

DUAL TOPOLOGY OF GENERALIZED MOTION GROUPS

AYMEN RAHALI

Communicated by Vasile Brînzănescu

Let K be a connected compact Lie group acting on a finite dimensional vector space $(V, \langle, \rangle)$. We consider the semidirect product $G = K \ltimes V$. There are a dense open subset Λ of the dual vector space V^* of V and a subgroup H of K such that for each $\ell \in \Lambda$ the stability group K_ℓ is conjugate to H . Let $\Lambda_H := \{\ell \in \Lambda; K_\ell = H\}$ and $\Lambda_{(H,K)} := \Lambda_H/K$ where ℓ and ℓ' in Λ_H are identified if they are on the same K -orbit in V^* . We define the subspace $(\widehat{G})_{H,K}$ of the unitary dual $\widehat{G}$ of G by

$$(\widehat{G})_{H,K} := \{Ind_{H \ltimes V}^{K \ltimes V}(\rho \otimes \chi_\ell); \rho \in \widehat{H}, \chi_\ell \in \widehat{V}, \ell \in \Lambda_{(H,K)}\}.$$

In this paper, we give a precise description of the set $(\widehat{G})_{H,K}$ and we determine explicitly the topology of the space $(\mathfrak{g}^\dagger/G)_{H,K}$ which is formed by all the admissible coadjoint orbits $\mathcal{O}_{(\mu,\ell)}^G$, where μ is the highest weight of $\rho \in \widehat{H}$ and $\ell \in \Lambda_{(H,K)}$. Also, we show that the topological spaces $(\widehat{G})_{H,K}$ and $(\mathfrak{g}^\dagger/G)_{H,K}$ are homeomorphic.

AMS 2010 Subject Classification: 22D10, 22E27, 22E45.

Key words: Lie groups, semidirect product, representations, coadjoint orbits.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a locally compact group. By the unitary dual $\widehat{G}$ of G , we mean the set of all equivalence classes unitary representations of G equipped with the Fell topology (see [6]). One of the most elegant results in the theory of unitary representations is attached to the determination of the topology of $\widehat{G}$. Following the Kirillov mapping, it is well-known that for a simply connected nilpotent Lie group and more generally for an exponential solvable Lie group $G = \exp(\mathfrak{g})$, its dual space $\widehat{G}$ is homeomorphic to the coadjoint orbits $\mathfrak{g}^*/G$ (see [14]). In the context of the semi direct product $G = K \ltimes N$ of compact connected Lie groups K acting on simply connected nilpotent Lie groups N , we have again a concrete geometric parametrization of $\widehat{G}$ by the so-called admissible coadjoint

orbits (see [15]). A part from this parametrization, we can ask: is the topology of $\widehat{G}$ related to the topology of the admissible coadjoint orbits?

In this direction, an affirmative answer to this question was given for the Euclidean motion groups (see [5]), and it was generalized to a class of Cartan motion groups in [3].

In the present work, we consider the semidirect product $G = K \ltimes V$ of compact connected Lie groups K acting on a finite dimensional vector space V . It is worth mentioning here that there are a dense open subset Λ of the dual vector space V^* of V and a subgroup H of K such that for each $\ell \in \Lambda$ the stability group K_ℓ is conjugate to H (for more details, see [11]). We can assume that Λ is invariant under the action of K and we define the subset Λ_H of Λ by

$$\Lambda_H := \{\ell \in \Lambda; K_\ell = H\}.$$

Let $\Lambda_{(H,K)} := \Lambda_H/K$ where ℓ and ℓ' in Λ_H are identified if they are on the same K -orbit in V^* . We define a certain subspace $(\widehat{G})_{H,K} \subset \widehat{G}$ that is generic in some sense as follows:

$$(\widehat{G})_{H,K} := \{Ind_{H \ltimes V}^{K \ltimes V}(\rho \otimes \chi_\ell); \rho \in \widehat{H}, \chi_\ell \in \widehat{V}, \ell \in \Lambda_{(H,K)}\}.$$

For every admissible linear form ψ of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of G , we can construct an irreducible unitary representation π_ψ by holomorphic induction and according to Lipsman (see [15]), every irreducible representation of G arises in this manner. Then we get a map from the set $\mathfrak{g}^\dagger$ of the admissible linear forms onto the dual space $\widehat{G}$ of G . Note that π_ψ is equivalent to $\pi_{\psi'}$ if and only if ψ and ψ' are on the same G -orbit, finally we obtain a bijection between the space $\mathfrak{g}^\dagger/G$ of admissible coadjoint orbits and the unitary dual $\widehat{G}$.

In this paper, we show that the subspace $(\widehat{G})_{H,K}$ is homeomorphic to the subspace $(\mathfrak{g}^\dagger/G)_{H,K}$ of $\mathfrak{g}^\dagger/G$ which is formed by all the admissible coadjoint orbits $\mathcal{O}_{(\mu,\ell)}^G$, where μ is the highest weight of $\rho \in \widehat{H}$ and $\ell \in \Lambda_{(H,K)}$.

Here we give a short description of the contents of the paper. In Section 2, we recall some results about the construction of the induced symplectic manifold (Marsden-Weinstein reduction). Section 3, serves to fix notations and an important fact worth mentioning here is that every coadjoint orbit of G is always obtained by symplectic induction. Section 4 is devoted to the description via Mackey's little group theory of the unitary dual $\widehat{G}$, mostly in order to give a concrete parametrization for our space $(\widehat{G})_{H,K}$ by Mackey parameters. In Section 5, we study the convergence in $(\mathfrak{g}^\dagger/G)_{H,K}$ in terms of Mackey parameters. In the last section, the convergence in the space $(\mathfrak{g}^\dagger/G)_{H,K}$ is studied and our results of this work are derived in Theorem 6.2.

2. SYMPLECTIC INDUCTION

Along this paper, we denote by Ad_L and Ad_L^* , respectively, the adjoint and coadjoint representations for such Lie group L . Let S be a closed Lie subgroup of a connected Lie group L and let (M, ω) be a symplectic manifold. We assume that S acts smoothly on M by symplectomorphisms and M equipped with an equivariant momentum map $J_M : M \longrightarrow \mathfrak{s}^*$, where $\mathfrak{s}$ denotes the Lie algebra of S . Using symplectic induction one can construct in a canonical way a symplectic manifold (M_{ind}, ω_{ind}) on which L acts in a Hamiltonian way with an equivariant momentum map $J_{ind} : M_{ind} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{l}^*$ where $\mathfrak{l}$ is the Lie algebra of L .

For the construction of the Hamiltonian spaces $(M_{ind}, \omega_{ind}, L, J_{ind})$, one proceeds as follows. It is well-known that the subgroup S acts on L by the left multiplication. We denote by φ_{T^*L} the canonical lift of this action to the symplectic manifold T^*L , equipped with its canonical symplectic form $d\nu_L$ and by identifying $\mathfrak{l}^*$ with the left-invariant 1-forms on L , we get the natural isomorphism $T^*L \cong L \times \mathfrak{l}^*$. Then the action of S on T^*L is given by

$$\varphi_{T^*L}(h)(g, f) = (gh^{-1}, Ad_S^*(h)f).$$

Let φ_M be the action of S on M , so S acts on $\widetilde{M} = M \times T^*L$ by the action $\varphi_{\widetilde{M}}$ defined by

$$(2.1) \quad \varphi_{\widetilde{M}}(h)(m, g, f) = \left(\varphi_M(h)(m), gh^{-1}, Ad_S^*(h)f \right),$$

for all $h \in S, (m, g, f) \in M \times T^*L$. This action is symplectic for the symplectic form $\widetilde{\omega} = \omega + d\nu_L$, and it is a proper action because S is closed. Furthermore, this action admits an equivariant momentum map $J_{\widetilde{M}} = J_M + J_{T^*L}$. By observing that the element $0 \in \mathfrak{s}^*$ is a regular value for $J_{\widetilde{M}}$, then the quotient $M_{ind} = J_{\widetilde{M}}^{-1}(0)/S$ is a symplectic manifold (Marsden-Weinstein reduction). We call M_{ind} induced symplectic manifold and some times we denote it by $Ind_S^L M$.

In order to obtain a Hamiltonian action of L on M_{ind} we remark that the group L acts naturally on itself on the left, the canonical lift of this action to T^*L is Hamiltonian and we let also L act trivially on M , then we obtain a Hamiltonian action of L on $\widetilde{M}$ with equivariant momentum map $\widetilde{J} : \widetilde{M} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{l}^*$ defined by

$$\widetilde{J}(m, g, f) = Ad_L^*(g)f.$$

The S -action on $\widetilde{M}$ commutes with the action of L on $\widetilde{M}$, hence we obtain a symplectic action of L on M_{ind} . The fact that $\widetilde{J}$ is invariant under the S -action, it descends as an equivariant momentum map for the L -action on M_{ind} denoted by J_{ind} . This finishes the production of the induced symplectic

manifold, and it was pointed out in [2], that M_{ind} is a fibre bundle over $T^*(L/S)$ with typical fibre M .

3. GENERALIZED MOTION GROUPS AND SYMPLECTIC INDUCTION

Let K be a connected compact Lie group acting unitary on a finite dimensional vector space $(V, \langle, \rangle)$. We write $k.v$ and $A.v$ (resp. $k.\ell$ and $A.\ell$) for the result of applying elements $k \in K$ and $A \in \mathfrak{k} := Lie(K)$ to $v \in V$ (resp. to $\ell \in V^*$).

Now, one can form the semidirect product $G := K \ltimes V$ which is the so-called generalized motion group. As a set $G = K \times V$ and the multiplication in this group is given by

$$(k, v)(h, u) = (kh, v + k.u), \forall (k, v), (h, u) \in G.$$

The Lie algebra of G is $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus V$ (as a vector space) and the Lie algebra structure is given by the bracket

$$[(A, a), (B, b)] = ([A, B], A.b - B.a), \forall (A, a), (B, b) \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Under the identification of the dual $\mathfrak{g}^*$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ with $\mathfrak{k}^* \oplus V^*$, we can express the duality between $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^*$ as $F(A, a) = f(A) + \ell(a)$, for all $F = (f, \ell)$, $(A, a) \in \mathfrak{g}$. The adjoint and coadjoint representations of G are given respectively, by the following relations

$$\begin{aligned} Ad_G(k, v)(A, a) &= (Ad_K(k)A, k.a - Ad_K(k)A.v), \forall (k, v) \in G, (A, a) \in \mathfrak{g}, \\ Ad_G^*(k, v)(f, \ell) &= (Ad_K^*(k)f + k.\ell \odot v, k.\ell), \forall (k, v) \in G, (f, \ell) \in \mathfrak{g}^*, \end{aligned}$$

where $\ell \odot v$ is the element of $\mathfrak{k}^*$ defined by

$$\ell \odot v(A) = \ell(A.v) = -(A.\ell)(v), \forall A \in \mathfrak{k}, \ell \in V^*, v \in V.$$

Therefore, the coadjoint orbit of G passing through $(f, \ell) \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ is given by

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{O}_{(f, \ell)}^G = \left\{ \left(Ad_K^*(k)f + k.\ell \odot v, k.\ell \right), k \in K, v \in V \right\}.$$

For $\ell \in V^*$, we define $K_\ell := \{k \in K; k.\ell = \ell\}$ the isotropy subgroup of ℓ in K and the Lie algebra of K_ℓ is given by the vector space $\mathfrak{k}_\ell = \{A \in \mathfrak{k}; A.\ell = 0\}$. Hence, if we define the linear map $\psi_\ell : \mathfrak{k} \ni A \mapsto -A.\ell \in V^*$, we obtain the equality $\mathfrak{k}_\ell = Ker(\psi_\ell)$.

Let $\iota_\ell : \mathfrak{k}_\ell \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{k}$ be the injection map, then $\iota_\ell^* : \mathfrak{k}^* \longrightarrow \mathfrak{k}_\ell^*$ is the projection map and we have

$$(3.2) \quad \mathfrak{k}_\ell^\circ = Ker(\iota_\ell^*)$$

where $\mathfrak{k}_\ell^\circ$ is the annihilator of $\mathfrak{k}_\ell$ (for more details see [2]).

It is well-known that the coadjoint orbit $\mathcal{O}_{(f,\ell)}^G$ of G is symplectomorphic to a subbundle of a modified cotangent bundle, obtained by symplectic induction from a point. In this context, the following result give a more general property of the coadjoint orbit $\mathcal{O}_{(f,\ell)}^G$ and was discussed by Baguis in [2].

LEMMA 3.1. *The coadjoint orbit $\mathcal{O}_{(f,\ell)}^G$ is always obtained by symplectic induction from the coadjoint orbit $\mathcal{O}_{\nu}^{G_\ell}$ of G_ℓ passing through $\nu = (i_\ell^*(f), \ell) \in \mathfrak{g}_\ell^*$, where $G_\ell = K_\ell \ltimes V$ and $\mathfrak{g}_\ell$ is the Lie algebra of G_ℓ .*

Using the convention of Section 1 and notations of the previous Lemma, we can write

$$\mathcal{O}_{(f,\ell)}^G = \text{Ind}_{G_\ell}^G(\mathcal{O}_{\nu}^{G_\ell}).$$

Note that the coadjoint orbit $\mathcal{O}_{\nu}^{G_\ell}$ is canonically isomorphic to the coadjoint orbit $\mathcal{O}_{i_\ell^*(f)}^{K_\ell}$ of K_ℓ passing through $i_\ell^*(f)$. Let us choose the symplectic manifold M as $M = \mathcal{O}_{\nu}^{G_\ell}$. By applying the symplectic induction from M with the groups L and H as $L = G$ and $H = G_\ell$, we obtain that

$$\mathcal{O}_{(f,\ell)}^G = M_{\text{ind}} = J_{\widetilde{M}}^{-1}(0)/G_\ell,$$

where $J_{\widetilde{M}} : \widetilde{M} = M \times T^*G \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_\ell^*$ is the momentum map of $\widetilde{M}$ and the zero level set $J_{\widetilde{M}}^{-1}(0)$ is given by $J_{\widetilde{M}}^{-1}(0) = \left\{ \left((Ad_K^*(k)(i_\ell^*(f))), \ell \right), g, (Ad_K^*(k)f + \ell \odot v, \ell) \right\}$, $k \in K_\ell, g \in G, v \in V$ (see [2]).

4. DUAL SPACES OF GENERALIZED MOTION GROUPS

We start with recalling briefly the description of the unitary dual of G via Mackey's little group theory. For every non-zero linear form ℓ on V , we denote by χ_ℓ the unitary character of the vector Lie group V given by $\chi_\ell = e^{i\ell}$. Let ρ be an irreducible unitary representation of K_ℓ on some Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_\rho$. The map

$$\rho \otimes \chi_\ell : (k, v) \longmapsto e^{i\ell(v)} \rho(k)$$

is a representation of the Lie group $K_\ell \ltimes V$ such that one induces in order to get a unitary representation of G . We denote by $\mathcal{H}_{(\rho,\ell)} := L^2(K, \mathcal{H}_\rho)^\rho$ the subspace of $L^2(K, \mathcal{H}_\rho)$ consisting of all the maps ξ which satisfy the covariance condition

$$\xi(kh) = \rho(h^{-1})\xi(k), \forall k \in K, h \in K_\ell.$$

The induced representation

$$\pi_{(\rho,\ell)} := \text{Ind}_{K_\ell \ltimes V}^{K \ltimes V}(\rho \otimes \chi_\ell)$$

is defined on $\mathcal{H}_{(\rho,\ell)}$ by

$$\pi_{(\rho,\ell)}(k, v)\xi(h) = e^{i\ell(h^{-1}.v)}\xi(k^{-1}h)$$

where $(k, v) \in G, h \in K$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_{(\rho,\ell)}$. By Mackey's theory we can say that the induced representation $\pi_{(\rho,\ell)}$ is irreducible and every infinite dimensional irreducible unitary representation of G is equivalent to one of $\pi_{(\rho,\ell)}$. Moreover, two representations $\pi_{(\rho,\ell)}$ and $\pi_{(\rho',\ell')}$ are equivalent if and only if ℓ and ℓ' are contained in the same K -orbit and the representation ρ and ρ' are equivalent under the identification of the conjugate subgroups K_ℓ and $K_{\ell'}$. All irreducible representations of G which are not trivial on the normal subgroup V , are obtained by this manner. On the other hand, we denote also by τ the extension of every unitary irreducible representation τ of K on G , simply defined by $\tau(k, v) = \tau(k)$ for $k \in K$ and $v \in V$. There exists a so-called principal stability subgroup for the action of K on V^* , *i.e.*, there are a dense open subset Λ of V^* and a subgroup H of K such that for each $\ell \in \Lambda$ the stability group K_ℓ is conjugate to H (see [11]). We can assume that Λ is invariant under the action of K and we define the subset Λ_H of Λ by

$$\Lambda_H := \{\ell \in \Lambda; K_\ell = H\}.$$

Let $\Lambda_{(H,K)} := \Lambda_H/K$ where ℓ and ℓ' in Λ_H are identified if they are on the same K -orbit in V^* . Below we give a certain subspace $(\widehat{G})_{H,K} \subset \widehat{G}$ which is so-called generic in some sense:

$$(\widehat{G})_{H,K} := \{Ind_{H \rtimes V}^{K \rtimes V}(\rho \otimes \chi_\ell); \rho \in \widehat{H}, \chi_\ell \in \widehat{V}, \ell \in \Lambda_{(H,K)}\}.$$

Applying Mackey's analysis, we obtain the bijection

$$(\widehat{G})_{H,K} \simeq \widehat{H} \times \Lambda_{(H,K)}.$$

In the remainder of this paper, we shall assume that G is exponential, *i.e.*, K_ℓ is connected for all $\ell \in V^*$. Let ρ_μ be an irreducible representation of K_ℓ with highest weight μ . For simplicity, we shall write $\pi_{(\mu,\ell)}$ instead of $\pi_{(\rho_\mu,\ell)}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{(\mu,\ell)}$ instead of $\mathcal{H}_{(\rho_\mu,\ell)}$.

5. CONVERGENCE OF IRREDUCIBLE REPRESENTATIONS OF G

Let N be an abelian group, and assume that a compact Lie group K acts on the left on N by automorphisms. As sets, the semidirect product $K \ltimes N$ is the Cartesian product $K \times N$ and the group multiplication is given by

$$(k_1, x_1) \cdot (k_2, x_2) = (k_1 k_2, x_1 + k_1 x_2).$$

Let χ be a unitary character of N , and let K_χ be the stabilizer of χ under the action of K on $\widehat{N}$ defined by

$$(k \cdot \chi)(x) = \chi(k^{-1}x).$$

If ρ is an element of $\widehat{K_\chi}$, then the triple $(\chi, (K_\chi, \rho))$ is called a cataloguing triple. From the notations of [1], we denote by $\pi(\chi, K_\chi, \rho)$ the induced representation $\text{Ind}_{K_\chi \rtimes N}^{K \rtimes N}(\rho \otimes \chi)$. Referring to [1, p. 187], we have

PROPOSITION 1. *The mapping $(\chi, (K_\chi, \rho)) \longrightarrow \pi(\chi, K_\chi, \rho)$ is onto $\widehat{K \rtimes N}$.*

Let $\mathcal{A}(K)$ be the set of all pairs (K', ρ') , where K' is a closed subgroup of K and ρ' is an irreducible representation of K' . We equip $\mathcal{A}(K)$ with the Fell topology (see [6]). Therefore, every element in $\widehat{K \rtimes N}$ can be catalogued by elements in the topological space $\widehat{N} \times \mathcal{A}(K)$. The following result of Baggett (see [1, Theorem 6.2-A]) provides a precise and neat description of the topology of $\widehat{K \rtimes N}$.

THEOREM 5.1. *Let Y be a subset of $\widehat{K \rtimes N}$ and π an element of $\widehat{K \rtimes N}$. Then π is weakly contained in Y if and only if there exist: a cataloguing triple $(\chi, (K_\chi, \rho))$ for π , an element (K', ρ') of $\mathcal{A}(K)$, and a net $\{(\chi_n, (K_{\chi_n}, \rho_n))\}$ of cataloguing triples such that:*

- (i) *for each n , the irreducible unitary representation $\pi(\chi_n, K_{\chi_n}, \rho_n)$ of $K \rtimes N$ is an element of Y ;*
- (ii) *the net $\{(\chi_n, (K_{\chi_n}, \rho_n))\}$ converges to $(\chi, (K', \rho'))$;*
- (iii) *K_χ contains K' , and the induced representation $\text{Ind}_{K'}^{K_\chi}(\rho')$ contains ρ .*

Let us now return to the context and notations of Section 4. To an irreducible representation ρ_μ of H with highest weight μ and a linear form $\ell \in \Lambda_{(H,K)}$, we associate the representation $\pi_{(\mu, \ell)}$ of G and its corresponding cataloguing triple $(\chi_\ell, (H, \rho_\mu))$. By a direct application of Theorem 5.1 it gives us the following result.

PROPOSITION 2. *Let $(\pi_{(\mu^n, \ell_n)})_n$ be a sequence of elements in $(\widehat{G})_{H,K}$. Then $(\pi_{(\mu^n, \ell_n)})_n$ converges to $\pi_{(\mu, \ell)}$ in $(\widehat{G})_{H,K}$ if and only if $(\ell_n)_n$ tends to ℓ as $n \longrightarrow +\infty$ and $\mu^n = \mu$ for n large enough.*

Remark 5.2. By Proposition 2, we easily see that $(\widehat{G})_{H,K}$ has a Hausdorff topology.

6. CONVERGENCE OF ADMISSIBLE COADJOINT ORBITS OF G

We shall freely use the notations of the previous sections. Let $\mathfrak{k}_\mathfrak{t}$ be a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{k}$, and let $\mathfrak{t}_\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{k}_\mathfrak{t}$ be a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{h} := \text{Lie}(H)$.

Now, we fix a linear form ℓ in $\Lambda_{(H,K)}$ and we consider an irreducible representation ρ_μ of H with highest weight μ . Then the stabilizer G_ψ of $\psi = (\mu, \ell)$ in G is given by

$$\begin{aligned} G_\psi &= \left\{ (k, v) \in G; (Ad_K^*(k)\mu + k.\ell \odot v, k.\ell) = (\mu, \ell) \right\} \\ &= \left\{ (k, v) \in G; k \in H, Ad_K^*(k)\mu + \ell \odot v = \mu \right\} \\ &= \left\{ (k, v) \in G; k \in H, Ad_K^*(k)\mu = \mu \right\} \end{aligned}$$

since $\iota^*(\ell \odot v) = 0$ (see [2]) where $\iota^*: \mathfrak{k}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}^*$ is the projection map. Thus, we have $G_\psi = K_\psi \ltimes V_\psi$, then ψ is aligned (see [15]). A linear form $\psi \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ is called admissible if there exists a unitary character χ of the identity component of G_ψ such that $d\chi = i\psi|_{\mathfrak{g}_\psi}$. According to Lipsman (see [15]), the representation of G obtained by holomorphic induction from (μ, ℓ) is equivalent to the representation $\pi_{(\mu, \ell)}$. We denote by $\mathfrak{g}^\dagger \subset \mathfrak{g}^*$ the set of all admissible linear forms on $\mathfrak{g}$. The quotient space $\mathfrak{g}^\dagger/G$ is called the space of admissible coadjoint orbits of G . Let $(\mathfrak{g}^\dagger/G)_{H,K}$ the subspace of $\mathfrak{g}^\dagger/G$, that is the subspace formed by all the coadjoint orbits $\mathcal{O}_{(\mu, \ell)}^G$.

Let T_K and T_H be maximal tori respectively in K and H such that $T_H \subset T_K$. Their corresponding Lie algebras are denoted by $\mathfrak{t}_\mathfrak{k}$ and $\mathfrak{t}_\mathfrak{h}$. We denote by W_K and W_H the Weyl groups of K and H associated respectively to the tori T_K and T_H . Notice that every element $\lambda \in P_K$ takes pure imaginary values on $\mathfrak{t}_\mathfrak{k}$, where P_K is the integral weight lattice of T_K . Hence such an element $\lambda \in P_K$ can be considered as an element of $(i\mathfrak{t}_\mathfrak{k})^*$. Let C_K^+ be a positive Weyl chamber in $(i\mathfrak{t}_\mathfrak{k})^*$, and we define the set P_K^+ of dominant integral weights of T_K by $P_K^+ := P_K \cap C_K^+$. For $\lambda \in P_K^+$, denote by $\mathcal{O}_\lambda^K$ the K -coadjoint orbit passing through the vector $-i\lambda$. It was proved by Kostant in [13], that the projection of $\mathcal{O}_\lambda^K$ on $\mathfrak{t}_\mathfrak{k}^*$ is a convex polytope with vertices $-i(w.\lambda)$ for $w \in W_K$, and that is the convex hull of $-i(W_K.\lambda)$. For the same manner, we fix a positive Weyl chamber C_H^+ in $\mathfrak{t}_\mathfrak{h}^*$ and we define the set P_H^+ of dominant integral weights of T_H .

It is well-known that $\widehat{K}$ (resp. $\widehat{H}$) is in bijective correspondence with P_K^+ (resp. P_H^+), and hence

$$(\mathfrak{g}^\dagger/G)_{H,K} \simeq P_H^+ \times \Lambda_{(H,K)}.$$

Before the study of convergence in the quotient space $\mathfrak{g}^*/G$, we need the following lemma (see [14, p. 135]).

LEMMA 6.1. *Let G be a unimodular Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and let $\mathfrak{g}^*$ be the vector dual space of $\mathfrak{g}$. We denote $\mathfrak{g}^*/G$ the space of coadjoint orbits and by $p_G: \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*/G$ the canonical projection. We equip this space with*

the quotient topology, i.e., a subset V in $\mathfrak{g}^*/G$ is open if and only if $p_G^{-1}(V)$ is open in $\mathfrak{g}^*$. Therefore, a sequence $(\mathcal{O}_k^G)_k$ of elements in $\mathfrak{g}^*/G$ converges to the orbit $\mathcal{O}^G$ in $\mathfrak{g}^*/G$ if and only if for any $l \in \mathcal{O}^G$, there exist $l_k \in \mathcal{O}_k^G$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $l = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} l_k$.

Now we are ready to prove the following result.

PROPOSITION 3. *Let $(\mathcal{O}_{(\mu^n, \ell_n)}^G)_n$ be a sequence of $(\mathfrak{g}^\dagger/G)_{H,K}$. Then $(\mathcal{O}_{(\mu^n, \ell_n)}^G)_n$ converges to $\mathcal{O}_{(\mu, \ell)}^G$ in $(\mathfrak{g}^\dagger/G)_{H,K}$ if and only if $(\ell_n)_n$ tends to ℓ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$ and $\mu^n = \mu$ for n large enough.*

Proof. Let at first recall from Lemma 3.1 that the coadjoint orbit $\mathcal{O}_{(\mu, \ell)}^G$ is always obtained by symplectic induction from the coadjoint orbit $M = \mathcal{O}_{(\mu, \ell)}^{H \ltimes V}$ of $H \ltimes V$ passing through $(\mu, \ell) \in \mathfrak{h}^* \oplus V^*$, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{O}_{(\mu, \ell)}^G = M_{ind} = J_{\widetilde{M}}^{-1}(0)/(H \ltimes V),$$

where $J_{\widetilde{M}} : \widetilde{M} = M \times T^*G \rightarrow \mathfrak{h} \ltimes V := \text{Lie}(H \ltimes V)$ is the momentum map of $\widetilde{M}$ and the zero level set $J_{\widetilde{M}}^{-1}(0)$ is given by

$$J_{\widetilde{M}}^{-1}(0) = \left\{ \left((Ad_K^*(k)\mu, \ell), g, (Ad_K^*(k)\mu + \ell \odot v, \ell) \right), k \in H, g \in G, v \in V \right\}.$$

So if the sequence of admissible coadjoint orbit $(\mathcal{O}_{(\mu^n, \ell_n)}^G)_n$ converges to $\mathcal{O}_{(\mu, \ell)}^G$ in $(\mathfrak{g}^\dagger/G)_{H,K}$, then by Lemma 6.1 and the relation (2.1) there exist sequences $(k_n)_n, (h_n)_n \subset H$, $(v_n)_n, (w_n)_n \subset V$, and $(g_n)_n \subset G$ such that the sequence $(F_n)_n$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} F_n &= \varphi_{\widetilde{M}}(k_n, v_n)((Ad_K^*(h_n)\mu^n, \ell_n), g_n, (Ad_K^*(h_n)\mu^n + \ell_n \odot w_n, \ell_n)) \\ &= \left(Ad_K^*(k_n h_n)\mu^n + \iota^*(\ell_n \odot v_n), \ell_n \right), g_n(k_n, v_n)^{-1}, (Ad_K^*(k_n h_n)\mu^n \\ &\quad + Ad_K^*(k_n)\ell_n \odot w_n + \ell_n \odot v_n, \ell_n) \end{aligned}$$

converges to $((\mu, \ell), e_G, (\mu, \ell))$. In particular, we have

$$(6.1) \quad (Ad_K^*(k_n h_n)\mu^n + \iota^*(\ell_n \odot v_n), \ell_n) \rightarrow (\mu, \ell)$$

as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. It is clear that $(\ell_n)_n$ tends to ℓ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. By observing that $\iota^*(\ell_n \odot v_n) = 0$ and by compactness of H we may assume that the sequence $(k_n h_n)_n$ converges to $h_0 \in H$. From the relation (6.1) we get

$$\mu^n = Ad_K^*(h_0^{-1})\mu$$

for n large enough. Furthermore, we know that there exists s in the Weyl group W_H such that

$$Ad_K^*(h_0^{-1})\mu = s \cdot \mu$$

(see [10]). Hence $\mu^n = s.\mu$ for n large enough. Since the weights μ^n and μ are contained in the set iC_H^+ and since every W_H -orbit in $\mathfrak{h}^*$ intersects the closure $\overline{iC_H^+}$ in exactly one point (see [4, p. 203]), it follows that $\mu^n = \mu$ for n large enough.

Conversely, let us assume that $(\ell_n)_n$ converges to ℓ and $\mu^n = \mu$ for n large enough, then we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} (\mu^n, \ell_n) = (\mu, \ell).$$

By Lemma 6.1, we deduce that $(\mathcal{O}_{(\mu^n, \ell_n)}^G)_n$ converges to $\mathcal{O}_{(\mu, \ell)}^G$. $\square$

According to Proposition 2 and Proposition 3, we get the following result.

THEOREM 6.2. *The topological space $(\widehat{G})_{H,K}$ is homeomorphic to the subspace $(\mathfrak{g}^\dagger/G)_{H,K}$ of $\mathfrak{g}^\dagger/G$.*

REFERENCES

- [1] W. Baggett, *A description of the topology on the dual spaces of certain locally compact groups*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **132** (1968), 175–215.
- [2] P. Baguis, *Semidirect product and the Pukanszky condition*. J. Geom. Phys. **25** (1998), 245–270.
- [3] M. Ben Halima and A. Rahali, *On the dual topology of a class of Cartan motion groups*. J. Lie Theory **22** (2012), 491–503.
- [4] T. Bröcker and T. tom Dieck, *Representations of Compact Lie Groups*. Grad. Texts in Math. **98**. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1985.
- [5] M. Elloumi and J. Ludwig, *Dual topology of the motion groups $SO(n) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^n$* . Forum Math. **22** (2008), 397–410.
- [6] J.M.G. Fell, *Weak containment and induced representations of groups (II)*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **110** (1964), 424–447.
- [7] V. Guillemin and S. Sternberg, *Convexity properties of the moment mapping*. Invent. Math. **67** (1982), 491–513.
- [8] V. Guillemin and S. Sternberg, *Geometric quantization and multiplicities of group representations*. Invent. Math. **67** (1982), 515–538.
- [9] G.J. Heckman, *Projection of orbits and asymptotic behavior of multiplicities for compact connected Lie groups*. Invent. Math. **67** (1982), 333–356.
- [10] S. Helgason, *Differential Geometry, Lie Groups and Symmetric Spaces*. Pure Appl. Math. **80**. New York-San Francisco-London: Academic Press, 1978.
- [11] E. Kaniuth and K.F. Taylor, *Kazhdan constants and the dual space topology*. Math. Ann. **293** (1992), 495–508.
- [12] A. Kleppner and R.L. Lipsman, *The Plancherel formula for group extensions*. Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. **4** (1972), 459–516.
- [13] B. Kostant, *On convexity, the Weyl group and the Iwasawa decomposition*. Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. **6** (1973), 413–455.
- [14] H. Leptin and J. Ludwig, *Unitary Representation Theory of Exponential Lie Groups*. De Gruyter Exp. Math. **18**. Berlin: De Gruyter, 1994.

- [15] R.L. Lipsman, *Orbit theory and harmonic analysis on Lie groups with co-compact nilradical*. J. Math. Pures Appl. **59** (1980), 337–374.
- [16] G.W. Mackey, *The Theory of Unitary Group Representations*. Chicago Lectures in Mathematics. Chicago – London: The University of Chicago Press, 1976.
- [17] G.W Mackey, *Unitary Group Representations in Physics, Probability and Number Theory*. Mathematics Lecture Note Series **55**. Reading, Massachusetts: The Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Company, Inc., 1978.

Received 21 May 2016

*Université de Sfax,
Faculté des Sciences Sfax,
BP 1171, 3038 Sfax, Tunisia
aymenrahali@yahoo.fr*