# SOME NEW CHARACTERIZATION OF SOLVABLE PST-GROUPS

# G.R. REZAEEZADEH and S.E. MIRDAMADI

Communicated by Vasile Brînzănescu

Let H be a subgroup of a finite group G. We say that H is  $\tau$ SS-permutable (rep.  $\tau$ SS-normal) in G if H has a supplement K in G such that H permutes (resp. is normalized) with every Sylow subgroup X of K with  $(|H|, |X^G|) \neq 1$ . In this paper, the Structure of  $\tau$ SS-permutable subgroups, and finite groups in which  $\tau$ SS-permutability is a transitive relation are described. It is shown that a finite solvable group G is a PST-group if and only if every subgroup of  $F^*(G)$  is  $\tau$ SS-permutable in G.

AMS 2010 Subject Classification: Primary 20D10, Secondary 20D20, 20D35.

Key words: PST-groups,  $\tau$ SS-permutable,  $\tau$ SST-groups,  $\tau$ SS-normal.

# 1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, all the groups are considered to be finite. For a group G, we denote by  $\pi(G)$  the set of prime numbers dividing |G|;  $H^G$  is the normal closure of H in G, that is, the intersection of all normal subgroups of G containing H;  $F^*(G)$  the generalized Fitting subgroup of G, that is, the product of all normal quasinilpotent subgroup of G. Two subgroups H and K of a group G are said to be permutable if HK = KH. A subgroup H of a group G is said to be permutable (resp. S-permutable) in G if H permutes with all the subgroups (resp. Sylow subgroups) of G. A group G is called a T-group (resp. PT-group, PST-group) if normality (resp. permutability, Spermutability) is a transitive relation, that is if H and K are subgroups of Gsuch that H is normal (resp. permutable, S-permutable) in K and K is normal (resp. permutable, S-permutable) in G, then H is normal (resp. permutable), S-permutable) in G. Let G be a group and  $p \in \pi(G)$ . A group G is said to be  $y_p$ -group when, for all p-subgroups H and K of G with  $H \leq K$ , H is S-permutable in  $N_G(K)$ .

By [11], a group G is a PST-group if and only if every subnormal subgroup of G is S-permutable in G. Agrawal [1] showed that a group G is a solvable PST-group if and only if the nilpotent residual L of G is a normal abelian Hall subgroup of G upon which G acts by conjugation as power automorphisms. In particular, a solvable PST-group is supersolvable. The structure of PST-groups has been investigated by many authors, see for example [2, 4, 7].

A subgroup H of a group G is said to be  $\tau$ -quasinormal in G if  $HG_p = G_p H$  for every  $G_p \in Syl_p(G)$ , where p is prime number, with (|H|, p) = 1 and  $(|H|, |(G_p)^G|) \neq 1$ . A group G is called a TQT-group [14] if  $\tau$ -quasinormality is a transitive relation in G.

A subgroup H of a group G is said to be SS-permutable (resp. NSS-permutable) [9,12] in G if H has a supplement (resp. normal supplement) K in G such that H permutes with every Sylow subgroup of K.

In this case, K is called an SS-permutable supplement (resp. NSSpermutable supplement) of H in G. A group G is said to be SST-group (resp. NSST-group) [9] if SS-permutability (resp. NSS-permutability) is a transitive relation. The following results have been established by Chen and Guo.

THEOREM 1.1 ([9] Theorem 1.5). Let G be a group. Then the following statements are equivalent:

(1) G is solvable and every subnormal subgroup of G is SS-permutable in G.

- (2) G is solvable and every subnormal subgroup of G is NSS-permutable in G.
- (3) Every subgroup of  $F^*(G)$  is SS-permutable in G.
- (4) Every subgroup of  $F^*(G)$  is NSS-permutable in G.
- (5) G is a solvable PST-group.

THEOREM 1.2 ([9] Theorem 1.6). Let G be a group. Then the following statements are equivalent:

(1) Whenever  $H \leq K$  are two p-subgroups of G with  $p \in \pi(G)$ , H is SS-permutable in  $N_G(K)$ .

(2) Whenever  $H \leq K$  are two p-subgroups of G with  $p \in \pi(G)$ , H is NSS-permutable in  $N_G(K)$ .

(3) G is a solvable PST-group.

THEOREM 1.3 ([9] Theorem 1.7). Let G be a solvable group. Then the following statements are equivalent:

(1) G is an SST-group.

- (2) G is an NSST-group.
- (3) Every subgroup of G is SS-permutable in G.
- (4) Every subgroup of G is NSS-permutable in G.
- (5) Every subgroup of G of prime power order is SS-permutable in G.
- (6) Every subgroup of G of prime power order is NSS-permutable in G.

Definition 1.4. We say that a subgroup H of a group G is  $\tau$ SS-permutable (resp.  $\tau$ NSS-permutable) in G if H has a supplement (resp. normal supplement) K in G such that H permutes with every Sylow subgroup X of K with

 $(|H|, |X^G|) \neq 1$ . In this case, K is called a  $\tau$ SS-permutable supplement (resp.  $\tau$ NSS-permutable supplement) of H in G.

It is clear that every  $\tau$ NSS-permutable subgroup of a group G is a  $\tau$ SS-permutable subgroup in G, but the next example illustrates that the converse is not true.

Example 1. Let  $G = \mathbb{A}_5$  and  $H = \mathbb{A}_4$ , where  $\mathbb{A}_5$  and  $\mathbb{A}_4$  denote the alternating group of degree 5 and 4, respectively. Clearly every 5-Sylow subgroup of G is a  $\tau$ SS-permutable supplement of H in G. If H is  $\tau$ NSS-permutable in G, then G is the only  $\tau$ NSS-permutable supplement of H in G. This implies that H permutes with every Sylow subgroup X of G with  $(|X|, |H^G|) \neq 1$ . Since  $\mathbb{A}_5$  is simple, H is S-permutable in G. Hence H is subnormal in G, which is impossible. Therefore, H is not  $\tau$ NSS-permutable in G.

Definition 1.5. We say that a group G is a  $\tau$ SST-group (resp. a  $\tau$ NSST-group) if  $\tau$ SS-permutability (resp.  $\tau$ NSS-permutability) is a transitive relation.

A subgroup H of a group G is said to be seminormal (resp. S-seminormal) [8] in G if H is normalized by all subgroups (resp. Sylow subgroups) X of Gsuch that (|H|, |X|) = 1. Beidlema and Raglad [8] showed that a subgroup Hof a group G is seminormal in G if ad only if it is S-seminormal in G. They also showed that if a p-subgroup H of a group G is seminormal in G, then His subnormal in G. A subgroup H of a group G is said to be  $\tau$ -seminormal [3] in G if H is normalized by all Sylow subgroups X of G such that (|H|, |X|) = 1ad  $(|H|, |X^G|) \neq 1$ . Ballester-Bolinches and Beidlema [3] showed that a finite solvable group G is a PST-group if and only if every subgroup of F(G) is  $\tau$ -seminormal in G.

Definition 1.6. We say that a subgroup H of a group G is  $\tau$ SS-normal in G if H has a supplement K in G such that H is normalized by all Sylow subgroups X of K with  $(|H|, |X^G|) \neq 1$ . In this case, K is called a  $\tau$ SS-normal supplement of H in G

The aim of this paper is to complement some earlier characterizations for some classes of groups, such as PST-groups, by using the  $\tau$ SS-permutable and  $\tau$ SS-normal concepts. For example, we extend Theorems 1.1, 1.2, and 1.3 using the concept of  $\tau$ SS-permutable subgroup.

THEOREM 1.7. Let G be a solvable  $\tau SST$ -group. Then G is a supersolvable group.

THEOREM 1.8. Let G be a group. Then the following statements are equivalent: (1) G is solvable and every subnormal subgroup of G is  $\tau SS$ -permutable in G. (2) G is solvable and every subnormal subgroup of G is τNSS-permutable in G.
(3) Every subgroup of F\*(G) is τSS-permutable in G.

(4) Every subgroup of  $F^*(G)$  is  $\tau NSS$ -permutable in G.

(5) G is a solvable PST-group.

THEOREM 1.9. Let G be a group. Then the following statements are equivalent:

(1) Whenever  $H \leq K$  are two p-subgroups of G with  $p \in \pi(G)$ , H is  $\tau SS$ -permutable in  $N_G(K)$ .

(2) Whenever  $H \leq K$  are two p-subgroups of G with  $p \in \pi(G)$ , H is  $\tau NSS$ -permutable in  $N_G(K)$ .

(3) G is a solvable PST-group.

THEOREM 1.10. Let G be a solvable group. Then the following statements are equivalent:

(1) G is a  $\tau SST$ -group.

(2) G is a  $\tau NSST$ -group.

(3) Every subgroup of G is  $\tau SS$ -permutable in G.

(4) Every subgroup of G is  $\tau NSS$ -permutable in G.

THEOREM 1.11. Let G be a group and for any  $p \in \pi(G)$ , every p-subgroup of G is  $\tau SS$ -normal in G. Then G is a PST-group.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we give some results which are useful in the sequel. The following first lemma is easy to prove.

LEMMA 2.1. Let  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  be subgroups of a group G and assume that  $N_1N_2 \leq G$ . If  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are Sylow p-subgroups of  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  respectively, where  $p \in \pi(G)$ , and  $P_1P_2 \leq N_1N_2$ , then  $P_1P_2$  is a Sylow p-subgroup of  $N_1N_2$ .

LEMMA 2.2. Suppose that a subgroup H of a group G is  $\tau SS$ -permutable (resp.  $\tau NSS$ -permutable) in G with a  $\tau SS$ -permutable supplement (resp.  $\tau NSS$ -permutable supplement)  $K, L \leq G$  and  $N \leq G$ . Then:

(1) HN/N is  $\tau SS$ -permutable (resp.  $\tau NSS$ -permutable) in G/N.

(2) If N is a p-group,  $N \leq L$ , and L/N is  $\tau SS$ -permutable (resp.  $\tau NSS$ -permutable) in G/N, then L is  $\tau SS$ -permutable (resp.  $\tau NSS$ -permutable) in G. (3) H is  $\tau$ -quasinormal in G.

(4) If  $H \leq O_p(G)$ , for some  $p \in \pi(G)$ , then H is S-permutable in G.

(5) If N is nilpotent, then NK is an  $\tau SS$ -permutable supplement (resp.  $\tau NSS$ -permutable supplement) of H in G.

*Proof.* We only prove the statements for  $\tau$ SS-permutable subgroups. For  $\tau$ NSS-permutable subgroups, the statements can be handled similarly.

(1) It is clear that KN/N is a supplement of HN/N in G/N. Let A/N be a Sylow *p*-subgroup of KN/N, where *p* is a prime number, such that  $(|HN/N|, |(A/N)^{G/N}|) \neq 1$ . Note that A/N has the form XN/N, where *X* is a Sylow *p*-subgroup of KN. Further, by (VI. 4.7) in [10], there exist Sylow *p*-subgroups  $K_p$ ,  $N_p$ , and *Y* of *K*, *N*, and *G*, respectively such that  $Y = K_pN_p$ . By Sylow's theorem,  $XN/N = (YN/N)^{kN} = K_p^k N/N$ , for some  $k \in K$ . Since  $(K_p^k N/N)^{G/N} \leq ((K_p^k)^G N)/N$ , by hypothesis we obtain that  $HK_p^k = K_p^k H$ . Therefore, we have:

$$\frac{HN}{N}\frac{XN}{N} = \frac{HK_p^kN}{N} = \frac{K_p^kHN}{N} = \frac{XN}{N}\frac{HN}{N}.$$

This shows that HN/N is  $\tau$ SS-permutable in G/N.

(2) Let B/N be a  $\tau$ SS-permutable supplement of L/N in G/N. Then B is a supplement of L in G. Let X be a Sylow q-subgroup of B such that  $(|L|, |X^G|) \neq 1$ , where  $q \in \pi(G)$ . Then XN/N is a Sylow q-subgroup of B/N. If  $q \neq p$ , we have that L = N or  $(|L/N|, |(XN/N)^{G/N}|) \neq 1$ . Hence (XN/N)(L/N) = (L/N)(XN/N) implies that XL = LX. Now suppose that q = p. If  $(|L/N|, |(XN/N)^G|) = 1$ , then X = N or L = N. Hence XL = LX. Otherwise  $(|L/N|, |(XN/N)^G|) \neq 1$  yields XL = LX. This completes the proof.

(3) Let X be a Sylow subgroup of G such that (|H|, |X|) = 1 and  $(|H|, |X^G|) \neq 1$ . Then there exists an element  $h \in H$  such that  $X^h \leq K$ . It follows that  $HX^h = X^h H$  and so HX = XH. Therefore, H is  $\tau$ -quasinormal in G.

(4) By (3) and Lemma 2.2 in [13], we can obtain that H is S-permutable in G. (5) Since N is nilpotent, for every  $N_p \in Syl_p(N)$ , where  $p \in \pi(G)$ , we have  $N_p \leq G$ . By Lemma 2.1, for every  $K_p \in Syl_p(K)$ ,  $N_pK_p \in Syl_p(NK)$ . Let X be a Sylow p-subgroup of NK such that  $(|H|, |X^G|) \neq 1$ . Then there exists an element  $g \in NK$  such that  $X = (N_pK_p)^g$  for some  $N_p \in Syl_p(N)$ and  $K_p \in Syl_p(K)$ . Note that  $((N_pK_p)^g)^G = N_pK_p^G$ . If  $(|H|, |K_p^G|) = 1$ , then  $K_p = 1$ . Otherwise  $(|H|, |K_p^G|) \neq 1$  and so  $HK_p = K_pH$ . Therefore, XH = HX and this shows that NK is an  $\tau$ SS-permutable supplement of Hin G.  $\Box$ 

LEMMA 2.3 ([6] Lemma 2.1.3). Let p be a prime and N a normal p-subgroup of a group G. Then all subgroups of N are S-permutable in G if and only if all chief factors of G below N are cyclic and G-isomorphic when regarded as modules over G.

**PROPOSITION 2.4.** Suppose that a subgroup H of a group G is  $\tau$  SS-permutable

(resp.  $\tau NSS$ -permutable) in G with a  $\tau SS$ -permutable supplement (resp.  $\tau NSS$ -permutable supplement) K. Then:

(1) If  $H \leq L$ , then H is  $\tau SS$ -permutable (resp.  $\tau NSS$ -permutable) in L. (2) Every conjugate of K in G is  $\tau SS$ -permutable supplement (resp.  $\tau NSS$ -permutable supplement) of H in G.

(3) If H is a p-subgroup, where  $p \in \pi(G)$ , then  $HK_p \in Syl_p(G)$  for every  $K_p \in Syl_p(K)$ .

*Proof.* We only prove the statements for  $\tau$ SS-permutable subgroups. For  $\tau$ NSS-permutable subgroups, the statements can be handled similarly.

(1) By Dedekind identity, we have  $L = (HK) \cap L = H(K \cap L)$ . This means that  $(K \cap L)$  is a supplement of H in L. Now let X be a Sylow subgroup of  $K \cap L$  such that  $(|H|, |X^L|) \neq 1$ . Then there exists  $Y \in Syl(K)$  such that  $X \leq Y$ . Since  $X \leq (Y^G \cap L) \leq L$ , we have  $X^L \leq Y^G$  and so HY = YH. Consequently,  $HY \cap L = H(Y \cap L) = HX$  and  $L \cap (YH) = (L \cap Y)H = XH$ . Therefore, HX = XH and this shows that H is  $\tau$ SS-permutable in L.

(2) If  $g \in G$ , it is easy to see that  $K^g H = G$ . Now suppose that X is a Sylow subgroup of  $K^g$  such that  $(|H|, |X^G|) \neq 1$ . Then  $X^{g^{-1}}$  is a Sylow subgroup of K and  $(|H|, |(X^{g^{-1}})^G|) \neq 1$ . Hence  $X^{g^{-1}}H = HX^{g^{-1}}$  implies that XH = HX. Therefore, for every  $g \in G$ ,  $K^g$  is a  $\tau$ SS-permutable supplement of H in G. (3)Let  $K_p \in Syl_p(K)$ . Then  $(|H|, |K_p^G|) \neq 1$  and so  $HK_p = K_pH$ . Now by

Lemma 2.1,  $HK_p$  is a Sylow *p*-subgroup of G.  $\Box$ 

LEMMA 2.5. Suppose that a subgroup H of a group G is  $\tau SS$ -normal in G with an  $\tau SS$ -normal supplement  $K, L \leq G$ , and  $N \leq G$ . Then:

(1) HN/N is  $\tau SS$ -normal in G/N.

(2) if N is a p-group,  $N \leq L$ , and L/N is  $\tau SS$ -normal in G/N, then L is  $\tau SS$ -normal in G.

(3) If  $H \leq L$ , then H is  $\tau SS$ -normal in L.

- (4) H is  $\tau$ -seminormal in G.
- (5) If  $H \leq F(G)$ , then H is normal in G.

*Proof.* Using ideas similar to those used in the proof of Proposition 2.4(1) and of Lemma 2.2(1,2,3), one can prove (1)-(4).

(5) Let Q be a Sylow q-subgroup of G with  $q \in \pi$ , where  $\pi = \pi(G) - \pi(H)$ . If  $Q \notin N_G(H)$ , by hypothesis  $Q^G \leq O_{\pi}(G)$ . Hence  $H \leq O_{\pi'}(G) \leq C_G(Q)$ , a contradiction. Thus  $Q \leq N_G(H)$ . Note that for every Sylow p-subgroup P of  $K, P \leq N_G(H)$ , where  $p \in \pi(H)$ . Therefore,  $K \leq N_G(H)$  and so  $H \leq G$ .  $\Box$ 

LEMMA 2.6. Suppose that a subgroup H of a group G is  $\tau SS$ -normal in G with the  $\tau SS$ -normal supplement G and  $L \leq G$ . If  $H \leq L$ , then H is  $\tau SS$ -normal in L with the  $\tau SS$ -normal supplement L.

*Proof.* The proof is similar to that of Proposition 2.4(1).  $\Box$ 

LEMMA 2.7 ([14] Theorem 1.2). Let G be a group. Then every subgroup of  $F^*(G)$  is  $\tau$ -quasinormal in G if and only if G is a solvable PST-group.

PROPOSITION 2.8. Let G be a nilpotent-by-abelian group and  $H \leq G$ . Then H is  $\tau SS$ -permutable in G if and only if H is  $\tau NSS$ -permutable in G.

Proof. The sufficiency is clear. Let H be  $\tau$ SS-permutable in G with a  $\tau$ SS-permutable supplement K. Since G is nilpotent-by-abelian,  $G' \leq F(G)$  and so G/F(G) is abelian. By Lemma 2.2(5), F(G)K is also an  $\tau$ SS-permutable supplement of H in G. As  $F(G)K \leq G$ , F(G)K is an  $\tau$ NSS-permutable supplement of H in G. Therefore, H is  $\tau$ NSS-permutable in G.  $\Box$ 

#### 3. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULTS

Proof of Theorem 1.7. We only prove statement for  $\tau$ SS-permutable. Let N be a minimal normal subgroup of G. Note that G is a solvable group and so N is elementary abelian p-subgroup of G. By Lemma 2.2(1) and (2), G/N is also a  $\tau$ SST-group. By induction G/N is an supersolvable group. Now we only need to prove that N is simple. Since G is a  $\tau$ SST-group, all subgroups of N are  $\tau$ SS-permutable in G. By Lemma 2.2(4), all subgroups of N are Spermutable in G. By Lemma 2.3, N is cyclic and so N is simple. Therefore, G is supersolvable group.  $\Box$ 

Proof of Theorem 1.8. It is clear that (2) implies (1), and (4) implies (3). Assume that G is a solvable PST-group. Then every subnormal subgroup of G is S-permutable in G and so  $\tau$ NSS-permutable in G. Therefore, (5) implies (2).

Now we show that (3) implies (5). Suppose that every subgroup of  $F^*(G)$  is  $\tau$ SS-permutable in G. Then by Lemma 2.2(3), every subgroup of  $F^*(G)$  is  $\tau$ -quasinormal in G. Hence by Lemma 2.7, G is a solvable PST-group and thus (5) holds.

Finally, we prove that (1) implies (4). Assume that G is a solvable and every subnormal subgroup of G is  $\tau$ SS-permutable in G. Then  $F^*(G) = F(G)$ by [10] (X. Corollary 13.7(d)). Therefore, every subgroup of F(G) is  $\tau$ SSpermutable in G and so every subgroup of prime power order of F(G) is  $\tau$ SSpermutable in G. By Lemma 2.2(4), every subgroup of prime power order of F(G) is S-permutable and so every subgroup of F(G) is S-permutable in G. Thus every subgroup of F(G) is  $\tau$ NSS-permutable in G.  $\Box$ 

Proof of Theorem 1.9. It is clear that (2) implies (1). Assume that (1) holds. By Lemma 2.2(4), whenever  $H \leq K$  are two *p*-subgroup of *G* with  $p \in \pi(G)$ , *H* is S-permutable in  $N_G(K)$ . It follows from [5] (3, Theorem 4),

that G is a solvable PST-group and so (3) follows. By [5] (3, Theorem 4), again, we also see that (3) implies (2).  $\Box$ 

Proof of Theorem 1.10.  $(1 \leftrightarrow 3)$  Clearly (3) implies (1).

Now suppose that G is a  $\tau$ SST-group. Then every subnormal subgroup of G is  $\tau$ SS-permutable in G. By Theorem 1.8, G is a PST-group. Let L be the nilpotent residual of G. Then for any subgroup H of G, H is  $\tau$ SS-permutable in HL, because L is a normal abelian subgroup of G. Since HL is subnormal subgroup of G, we deduce that H is  $\tau$ SS-permutable in G.

 $(2 \leftrightarrow 4)$  With a similar argument as above, we get that (2) is equivalent to (4). (1  $\leftrightarrow$  2) It is clear that (2) implies (1). Now suppose that G is a  $\tau$ SST-group. By Theorem 1.7 and Lemma 2.8, it easy to see that (1) implies (2).  $\Box$ 

Proof of Theorem 1.11. Let G be a group and for any  $p \in \pi(G)$ , every p-subgroup of G is  $\tau$ SS-normal in G. By Theorem (4) in [5], it is enough to show that G is a  $Y_p$ -group for all primes  $p \in \pi(G)$ . Let  $H \leq K$  be p-subgroup of G, where  $p \in \pi(G)$ . By hypothesis, H is  $\tau$ SS-normal in G and so by Lemma 2.5(3,5),  $H \leq N_G(K)$ . Hence H is S-permutable in  $N_G(K)$ . This means that H is a  $Y_p$ -group.  $\Box$ 

# 4. APPLICATIONS

In this section, we will establish some applications that involve the concepts of  $\tau$ -quasinormal,  $\tau$ SS-normal, and  $\tau$ -seminormal subgroups.

PROPOSITION 4.1. A subgroup H of a group G is  $\tau$ -quasinormal in G if and only if H has a supplement K in G such that H permutes with every Sylow subgroup X of K such that (|H|, |X|) = 1 and  $(|H|, |X^G|) \neq 1$ .

*Proof.* In Lemma 2.2(3), the necessity is proved and the sufficiency is obvious.  $\Box$ 

PROPOSITION 4.2. Let G be a group. Then the following statements are equivalent:

(1) Whenever  $H \leq K$  are two p-subgroups of G with  $p \in \pi(G)$ , H is  $\tau$ -quasi-normal in  $N_G(K)$ .

(2) G is a solvable PST-group.

*Proof.* The proof follows by an argument similar to that in Theorem 1.9, except that here we use Lemma 2.1 in [14] instead of Lemma 2.2(4).  $\Box$ 

PROPOSITION 4.3. Let G be a solvable group. Then the following statements are equivalent:

(1) G is a TQT-group.
(2) Every subgroup of G is τ-quasinormal in G.

*Proof.* For the proof one may use an argument similar to that used in the proof of Theorem 1.10, and also Theorem 1.2 in [14], which shows that if every subgroup of a group G is  $\tau$ -quasinormal in G, then G is a PST-group.  $\Box$ 

PROPOSITION 4.4. Let G be a solvable group. If  $\tau SS$ -normality is a transitive relation in G, then G is a supersolvable group.

*Proof.* Let N be a minimal normal subgroup of G. Note that G is a solvable group and so N is elementary abelian p-subgroup of G. By Lemma 2.5(1,2),  $\tau$ SS-normality is a transitive relation in G/N. By induction G/N is a supersolvable group. Since  $\tau$ SS-normality is a transitive relation, by Lemma 2.5(5), all subgroups of N are normal in G and so N is simple. Therefore, G is a supersolvable group.  $\Box$ 

PROPOSITION 4.5. Let G be a group and every subgroups of  $F^*(G)$  is  $\tau SS$ -normal in G. Then G is a solvable PST-group.

*Proof.* Assume that every subgroup of  $F^*(G)$  is  $\tau$ SS-normal in G. Then every subgroup of  $F^*(G)$  is  $\tau$ SS-permutable in G. Hence by Theorem 1.8, G is a solvable PST-group.  $\Box$ 

PROPOSITION 4.6. A subgroup H of a group G is  $\tau$ -seminormal in G if and only if H has a supplement K in G such that H is normalized by all Sylow subgroups X of K such that (|H|, |X|) = 1 and  $(|H|, |X^G|) \neq 1$ .

*Proof.* The necessity follows by Lemma 2.5(4), and the sufficiency is obvious.  $\Box$ 

PROPOSITION 4.7. Let G be a group and whenever  $H \leq K$  are two psubgroups of G with  $p \in \pi(G)$ , H is  $\tau SS$ -normal in  $N_G(K)$ , then G is a solvable PST-group.

*Proof.* Assume that whenever  $H \leq K$  are two *p*-subgroups of *G* with  $p \in \pi(G)$ , *H* is  $\tau$ SS-normal in  $N_G(K)$ . Then *H* is  $\tau$ SS-permutable in  $N_G(K)$ . Now by Theorem 1.9, *G* is a solvable PST-group.  $\Box$ 

Acknowledgments. The authors thank the anonymous referees for their useful comments and suggestions, which helped us in improving the presentation of the manuscript. The authors also thank Shahrekord University for the financial support. The authors were also partially supported by the Center of Excellence for Mathematics, University of Shahrekord, Shahrekord, Iran.

#### REFERENCES

- R.K. Agrawal, Finite groups whose Subnormal subgroups permutes with all Sylow subgroups. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 47 (1975), 1, 77–83.
- [2] M.J. Alejander, A. Ballester-Bolinches and M.C. Pedraza-Aguilera, *Finite soluble groups with permutable subnormal subgroups*. J. Algebra 240 (2001), 705–722.

- [3] A. Ballester-Bolinches and J.C. Beidleman, Some new characterization of PST-groups. Ric. Mat. 61 (2012), 291–297.
- [4] A. Ballester-Bolinches and R. Esteban-Romero, On finite soluble groups in which Sylow permutability is transitive relation. Acta Math. Hungar. **101** (2003), 3, 193–202.
- [5] A. Ballester-Bolinches and R. Esteban-Romero, Sylow permutable subnormal subgroups of finite groups. J. Algebra 251 (2002), 727–738.
- [6] A. Ballester-Bolinches, R. Esteban-Romero and M. Asaad, Products of Finite Groups, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, New York, 2010.
- [7] J.C. Beidleman and H. Heineken, Finite soluble groups whose subnormal subgroups permute with certain classes of subgroups. J. Group Theory 6 (2003), 139-158.
- [8] J.C. Beidleman and M.F. Ragland, Subnormal, permutable, and embedded subgroups in finite groups. Cent. Eur. J. Math. 9 (2011), 4, 915–921.
- [9] X. Chen and W. Guo, *Finite groups in which SS-permutability is a transitive relation*. Acta Math. Hungar. **143** (2014), 466–479.
- [10] B. Huppert and N. Blackburn, *Finite Group III*, Springer-Verlage, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1982.
- [11] O.H. Kegel, Sylow-Gruppen und subnormalteiler endlicher Gruppen. Math. Z. 78 (1962), 205-221.
- [12] S. Li, Z. Shen, J. Liu and X. Liu, The influence of SS-quasinormality of some subgroups on the structure of finite groups. J. Algebra **319** (2008), 4275–4287.
- [13] V.O. Lukyanenko and A.N. Skiba, On weakly τ-quasinormal subgroups of finite groups. Acta Math. Hungar. 125 (2009), 3, 285–293.
- [14] V.O. Lukyanenko and A.N. Skiba, Finite groups in which τ-quasinormality is a transitive relation. Rend. Semin. Mat. Univ. Padova 124 (2010), 231–246.
- [15] D.J. Robinson, A Course in the Theory of Groups, Springer-Verlage, New York, 1996.
- [16] P. Shemid, Subgroup permutable with all Sylow subgroups. J. Algebra 207 (1998), 285– 293.
- [17] L. Wang, Y. Li and Y. Wang, Finite groups in which (S-)semipermutability is a transitive relation. Internat. J. Algebra 2 (2008), 3, 143–152.
- [18] Q. Zhang, S-semipermutability and abnormality in finite groups. Comm. Algebra 27 (1999), 9, 4515–4524.

Received 21 February 2015

Shahrekord University, Faculty of Mathematical Sciences, P.O. Box 115, Shahrekord, Iran rezaeezadeh@sci.sku.ac.ir

Shahrekord University, Faculty of Mathematical Sciences, P.O. Box 115, Shahrekord, Iran ebrahimmirdamadi@stu.sku.ac.ir