

A REMARK ON THE EXISTENCE OF POSITIVE SOLUTIONS FOR A CLASS OF INFINITE SEMIPOSITONE PROBLEMS VIA SUB AND SUPERSOLUTIONS METHOD

SALEH SHAKERI

Communicated by Gabriela Marinoschi

Using the method of sub-super solutions, we study the existence of positive solutions for a class of infinite semipositone problems involving nonlocal operator.

AMS 2010 Subject Classification: 35J55, 35J65.

Key words: p -Kirchhoff-type problems, infinite semipositone, sub and supersolutions method.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we are interested in the existence of positive solutions for the p -Kirchhoff-type problem

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} -M \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx \right) \Delta_p u = au^{p-1} - bu^{\gamma} - f(u) - \frac{c}{u^{\alpha}}, & x \in \Omega, \\ u = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where Δ_p denotes the p -Laplacian operator defined by $\Delta_p z = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla z|^{p-2} \nabla z)$, $p > 1$, $\gamma > p - 1$, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, a, b and c are positive constants, Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N with a smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$, and $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function. This model describes the steady states of a logistic growth model with grazing and constant yield harvesting. It also describes the dynamics of the fish population with natural predation and constant yield harvesting.

We make the following assumptions:

- (H₁) $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function such that $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} f(s) = \infty$.
- (H₂) There exist $A > 0$ and $\beta > p - 1$ such that $f(s) \leq As^{\beta}$, for all $s \geq 0$.
- (H₃) $M : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous and increasing function such that $0 < M_0 \leq M(t) \leq M_{\infty}$ for all t .

In [16], the authors have studied the equation $-\Delta u = g(u) - (c/u^{\alpha})$ with Dirichlet boundary conditions, where g is nonnegative and nondecreasing and $\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} g(u) = \infty$. The case $g(u) := au - f(u)$ has been studied in [14], where $f(u) \geq au - N$ and $f(u) \leq Au^{\beta}$ on $[0, \infty)$ for some $N, A > 0, \beta > 1$ and this g

may have a falling zero. Here u is the population density and $au^{p-1} - bu^\gamma - f(u)$ represents logistics growth. This model describes grazing of a fixed number of grazers on a logistically growing species (see [15, 20]). The herbivore density is assumed to be a constant which is a valid assumption for managed grazing systems and the rate of grazing is given by $\frac{c}{u^\alpha}$. At high levels of vegetation density this term saturates to c as the grazing population is a constant. This model has also been applied to describe the dynamics of fish populations (see [15, 23]). The diffusive logistic equation with constant yield harvesting, in the absence of grazing was studied in [22]. More recently, reaction-diffusion models have been used to describe spatiotemporal phenomena in disciplines other than ecology, such as physics, chemistry (see [24, 25]). In this paper, we study the equation

$$(1.2) \quad -M \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx \right) \Delta_p u = au^{p-1} - bu^\gamma - f(u) - (c/u^\alpha)$$

with Dirichlet boundary conditions. Let $F(u) := au^{p-1} - bu^\gamma - f(u) - (c/u^\alpha)$, then $\lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} F(u) = -\infty$ and hence we refer to (1.1) as an infinite semipositone problem. In recent years, problems involving Kirchhoff type operators have been studied in many papers, we refer to [1–3, 6, 8, 17–19, 21] in which the authors have used variational method and topological method to get the existence of solutions for (1.1). In this paper, motivated by the ideas introduced in [9] and the properties of Kirchhoff type operators in [11–13], we study problem (1.1) in the semipositone case ($F(0) < 0$ but finite) (see [4, 5, 7]). The main tool used in this study is the method of sub- and super solutions. Our result in this note improves the previous one [9] in which $M(t) \equiv 1$. To our best knowledge, this is a new research topic for nonlocal problems, see [1, 2, 13].

2. MAIN RESULT

A function ψ is said to be a subsolution of problem (1.1) if it is in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ such that $\psi = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$ and satisfies

$$(2.1) \quad M \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \psi|^p dx \right) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \psi|^{p-2} \nabla \psi \cdot \nabla w dx \\ \leq \int_{\Omega} \left(a\psi^{p-1} - b\psi^\gamma - f(\psi) - \frac{c}{\psi^\alpha} \right) w dx, \quad \forall w \in W,$$

where $W := \{w \in C_0^\infty(\Omega) : w \geq 0 \text{ in } \Omega\}$. A function $z \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is said to be a supersolution if $z = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$ and satisfies

$$(2.2) \quad M \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla z|^p dx \right) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla z|^{p-2} \nabla z \cdot \nabla w dx$$

$$\geq \int_{\Omega} \left(az^{p-1} - bz^{\gamma} - f(z) - \frac{c}{z^{\alpha}} \right) w \, dx, \quad \forall w \in W.$$

Our main result is given by the following theorem.

THEOREM 2.1. *Let (H1), (H2) and (H3) hold. If $a > (\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha})^{p-1} \lambda_1$, then there exists a positive constant $c^* := c^*(a, A, p, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \Omega)$ such that for $c \leq c^*$, problem (1.1) has a positive solution, where λ_1 is the first eigenvalue of the p -Laplacian operator with Dirichlet boundary conditions.*

Proof. We shall establish our result by constructing positive sub-super-solutions to equation (1.1). From an anti-maximum principle (see [10, pages 155–156]), there exists a $\sigma(\Omega) > 0$ such that the solution z_{λ} of

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p z - \lambda z^{p-1} = -1, & x \in \Omega, \\ z = 0 & x \in \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

for $\lambda \in (\lambda_1, \lambda_1 + \sigma)$ is positive in Ω and is such that $\frac{\partial z}{\partial \nu} < 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, where ν is outward normal vector on $\partial\Omega$. Fix $\lambda^* \in (\lambda_1, \min\{\lambda_1 + \sigma, (\frac{p-1+\alpha}{p})^{p-1} a\})$ and let

$$K := \min \left\{ \left(\frac{M_{\infty}(p/p-1+\alpha)^{p-1}}{2b\|z_{\lambda^*}\|_{\infty}^{\frac{\gamma p - (p-1)(\alpha-1)}{p-1+\alpha}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma-p+1}}, \left(\frac{a - (\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha})^{p-1} \lambda^*}{3M_{\infty}b\|z_{\lambda^*}\|_{\infty}^{\frac{p(\gamma-p+1)}{p-1+\alpha}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma-p+1}}, \right. \\ \left. \left(\frac{M_{\infty}(p/p-1+\alpha)^{p-1}}{2A\|z_{\lambda^*}\|_{\infty}^{\frac{\beta p - (p-1)(\alpha-1)}{p-1+\alpha}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta-p+1}}, \left(\frac{a - (\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha})^{p-1} \lambda^*}{3M_{\infty}A\|z_{\lambda^*}\|_{\infty}^{\frac{p(\beta-p+1)}{p-1+\alpha}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta-p+1}} \right\}.$$

Define $\psi = K z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha}}$. Then

$$\nabla \psi = K \left(\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha} \right) z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{1-\alpha}{p-1+\alpha}} \nabla z_{\lambda^*}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & M \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \psi|^p \, dx \right) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \psi|^{p-2} \nabla \psi \cdot \nabla w \, dx \\ &= M \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \psi|^p \, dx \right) K^{p-1} \left(\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha} \right)^{p-1} \\ & \quad \int_{\Omega} \left[\left(\frac{(p-1)(1-\alpha)}{p-1+\alpha} \right) z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{-\alpha p}{p-1+\alpha}} |\nabla z_{\lambda^*}|^p + z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{(p-1)(1-\alpha)}{p-1+\alpha}} \Delta_p z_{\lambda^*} \right] w \, dx \\ &= M \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \psi|^p \, dx \right) K^{p-1} \left(\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha} \right)^{p-1} \\ & \quad \int_{\Omega} \left[\left(\frac{(p-1)(1-\alpha)}{p-1+\alpha} \right) z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{-\alpha p}{p-1+\alpha}} |\nabla z_{\lambda^*}|^p + z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{(p-1)(1-\alpha)}{p-1+\alpha}} (1 - \lambda^* z_{\lambda^*}^{p-1}) \right] w \, dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq M_\infty K^{p-1} \left(\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha} \right)^{p-1} \int_{\Omega} \left[\left\{ \lambda^* z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{p(p-1)}{p-1+\alpha}} - z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{(p-1)(1-\alpha)}{p-1+\alpha}} - \left(\frac{(p-1)(1-\alpha)}{p-1+\alpha} \right) \frac{|\nabla z_{\lambda^*}|^p}{z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{\alpha p}{p-1+\alpha}}} \right\} w \, dx \right]$$

Let $\delta > 0$, $\mu > 0$ and $m > 0$ be such that $|\nabla z_{\lambda^*}|^p \geq m$ in $\bar{\Omega}_\delta$ and $z_{\lambda^*} \geq \mu$ in $\Omega \setminus \bar{\Omega}_\delta$, where $\bar{\Omega}_\delta := \{x \in \Omega : d(x, \partial\Omega) \leq \delta\}$. Let

$$c^* := K^{p-1+\alpha} \min \left\{ M_\infty \left(\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha} \right)^{p-1} \left(\frac{(p-1)(1-\alpha)}{p-1+\alpha} \right) m^p, \frac{1}{3} \mu^p \left(a - M_\infty \left(\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha} \right)^{p-1} \lambda^* \right) \right\}.$$

Let $x \in \bar{\Omega}_\delta$ and $c \leq c^*$. Since $(\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha})^{p-1} \lambda^* < a$, we have

$$(2.3) \quad M_\infty K^{p-1} \left(\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha} \right)^{p-1} \lambda^* z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{p(p-1)}{p-1+\alpha}} < a \left(K z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha}} \right)^{p-1}.$$

From the choice of K , we have

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{M_\infty}{2} \left(\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha} \right)^{p-1} \geq b K^{\gamma-p+1} \|z_{\lambda^*}\|_\infty^{\frac{\gamma p(p-1)(\alpha-1)}{p-1+\alpha}},$$

$$(2.5) \quad \frac{M_\infty}{2} \left(\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha} \right)^{p-1} \geq A K^{\beta-p+1} \|z_{\lambda^*}\|_\infty^{\frac{\beta p(p-1)(\alpha-1)}{p-1+\alpha}}.$$

By (2.4), (2.5) and (H2), we know that

$$(2.6) \quad -\frac{M_\infty}{2} K^{p-1} \left(\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha} \right)^{p-1} z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{(p-1)(1-\alpha)}{p-1+\alpha}} \leq -b \left(K z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha}} \right)^\gamma,$$

$$(2.7) \quad -\frac{M_\infty}{2} K^{p-1} \left(\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha} \right)^{p-1} z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{(p-1)(1-\alpha)}{p-1+\alpha}} \leq -A \left(K z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha}} \right)^\beta \leq -f \left(K z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha}} \right).$$

Since $|\nabla z_{\lambda^*}|^p \geq m$ in $\bar{\Omega}_\delta$, from the choice of c^* we have

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} & -M_\infty K^{p-1} \left(\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha} \right)^{p-1} \left(\frac{(p-1)(1-\alpha)}{p-1+\alpha} \right) \frac{|\nabla z_{\lambda^*}|^p}{z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{\alpha p}{p-1+\alpha}}} \\ & \leq M_\infty K^{p-1} \left(\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha} \right)^{p-1} \left(\frac{(p-1)(1-\alpha)}{p-1+\alpha} \right) \frac{m^p}{z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{\alpha p}{p-1+\alpha}}} \\ & \leq -\frac{c}{\left(K z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha}} \right)^\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence for c^* , combining (2.3), (2.6), (2.7), (2.8) and (H3) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& M \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \psi|^p \, dx \right) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \psi|^{p-2} \nabla \psi \cdot \nabla w \, dx \\
&= M \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \psi|^p \, dx \right) K^{p-1} \left(\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha} \right)^{p-1} \\
&\quad \times \int_{\Omega} \left(\lambda^* z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{p(p-1)}{p-1+\alpha}} - z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{(p-1)(1-\alpha)}{p-1+\alpha}} - \left(\frac{(p-1)(1-\alpha)}{p-1+\alpha} \right) \frac{|\nabla z_{\lambda^*}|^p}{z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{\alpha p}{p-1+\alpha}}} \right) w \, dx, \\
&\leq M_{\infty} K^{p-1} \left(\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha} \right)^{p-1} \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \lambda^* z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{p(p-1)}{p-1+\alpha}} - \frac{1}{2} K^{p-1} \left(\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha} \right)^{p-1} z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{(p-1)(1-\alpha)}{p-1+\alpha}} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} K^{p-1} \left(\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha} \right)^{p-1} z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{(p-1)(1-\alpha)}{p-1+\alpha}} K^{p-1} \left(\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha} \right)^{p-1} \left(\frac{(p-1)(1-\alpha)}{p-1+\alpha} \right) \frac{|\nabla z_{\lambda^*}|^p}{z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{\alpha p}{p-1+\alpha}}} \right\} w \, dx, \\
&\leq \int_{\Omega} \left(a \left(K z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha}} \right)^{p-1} - b \left(K z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha}} \right)^{\gamma} - f \left(K z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha}} \right) - \frac{c}{\left(K z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha}} \right)^{\alpha}} \right) w \, dx, \\
&\leq \int_{\Omega} \left(a \psi^{p-1} - b \psi^{\gamma} - f(\psi) - \frac{c}{\psi^{\alpha}} \right) w \, dx, \quad \forall w \in W, \quad x \in \overline{\Omega}_{\delta}.
\end{aligned}$$

Next in $\Omega \setminus \overline{\Omega}_{\delta}$, for $c \leq c^*$ from the choice of c^* and K , we know that

$$(2.9) \quad \frac{c}{K^{\alpha}} \leq \frac{1}{3} K^{p-1} z_{\lambda^*}^p \left(a - \left(\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha} \right)^{p-1} \lambda^* \right),$$

$$(2.10) \quad b K^{\gamma-p+1} z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{p(\gamma-p+1)}{p-1+\alpha}} \leq \frac{1}{3} \left(a - \left(\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha} \right)^{p-1} \lambda^* \right),$$

and

$$(2.11) \quad A K^{\beta-p+1} z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{p(\beta-p+1)}{p-1+\alpha}} \leq \frac{1}{3} \left(a - \left(\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha} \right)^{p-1} \lambda^* \right)$$

By combining (2.9), (2.10) and (2.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& M \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \psi|^p \, dx \right) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \psi|^{p-2} \nabla \psi \cdot \nabla w \, dx \\
&= M \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \psi|^p \, dx \right) K^{p-1} \left(\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha} \right)^{p-1} \\
&\quad \times \int_{\Omega} \left(\lambda^* z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{p(p-1)}{p-1+\alpha}} - z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{(p-1)(1-\alpha)}{p-1+\alpha}} - \left(\frac{(p-1)(1-\alpha)}{p-1+\alpha} \right) \frac{|\nabla z_{\lambda^*}|^p}{z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{\alpha p}{p-1+\alpha}}} \right) w \, dx,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \int_{\Omega} \left(M_{\infty} k^{p-1} \left(\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha} \right)^{p-1} \lambda^* z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{p(p-1)}{p-1+\alpha}} \right) w \, dx, \\
&= \int_{\Omega} \frac{M_{\infty}}{z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{\alpha p}{p-1+\alpha}}} \sum_{i=1}^3 \left(\frac{1}{3} k^{p-1} \left(\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha} \right)^{p-1} \lambda^* z_{\lambda^*}^p \right) w \, dx, \\
&\leq \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \frac{1}{z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{\alpha p}{p-1+\alpha}}} \left(\frac{1}{3} k^{p-1} z_{\lambda^*}^p a - \frac{c}{k^{\alpha}} \right) + k^{p-1} z_{\lambda^*}^p \left(\frac{1}{3} a - b k^{\gamma-p+1} z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{p(\gamma-p+1)}{p-1+\alpha}} \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + k^{p-1} z_{\lambda^*}^p \left(\frac{1}{3} a - A k^{\beta-p+1} z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{p(\beta-p+1)}{p-1+\alpha}} \right) \right\} w \, dx, \\
&\leq \int_{\Omega} \left(a \left(K z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha}} \right)^{p-1} - b \left(K z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha}} \right)^{\gamma} - f \left(K z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha}} \right) - \frac{c}{\left(K z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha}} \right)^{\alpha}} \right) w \, dx, \\
&\leq \int_{\Omega} \left(a \psi^{p-1} - b \psi^{\gamma} - f(\psi) - \frac{c}{\psi^{\alpha}} \right) w \, dx, \quad \forall w \in W, \quad x \in \Omega \setminus \bar{\Omega}_{\delta}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus ψ is a positive subsolution of (1.1). From (H_1) and $\gamma > p-1$, it is obvious that $z = M$, where M is sufficiently large constant is a supersolution of (1.1) with $z \geq \psi$. Thus, the proof is complete. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] G.A. Afrouzi, N.T. Chung and S. Shakeri, *Existence of positive solutions for Kirchhoff type equations*. Electron. J. Differential Equations **2013**(180) (2013), 1–8.
- [2] G.A. Afrouzi, N.T. Chung and S. Shakeri, *Positive solutions for a infinite semipositone problem involving nonlocal operator*. Rend. Semin. Mat. Univ. Padova **2014**(132) (2014), 25–32.
- [3] C.O. Alves, F.J.S.A. Corrêa and T.M. Ma, *Positive solutions for a quasilinear elliptic equation of Kirchhoff type*. Comput. Math. Appl. **49** (2005), 85–93.
- [4] V. Anuradha, D. Hai and R. Shivaji, *Existence results for superlinear semipositone boundary value problems*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **124** (1996), 757–763.
- [5] A. Castro and R. Shivaji, *Positive solutions for a concave semipositone Dirichlet problem*. Nonlinear Anal. **31** (1998), 91–98.
- [6] A. Bensedik and M. Boucekif, *On an elliptic equation of Kirchhoff-type with a potential asymptotically linear at infinity*. Math. Comput. Model. **49** (2009), 1089–1096.
- [7] D. Hai and R. Shivaji, *Uniqueness of positive solutions for a class of semipositone elliptic systems*. Nonlinear Anal. **66** (2007), 396–402.
- [8] F.J.S.A. Corrêa and G.M. Figueiredo, *On an elliptic equation of p -Kirchhoff type via variational methods*. Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. **74** (2006), 263–277.
- [9] M. Chubin, S.H. Rasouli, M.B. Ghaemi and G.A. Afrouzi, *Positive solutions for a class of infinite semipositone problem involving the p -Laplacian operator*. Matematiche (Catania) **LXVIII**(2) (2013), 159–166.

- [10] P. Drábek, P. Krejčí and P. Takáč, *Nonlinear Differential Equations*. Chapman Hall/CRC, 1999.
- [11] G. Dai, *Three solutions for a nonlocal Dirichlet boundary value problem involving the $p(x)$ -Laplacian*. Appl. Anal. **92**(1) (2013), 191–210.
- [12] G. Dai and R. Ma, *Solutions for a $p(x)$ -Kirchhoff type equation with Neumann boundary data*. Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl. **12** (2011), 2666–2680.
- [13] X. Han and G. Dai, *On the sub-supersolution method for $p(x)$ -Kirchhoff type equations*. J. Inequal. Appl. **2012** (2012): 283.
- [14] E.K. Lee, R. Shivaji and J. Ye, *Positive solutions for infinite semipositone problems with falling zeros*. Nonlinear Anal. **72** (2010), 4475–4479.
- [15] R.M. May, *Thresholds and breakpoints in ecosystems with a multiplicity of stable states*. Nature **269** (1977), 471–477.
- [16] M. Ramaswamy, R. Shivaji and J. Ye, *Positive solutions for a class of infinite semipositone problems*. Differential Integral Equations **20**(12) (2007), 1423–1433.
- [17] B. Ricceri, *On an elliptic Kirchhoff-type problem depending on two parameters*. J. Global Optim. **46**(4) (2010), 543–549.
- [18] J.J. Sun and C.L. Tang, *Existence and multiplicity of solutions for Kirchhoff type equations*. Nonlinear Anal. **74** (2011), 1212–1222.
- [19] T.F. Ma, *Remarks on an elliptic equation of Kirchhoff type*. Nonlinear Anal. **63** (2005), 1967–1977.
- [20] I. Noy-Meir, *Stability of grazing systems: An application of predator-prey graphs*. J. Ecol. **63** (1975), 459–481.
- [21] M.H. Yang and Z.Q. Han, *Existence and multiplicity results for Kirchhoff type problems with four-superlinear potentials*. Appl. Anal. **91**(11) (2012), 2045–2055.
- [22] S. Oruganti, J. Shi and R. Shivaji, *Diffusive logistic equation with constant yield harvesting, I: steady states*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **354** (2002), 3601–3619.
- [23] J.H. Steele and E.W. Henderson, *Modeling long-term fluctuations in fish stocks*. Science **224** (1984), 985–987.
- [24] R.S. Cantrell and C. Cosner, *Spatial Ecology via Reaction-Diffusion Equations*. Math. Comput. Biol. Wiley, (2003).
- [25] J. Smoller and A. Wasserman, *Global bifurcation of steady-state solutions*. J. Differential Equations **7** (2002), 237–256.

Received 20 January 2016

Department of Mathematics,
Ayatollah Amoli Branch,
Islamic Azad University,
Amol, P.O. Box 678, Iran
s.shakeri@iauamol.ac.ir