Dedicated to Michael Vaughan-Lee on his 73rd birthday

CHARACTERIZATION OF FINITE p-GROUPS BY THE ORDER OF THEIR SCHUR MULTIPLIERS (t=6)

S. HADI JAFARI

Communicated by Alexandru Buium

Let G be a finite p-group of order p^n . In 1956, Green proved that the order of $\mathcal{M}(G)$, the Schur multiplier of G, is equal to $p^{\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)-t}$ for some integer $t\geq 0$. The p-groups which satisfy $0\leq t\leq 5$ are determined up to now. In this paper, we classify all finite p-groups with t=6.

AMS 2010 Subject Classification: 20D99, 19C09.

Key words: Schur multiplier, non-abelian tensor square.

1. INTRODUCTION

The study of the Schur multipliers of groups dates back to 1904 [20]. This study has an impact on other aspects of group theory. There are several bounds on the order of the Schur multiplier of a group. For instance when G is a finite p-group of order p^n , Green [9] has shown that the order of $\mathcal{M}(G)$, the Schur multiplier of G, is at most $p^{\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)}$. Another concept which is closely related to the Schur multiplier and has been studied independently from 1987, is the non-abelian tensor square of groups and it was introduced by R. Brown and J.-L. Loday [4]. When G is a finite p-group of order p^n with commutator subgroup of order p^c , Rocco [19] proved that the order of $G \otimes G$, the non-abelian tensor square of G, cannot exceed $p^{n(n-c)}$. One of the interesting subjects is to classify p-groups when the order of their Schur multipliers and their non-abelian tensor squares is determined. If $|\mathcal{M}(G)| = p^{\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)-t}$ and $|G \otimes G| = p^{n(n-c)-l}$ for some integers $t, l \geq 0$, the characterization of p-groups when $0 \leq t \leq 5$ is given (see [2,7,16] and [21]), likewise for $0 \le l \le 10$ and c = 1, the classification may be found in [11]. In this paper, we improve these classifications when t=6and l = 11, 12, 13.

Notations:

 $D_n, Q_n, QD_n = \text{Dihedral}$, Quaternion and QuasiDihedral group of order n;

MATH. REPORTS 18(68), 4 (2016), 535-543

 $E_{p^3}^1, E_{p^3}^2 = \text{Extra special group of order } p^3 \text{ with exponent } p \text{ or } p^2, \, p > 2;$

 $E^d_{2^{2m+1}}, E^1_{p^{2m+1}} = \text{Central product of } m \text{ copies of } D_8\text{'s or } E^1_{p^3}\text{'s};$

 $E_{2^{2m+1}}^q = \text{Central product of } (m-1) \text{ copies of } D_8\text{'s and a single } Q_8;$

 $E_{p^{2m+1}}^2 = \text{Central product of } (m-1) \text{ copies of } E_{p^3}^1$'s and a single $E_{p^3}^2$;

 $GE^r_{p^{2+r}}$ = Generalized extra special group of order p^{2+r} with exponent p^r and presentation $\langle x,y,z|x^p=y^p=z^{p^r}=1,[x,y]=z^{p^{r-1}},[y,z]=[x,z]=1\rangle;$

 $GE_{p^{2+r}}^{r+1}$ = Generalized extra special group of order p^{2+r} with exponent p^{r+1} and presentation $\langle x, y | x^{p^{r+1}} = y^p = 1, x^y = x^{1+p^r} \rangle$;

 $GE^r_{p^{2m+r}}, GE^{r+1}_{p^{2m+r}} =$ Central product of m copies of $GE^r_{p^{2+r}}$'s or $GE^{r+1}_{p^{2+r}}$'s; $T_i, X_i, Y_i, Z_i =$ Nonabelian p-groups are described in table I.

THEOREM A. Let G be a p-group of order p^n and $|\mathcal{M}(G)| = p^{\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)-t}$. Then

$$\begin{split} t &= 6 \text{ if and only if } G \cong C_{p^4}, \ C_p \times (C_{p^2})^2, \ (C_p)^5 \times C_{p^2}, \ Q_{16}, \ QD_{16}, \ T_3, \ T_1 \times C_2, \\ T_{10}, \ T_{11}, \ Q_8 \times (C_2)^3, \ T_4 \times (C_2)^2, \ E_{32}^d \times C_2, \ E_{32}^q \times C_2, \ D_8 \times (C_2)^4, \ X_3, \ E_{p^3}^1 \times C_{p^2}, \\ X_1 \times C_p, \ X_6 \times C_p, \ Y_4, \ E_{p^5}^1 \times C_p, \ E_{p^5}^2 \times C_p, \ E_{p^3}^2 \times (C_p)^3, \ X_4 \times (C_p)^2, \ GE_{p^{4+2}}^2, \\ Y_3 \times C_p \ \text{or} \ E_{p^3}^1 \times (C_p)^5. \end{split}$$

Theorem B. Let G be a p-group of order p^n with derived subgroup of order p and $|G \otimes G| = p^{n(n-1)-l}$. Then

(i) l = 11 if and only if $G \cong T_{13}$, $T_1 \times (C_2)^2$, $T_2 \times (C_2)^2$, $Q_8 \times C_2 \times C_4$, $D_8 \times (C_2)^{10}$,

 $Q_8 \times (C_2)^{11}, \ E_{p^3}^1 \times C_{p^2} \times C_p, \ X_1 \times (C_p)^2, \ E_{p^3}^2 \times (C_p)^9, \ E_{p^3}^1 \times (C_p)^{11},$ $E_{p^{2m+1}}^i \times (C_p)^{11-2m}$ for any integer $2 \le m \le 5$ and i = 1, 2, or $GE_{p^{2m+2}}^2 \times (C_p)^{11-(2m+1)}$ for any integer $1 \le m \le 5$.

(ii) l=12 if and only if $G\cong T_{14},\ T_{15},\ T_3\times (C_2)^2,\ T_7\times C_2,\ D_8\times C_2\times C_4,\ E^d_{2^{13}},\ E^q_{2^{13}},$

 $D_8 \times (\tilde{C}_2)^{11}, \ Q_8 \times (C_2)^{12}, \ Y_5, \ Y_6, \ X_2 \times (C_p)^2, \ X_4 \times C_{p^2}, \ E_{p^3}^2 \times (C_p)^{10}, \ E_{p^{13}}^1, \ E_{p^{13}}^2, \\ E_{p^3}^1 \times (C_p)^{12}, \ E_{p^{2m+1}}^1 \times (C_p)^{12-2m} \ \text{for any integer} \ 2 \leq m \leq 5 \ \text{and} \ i = 1, 2, \ \text{or} \\ GE_{p^{2m+2}}^2 \times (C_p)^{12-(2m+1)} \ \text{for any integer} \ 1 \leq m \leq 5.$

(iii) l=13 if and only if $G \cong T_{16}, T_4 \times C_4, T_9 \times C_2, T_{18}, E_{2^{13}}^d \times C_2, E_{2^{13}}^q \times C_2, D_8 \times (C_2)^{12}, Q_8 \times (C_2)^{13}, Y_7, E_{p^3}^2 \times C_{p^2} \times C_p, X_3 \times (C_p)^2, GE_{p^{4+2}}^3, Z_1, Z_2, Z_3, E_{p^3}^2 \times (C_p)^{11}, E_{p^3}^1 \times (C_p)^{13}, E_{p^{2m+1}}^i \times (C_p)^{13-2m}$ for any integer $2 \le m \le 6$ and i=1,2, or $GE_{p^{2m+2}}^2 \times (C_p)^{13-(2m+1)}$ for any integer $1 \le m \le 6$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we provide the necessary preliminary results. Let G and H be two groups equipped with an action $(g,h) \mapsto {}^g h$ of G on H and an action $(h,g) \mapsto {}^h g$ of H on G. The actions should be compatible, see [4]. The non-abelian tensor product $G \otimes H$ is the group generated by symbols $g \otimes h$ for $g \in G$ and $h \in H$, subject to the relations

$$gg' \otimes h = ({}^gg' \otimes {}^gh)(g \otimes h), \quad g \otimes hh' = (g \otimes h) ({}^hg \otimes {}^hh')$$

for all $g, g' \in G$ and $h, h' \in H$. By using the conjugation action of a group on itself we may always define the non-abelian tensor square $G \otimes G$.

Our method which is based on direct computation of non-abelian tensor square of groups depends on the following result.

Lemma 2.1 ([3], Proposition 9). If Z is a central subgroup of a group G, then the following sequence is exact:

$$(*) \qquad (G \otimes Z) \times (Z \otimes G) \stackrel{\iota}{\longrightarrow} G \otimes G \longrightarrow G/Z \otimes G/Z \longrightarrow 1,$$

where $\iota(g \otimes z, z' \otimes g') = (g \otimes z)(z' \otimes g')$ for all $z, z' \in Z$ and $g, g' \in G$. In particular if $Z \subseteq G'$, then the sequence

$$(**) Z \otimes G \longrightarrow G \otimes G \longrightarrow G/Z \otimes G/Z \longrightarrow 1$$

is exact.

The following result gives the order of the non-abelian tensor square of a finite group G in terms of the orders of G, $\mathcal{M}(G)$ and $\mathcal{M}(G^{ab})$.

THEOREM 2.2 ([11], Lemma 2.3). Let G be a d-generator finite p-group.

- (i) If p > 2, then $|G \otimes G| = |G||\mathcal{M}(G)||\mathcal{M}(G^{ab})|$.
- (ii) If p = 2 and $G/G' = \prod_{i=1}^{n} C_{2^{e_i}}$ where $1 \le e_i \le e_j$, for every $1 \le i \le j \le d$.

Then $|G \otimes G| = 2^k |G| |\mathcal{M}(G)| |\mathcal{M}(G^{ab})|$, where $k \leq d$ is a non-negative integer.

Now we recall some bounds of order of the Schur multiplier of finite p-groups.

THEOREM 2.3 ([15]). Let G be a d-generator p-group of order p^n . Then $p^{\frac{1}{2}d(d-1)} \leq |G'||\mathcal{M}(G)| \leq p^{\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)}$.

Theorem 2.4 ([8]). Let G be a d-generator group of order p^n , the derived factor $\frac{G}{G'}$ of order p^m with exponent p^e and the central factor $\frac{G}{Z(G)}$ be a δ -generator group, then

$$|\mathcal{M}(G)| \le p^{d(m-e)/2 + (\delta-1)(n-m) - \max\{0, \delta-2\}}.$$

3. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREMS

Throughout the rest of the paper, we always assume that G is a d-generator p-group of order p^n with

(1)
$$|\mathcal{M}(G)| = p^{\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)-t}.$$

We also assume that $\frac{G}{Z(G)}$ is a δ -generator group, $|G'| = p^c$ and the Frattini subgroup $\Phi(G)$ is of order p^a and so a = n - d. Ellis [7] established the following inequalities:

(2)
$$2(t - c(d+1-\delta)) \ge a^2 - a, \qquad a \ge c \ge 0, d \ge \delta$$

$$(3) 2(t-c) \ge a^2 - a$$

Proof of Theorem A. Suppose t = 6. Then (3) implies that (c, a) = (0, 0), (0, 1), (0, 2), (0, 3), (0, 4), (1, 1), (1, 2), (1, 3), (2, 2), (2, 3) or (3, 3).

Suppose (c, a) = (0, 0), then $n \ge 1$, $|\mathcal{M}(G)| = p^{\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)}$ and (1) cannot hold. Suppose (c, a) = (0, 1), then $n \ge 2$, $|\mathcal{M}(G)| = p^{\frac{1}{2}(n-1)(n-2)}$ and (1) holds if and only if n = 7 and $G \cong C_{p^2} \times (C_p)^5$.

Using the same method for cases (0,2) and (0,3), one can observe that (1) holds if and only if $G \cong (C_{p^2})^2 \times C_p$ or $G \cong C_{p^4}$, respectively. Also (1) cannot hold when (c,a) = (0,4).

Suppose (c, a) = (1, 1). If Z(G) is cyclic then G is generalized extraspecial and n = 2m + 2. Thus by [13, Theorem 4.2], $|G| = p^6$ and $G = GE_{p^6}^2$.

If Z(G) is non-cyclic, then $G \cong M \times C_p$ where M is a maximal subgroup of G and t(M) = t(G) - 1. So it follows from [16] that (1) holds if and only if G is isomorphic with one of the following groups.

$$Q_8 \times (C_2)^3$$
, $D_8 \times (C_2)^4$, $T_4 \times (C_2)^2$, $E_{32}^d \times C_2$, $E_{32}^q \times C_2$, $E_{p^3}^1 \times (C_p)^5$, $E_{p^3}^2 \times (C_p)^3$, $E_{p^5}^1 \times C_p$, $E_{p^5}^2 \times C_p$ or $X_4 \times (C_p)^2$.

Suppose (c,a)=(1,2). Then $G^{ab}\cong C_{p^2}\times (C_p)^{n-3}$ and Theorem 2.4 implies that $2n-4\leq 6$, hence n=4,5. For odd prime p, it follows from [11, Theorem 3.1] that $G=X_3,\ X_1\times C_p$ or $E^1_{p^3}\times C_{p^2}$. If p=2 then $G=T_3$ or $T_1\times C_2$ by GAP.

Suppose (c, a) = (1, 3). If $G^{ab} \cong C_{p^3} \times (C_p)^{n-4}$ or $(C_{p^2})^2 \times (C_p)^{n-5}$, then by Theorem 2.4, $n \leq 4$ which is a contradiction.

Suppose (c, a) = (2, 2). Then $d = \delta$ or $\delta + 1$ by (2). If $d = \delta$ then $n \leq 7$ by Theorem 2.4. If n = 7 then d = 5 and G has exponent p. By [18] if G may be described as the direct product of its subgroups, the straightforward direct computation of the non-abelian tensor square together with [13, Theorem 2.3]

and Theorem 2.2 imply that (1) cannot hold. In other cases if G is a descendant of algebra 5.1 discussed in [18], *i.e.*

$$\begin{array}{l} (7.27) \\ \langle a,b,c,d,e \mid [d,a]=[e,a]=[c,b]=[d,b]=[e,b]=[d,c]=[e,c]=0, [e,d]=[b,a], \ class \ 2\rangle, \\ (7.28) \end{array}$$

 $\langle a,b,c,d,e \mid [d,a] = [e,a] = [c,b] = [e,b] = [d,c] = [e,c] = 0, [d,b] = [c,a], [e,d] = [b,a], \ class \ 2\rangle, \ 2$

then the equalities $[b,a] \otimes e = 1$ and $[c,a] \otimes d = 1$, respectively, together with the exact sequence (**), [13, Theorem 2.3] and Theorem 2.2 imply that (1) cannot hold. If G is a descendant of algebra 6.3 discussed in [18], *i.e.*

$$\begin{aligned} (7.191) \quad & \langle a,b,c,d,e \mid [b,a,b] = [c,a] = [c,b] = [d,a] = [d,b] = [e,a] = [e,c] \\ & = [e,d] = 0, [d,c] = [e,b] = [b,a,a], \ class \ 3 \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

then similarly by the relation $[b, a, a] \otimes c = 1$ we have t > 6.

Throughout the rest of this section, all the notations and group presentations of James's classification for p-groups of order less than or equal to p^6 , $p \neq 2$ will be used (see [14]). If n=6 then G belongs to one of the families of Φ_{12} , Φ_{13} , Φ_{15} or Φ_{22} . At the first family put $Z=\langle \gamma_1 \rangle$ in sequence (**) and observe that $\gamma_1 \otimes \alpha_2 = \gamma_1 \otimes \beta_2 = 1$. Hence $|\operatorname{Im}(Z \otimes G \to G \otimes G)| \leq p^2$. As $|G/Z \otimes G/Z| \leq p^{18}$ by [13, Theorem 2.3], it follows that $|G \otimes G| \leq p^{20}$ which is a contradiction by Theorem 2.2. If G is a direct product of its subgroups, one can easily check that such groups does not satisfy our condition.

Similarly in families Φ_{13} and Φ_{15} , put $Z = \langle \beta_2 \rangle$ and Z = Z(G), respectively, and observe that either $|\operatorname{Im}(Z \otimes G \to G \otimes G)| \leq p^2$ or p^4 . Finally, in family Φ_{22} , put $Z = \langle \alpha_3 \rangle$. It is clear that $\alpha_3 \otimes \beta_1 = \alpha_3 \otimes \beta_2 = 1$ and the same result holds.

Let n=5. Then G may be in the families Φ_4 or Φ_7 . If put Z=Z(G) in the first family, one concludes that $|\mathrm{Im}((Z\otimes G)\times(G\otimes Z)\to G\otimes G)|\leq p^2$. For instance, in group $\Phi_4(221)a$ we have $\beta_1\otimes\alpha_1=1$, $\beta_1\otimes\alpha_2=\beta_2\otimes\alpha_1$ and $\beta_1\otimes\alpha=\alpha_1^p\otimes\alpha=\alpha_1\otimes\alpha^p=\alpha_1\otimes\beta_2$, because G has nilpotency class 2 (see [1, Lemma 2.6]). Also $\beta_2\otimes\alpha=1$. So the desired assertion holds and $|G\otimes G|\leq p^{11}$, which is a contradiction by the Theorem 2.2. For the group $\Phi_4(221)b$ we have $\beta_1\otimes\alpha_1=\alpha_2^p\otimes\alpha_1=\alpha_2\otimes\alpha_1^p=1$, $\beta_1\otimes\alpha_2=1$, $\beta_1\otimes\alpha=\alpha_2^p\otimes\alpha=(\alpha_2\otimes\alpha)^p(\alpha_2\otimes\beta_2)^{\frac{1}{2}p(p-1)}=\alpha_2\otimes(\alpha)^p=\alpha_2\otimes\beta_2$ and $\beta_2\otimes\alpha_1=\beta_2\otimes\alpha=1$. Hence $|G\otimes G|\leq p^{10}$. In other groups of this family, the same result holds and exceptionally the order of non-abelian tensor square of $\Phi_4(1^5)$ is equal to p^{14} by [13, Theorem 3.1].

In family Φ_7 , put $Z=Z(G)=\langle \alpha_3 \rangle$. We can see that $Im(Z\otimes G\to G\otimes G)=1$ for all groups G of this family except $\Phi_7(1^5)$. So by the same

method discussed above, the desired result holds. In particular for the group $\Phi_7(2111)b_r$, $(\alpha_3 \otimes \alpha)^r = \alpha_1^p \otimes \alpha = (\alpha_1 \otimes \alpha)^p = (\alpha_1 \otimes \alpha^p)(\alpha_3 \otimes \alpha)^{-\frac{1}{6}p(p-1)(p-2)}$ and when p > 3, $(\alpha_3 \otimes \alpha)^{-\frac{1}{6}p(p-1)(p-2)} = 1$. So $\alpha_3 \otimes \alpha = 1$. If p = 3 then GAP calculation shows that the order of the Schur multiplier of this group is at most p^3 .

When $G = \Phi_7(1^5) = Y_4$, we first use the method of [5] to determine a presentation of its Lazard correspondence Lie ring L_p , which has the same order and nilpotency class for $p \geq 5$, *i.e.*

$$L_p = \langle a, a_1, a_2, a_3, b \mid [a_1, a] = a_2 - \frac{1}{2}a_3, [a_2, a] = a_3, [a_1, b] = a_3 \rangle.$$

Since this group has exponent p, the Lie ring L_p may be regarded as a Lie algebra over the field \mathbb{Z}_p and hence it is isomorphic to the nilpotent Lie algebra L(4, 5, 1, 6) of dimension 5 given in [10] which has the Schur multiplier of dimension 4. In addition, the Schur multipliers of L_p and G are isomorphic by [6, Theorem 1]. Therefore $|\mathcal{M}(G)| = p^4$, as desired. Also, GAP shows that the group G does satisfy our condition when p = 3.

If n=4 then G belongs to the family Φ_3 and there is no group which satisfies (1).

Now suppose $d=\delta+1$, then by Theorem 2.4, it follows that $n\leq 6$. Thus our group G must be in one of the families of Φ_3 , Φ_4 or Φ_7 . If d=4 and n=6, then by Theorem 2.4, G may belong to Φ_4 or Φ_7 . In the first family for groups $\Phi_4(2211)g$, $\Phi_4(2211)h$ and $\Phi_4(2211)i$ take $Z=\langle\beta_2\rangle$. So $|Im(Z\otimes G\to G\otimes G)|\leq p^2$ and $|G\otimes G|$ cannot equal to p^{21} . For group $\Phi_4(21^4)d$ it is enough to consider $Z=\langle\beta_1\rangle$. If $G\cong H\times C_p$ then the order of $H\otimes H$ should be p^{14} and by [13, Theorem 3.1], we have $H=\Phi_4(1^5)=Y_3$ whence $G\cong Y_3\times C_p$. If G is in the family Φ_7 , just the group $\Phi_7(21^4)d$ has four generators and by putting $Z=\langle\alpha_3\rangle$ in sequence (**) the desired result holds.

If d=3 and n=5, then the group G should belong to family Φ_3 . When G is a direct product of its subgroups, only the group $\Phi_3(1^4) \times C_p = X_6 \times C_p$ satisfies our condition. In other groups, only the group $\Phi_3(2111)c$ has three generators. If take $Z=\langle \alpha_3 \rangle$ then sequence (**) implies that $|G\otimes G|\leq p^{11}$, which is again a contradiction.

For the case (c, a) = (2, 2) if p is even, then $G = Q_{16}$, QD_{16} , T_{10} or T_{11} by GAP and in all groups we have $d = \delta$.

Suppose (c, a) = (2, 3). As d = n - 3 it follows that $n \ge 5$. On the other hand $n \le 4$ by Theorem 2.4, so there is not any group in this case.

Suppose (c, a) = (3, 3). As $d = \delta = n - 3$, so n = 5 by Theorem 2.4 and d = 2. In this case the order of non-abelian tensor square of our group must be p^{10} by Theorem 2.2. But by [12] the order of a non-abelian p-group which

attains the upper bound of tensor given by Rocco in [19], cannot exceed p^3 . Therefore the proof is complete. \square

Proof of Theorem B. Let a=1. If G is an extraspecial p-group of order p^{2m+1} , then by [11, Corollary 2.4 and Proposition 2.6] we have

$$(2m+1)2m - l = 4m^2.$$

This equality holds if m = 6 and l = 12. Therefore $|G| = p^{13}$ and $G = E_{p^{13}}^1$, $E_{p^{13}}^2$, $E_{2^{13}}^d$ or $E_{2^{13}}^q$.

If G is not extraspecial and Z(G) is cyclic, then by [13, Theorem 4.2], $|Z(G)| = p^2$ and n = 2m + 2. Thus l = 11 if and only if $G = GE_{p^{12}}^2$ and l = 13 if and only if $G = GE_{p^{14}}^2$. Note that the case l = 12 does not hold here.

If Z(G) is non-cyclic then $G\cong M\times C_p$ where M is a maximal subgroup of G and l(M)=l(G)-1. So l=11 if and only if G is isomorphic to $E^1_{p^3}\times (C_p)^{11},\ Q_8\times (C_2)^{11},\ E^2_{p^3}\times (C_p)^9,\ D_8\times (C_2)^{10},\ E^i_{p^{2m+1}}\times (C_p)^{11-2m}$ for any integer $2\leq m\leq 5$ and i=1,2, or $GE^2_{p^{2m+2}}\times (C_p)^{11-(2m+1)}$ for any integer $1\leq m\leq 4$. For l=12,13 the method is similar.

Let a > 1. By [13, Theorem 2.3] we have $2 + a \le n \le \frac{1}{a}(l + a + 2)$. If $11 \le l \le 13$ then a = 2 or 3 and consequently $4 \le n \le 8$.

Let a=2 and $p\neq 2$. Then $G^{ab}\cong C_{p^2}\times (C_p)^{n-3}$. By Theorems 2.2 and 2.4 we should have $2n-4\leq t=l-n+3$. Thus n=4, 5 or 6. But as in Theorem 2.3, n must be 6. Now by James's classification of p-groups if G is a direct product of its subgroups, one can easily observe by [11, Theorems 3.1 and 3.2] that

l=11 if and only if $G \cong \Phi_2(211)c \times (C_p)^2$ or $\Phi_2(111) \times C_{p^2} \times C_p$; l=12 if and only if $G \cong \Phi_2(22) \times (C_p)^2$ or $\Phi_2(211)b \times C_{p^2}$; l=13 if and only if $G \cong \Phi_2(31) \times (C_p)^2$ or $\Phi_2(21) \times C_{p^2} \times C_p$.

For groups $G = \Phi_5(2211)a = Z_1$, $\Phi_5(2211)b = Z_2$, $\Phi_5(21^4)c = Z_3$ and $\Phi_5(311) = GE_{p^{4+2}}^3$, put Z = G' in sequence (**). Therefore $|G \otimes G| = |G^{ab} \otimes G^{ab}| = p^{17}$ and we must have l = 13.

If a=3 and $p \neq 2$. Then by the same argument we should have n=5. Hence l=12 if and only if $G \cong \Phi_2(32)a_1 = Y_5$ or $\Phi_2(311)c = Y_6$ and l=13 if and only if $G = \Phi_2(32)a_2 = Y_7$. Note that the case p=2 may be verified by GAP.

Remark. When l = 10 and (c, a) = (1, 3) it follows by [17] that $G = G_p(2, 2, 1, 1, 1) = \langle a, b | a^{p^2} = b^{p^2} = 1, [a, b]^p = [a, b, a] = [a, b, b] = 1 \rangle$. This was missed in [11].

Table 1

Name	Relations	NumberOfSmallGroup	(c,a)
T_1	$a^4 = b^2 = c^2 = 1, [a, c] = b, [a, b] = [b, c] = 1$	(16,3)	(1,2)
T_2	$a^4 = b^4 = 1, [a, b] = a^2$	(16, 4)	(1, 2)
T_3	$a^8 = b^2 = 1, \ [a, b] = a^4$	(16, 6)	(1, 2)
T_4	$a^4 = b^2 = c^2 = 1, [b, c] = a^2, [a, b] = [a, c] = 1$ $a^4 = b^2 = c^4 = 1, [a, b] = c^2$	(16, 13)	(1,1)
T_7	$a^4 = b^2 = c^4 = 1, [a, b] = c^2$	(32, 24)	(1, 2)
T_9	$b^{2} = c^{2} = 1, [c, b] = a^{4}[a, b] = [c, a] = 1$ $a^{2} = b^{2} = c^{2} = 1, [a, b] = 1,$	(32, 38)	(1, 2)
	$a^2 = b^2 = c^2 = 1, \ [a, b] = 1,$		
T_{10}	[a, c, b] = 1, [b, c, a] = 1, [b, c, b] = 1 $a^4 = b^4 = c^2 = 1.$	(32, 27)	(1,2)
	,		
T_{11}	$[a, b] = 1, [a, c] = a^2, [b, c] = b^2$ $a^4 = b^8 = 1, [a, b]b^4 = 1$	(32, 34)	(1, 2)
T_{13}		(32, 4)	(1,3)
T_{14}	$a^2 = b^8 = 1, [a, b, a] = [a, b, b] = 1$	(32, 5)	(1,3)
T_{15}	$a^4 = b^8 = 1, [a, b]a^2 = 1$	(32, 12)	(1,3)
T_{16}	$a^2 = b^{16}, \ [a, b]a^8 = 1$	(32, 17)	(1,3)
	$[a_1, a_2] = [a_1, a_4] = [a_2, a_3] = a_2^2,$		
T_{18}	$a_1^4 = a_3^4 = a_4^2 = 1, a_2^2 = a_3^2$ $a^{p^2} = b^p = c^p = 1,$	(64, 200)	(1, 2)
X_1	$[a, c] = b, \ [a, b] = [b, c] = 1, p > 2$	_	(1,2)
X_2	[a, c] = b, [a, b] = [b, c] = 1, p > 2 $a^{p^2} = b^{p^2} = 1, [a, b] = a^p, p > 2$	_	(1, 2)
X_3	$a^{p^3} = b^p = 1, [a, b] = a^{p^2}, p > 2$	_	(1,2)
	$a^{p^2} = b^p = c^p = 1,$		
X_4	$[b,c] = a^p, [a,b] = [a,c] = 1, p > 2$ $[a_i,a] = a_{i+1},$	_	(1,1)
			, ,
X_6	$a^{p} = a_{i}^{(p)} = a_{3}^{p} = 1, (i = 1, 2), p > 2$ $[a_{i}, a] = b_{i}, a^{p} = a_{i}^{p} = b_{i}^{p} = 1, (i = 1, 2), p > 2$ $[a_{i}, a] = a_{i+1}, [a_{1}, b] = a_{3},$	_	(2,2)
Y_3	$[a_i, a] = b_i, \ a^p = a_i^p = b_i^p = 1, \ (i = 1, 2), p > 2$	_	(2,2)
	$[a_i, a] = a_{i+1}, [a_1, b] = a_3,$		
Y_4		_	(2,2)
Y_5	$a^p = a_1^{(p)} = a_{i+1}^p = b^p = 1, (i = 1, 2), p > 2$ $[a_1, a] = a^{p^2} = a_2, \ a_1^{p^2} = a_2^p = 1, p > 2$	_	(1, 3)
Y_6	$[a_1, a] = a_2, \ a^{p^3} = a_1^p = a_2^p = 1, p > 2$	_	(1,3)
Y_7	$[a_1, a] = a_1^p = a_2, \ a^{p^3} = a_2^p = 1, p > 2$	_	(1,3)
· ·	$[a_1, a] = a_1^p = a_2, \ a^{p^3} = a_2^p = 1, p > 2$ $[a_1, a_2] = [a_3, a_4] = a_2^p = b,$		() -)
Z_1		_	(1,2)
1	$a_1^{p^2} = a_3^p = a_4^p = b^p = 1, p \ge 2$ $[a_1, a_2] = [a_3, a_4] = a_3^p = b,$		
Z_2		_	(1,2)
	$a_1^{p^2} = a_2^p = a_4^p = b^p = 1, p \ge 2$ $[a_1, a_2] = [a_3, a_4] = b,$		(2,2)
Z_3	$a_1^{p^2} = a_2^p = a_3^p = a_4^p = b^p = 1, p > 2$		(1,2)
23	$a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = a_4 = 0 = 1, p > 2$		(1,4)

Here $a_{i+1}^{(p)}$ will denote the word $a_{i+1}^p a_{i+2}^{\binom{p}{2}} ... a_{i+k}^{\binom{p}{k}} ... a_{i+p}$ discussed in [14].

REFERENCES

[1] M.R. Bacon, On the non-abelian tensor square of a nilpotent group of class 2. Glasg. Math. J. **36** (1994), 291–296.

- [2] Ya.G. Berkovich, On the order of the commutator subgroups and the Schur multiplier of a finite p-group. J. Algebra 144 (1991), 269–272.
- [3] R. Brown, D.L. Johnson and E.F. Robertson, Some computations of non-abelian tensor products of groups. J. Algebra 111 (1987), 177–202.
- [4] R. Brown and J.-L. Loday, Van Kampen theorems for diagrams of spaces. Topology 26 (1987), 311–335.
- [5] S. Cicalò, W.A. De Graaf and M. Vaughan-Lee, An effective version of the Lazard correspondence. J. Algebra 352 (2012), 430–450.
- [6] B. Eick, M. Horn and S. Zandi, Schur multipliers and the Lazard correspondence. Arch. Math. 99 (2012), 217–226.
- [7] G. Ellis, On the Schur multipliers of p-groups. Comm. Algebra 27(9) (1999), 4173-4177.
- [8] G. Ellis and J. Wiegold, A bound on the Schur multiplier of a prime-power group. Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 60(2)(1999),191–196.
- [9] J.A. Green, On the number of automorphisms of a finite group. Proc. Royal Soc. A 237 (1956), 574–581.
- [10] P. Hardy, On characterizing nilpotent Lie algebras by their multipliers III. Comm. Algebra 33 (2005), 4205–4210.
- [11] S.H. Jafari, F. Saeedi and E. Khamseh, Characterization of finite p-groups by their non-abelian tensor square. Comm. Algebra 41(5) (2013), 1954–1963.
- [12] S.H. Jafari, A bound on the order of non-abelian tensor square of a prime-power group. Comm. Algebra 40(2) (2012), 528–530.
- [13] S.H. Jafari, Categorizing finite p-groups by the order of their non-abelian tensor squares.
 J. Algebra Appl. 15(5) (2016), 1650095-1-1650095-13.
- [14] R. James, The groups of order p^6 (p an odd prime). Math. Comp. **34** (1980), 613–637.
- [15] M.R. Jones, Some inequalities for the multiplicator of a finite group. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 39 (1973), 450–456.
- [16] E. Khamseh, M.R.R. Moghaddam and F. Saeedi, Characterization of finite p-groups by their Schur multiplier. J. Algebra Appl. 12(5) (2013), 1250035-1-1250035-9.
- [17] A. Magidin and R.F. Morse, Certain homological functors of 2-generator p-groups of class 2, Computational group theory and the theory of groups II, 127–166, Contemp. Math. 511, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2010.
- [18] E.A. O'Brien and M.R. Vaughan-Lee, The groups with order p⁷ for odd prime p. J. Algebra 292(1) (2005), 243–258.
- [19] N.R. Rocco, On a construction related to the nonabelian tensor square of a group. Bol. Soc. Brasil. Mat. (N. S.) 22 (1991), 63–79.
- [20] I. Schur, Über die Darstellungen der endlichen Gruppen durch gebrochene lineare Substitutionen J. Reine Angew. Math. 127 (1904), 20–50.
- [21] X. Zhou, On the order of Schur multipliers of finite p-groups. Comm. Algebra **22(1)** (1994), 1–8.

Received 14 April 2015

Islamic Azad University,
Department of Mathematics,
Mashhad branch,
Mashhad, Iran
s.hadijafari@mshdiau.ac.ir