], \phi_1, \dots, \phi_n) = 0.$$

$$\begin{aligned} X \cdot c(Y, \phi_1, \dots, \phi_n) - Y \cdot c(X, \phi_1, \dots, \phi_n) - c([X, Y], \phi_1, \dots, \phi_n) &= \\ &= (XY' - X'Y) \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_n = k-1} \beta'_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \phi_1^{(i_1)} \dots \phi_n^{(i_n)}. \end{aligned}$$

So, c is a 1-cocycle if and only if each of its β_{i_1, \dots, i_n} are constants. \square

THEOREM 4.3.

$$H_{diff}^1(\mathfrak{aff}(1), \mathcal{D}_{\underline{\lambda}, \mu}) \simeq \begin{cases} \mathbb{R}^{f_n(k)} & \text{if } \mu - \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 - \dots - \lambda_n = k \in \mathbb{N}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

where

$$f_n(k) = \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} f_{n-1}(i-1), \quad f_1(k) = 1.$$

Proof. By using Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.2 any 1-cocycle on $\mathbf{aff}(1)$ has the following general form:

$$(4.5) \quad c(X, \phi_1, \dots, \phi_n) = X' \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_n = k} \beta_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \phi_1^{(i_1)} \dots \phi_n^{(i_n)},$$

where β_{i_1, \dots, i_n} are constants.

Now we are going to deal with trivial 1-cocycles, and show how the general 1-cocycles (4.5) can be eventually trivial. Any trivial 1-cocycle should be of the form:

$$L_X^{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n, \mu}(\mathcal{B}),$$

where

$$\mathcal{B}(\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_n) = \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_n = k} \delta_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \phi_1^{(i_1)} \dots \phi_n^{(i_n)},$$

δ_{i_1, \dots, i_n} are constants.

By a direct computation we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_X^{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n, \mu}(\mathcal{B})(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n) \\ = X' \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_n = k} \delta_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n} (\mu - \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 - \dots - \lambda_n - k) \phi_1^{(i_1)} \dots \phi_n^{(i_n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Then to determine our space $H_{diff}^1(\mathbf{aff}(1), \mathcal{D}_{\underline{\lambda}, \mu})$ we distinguish the following two cases:

- if $\mu - \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 - \dots - \lambda_n \notin \mathbb{N}$, then c is trivial.
- if $\mu - \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 - \dots - \lambda_n = k \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$L_X^{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n, \mu}(\mathcal{B})(\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_n) = 0.$$

Thus the dimensions of $H_{diff}^1(\mathbf{aff}(1), \mathcal{D}_{\underline{\lambda}, \mu})$ and $Z_{diff}^1(\mathbf{aff}(1), \mathcal{D}_{\underline{\lambda}, \mu})$ are equal.

Now we are going to determine the dimension of $Z_{diff}^1(\mathbf{aff}(1), \mathcal{D}_{\underline{\lambda}, \mu})$.

Let d be a 1-cocycle on $\mathbf{aff}(1)$, then d has the following general form:

$$d(X, \phi_1, \dots, \phi_n) = X' \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_n = k} \kappa_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n} \phi_1^{(i_1)} \dots \phi_n^{(i_n)},$$

where κ_{i_1, \dots, i_n} are constants.

So $Z_{diff}^1(\mathbf{aff}(1), \mathcal{D}_{\underline{\lambda}, \dots, \lambda_n, \mu})$ is generated by the family

$$(X' \phi_1^{(i_1)} \phi_2^{(i_2)} \dots \phi_n^{(i_n)})_{i_1 + i_2 + \dots + i_n = k}.$$

And consequently the dimension of $Z_{diff}^1(\mathbf{aff}(1), \mathcal{D}_{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n, \mu})$ is the number of the constants $\kappa_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n}$ such that $i_1 + i_2 + \dots + i_n = k$.

The number of the constants $\kappa_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n}$ such that $i_1 + i_2 + \dots + i_n = k$ is determined by iteration and is equal to $f_n(k)$, where

$$f_n(k) = \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} f_{n-1}(i-1), \quad f_1(k) = 1. \quad \square$$

Acknowledgments. We would like to thank Professor Mabrouk Ben Ammar for helpful suggestions and remarks.

REFERENCES

- [1] Imed Basdouri, Ismail Laraiedh and Othmen Ncib, *The linear aff($n|1$)-invariant differential operators on weighted densities on the superspace $\mathbb{R}^{1|n}$ and aff($n|1$)-relative cohomology*. Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys. **10** (2013), 04, 1320004.
- [2] Imed Basdouri and Salem Omri, *Cohomology of the Lie superalgebra of contact vector fields on weighted densities on the superspace $\mathbb{K}^{1|n}$* . Math. Reports **18(68)** (2016), 1, 121–128.
- [3] Mabrouk Ben Ammar, Amina Jabeur and Imen Safi, *Cohomology of osp($1|2$) acting on the space of bilinear differential operators on the superspace $\mathbb{R}^{1|1}$* . Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys. **9** (2012), 4, 1250033.
- [4] S. Bouarroudj, *Cohomology of the vector fields Lie algebras on $\mathbb{R}P^1$ acting on bilinear differential operators*, Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys. **2** (2005), 1, 23–40.
- [5] A. Fialowski, *Deformations of Lie algebras*. Mat. Sbornik USSR **127(169)** (1985), 476–482; English translation: Math. USSR-Sb. **55** (1986), 2, 467–473.
- [6] A. Fialowski, *An example of formal deformations of Lie algebras*. NATO Conference on Deformation Theory of Algebras and Applications, Il Ciocco, Italy, 1986, *Proceedings*. Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1988, pp. 375–401.
- [7] D.B. Fuchs, *Cohomology of infinite-dimensional Lie algebras*. Plenum Publ. New York, 1986.
- [8] M. Gerstenhaber, *On deformation of rings and algebras, I*. Ann. Math. **79** (1964), 59–103.
- [9] M. Gerstenhaber, *On deformation of rings and algebras, II*. Ann. Math. **84** (1966), 1–19.
- [10] M. Gerstenhaber, *On deformation of rings and algebras, III*. Ann. Math. **88** (1968), 1–34.
- [11] M. Gerstenhaber, *On deformation of rings and algebras, IV*. Ann. Math. **97** (1974), 257–276.
- [12] A. Nijenhuis and R. Richardson, *Cohomology and deformations of algebraic structures*. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **70** (1964), 406–411.
- [13] A. Nijenhuis and R. Richardson, *Cohomology and deformations in graded Lie algebras*. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1966), 1–29.

Received 28 April 2015

Université de Gafsa,
Faculté des Sciences de Gafsa,
Département de Mathématiques,
Tunisie
okbabasdouri1@yahoo.fr
aminenasri7@gmail.com