ON FUNCTIONAL EQUATION RELATED TO (m, n)-JORDAN TRIPLE CENTRALIZERS

IRENA KOSI ULBL

Communicated by Vasile Brînzănescu

In this paper, we prove the following result. Let X be a Banach space over the real or complex field \mathcal{F} , let $\mathcal{L}(X)$ be the algebra of all bounded linear operators on X, and let $A(X) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X)$ be a standard operator algebra. Suppose there exists an additive mapping $T: A(X) \to \mathcal{L}(X)$ satisfying the relation

$$2(m+n)^{2}T(A^{p}) = (2m^{2}+2mn)T(A)A^{p-1} - mnT(A^{p-2})A^{2} + 2mnAT(A^{p-2})A - mnA^{2}T(A^{p-2}) + (2n^{2}+2mn)A^{p-1}T(A)$$

for all $A \in A(X)$, where $m \geq 1$, $n \geq 1$, $p \geq 2$ are some fixed integers. In this case T is of the form $T(A) = \lambda A$ for all $A \in A(X)$ and some fixed $\lambda \in \mathcal{F}$. In particular, T is continuous.

AMS 2010 Subject Classification: 16W10, 46K15, 39B05.

Key words: ring, prime ring, semiprime ring, Banach space, standard operator algebra, derivation, Jordan derivation, left (right) centralizer, two-sided centralizer, left (right) Jordan centralizer, (m,n)-Jordan centralizer.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout, R will represent an associative ring with center Z(R). As usual we denote by [x,y] the commutator xy-yx and use the commutator identity [x,yz]=[x,y]z+y[x,z]. Given an integer $n\geq 2$, a ring R is said to be n-torsion free, if for $x\in R$, nx=0 implies x=0. Recall that a ring R is prime if for $a,b\in R$, aRb=(0) implies that either a=0 or b=0, and is semi-prime in case aRa=(0) implies a=0. We denote by char(R) the characteristic of a prime ring R. We denoted by Q_r and C Martindale right ring of quotients and extended centroid of a semi-prime ring R. For the explanation of Q_r and C we refer the reader to [1]. An additive mapping $T:R\to R$ is called a left centralizer in case T(xy)=T(x)y holds for all pairs $x,y\in R$. In case R has the identity element $T:R\to R$ is a left centralizer iff T is of the form T(x)=ax for all $x\in R$, where $a\in R$ is some fixed element. For a semi-prime ring R all left centralizers are of the form T(x)=qx for all $x\in R$, where q is some

fixed element of Q_r (see Chapter 2 in [1]). An additive mapping $T: R \to R$ is called a left Jordan centralizer in case $T(x^2) = T(x)x$ holds for all $x \in R$. The definition of right centralizer and right Jordan centralizer should be selfexplanatory. We call $T: R \to R$ a two-sided centralizer in case T is both a left and a right centralizer. In case $T: R \to R$ is a two-sided centralizer, where R is a semiprime ring with extended centroid C, then T is of the form $T(x) = \lambda x$ for all $x \in R$, where $\lambda \in C$ is some fixed element (see Theorem 2.3.2 in [1]). Zalar [17] has proved that any left (right) Jordan centralizer on a 2-torsion free semiprime ring is a left (right) centralizer (Zalar theorem). Let X be a real or complex Banach space and let $\mathcal{L}(X)$ and $\mathcal{F}(X)$ denote the algebra of all bounded linear operators on X and the ideal of all finite rank operators in $\mathcal{L}(X)$, respectively. An algebra $A(X) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X)$ is said to be standard in case $\mathcal{F}(X) \subset A(X)$. We denote by X^* the dual space of a real or complex Banach space X. Let us point out that any standard operator algebra is prime, which is a consequence of Hahn-Banach theorem. For results concerning centralizers on rings and algebras we refer to [2, 10–12, 14, 15] where further references can be found. Let R be an arbitrary ring and let $m \ge 0$, $n \ge 0$ be some fixed integers with $m+n\neq 0$. An additive mapping $T:R\to R$ is called an (m,n)-Jordan centralizer in case

$$(1) \qquad (m+n)T(x^2) = mT(x)x + nxT(x)$$

holds for all $x \in R$. The concept of (m, n)-Jordan centralizer has been introduced by Vukman in [16]. The concept of (m, n)-Jordan centralizer covers the concept of left Jordan centralizer as well as the concept of right Jordan centralizer. Namely, putting in the relation above m = 1, n = 0 one obtains left Jordan centralizer, in case m = 0, n = 1 the relation (1) reduces to right Jordan centralizer. In case m = 1 we obtain the relation

(2)
$$2T(x^2) = T(x)x + xT(x), \quad x \in R.$$

Vukman [10] has proved that in case an additive mapping $T:R\to R$, where R is a 2-torsion free semiprime ring, satisfies the relation (2) for any $x\in R$, then T is a two-sided centralizer. Vukman conjectured that any (m,n)-Jordan centralizer, where $m\geq 1, n\geq 1$ are some fixed integers, which maps a semiprime ring with suitable torsion restrictions into itself, is a two-sided centralizer. This conjecture is in general still an open question. For results concerning (m,n)-Jordan centralizers we refer to [7,8,16]. Vukman [16] has proved the following result. Let $m\geq 0, n\geq 0$ be some integers with $m+n\neq 0$, let R be a ring and let $T:R\to R$ an (m,n)-Jordan centralizer. In this case, we have

(3)
$$2(m+n)^2T(xyx) = mnT(x)xy + m(2m+n)T(x)yx - mnT(y)x^2$$

$$+2mnxT(y)x - mnx^{2}T(y) + n(m+2n)xyT(x) + mnyxT(x),$$

for all pairs $x, y \in R$. We call additive mapping satisfying the relation (3) (m, n)-Jordan triple centralizer. It seems natural to ask under what additional assumptions any (m, n)-Jordan triple centralizer is a (m, n)-Jordan centralizer.

2. THE MAIN RESULTS

Putting x^{p-2} for y in (3) one obtains the following functional equation

$$\begin{split} 2(m+n)^2T(x^p) &= \left(2m^2+2mn\right)T(x)x^{p-1}-mnT(x^{p-2})x^2\\ &+2mnxT(x^{p-2})x-mnx^2T(x^{p-2})+\left(2n^2+2mn\right)x^{p-1}T(x). \end{split}$$

In case p=3 the above functional equation has been considered by Peršin and Vukman in [8]. It is our aim in this paper to prove the result below, which is related to the above equation.

THEOREM 1. Let X be a Banach space over the real or complex field \mathcal{F} , let $\mathcal{L}(X)$ be the algebra of all bounded linear operators on X, and let $A(X) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X)$ be a standard operator algebra. Suppose there exists an additive mapping $T: A(X) \to \mathcal{L}(X)$ satisfying the relation

$$\begin{split} 2(m+n)^2 \, T(A^p) &= \left(2m^2 + 2mn\right) \, T(A) A^{p-1} - mn T(A^{p-2}) A^2 \\ &\quad + 2mn A T(A^{p-2}) A - mn A^2 \, T(A^{p-2}) + \left(2n^2 + 2mn\right) A^{p-1} \, T(A) \end{split}$$

for all $A \in A(X)$, where $m \ge 1$, $n \ge 1$, $p \ge 2$ are some fixed integers. In this case T is of the form $T(A) = \lambda A$ for all $A \in A(X)$ and some fixed $\lambda \in \mathcal{F}$.

The result above fairly generalizes Theorem 8 in [16]. In the result above, we obtain as a result the continuity of T under purely algebraic assumptions concerning T, which means that Theorem 1 might be of some interest from the automatic continuity point of view. For results concerning automatic continuity we refer to [5,6,9]. In the proof of Theorem 1, we shall use the result below proved by Brešar [3] and Zalar theorem we have mentioned above.

THEOREM 2. Let R be a prime ring with $char(R) \neq 2$ and let $F: R \rightarrow R$ be an additive mapping satisfying the relation

$$\left[\left[F(x),x\right] ,x\right] =0$$

for all $x \in R$. In this case [F(x), x] = 0 holds for all $x \in R$.

For generalizations of the result above we refer to [4] and [13].

Proof of Theorem 1. We have therefore the relation

(4)
$$2(m+n)^{2}T(A^{p}) = (2m^{2} + 2mn)T(A)A^{p-1} - mnT(A^{p-2})A^{2} + 2mnAT(A^{p-2})A - mnA^{2}T(A^{p-2}) + (2n^{2} + 2mn)A^{p-1}T(A), \quad A \in A(X).$$

First we will consider the restriction of T on $\mathcal{F}(X)$. Let A be from $\mathcal{F}(X)$ and let $P \in \mathcal{F}(X)$, be a projection with AP = PA = A. From the above relation one obtains

$$2(m+n)^{2}T(P) = (2m^{2} + mn)T(P)P + 2mnPT(P)P + (2n^{2} + mn)PT(P).$$

Right and left multiplication of the above relation by P gives

(5)
$$T(P)P = PT(P) = PT(P)P.$$

Putting A + P for A in the relation (4), we obtain

$$(6) \quad 2(m+n)^{2} \sum_{i=0}^{p} \binom{p}{i} T\left(A^{p-i}P^{i}\right)$$

$$= \left(2m^{2} + 2mn\right) \left(T\left(A\right) + B\right) \left(\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \binom{p-1}{i} A^{p-1-i}P^{i}\right)$$

$$- mn \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} \binom{p-2}{i} T\left(A^{p-2-i}P^{i}\right) \left(A^{2} + 2A + P\right)$$

$$+ 2mn \left(A + P\right) \left(\sum_{i=0}^{p-2} \binom{p-2}{i} T\left(A^{p-2-i}P^{i}\right)\right) \left(A + P\right)$$

$$- mn \left(A^{2} + 2A + P\right) \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} \binom{p-2}{i} T\left(A^{p-2-i}P^{i}\right)$$

$$+ \left(2n^{2} + 2mn\right) \left(\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \binom{p-1}{i} A^{p-1-i}P^{i}\right) \left(T\left(A\right) + B\right),$$

where B stands for T(P). Using (4) and rearranging the equation (6) in sense of collecting together terms involving equal number of factors of P we obtain

(7)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} f_i(A, P) = 0,$$

where $f_i(A, P)$ stands for the expression of terms involving i factors of P. Replacing A by A + 2P, A + 3P, ..., A + (p-1)P in turn in the equation (4), and expressing the resulting system of p-1 homogeneous equations of variables $f_i(A, P)$, i = 1, 2, ..., p-1, we see that the coefficient matrix of the system is a van der Monde matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 2 & 2^2 & \cdots & 2^{p-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ p-1 & (p-1)^2 & \cdots & (p-1)^{p-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since the determinant of the matrix is different from zero, it follows that the system has only a trivial solution.

In particular,

$$\begin{split} f_{p-2}\left(A,P\right) &= 2(m+n)^2 \binom{p}{p-2} T\left(A^2\right) - \left(2m^2 + 2mn\right) \left[\binom{p-1}{p-2} T\left(A\right) A + \binom{p-1}{p-3} BA^2 \right] \\ &+ mn \left[\binom{p-2}{p-4} T\left(A^2\right) P + 2 \binom{p-2}{p-3} T(A) A + BA^2 \right] \\ &- 2mn \left[\binom{p-2}{p-3} AT(A) P + ABA + \binom{p-2}{p-3} PT(A) A + \binom{p-2}{p-4} PT\left(A^2\right) P \right] \\ &+ mn \left[A^2 B + 2 \binom{p-2}{p-3} AT(A) + \binom{p-2}{p-4} PT\left(A^2\right) \right] \\ &- \left(2n^2 + 2mn\right) \left[\binom{p-1}{p-2} AT(A) + \binom{p-1}{p-3} A^2 B \right] = 0 \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} f_{p-1}\left(A,P\right) &= 2(m+n)^2 \binom{p}{p-1} T\left(A\right) - \left(2m^2 + 2mn\right) \left[T\left(A\right)P + \binom{p-1}{p-2}BA\right] \\ &+ mn \left[\binom{p-2}{p-3}T\left(A\right)P + 2BA\right] - 2mn \left[ABP + \binom{p-2}{p-3}PT(A)P + PBA\right] \\ &+ mn \left[2AB + \binom{p-2}{p-3}PT(A)\right] - \left(2n^2 + 2mn\right) \left[\binom{p-1}{p-2}AB + PT\left(A\right)\right] = 0. \end{split}$$

The above equations reduce to

(8)
$$(m+n)^2 p(p-1)T(A^2) = [2m(m+n)(p-1) - 2mn(p-2)]T(A)A$$

 $+ [2n(m+n)(p-1) - 2mn(p-2)]AT(A)$
 $+ [m(m+n)(p-1)(p-2) - mn]BA^2 +$
 $[n(m+n)(p-1)(p-2) - mn]A^2B$
 $- \frac{mn(p-2)(p-3)}{2}(T(A^2)P + PT(A^2)) +$
 $2mn(p-2)(AT(A)P + PT(A)A)$
 $+ 2mnABA + mn(p-2)(p-3)PT(A^2)P,$

and

(9)
$$2(m+n)^2 pT(A) = (2m^2 + 4mn - mnp) T(A) P$$

 $+ (2n^2 + 4mn - mnp) PT(A) + 2m(m+n) (p-1) BA$
 $+ 2n(m+n) (p-1) AB + 2mn (p-2) PT(A) P.$

Right multiplication of the relation (9) by P gives

(10)
$$(2(m+n)^2p - 2m^2 - 4mn + mnp) T(A)P = (2n^2 + mnp) PT(A)P$$
$$+ 2m(m+n) (p-1) BA + 2n(m+n) (p-1) AB.$$

Subtracting the relation (10) from the relation (9) one obtains

(11)
$$2(m+n)^2 pT(A) = 2(m+n)^2 pT(A) P + (2n^2 + 4mn - mnp) (PT(A) - PT(A) P).$$

Left multiplication of the relation (9) by P gives

(12)
$$(2(m+n)^2p - 2n^2 - 4mn + mnp) PT(A) = (2m^2 + mnp) PT(A)P$$
$$+ 2m(m+n)(p-1)BA + 2n(m+n)(p-1)AB.$$

Subtracting the relation (12) from the relation (9) one obtains

(13)
$$2(m+n)^2 pT(A) = (2m^2 + 4mn - mnp) (T(A)P - PT(A)P) + 2(m+n)^2 pPT(A).$$

Subtracting the relation (11) from the relation (13) we obtain

(14)
$$(2n^{2} + 4mn - mnp - 2(m+n)^{2}p) PT(A)$$

$$+ (2(m+n)^{2}p - 2m^{2} - 4mn + mnp) T(A)P$$

$$+ 2(m^{2} - n^{2}) PT(A)P = 0.$$

On the other hand comparing the relations (11) and (13) gives

(15)
$$4(m+n)^2 pT(A) = (2(m+n)^2 p + 2m^2 + 4mn - mnp) T(A)P + (2(m+n)^2 p + 2n^2 + 4mn - mnp) PT(A) + (2mnp - 8mn - 2m^2 - 2n^2) PT(A)P.$$

Combining the relations (14) and (15) we obtain

$$2(m+n)^{2}pT(A) = 2(m+n)^{2}pT(A)P + (2n^{2} + 4mn - mnp)PT(A) + (mnp - 4mn - 2n^{2})PT(A)P.$$

Multiplying the above relation by P from the left side gives

(16)
$$PT(A) = PT(A)P.$$

Using the relation (16) in the relation (14) gives

(17)
$$PT(A) = T(A)P.$$

According to the relation (17) the relations (8) and (9) reduce to

(18)
$$(m+n)^2 p(p-1)T(A^2) = 2m(m+n)(p-1)T(A)A$$

 $+ 2n(m+n)(p-1)AT(A) + m[(m+n)(p-1)(p-2) - n]BA^2 +$
 $n[(m+n)(p-1)(p-2) - m]A^2B + 2mnABA$

and

(19)
$$(m+n)pT(A) = (m+n)T(A)P + (p-1)(mBA + nAB).$$

Right multiplication of the relation (19) by P and subtracting the relation so obtained from the relation (19) gives

$$(20) T(A) = T(A)P.$$

Using the relation (20) in the relation (19) one obtains

(21)
$$(m+n)T(A) = mBA + nAB.$$

Putting A^2 for A in the above relation gives

(22)
$$(m+n)T(A^2) = mBA^2 + nA^2B.$$

Multiplication of the relation (21) by A from both sides gives

$$(23) (m+n)T(A)A = mBA^2 + nABA$$

and

$$(24) (m+n)AT(A) = mABA + nA^2B.$$

Using the relations (22), (23) and (24) in the relation (18) we obtain after some calculation

$$(25) A^2B + BA^2 - 2ABA = 0.$$

Now using the relations (22) and (25) in the relation (18) gives after some calculation

(26)
$$(m+n)T(A^{2}) = mT(A)A + nAT(A).$$

The relation (25) can be written in the form

$$[[A, B], A] = 0.$$

Applying the relation (21) we obtain

$$(m+n)[[T(A), A], A] = m[[BA, A], A] + n[[AB, A], A].$$

Applying the commutator identity and the relation (27) on the right side of the relation above we obtain

$$(m+n)[[T(A), A], A] = m[[B, A], A] + n[A[B, A], A]$$

= $m[[B, A], A]A + nA[[B, A], A] = 0.$

We have therefore

$$[[T(A), A], A] = 0$$

for all $A \in \mathcal{F}(X)$. From the relation (20) one can conclude that T maps $\mathcal{F}(X)$ into itself. We have therefore an additive mapping $T : \mathcal{F}(X) \to \mathcal{F}(X)$ satisfying the relation (28) for all $A \in \mathcal{F}(X)$. Since $\mathcal{F}(X)$ is prime one can conclude applying Theorem 2 that

$$[T(A), A] = 0$$

holds for all $A \in \mathcal{F}(X)$. The relation above makes it possible to replace in the relation (26), T(A)A by AT(A), which gives

$$T(A^2) = T(A)A.$$

Of course, we also have

$$T(A^2) = AT(A).$$

In other words, T is a left and a right Jordan centralizer on $\mathcal{F}(X)$. By Zalar theorem T is a two-sided centralizer. We intend to prove that there exists an operator $C \in \mathcal{L}(X)$, such that

(29)
$$T(A) = CA$$
, for all $A \in \mathcal{F}(X)$.

For any fixed $x \in X$ and $f \in X^*$ we denote by $x \otimes f$ an operator from $\mathcal{F}(X)$ defined by $(x \otimes f)y = f(y)x$, for all $y \in X$. For any $A \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ we have $A(x \otimes f) = ((Ax) \otimes f)$. Let us choose f and g such that f(g) = 1 and define $Cx = T(x \otimes f)g$. Obviously, G is linear. Using the fact that G is left centralizer on G we obtain

$$(CA)x = C(Ax) = T((Ax) \otimes f)y = T(A(x \otimes f))y = T(A)(x \otimes f)y = T(A)x,$$

 $x \in X.$

We have therefore T(A) = CA for any $A \in \mathcal{F}(X)$. Since T right centralizer on $\mathcal{F}(X)$ we obtain C(AP) = T(AP) = AT(P) = ACP, where $A \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ and P is arbitrary one-dimensional projection. We have therefore [A, C]P = 0. Since P is arbitrary one-dimensional projection it follows that [A, C] = 0 for any $A \in \mathcal{F}(X)$. Using closed graph theorem one can easily prove that C is continuous. Since C commutes with all operators from $\mathcal{F}(X)$ one can conclude that $Cx = \lambda x$ holds for any $x \in X$ and some $\lambda \in \mathcal{F}$, which gives together with the relation (29) that T is of the form

$$T(A) = \lambda A,$$

for any $A \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ and some $\lambda \in \mathcal{F}$. It remains to prove that the above relation holds on A(X) as well. Let us introduce $T_1:A(X)\to \mathcal{L}(X)$ by $T_1(A)=\lambda A$ and consider $T_0=T-T_1$. The mapping T_0 is, obviously, additive and satisfies the relation (4). Besides, T_0 vanishes on $\mathcal{F}(X)$. It is our aim to prove that T_0 vanishes on A(X) as well. Let $A\in A(X)$, let P be an one-dimensional projection and S=A+PAP-(AP+PA). Note that S can be written in the form S=(I-P)A(I-P), where I denotes the identity operator on X, Since, obviously, $S-A\in \mathcal{F}(X)$, we have $T_0(S)=T_0(A)$. Besides, SP=PS=0. We have therefore the relation

(30)
$$2(m+n)^{2}T_{0}(A^{p}) = (2m^{2} + 2mn)T_{0}(A)A^{p-1} - mnT_{0}(A^{p-2})A^{2} + 2mnAT_{0}(A^{p-2})A - mnA^{2}T_{0}(A^{p-2}) + (2n^{2} + 2mn)A^{p-1}T_{0}(A),$$

for all $A \in A(X)$. Applying the above relation we obtain

$$(2m^{2} + 2mn) T_{0}(S)S^{p-1} - mnT_{0}(S^{p-2})S^{2} + 2mnST_{0}(S^{p-2})S$$

$$- mnS^{2}T_{0}(S^{p-2}) + (2n^{2} + 2mn) S^{p-1}T_{0}(S) = 2(m+n)^{2}T_{0}(S^{p})$$

$$= 2(m+n)^{2}T_{0}(S^{p} + P) = 2(m+n)^{2}T_{0}((S+P)^{p})$$

$$= (2m^{2} + 2mn) T_{0}(S+P)(S+P)^{p-1} - mnT_{0}((S+P)^{p-2})(S+P)^{2}$$

$$+ 2mn(S+P)T_{0}((S+P)^{p-2})(S+P)$$

$$- mn(S+P)^{2}T_{0}((S+P)^{p-2}) + (2n^{2} + 2mn) (S+P)^{p-1}T_{0}(S+P)$$

$$= (2m^{2} + 2mn) T_{0}(S)(S^{p-1} + P) - mnT_{0}(S^{p-2})(S^{2} + P)$$

$$+ 2mn(S+P)T_{0}(S^{p-2})(S+P)$$

$$- mn(S^{2} + P)T_{0}(S^{p-2}) + (2n^{2} + 2mn) (S^{p-1} + P)T_{0}(S)$$

$$= (2m^{2} + 2mn) T_{0}(S)S^{p-1} + (2m^{2} + 2mn) T_{0}(S)P - mnT_{0}(S^{p-2})S^{2}$$

$$- mnT_{0}(S^{p-2})P + 2mnST_{0}(S^{p-2})S + 2mnPT_{0}(S^{p-2})S + 2mnST_{0}(S^{p-2})P$$

$$+ 2mnPT_{0}(S^{p-2})P - mnS^{2}T_{0}(S^{p-2}) - mnPT_{0}(S^{p-2})$$

$$+ (2n^{2} + 2mn) S^{p-1}T_{0}(S) + (2n^{2} + 2mn) PT_{0}(S).$$

We have therefore

(31)
$$(2m^{2} + 2mn) T_{0}(A)P - mnT_{0}(A^{p-2})P + 2mnPT_{0}(A^{p-2})S + 2mnST_{0}(A^{p-2})P + 2mnPT_{0}(A^{p-2})P - mnPT_{0}(A^{p-2}) + (2n^{2} + 2mn) PT_{0}(A) = 0.$$

Multiplying the above relation from both sides by P we obtain

$$(32) PT_0(A)P = 0.$$

Now right multiplication of the relation (31) by P gives because of (32)

(33)
$$2(m+n)T_0(A)P - nT_0(A^{p-2})P + 2nST_0(A^{p-2})P = 0.$$

Putting 2A for A in the relation (33) and subtracting the relation so obtained from the relation (33) gives

(34)
$$(2^{p-3}-1) T_0(A^{p-2})P + 2 (1-2^{p-2}) ST_0(A^{p-2})P = 0.$$

Putting again 2A for A in the above relation gives after some calculation (see how the relation (34) was obtained from the relation (33))

$$ST_0(A^{p-2})P = 0$$

and

$$(36) T_0(A^{p-2})P = 0.$$

Using (35) and (36) in the relation (33) one obtains $T_0(A)P = 0$. Since P is an arbitrary one-dimensional projection, one can conclude that $T_0(A) = 0$, for any $A \in A(X)$, which completes the proof of the theorem.

We proceed with the following conjecture.

Conjecture. Let $m \ge 1$, $n \ge 1$ and $p \ge 2$ be some fixed integers and let R be a semiprime ring with suitable torsion restrictions. Suppose there exists an additive mapping $T: R \to R$ satisfying the relation

$$2(m+n)^{2}T(x^{p}) = (2m^{2} + 2mn)T(x)x^{p-1} - mnT(x^{p-2})x^{2} + 2mnxT(x^{p-2})x$$
$$- mnx^{2}T(x^{p-2}) + (2n^{2} + 2mn)x^{p-1}T(x)$$

for all $x \in R$. In this case T is a two-sided centralizer.

We proved the above conjecture in case R is a semiprime ring with the identity element.

Theorem 3. Let $m \geq 1$, $n \geq 1$ and $p \geq 2$ be some fixed integers and let R be a 2, p-1, 2p-1, m+n and mn-torsion free semiprime ring with the identity element. Suppose there exists an additive mapping $T: R \to R$ satisfying the relation

$$2(m+n)^{2}T(x^{p}) = (2m^{2} + 2mn)T(x)x^{p-1} - mnT(x^{p-2})x^{2} + 2mnxT(x^{p-2})x - mnx^{2}T(x^{p-2}) + (2n^{2} + 2mn)x^{p-1}T(x)$$

for all $x \in R$. In this case T is a two-sided centralizer.

Proof. We have the relation

(37)
$$2(m+n)^2 T(x^p) = (2m^2 + 2mn) T(x)x^{p-1} - mnT(x^{p-2})x^2 + 2mnxT(x^{p-2})x - mnx^2T(x^{p-2}) + (2n^2 + 2mn) x^{p-1}T(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Using a similar approach as in the proof of Theorem 1, with the exception that we use the identity element e instead of a projection, we obtain from the above relation

(38)
$$(m+n)^2 p(p-1)T(x^2) = 2m(m+n)(p-1)T(x)x$$

 $+ 2n(m+n)(p-1)xT(x) + [m(m+n)(p-1)(p-2) - mn] ax^2$
 $+ [n(m+n)(p-1)(p-2) - mn] x^2 a + 2mnxax, \quad x \in R$

and

$$(39) (m+n)T(x) = max + nxa, x \in R,$$

where a stands for T(e). In the procedure mentioned above we used the fact that R is 2, p-1 and (m+n) —torsion free.

The substitution x^2 for x in (39) gives

$$(40) (m+n)T(x^2) = max^2 + nx^2a, x \in R.$$

Multiplying the relation (39) first from the right side then from the left side by x we obtain

$$(41) (m+n)T(x)x = max^2 + nxax, x \in R$$

and

$$(42) (m+n)xT(x) = mxax + nx^2a, x \in R.$$

Using (40), (41) and (42) in the relation (38) and applying the fact that R is 2p-1 and mn-torsion free we obtain after some calculation

$$x^2a + ax^2 - 2xax = 0, \quad x \in R.$$

Combining the above relation and the relation (38) we obtain since R is p-1 and (m+n) –torsion free

$$(m+n)pT\left(x^{2}\right)=2mT(x)x+2nxT(x)+m\left(p-2\right)ax^{2}+n\left(p-2\right)x^{2}a,\quad x\in R.$$

Applying (40) in the above relation gives

$$(43) (m+n)T(x^2) = mT(x)x + nxT(x), \quad x \in R.$$

Combining (40) with (43) we obtain

$$mT(x)x + nxT(x) = max^2 + nx^2a, \quad x \in R$$

Applying the relation (39) in the above relation we obtain

$$2mnxax - mn(ax^2 + x^2a) = 0$$

which can be written in the form

$$[[a, x], x] = 0, \quad x \in R.$$

Putting x + y for x in the above relation we obtain

$$[[a, x], y] + [[a, y], x] = 0, \quad x, y \in R.$$

Putting xy for y in the above relation and applying the commutator identity and (44) and (45) we obtain

$$0 = [[a, x], xy] + [[a, xy], x] = [[a, x], x] y + x [[a, x], y] + [[a, x]y + x [a, y], x]$$
$$= x [[a, x], y] + [[a, x], x] y + [a, x] [[y, x] + x [[a, y], x] = [a, x] [[y, x], x, y \in R.$$

Thus we have

$$[a,x]\,[y,x]=0,\quad x,y\in R.$$

The substitution ya for y in the above relation gives

$$[a, x] y [a, x] = 0, \quad x, y \in R.$$

So far, we have not used the assumption that R is semiprime. Since R is semiprime, it follows from the above relation that [a, x] = 0 for all $x \in R$. In other words, $a \in Z(R)$, which reduces the relation (39) to T(x) = ax, $x \in R$, since R is (m + n)-torsion free. The proof of the theorem is complete.

REFERENCES

- K.I. Beidar, W.S. Martindale III and A.V. Mikhalev, Rings with generalized identities. Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, 1996.
- [2] D. Benkovič, D. Eremita and J. Vukman, A characterization of the centroid of a prime ring. Studia Sci. Math. Hungar. 45 (2008), 379–394.
- [3] M. Brešar, On a generalization of the notion of centralizing mappings. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 114 (1992), 641–649.
- [4] M. Brešar, Applying the theorem on functional identities. Nova J. Math. Game Th. Algebra 4 (1995), 43–54.
- [5] H.G. Dales, Automatic Continuity. Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 10 (1978), 129-183.
- [6] M.G. Dales, Banach Algebras and Automatic Continuity. Calderon Press, Oxford, 2001.
- [7] J. Li, Q. Shen and J. Guo, On generalized (M, N, L)-Jordan centralizers. Banach J. Math. Anal. 6 (2012), 19–37.
- [8] N. Peršin and J. Vukman, On certain functional equations arising from (m, n)-Jordan centralizers in prime rings. Glas. Mat. Ser. III 47 (2012), 119–132.
- [9] A.M. Sinclair, Automatic continuity of linear operators. London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. 21, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, London, New York, and Melbourne, 1976.
- [10] J. Vukman, An identity related to centralizers in semiprime rings. Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. 40 (1999), 447–456.
- [11] J. Vukman, Centralizers of semiprime rings. Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. 42 (2001), 237–245.
- [12] J. Vukman and I. Kosi-Ulbl, Centralizers on rings and algebras. Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 71(2005), 225–234.

- [13] J. Vukman and I. Kosi-Ulbl, On some equations related to derivations in rings. Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 17 (2005), 2703–2710.
- [14] J. Vukman and I. Kosi-Ulbl, On centralizers of semiprime rings with involution. Studia Sci. Math. Hungar. 43 (2006), 77–83.
- [15] J. Vukman, Identities related to derivations and centralizers on standard operator algebras. Taiwanese J. Math. 11 (2007), 255–265.
- [16] J. Vukman, On (m, n)-Jordan centralizers in rings and algebras. Glas. Mat. Ser. III 45 (2010), 43–53.
- [17] B. Zalar, On centralizers of semiprime rings. Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. 32 (1991), 609–614.

Received 13 October 2015

University of Maribor, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Smetanova 17, 2000 Maribor, Slovenia irena.kosi@um.si