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#### Abstract

We generalize the concept of a matrix over an ordinary algebra to the concept of a matrix over an algebra in a monoidal category. Based on this concept we extend K-theory and cyclic cohomology and Alain Connes' pairing between them.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

The idea of NCG (non-commutative geometry) is to extend the domain of classical concepts. The classical concept of a space is extended to the concept of an algebra or a $C^{*}$-algebra and the classical concept of a vector bundle over a space is extended to a finitely generated projective module over an algebra or idempotent matrices over this algebra. Then the classical topological K-theory is extended to algebraic and operator K-theory. Also, classical de Rham cohomology is extended to cyclic cohomology and classical index formula is extended as a pairing between operator K-theory and cyclic cohomology [2].

In this article, we extend the concept of a matrix over an algebra and therefore, we extend K-theory and cyclic cohomology. This work is based on the following simple idea. Let $A$ be a complex associative algebra. Every matrix $X=\left(a_{i j}\right)$ of size $m \times n$ over $A$ can be regarded as a linear map $\mathbb{C}^{m} \xrightarrow{T} A \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n}$, $T e_{i}=\sum_{j} a_{i j} \otimes e_{j}$. The crucial point is that the later has meaning in any monoidal category $\mathcal{C}$ if we replace spaces $\mathbb{C}^{m}$ and $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ with objects $V, W$ of the category and take $A$ an algebra in $\mathcal{C}$. Thus, we may extend the concept of a matrix instead of being a rectangle table of elements of an algebra to be a morphism $V \rightarrow A \otimes W$ and call it a matrix over $A$ of size $V \times W$. Notice that since $\operatorname{Hom}_{A-M o d}\left(A \otimes \mathbb{C}^{m}, A \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n}\right) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}-V e c}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m}, A \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ thus, one can define similarly a matrix over an algebra $A \in \mathcal{C}$ as a morphism $A \otimes V \rightarrow A \otimes W$. This later viewpoint is used in [4] and [5].

[^0]
## 2. GENERALIZED MATRICES

Let $\mathcal{O}$ be the class of objects of a category $\mathcal{C}$. We denote the set of morphisms with initial object $u$ and terminal object $v$, by $H_{u, v}$ and the set of loops, i.e. morphisms whose initial and terminal objects are the same object $u$, by $E_{u}$. We denote the composition of a morphism $f \in H_{u, v}$ with a morphism $g \in H_{v, w}$ by $f \circ g \in H_{u, w}$.

We define two maps $s$ and $r$ called source and range maps as follows. For any morphism $f \in H_{u, v}$, we set $s(f):=u$ and $r(f):=v$. We have $s(f \circ g)=s(f), r(f \circ g)=r(g)$.

We regard any object $u$ as a loop by identifying it with the loop $\mathrm{id}_{u}$. Thus with this agreement we have $s(u)=u, r(u)=u$ for all object $u$ and $s(f) \circ f=f, f \circ r(f)=f$.

We suppose that $H_{u, u}$ is a complex vector space and the composition is bilinear.

We assume that $\mathcal{O}$ is equipped with an addition law $\oplus$ which makes it to an Abelian associative semigroup with a null object denoted by 0 and for any morphism $f_{i} \in H_{u_{i}, v_{i}}, i=1,2$, there exists an addition law

$$
f_{1} \oplus f_{2} \in H_{u_{1} \oplus u_{2}, v_{1} \oplus v_{2}}
$$

such that it is commutative and associative and there exists a null morphism $0 \in E_{0}$ such that $0 \oplus f=f, \forall f \in H_{u, v}$. Moreover, we assume that for any $u_{1}, u_{2} \in \mathcal{O}$ there are morphisms $\pi_{k}=\pi_{k}\left(\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}\right\}\right) \in H_{u_{1} \oplus u_{2}, u_{k}}$ and $\imath_{k}=$ $\imath_{k}\left(\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}\right\}\right) \in H_{u_{k}, u_{1} \oplus u_{2}}, k=1,2$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\imath_{k} \pi_{l}=\delta_{k l} \mathrm{id}_{u_{k}}, \quad \sum_{k=1}^{2} \pi_{k} \imath_{k}=\mathrm{id}_{u_{1} \oplus u_{2}} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We recall [3], that $\mathcal{C}$ is called a monoidal category if there exists a tensor product in a category $\mathcal{C}$, i.e. a covariant functor $\otimes: \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ which associates to each pair of objects $u, v \in \mathcal{O}$ an object $u \otimes v \in \mathcal{O}$ and to each pair of morphisms $f \in H_{u, v}, g \in H_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}$ a morphism $f \otimes g \in H_{u \otimes u^{\prime}, v \otimes v^{\prime}}$ and there exists an object 1 such that the following conditions hold

$$
u \otimes \mathbf{1}=\mathbf{1} \otimes u=u, \quad(u \otimes v) \otimes w=u \otimes(v \otimes w)
$$

and

$$
f \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{1}}=\mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{1}} \otimes f=f, \quad(f \otimes g) \otimes h=f \otimes(g \otimes h)
$$

To say that $\otimes$ is a covariant functor means that we have the following identities

$$
\left(f f^{\prime}\right) \otimes\left(g g^{\prime}\right)=(f \otimes g)\left(f^{\prime} \otimes g^{\prime}\right), \quad \mathrm{id}_{u} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{v}=\mathrm{id}_{u \otimes v}
$$

Moreover, we assume that $\otimes$ of objects is distributive with respect to $\oplus$ of objects and $\otimes$ of morphisms is bilinear. We require that the following compatibility conditions hold

$$
\begin{equation*}
\imath_{k}^{a}=\operatorname{id}_{a} \otimes \imath_{k}, \pi_{k}^{a}=\operatorname{id}_{a} \otimes \pi_{k} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $u_{k}, a \in \mathcal{O}, k=1,2$, where $\imath_{k}=\imath_{k}\left(\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}\right\}\right), \pi_{k}=\pi_{k}\left(\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}\right\}\right), \imath_{k}^{a}=$ $\imath_{k}\left(\left\{a \otimes u_{1}, a \otimes u_{2}\right\}\right), \pi_{k}^{a}=\pi_{k}\left(\left\{a \otimes u_{1}, a \otimes u_{2}\right\}\right)$. Note that we immediately conclude
$\pi_{k}^{a \otimes b}=\operatorname{id}_{a} \otimes \pi_{k}^{b}, \imath_{k}^{a \otimes b}=\operatorname{id}_{a} \otimes \imath_{k}^{b}, \imath_{k}^{a}\left(\operatorname{id}_{a} \otimes f\right)=\operatorname{id}_{a} \otimes \imath_{k} f,\left(\operatorname{id}_{a} \otimes h\right) \pi_{k}^{a}=\operatorname{id}_{a} \otimes h \pi_{k}$ for all $u_{1}, u_{2}, a, b, u \in \mathcal{O}, f \in H_{u_{1} \oplus u_{2}, u}, h \in H_{u, u_{1} \oplus u_{2}}, k=1,2$.

We define a product

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{u, v}^{a} \times H_{v, w}^{b} \rightarrow H_{u, w}^{a \otimes b}, \quad f \odot g:=f\left(\mathrm{id}_{a} \otimes g\right), \quad a, b, u, v \in \mathcal{O} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This product is associative in the sense that we have
(2.5) $(f \odot g) \odot h=f \odot(g \odot h), \quad a, b, c, u, v, w, x \in \mathcal{O}, f \in H_{u, v}^{a}, g \in H_{v, w}^{b} H_{w, x}^{c}$.

Note that we recover the composition law of the category via this product, i.e. we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f g=f \odot g, \quad f \in H_{u, v}, g \in H_{v, w}, \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

since we have $g=\mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{1}} \otimes g$, we conclude that $f g=f\left(\mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{1}} \otimes g\right)=f \odot g$.
Now let $\varphi$ be a morphism from $a$ to $b$. We define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi^{*}: H_{u, v}^{a} \rightarrow H_{u, v}^{b}, \quad \varphi^{*}(f):=f\left(\varphi \otimes \operatorname{id}_{v}\right), \quad u, v \in \mathcal{O} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof of the following lemma is straightforward.
Lemma 2.1. (i) For all $f \in H_{u, v}^{a}, g \in H_{v, w}^{b}, \varphi \in H_{a, a^{\prime}}, \psi \in H_{b, b^{\prime}}, u, v, w, a$, $a^{\prime}, b, b^{\prime} \in \mathcal{O}$, we have

$$
(\varphi \otimes \psi)^{*}(f \odot g)=\varphi^{*}(f) \odot \psi^{*}(g), \quad \varphi^{*}(f \odot g)=\varphi^{*}(f) \odot g
$$

(ii) For all $f \in H_{u, v}^{a}, \varphi \in H_{a, b}, \psi \in H_{b, c}, u, v, a, b, c \in \mathcal{O}$, we have

$$
(\varphi \psi)^{*}(f)=\psi^{*}\left(\varphi^{*}(f)\right)
$$

(iii) $\varphi^{*}(f g)=f \varphi^{*}(g)$,
(iv)

$$
\varphi^{*}\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}
f_{11} & f_{12} \\
f_{21} & f_{22}
\end{array}\right]\right)=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\varphi^{*}\left(f_{11}\right) & \varphi^{*}\left(f_{12}\right) \\
\varphi^{*}\left(f_{21}\right) & \varphi^{*}\left(f_{22}\right)
\end{array}\right]
$$

(v)

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
f_{11} & f_{12} \\
f_{21} & f_{22}
\end{array}\right] \odot\left[\begin{array}{ll}
g_{11} & g_{12} \\
g_{21} & g_{22}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
f_{11} \odot g_{11}+f_{12} \odot g_{21} & f_{11} \odot g_{12}+f_{12} \odot g_{22} \\
f_{21} \odot g_{11}+f_{22} \odot g_{21} & f_{21} \odot g_{12}+f_{22} \odot g_{22}
\end{array}\right]
$$

A triple $(a, m, \mu)$ is called an associative unital algebra in the monoidal category $\mathcal{C}$, if $a \in \mathcal{O}$ and $m \in H_{a \otimes a, a}, \mu \in H_{1, a}$ and the following axioms hold (2.8)

$$
\left(m \otimes \operatorname{id}_{a}\right) m=\left(\operatorname{id}_{a} \otimes m\right) m, \quad\left(\mu \otimes \operatorname{id}_{a}\right) m=\operatorname{id}_{a}=\left(\mathrm{id}_{a} \otimes \mu\right) m
$$

Definition 2.2. Let $(a, m, \mu)$ be an associative unital algebra in the monoidal category $\mathcal{C}$. Any element of the space $H_{u, v}^{a}:=H_{u, a \otimes v}$ is called a matrix of size $u \times v$ over algebra $a$, where $u, v \in \mathcal{O}$.

Proposition 2.3. For any $u, v, w \in \mathcal{O}$ the following defines a bilinear map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\circ_{a}: H_{u, v}^{a} \times H_{v, w}^{a} \rightarrow H_{u, w}^{a}, \quad f \circ_{a} g:=f\left(\mathrm{id}_{a} \otimes g\right)\left(m \otimes \mathrm{id}_{w}\right) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have $\left(f \circ_{a} g\right) \circ_{a} h=f \circ_{a}\left(g \circ_{a} h\right)$ for $f \in H_{u, v}^{a}, g \in H_{v, w}^{a}, h \in$ $H_{w, x}^{a}, u, v, w, x \in \mathcal{O}$. In particular, $E_{u}^{a}:=H_{u, u}^{a}$ is an associative unital complex algebra under the above product. The unit is $\mu \otimes \mathrm{id}_{u}$. Moreover for any elements $u_{1}, u_{2} \in \mathcal{O}$, we have the following identification as algebras

$$
E_{u_{1} \oplus u_{2}}^{a}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
H_{u_{1}, u_{1}}^{a} & H_{u_{1}, u_{2}}^{a}  \tag{2.10}\\
H_{u_{2}, u_{1}}^{a} & H_{u_{2}, u_{2}}^{a}
\end{array}\right], \quad f \mapsto\left(f_{i j}\right)_{i, j=1}^{2}
$$

where $f_{i j}=\imath_{i} f \pi_{j}^{a}$. The inverse is given by $\left(f_{i j}\right)_{i, j=1}^{2} \mapsto f:=\sum_{i, j=1}^{2} \pi_{i} f_{i j} \imath_{j}^{a}$.
Proof. Associativity:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(f \circ_{a} g\right) \circ_{a} h=\left[f\left(\mathrm{id}_{a} \otimes g\right)\left(m \otimes \mathrm{id}_{w}\right)\right] \circ_{a} h \\
& =f\left(\mathrm{id}_{a} \otimes g\right)\left(m \otimes \mathrm{id}_{w}\right)\left(\mathrm{id}_{a} \otimes h\right)\left(m \otimes \mathrm{id}_{x}\right) \\
& =f\left(\mathrm{id}_{a} \otimes g\right)\left(m \mathrm{id}_{a} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{w} h\right)\left(m \otimes \mathrm{id}_{x}\right) \\
& =f\left(\mathrm{id}_{a} \otimes g\right)\left(\mathrm{id}_{a \otimes a} m \otimes h \mathrm{id}_{a \otimes x}\right)\left(m \otimes \mathrm{id}_{x}\right) \\
& =f\left(\mathrm{id}_{a} \otimes g\right)\left(\mathrm{id}_{a \otimes a} \otimes h\right)\left(m \otimes \mathrm{id}_{a \otimes x}\right)\left(m \otimes \mathrm{id}_{x}\right) \\
& =f\left(\mathrm{id}_{a} \otimes g\right)\left[\mathrm{id}_{a} \otimes\left(\mathrm{id}_{a} \otimes h\right)\right]\left[\left(m \otimes \mathrm{id}_{a}\right) \otimes \mathrm{id}_{x}\right]\left(m \otimes \mathrm{id}_{x}\right) \\
& =f\left(\mathrm{id}_{a} \otimes g\right)\left[\mathrm{id}_{a} \otimes\left(\mathrm{id}_{a} \otimes h\right)\right]\left[\left(m \otimes \mathrm{id}_{a}\right) m \otimes \mathrm{id}_{x} \mathrm{id}_{x}\right] \\
& =f\left(\mathrm{id}_{a} \otimes g\right)\left[\mathrm{id}_{a} \otimes\left(\mathrm{id}_{a} \otimes h\right)\right]\left[\left(\mathrm{id}_{a} \otimes m\right) m \otimes \mathrm{id}_{x}\right] \\
& =f\left(\mathrm{id}_{a} \otimes g\right)\left[\mathrm{id}_{a} \otimes\left(\mathrm{id}_{a} \otimes h\right)\right]\left[\mathrm{id}_{a} \otimes\left(m \otimes \mathrm{id}_{x}\right)\right]\left(m \otimes \mathrm{id}_{x}\right) \\
& =f\left[\mathrm{id}_{a} \otimes\left(g\left(\mathrm{id}_{a} \otimes h\right)\left(m \otimes \mathrm{id}_{x}\right)\right]\left(m \otimes \mathrm{id}_{x}\right)\right. \\
& =f\left[\mathrm{id}_{a} \otimes\left(g \circ_{a} h\right)\right]\left(m \otimes \mathrm{id}_{x}\right) \\
& =f \circ_{a}\left(g \circ_{a} h\right)[-1.25 p t]
\end{aligned}
$$

The unit:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f \circ_{a}\left(\mu \otimes \mathrm{id}_{u}\right) & \left.=f\left(\mathrm{id}_{a} \otimes \mu \otimes \mathrm{id}_{u}\right)\left(m \otimes \mathrm{id}_{u}\right)\right] \\
& =f\left[\left(\operatorname{id}_{a} \otimes \mu\right) m \otimes \mathrm{id}_{u} \mathrm{id}_{u}\right] \\
& =f\left(\mathrm{id}_{a} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{u}\right) \\
& =f,
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\mu \otimes \mathrm{id}_{u}\right) \circ_{a} f & =\left(\mu \otimes \mathrm{id}_{u}\right)\left(\mathrm{id}_{a} \otimes f\right)\left(m \otimes \mathrm{id}_{u}\right) \\
& =(\mu \otimes f)\left(m \otimes \mathrm{id}_{u}\right) \\
& =\left(\mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{1}} \mu \otimes f \mathrm{id}_{a \otimes u}\right)\left(m \otimes \mathrm{id}_{u}\right) \\
& =\left(\mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{1}} \otimes f\right)\left(\mu \otimes \mathrm{id}_{a} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{u}\right)\left(m \otimes \mathrm{id}_{u}\right) \\
& =f\left[\left(\mu \otimes \mathrm{id}_{a}\right) m \otimes \mathrm{id}_{u} \mathrm{id}_{u}\right] \\
& =f\left(\mathrm{id}_{a} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{u}\right) \\
& =f
\end{aligned}
$$

We denote the map (2.10) by $\Phi$. It is clear that $\Phi$ is linear. Let $f, g \in$ $E_{u_{1} \oplus u_{2}}^{a}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\Phi\left(f \circ_{a} g\right)\right)_{i j} & =\imath_{i}\left(f \circ_{a} g\right) \pi_{j}^{a} \\
& =\imath_{i} f\left(\mathrm{id}_{a} \otimes g\right)\left(m \otimes \mathrm{id}_{u_{1} \oplus u_{2}}\right) \pi_{j}^{a} \\
& =\sum_{k} \imath_{i} f \pi_{k}^{a} \imath_{k}^{a}\left(\mathrm{id}_{a} \otimes g\right)\left(m \otimes \mathrm{id}_{u_{1} \oplus u_{2}}\right) \pi_{j}^{a} \\
& =\sum_{k} f_{i k}\left(i d_{a} \otimes \imath_{k} g\right) \pi_{j}^{a \otimes a}\left(m \otimes \mathrm{id}_{u_{j}}\right) \\
& =\sum_{k} f_{i k}\left(i d_{a} \otimes \imath_{k} g\right)\left(\mathrm{id}_{a} \otimes \pi_{j}^{a}\right)\left(m \otimes \mathrm{id}_{u_{j}}\right) \\
& =\sum_{k} f_{i k}\left(\mathrm{id}_{a} \otimes \imath_{k} g \pi_{j}^{a}\right)\left(m \otimes \mathrm{id}_{u_{j}}\right) \\
& =\sum_{k} f_{i k} \circ_{a} g_{k j} \\
& =(\Phi(f) \Phi(g))_{i j}
\end{aligned}
$$

Invertibility of $\Phi$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi^{-1}(\Phi(f)) & =\sum_{i, j=1}^{2} \pi_{i}(\Phi(f))_{i j} \imath_{j}^{a} \\
& =\sum_{i, j=1}^{2} \pi_{i} \imath_{i} f \pi_{j}^{a} \imath_{j}^{a} \\
& =\operatorname{id}_{u_{1} \oplus u_{2}} f \mathrm{id}_{a \otimes u_{1} \oplus a \otimes u_{2}} \\
& =\operatorname{id}_{u_{1} \oplus u_{2}} f \mathrm{id}_{a \otimes\left(u_{1} \oplus u_{2}\right)} \\
& =f
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\Phi\left(\Phi^{-1}\left((f)_{i j}\right)\right)\right)_{k l} & =\sum_{i, j=1}^{2}\left(\Phi\left(\pi_{i} f_{i j} \imath_{j}^{a}\right)\right)_{k l} \\
& =\sum_{i, j=1}^{2} \imath_{k} \pi_{i} f_{i j} \imath_{j}^{a} \pi_{l}^{a} \\
& =\mathrm{id}_{u_{i}} f_{i j} \mathrm{id}_{a \otimes u_{j}} \\
& =f . \square
\end{aligned}
$$

Example 2.4. Let Vec denote the category whose objects are complex vector spaces and morphisms are linear maps. The sum $\oplus$ is just the direct sum of vector spaces. The morphisms $\pi_{k}$ and $\imath_{k}$ are the canonical projection and embedding, respectively. The product $\otimes$ is just the tensor product of vector spaces and $\mathbf{1}=\mathbb{C}$. An associative unital algebra in this category is just an ordinary associative unital algebra, where $m(x \otimes y):=x y, \mu(\lambda):=$ $\lambda 1_{a}, x, y \in a, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. As we explained in Introduction, a matrix in Vec of size $\mathbb{C}^{m} \times \mathbb{C}^{n}$ over $a$, is just an ordinary $m \times n$ matrix over ordinary algebra $a$.

Example 2.5. Let $(h, \Delta, S, \epsilon)$ be a complex Hopf algebra and $h$-Com be the category of left $h$-comodules, $[6,10]$. That is, an object is a vector space $u$ equipped with a linear map $\alpha: u \rightarrow h \otimes u$, called coaction, satisfying $\alpha\left(\mathrm{id}_{h} \otimes\right.$ $\alpha)=\alpha\left(\Delta \otimes \operatorname{id}_{u}\right), \alpha\left(\epsilon \otimes \mathrm{id}_{u}\right)=\mathrm{id}_{u}$. Sometimes we denote this object by $(u, \alpha)$. In Sweedler's notation, we write $\alpha(x)=\sum x_{(1)} \otimes x_{(2)}, x \in u$. The morphisms are $h$-comodule intertwiners, i.e. linear maps $f:(u, \alpha) \rightarrow(v, \beta)$ satisfying $f \beta=\alpha\left(\mathrm{id}_{h} \otimes f\right)$. The direct sum of two left $h$-comodules $(u, \alpha),(v, \beta)$ is the ordinary direct sum of vector spaces $u \oplus v$ equipped with the coaction $\alpha \oplus \beta$ : $u \oplus v \rightarrow h \otimes(u \oplus v)=(h \otimes u) \oplus(h \otimes v),(\alpha \oplus \beta)(x, y):=(\alpha(x), \beta(y))$. In Sweedler's notation, it means $(\alpha \oplus \beta)(x, y)=\sum x_{(1)} \otimes\left(x_{(2)}, 0\right)+\sum y_{(1)} \otimes\left(0, y_{(2)}\right)$. Thus $(\alpha \oplus \beta)\left(\mathrm{id}_{h} \otimes(\alpha \oplus \beta)\right)(x, y)=\sum x_{(1)} \otimes x_{(2)} \otimes\left(x_{(3)}, 0\right)+\sum y_{(1)} \otimes y_{(2)} \otimes\left(0, y_{(3)}\right)=$ $(\alpha \oplus \beta)\left(\Delta \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\alpha \oplus \beta}\right)(x, y)$ and $(\alpha \oplus \beta)\left(\epsilon \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\alpha \oplus \beta}\right)(x, y)=\sum \epsilon\left(x_{(1)}\right)\left(x_{(2)}, 0\right)+$ $\sum \epsilon\left(y_{(1)}\right)\left(0, y_{(2)}\right)=\sum\left(\epsilon\left(x_{(1)}\right) x_{(2)}, 0\right)+\sum\left(0, \epsilon\left(y_{(1)}\right) y_{(2)}\right)=(x, 0)+(0, y)=(x, y)$. Thus $(u \oplus v, \alpha \oplus \beta)$ is a left $h$-comodule. It is easy to see that the canonical projection and embedding $\pi_{k}$ and $\imath_{k}$ are morphisms in this category. It is wellknown that this category is monoidal category where the tensor product of two left $h$-comodules $(u, \alpha),(v, \beta)$ is the ordinary tensor product of vector spaces $u \otimes v$ equipped with the coaction $\alpha \otimes \beta: u \otimes v \rightarrow h \otimes u \otimes v,(\alpha \otimes \beta)(x \otimes y)=$ $\sum x_{(1)} y_{(1)} \otimes x_{(2)} \otimes y_{(2)}$. It is easy that we have $u \otimes(v \oplus w)=(u \otimes v) \oplus(u \otimes w)$ not only as vector spaces but also as left $h$-comodules. Thus $\otimes$ is distributive. An associative algebra $a$ in this category is just a left $h$-comodule algebra, i.e. an ordinary algebra $a$ which is also a left $h$-comodule with coaction $\alpha$ satisfying
$\alpha(x y)=x_{(1)} y_{(1)} \otimes x_{(2)} y_{(2)}, x, y \in a$. Now let us see how a matrix over $a$ in this category can be presented by ordinary matrices.

Proposition 2.6. Let $u$ and $v$ be two left $h$-comodules of dimension $m$ and $n$, respectively. We fix a basis for each of them; $\left\{e_{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\right\}$ and $\left\{f_{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$. To any matrix $T \in H_{u, v}^{a}$ we assign a triple $(X, U, V)$ of ordinary matrices $X \in M_{m, n}(a), U \in M_{m}(h), V \in M_{n}(h)$ satisfying (2.11)
$\Delta\left(U_{i j}\right)=\sum_{k} U_{i k} \otimes U_{k j}, \quad \Delta\left(V_{i j}\right)=\sum_{k} V_{i k} \otimes V_{k j}, \quad \epsilon\left(U_{i j}\right)=\delta_{i j}, \quad \epsilon\left(V_{i j}\right)=\delta_{i j}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma\left(X_{i j}\right)=\sum_{k, l} U_{i k} S V_{l j} \otimes X_{k l} . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

This assignment is given as follows: The matrices $U, V$ and $X$ are defined by the equations $\alpha\left(e_{i}\right):=\sum_{j} U_{i j} \otimes e_{j}, \beta\left(f_{i}\right):=\sum_{j} V_{i j} \otimes f_{j}, T\left(e_{i}\right):=\sum_{j} X_{i j} \otimes$ $f_{j}$, where $\alpha, \beta$ and $\gamma$ are $h$-comodule structures of $u, v$ and $a$. Conversely let $(X, U, V)$ be a triple of matrices $X \in M_{m, n}(a), U \in M_{m}(h), V \in M_{n}(h)$ satisfying the above relations and $u$ and $v$ be $m$ and $n$-dimensional vector spaces with a fixed bases $\left\{e_{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\right\}$ and $\left\{f_{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$. Now we define a matrix $T \in H_{u, v}^{a}$ as follows: The $h$-comodule structure of $u$ and $v$ are given by $\alpha\left(e_{i}\right):=\sum_{j} U_{i j} \otimes e_{j}, \beta\left(f_{i}\right):=\sum_{j} V_{i j} \otimes f_{j}$, and $T$ is given by $T\left(e_{i}\right):=$ $\sum_{j} X_{i j} \otimes f_{j}$.

The vector space structure of $H_{u, v}^{a}$ corresponds to

$$
\begin{equation*}
z(X, U, V)+\left(X^{\prime}, U, V\right)=\left(z X+X^{\prime}, U, V\right) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

$z \in \mathbb{C}, X, X^{\prime} \in M_{m, n}(a), U \in M_{m}(h), V \in M_{n}(h)$, and the multiplication of elements of $H_{u, v}^{a}$ with elements $H_{v, w}^{a}$, where $w$ is another $h$-comodule with a fixed basis, corresponds to

$$
\begin{equation*}
(X, U, V)(Y, V, W)=(X Y, U, W) \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X \in M_{m, n}(a), Y \in M_{n, p}(a), U \in M_{m}(h), V \in M_{n}(h), W \in M_{p}(h)$.
Proof. Relations (2.11) are the consequences of the assumption that $u$ and $v$ are comodules over $h$. As a consequence of these relations as well as the Hopf algebra axioms, we get $\sum_{k} V_{i k} S V_{k j}=\epsilon\left(V_{i j}\right)=\delta_{i j}$. Next, let us write $\gamma\left(X_{i j}\right)=\sum_{l} Y_{i j}^{l} \otimes Z_{i j}^{l}$. So since $T$ is a $h$-comdule intertwiner, we get $\sum_{j, k, l} Y_{i j}^{l} V_{j k} \otimes Z_{i j}^{l} \otimes f_{k}=\sum_{j, k} U_{i j} \otimes X_{j k} \otimes f_{k}$. Thus $\sum_{j, l} Y_{i j}^{l} V_{j k} \otimes Z_{i j}^{l}=\sum_{j} U_{i j} \otimes$ $X_{j k}$. Hence for each $p$ we have $\sum_{j, k, l} Y_{i j}^{l} V_{j k} S V_{k p} \otimes Z_{i j}^{l}=\sum_{j, k} U_{i j} S V_{k p} \otimes X_{j k}$. So $\sum_{j, l} Y_{i j}^{l}\left(\sum_{k} V_{j k} S V_{k p}\right) \otimes Z_{i j}^{l}=\sum_{j, k} U_{i j} S V_{k p} \otimes X_{j k}$. Thus $\sum_{j, l} Y_{i j}^{l} \delta_{j p} \otimes Z_{i j}^{l}=$ $\sum_{j, k} U_{i j} S V_{k p} \otimes X_{j k}$. Hence $\sum_{l} Y_{i p}^{l} \otimes Z_{i p}^{l}=\sum_{j, k} U_{i j} S V_{k p} \otimes X_{j k}$. So $\gamma\left(X_{i p}\right)=$
$\sum_{j, k} U_{i j} S V_{k p} \otimes X_{j k}$. Therefore, we proved the relation (2.12). To get the converse assertion, we need to prove that the linear map $T$ given by $T\left(e_{i}\right):=$ $\sum_{j} X_{i j} \otimes f_{j}$, is a $h$-comodules intertwiner. To show this we start from the relation $\gamma\left(X_{i p}\right)=\sum_{j, k} U_{i j} S V_{k p} \otimes X_{j k}$. Thus $\sum_{l} Y_{i p}^{l} \otimes Z_{i p}^{l}=\sum_{j, k} U_{i j} S V_{k p} \otimes X_{j k}$. Hence for each $q$ we get $\sum_{l, p} Y_{i p}^{l} V_{p q} \otimes Z_{i p}^{l}=\sum_{j, k, p} U_{i j} S V_{k p} V_{p q} \otimes X_{j k}$. Thus $\sum_{l, p} Y_{i p}^{l} V_{p q} \otimes Z_{i p}^{l}=\sum_{j} U_{i j} \otimes X_{j q}$. So $\sum_{l, p, q} Y_{i p}^{l} V_{p q} \otimes Z_{i p}^{l} \otimes f_{q}=\sum_{j, q} U_{i j} \otimes X_{j q} \otimes f_{q}$. This means exactly that $T$ is a $h$-comodules intertwiner.

Relation (2.13) is the consequence of the definition $\left(z T+T^{\prime}\right)\left(e_{i}\right)=$ $\sum_{j} X_{i j} \otimes f_{j}+\sum_{j} X_{i j}^{\prime} \otimes f_{j}=\sum_{j}\left(X_{i j}+X_{i j}^{\prime}\right) \otimes f_{j}$, where $T, T^{\prime} \in H_{u, v}^{a}$ and $(X, U, V),\left(X^{\prime}, U, V\right)$ are their corresponding ordinary matrices. Similarly, the relation (2.14) is the consequence of the definition $\left(T \circ_{a} T^{\prime}\right)\left(e_{i}\right)=(m \otimes$ $\left.\mathrm{id}_{w}\right)\left(\left(\operatorname{id}_{a} \otimes T^{\prime}\right)\left(\sum_{j} X_{i j} \otimes f_{j}\right)\right)=\left(m \otimes \mathrm{id}_{w}\right)\left(\sum_{j, k} X_{i j} \otimes X_{j k}^{\prime} \otimes g_{k}\right)=\sum_{j, k} X_{i j} X_{j k}^{\prime} \otimes$ $g_{k}=\sum_{k}\left(X X^{\prime}\right)_{i k} \otimes g_{k}$, where $T \in H_{u, v}^{a}, T^{\prime} \in H_{v, w}^{a}$ and $(X, U, V),\left(X^{\prime}, V, W\right)$ are their corresponding ordinary matrices.

Example 2.7. We consider a special case of example 2 that is $h=\mathbb{C}[G]$ group Hopf algebra for a finite group $G$. It is well-known that the objects of this category are exactly the $G$-graded vector spaces. We choose $a=h=\mathbb{C}[G]$, with the coaction $\gamma=\Delta$, which is an algebra in the category $\mathbb{C}[G]$-Com, since an algebra in this category is nothing other than a $G$-graded algebra, see [8].

Proposition 2.8. Matrices over algebra $\mathbb{C}[G]$ in the category $\mathbb{C}[G]$-Com of size $u \times v$, where $u$ and $v$ are finite dimensional $G$-graded vector spaces, correspond to triples $\left(\left\{X^{g}\right\}_{g \in G},\left\{U^{g}\right\}_{g \in G},\left\{V^{g}\right\}_{g \in G}\right)$ of matrices $X^{g} \in M_{m, n}(\mathbb{C})$, $U^{g} \in M_{m}(\mathbb{C}), V^{g} \in M_{n}(\mathbb{C})$ such that each family $\left\{U^{g}\right\}_{g},\left\{V^{g}\right\}_{g}$ is a family of orthogonal idempotents and $\sum_{g} U^{g}=I_{m}, \sum_{g} V^{g}=I_{n}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{g_{1} g_{2}^{-1}=g} U^{g_{1}} X^{g^{\prime}} V^{g_{2}}=\delta_{g, g^{\prime}} X^{g} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. A matrix $X \in M_{m, n}(\mathbb{C}[G])$ is nothing other than a family of matrices $\left\{X^{g} \in M_{m, n}(\mathbb{C})\right\}_{g \in G}$. The relations mentioned in this proposition are just rewriting of the relations (2.11) and (2.12): We have $\Delta\left(U_{i j}\right)=\Delta\left(\sum_{g} U_{i j}^{g} g\right)=$ $\sum_{g} U_{i j}^{g} g \otimes g$. On the other hand, $\sum_{k} U_{i k} \otimes U_{k j}=\sum_{k, g, g^{\prime}} U_{i k}^{g} U_{k j}^{g} g \otimes g^{\prime}$. Thus $\sum_{k} U_{i k}^{g} U_{k j}^{g}=\delta_{g, g^{\prime}} U_{i j}^{g}$. That is $U^{g} U^{g^{\prime}}=\delta_{g, g^{\prime}} U^{g}$. Next $\epsilon\left(U_{i j}\right)=\epsilon\left(\sum_{g} U_{i j}^{g} g\right)=$ $\sum_{g} U_{i j}^{g}=\left(\sum_{g} U^{g}\right)_{i j}$. On the other hand, $\delta_{i j}=\left(I_{m}\right)_{i j}$ where $I_{m}$ is the identity matrix of size $m \times m$. Thus $\sum_{g} U^{g}=I_{m}$.

Finally, $\Delta\left(X_{i j}\right)=\Delta\left(\sum_{g} X_{i j}^{g} g\right)=\sum_{g} X_{i j}^{g} g \otimes g$. On the other hand,

$$
\sum_{k, l} U_{i k} S V_{l j} \otimes X_{k l}=\sum_{k, l, g_{1}, g_{2}, g} U_{i k}^{g_{1}} V_{l j}^{g_{2}} X_{k l}^{g} g_{1} g_{2}^{-1} \otimes g
$$

Thus

$$
\delta_{g, g^{\prime}} X_{i j}^{g}=\sum_{k, l} \sum_{g_{1} g_{2}^{-1}=g} U_{i k}^{g_{1}} X_{k l}^{g^{\prime}} V_{l j}^{g_{2}} .
$$

That is $\delta_{g, g^{\prime}} X^{g}=\sum_{g_{1} g_{2}^{-1}=g} U^{g_{1}} X^{g^{\prime}} V^{g_{2}}$.
Example 2.9. We denote the space of all matrices of size $m \times n$ over an ordinary algebra $A$ by $M_{m, n}(A)$ and the invertible matrices of size $m \times m$ over a field $k$ by $G L_{m}(k)$. Let $G$ be a group. The category $\mathcal{C}=\operatorname{Rep} p_{k}(G)$ of all representations of $G$ over $k$ is a monoidal category, with $\otimes$ being the tensor product of representations: if for a representation $V$ one denotes by $\rho_{V}$ the corresponding map $G \rightarrow G L(v)$, then

$$
\rho_{V \otimes W}(g):=\rho_{V}(g) \otimes \rho_{W}(g)
$$

The unit object in this category is the trivial representation $1=k$, see [3]. The direct sum of two objects $\left(V, \rho_{V}\right),\left(W, \rho_{W}\right)$ is given by $\left(V, \rho_{V}\right) \oplus\left(W, \rho_{W}\right)=$ $\left(V \oplus W, \rho_{V \oplus W}\right)$ where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\rho_{V \oplus W}(g): V \oplus W \longrightarrow V \oplus W \\
\left(\rho_{V \oplus W}(g)\right)(v, w)=\left(\rho_{V}(g) v, \rho_{W}(g) w\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

We define a morphism between two objects $\left(V, \rho_{V}\right),\left(W, \rho_{W}\right)$ to be a linear map $f: V \longrightarrow W$ such that the diagram

commutes, i.e.

$$
\forall g \in G \quad f \rho_{W}(g)=\rho_{V}(g) f
$$

The class $\mathcal{O}$ of objects is an Abelian associative semigroup with a null object denoted by $\left(0, \rho_{0}\right)$. It is easy to see that the projection and injection $\pi_{k}$ and $\iota_{k}$ are morphisms in this category and satisfy in conditions (2.1). On the other hand, clearly $\otimes$ of objects is distributive with respect to $\oplus$ of objects, i.e.

$$
\left(V, \rho_{V}\right) \otimes\left(\left(W, \rho_{W}\right) \oplus\left(U, \rho_{U}\right)\right)=\left(\left(V, \rho_{V}\right) \otimes\left(W, \rho_{W}\right)\right) \oplus\left(\left(V, \rho_{V}\right) \otimes\left(U, \rho_{U}\right)\right)
$$

Since U, V and W are vector spaces we have $V \otimes(W \oplus U)=(V \otimes W) \oplus$ $(V \otimes U)$ and thus

$$
\rho_{V} \otimes\left(\rho_{W} \oplus \rho_{U}\right)=\left(\rho_{V} \otimes \rho_{W}\right) \oplus\left(\rho_{V} \otimes \rho_{U}\right)
$$

$$
f \otimes(g \oplus h)=(f \otimes g) \oplus(f \otimes h)
$$

and therefore compatibility conditions hold.
Consider an algebra $\left(\left(A, \rho_{A}\right), m, \mu\right)$ in this category. Thus $\left(A, \rho_{A}\right) \in \mathcal{C}$, $m \in H_{\left(A \otimes A, \rho_{A \otimes A}\right),\left(A, \rho_{A}\right)}, \mu \in H_{1,\left(A, \rho_{A}\right)}$ and the following diagram commutes


Therefore an algebra $A$ in this category, is a unital associative ordinary algebra $A$ with a representation of group $G$ on $A$

$$
\begin{gathered}
G \times A \longrightarrow A \\
(g, a) \longmapsto g a:=\rho_{A}(g)(a)
\end{gathered}
$$

which satisfies in the following properties

$$
\begin{array}{cr}
g(a b)=(g a)(g b), & g(a+b)=g a+g b \\
g(h a)=(g h) a, & g 1=1 .
\end{array}
$$

We call such an algebra a $G$-algebra. In the following proposition, we study the structure of a matrix in this category.

Proposition 2.10. Let $U$ and $V$ be two $m$ and $n$-dimensional representations of $G$ and $A$ be a $G$-algebra. We fix a basis for each of $U$ and $V$; $\left\{e_{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\right\}$ and $\left\{f_{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$. To any matrix $T \in H_{U, V}^{A}$ we assign a triple $(X, \lambda, \eta), X \in M_{n, m}(A), X=\left(a_{i j}\right), \lambda: G \rightarrow G L_{m}(k), \lambda(g)=\left(\lambda_{i j}(g)\right), \eta:$ $G \rightarrow G L_{n}(k), \eta(g)=\left(\eta_{i j}(g)\right)$, by $T e_{j}=\sum_{i} a_{i j} \otimes f_{i}, g e_{j}=\sum_{i} \lambda_{i j}(g) e_{i}, g f_{j}=$ $\sum_{i} \eta_{i j}(g) f_{i}$. The maps $\lambda$ and $\eta$ are group homomorphisms and

$$
\begin{equation*}
X \lambda(g)=\eta(g) X, \quad \forall g \in G \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conversely let $(X, \lambda, \eta)$ be a triple, $X \in M_{n, m}(A), \lambda: G \rightarrow G L_{m}(k)$, $\eta: G \rightarrow G L_{n}(k)$ group homomorphisms and satisfy the relation (2.16). Let $U$ and $V$ be $m$ and n-dimensional vector spaces with some fixed bases $\left\{e_{i} \mid 1 \leq\right.$ $i \leq m\}$ and $\left\{f_{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$. Now we define a matrix $T \in H_{U, V}^{A}$ as follows: the representation structures of $U$ and $V$ are given by $g e_{j}=\sum_{i} \lambda_{i j}(g) e_{i}, g f_{j}=$ $\sum_{i} \eta_{i j}(g) f_{i}$, and $T$ is given by $T e_{j}=\sum_{i} a_{i j} \otimes f_{i}$. Each triple $(X, \lambda, \eta)$ is called a $G$-matrix over algebra $A$.

Proof. We have

$$
U=\left\langle e_{1}, \ldots, e_{m}\right\rangle, \quad V=\left\langle f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right\rangle
$$

We know that the following diagram is commutative:


Therefore, since

$$
e_{j} \xrightarrow{T} T e_{j}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i j} \otimes f_{i} \xrightarrow{\rho_{A}(g) \otimes \rho_{V}(g)} \sum_{i} g a_{i j} \otimes g f_{i},
$$

and

$$
e_{j} \xrightarrow{\rho_{U}(g)} g e_{j} \xrightarrow{T} T\left(g e_{j}\right),
$$

we get

$$
\sum_{i} g a_{i j} \otimes g f_{i}=T\left(g e_{j}\right)
$$

Now we have

$$
T\left(g e_{j}\right)=\sum_{l} \lambda_{l j}(g) T e_{l}=\sum_{i} \sum_{l} \lambda_{l j}(g) a_{i l} \otimes f_{i}
$$

and

$$
\sum_{i} g a_{i j} \otimes g f_{i}=\sum_{i} \sum_{l} g a_{i j} \otimes \eta_{l i}(g) f_{l}=\sum_{i l} \eta_{i l}(g) a_{l j} \otimes f_{i},
$$

therefore

$$
\sum_{l} a_{i l} \lambda_{l j}(g)=\sum_{l} \eta_{i l}(g) a_{l j} .
$$

Thus

$$
X \lambda(g)=\eta(g) X, \quad \forall g
$$

Now we show that $\lambda$ and $\eta$ are group homomorphisms. We have

$$
\left(g_{2} g_{1}\right)\left(e_{i}\right)=g_{2}\left(g_{1} e_{i}\right)
$$

Therefore

$$
\sum_{r} \lambda_{r i}\left(g_{2} g_{1}\right) e_{r}=\sum_{l r} \lambda_{l i}\left(g_{1}\right) \lambda_{r l}\left(g_{2}\right) e_{r},
$$

hence

$$
\lambda_{r i}\left(g_{2} g_{1}\right)=\sum_{l} \lambda_{r l}\left(g_{2}\right) \lambda_{l i}\left(g_{1}\right)
$$

So $\lambda\left(g_{2} g_{1}\right)=\lambda\left(g_{2}\right) \lambda\left(g_{1}\right)$, i.e. $\lambda$ is a group homomorphism. Similarly, it can be shown that $\eta$ is a group homomorphism. The converse is easily proved.

## 3. K-THEORY

In this section, we extend K-theory of an ordinary algebra for an algebra inside a monoidal category, see [9].

Now let $S$ be a subsemigroup of semigroup $(\mathcal{O}, \oplus)$. Using identification (2.10), we embed $E_{u}^{a}$ in $E_{u \oplus v}^{a}$ via $f \mapsto\left[\begin{array}{cc}f & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right]$ and let $M_{\infty}(a ; S)$ be the union $\bigcup_{u \in S} E_{u}^{a}$ up to this identification. We define two equivalence relations on the set of idempotents living in $M_{\infty}(a ; S)$, as follows: for any $u \in S$ and any two idempotents $e, e^{\prime}$ of the algebra $\in E_{u}^{a}$, we write $e \sim e^{\prime}$ iff there exist $f, g \in E_{u}^{a}$ such that $e=f g$ and $e^{\prime}=g f$ and we write $e \sim_{s} e^{\prime}$ iff there exists an invertible $z \in E_{u}^{a}$ such that $e^{\prime}=z e z^{-1}$. As in algebraic $K$-theory for ordinary matrices, one can show that these are equivalence relations and the relation $e \sim_{s} e^{\prime}$ implies $e \sim e^{\prime}$. Also if $e \sim e^{\prime}$ then $\left[\begin{array}{ll}e & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right] \sim_{s}\left[\begin{array}{cc}e^{\prime} & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right]$, where the later matrices are regarded as idempotents of the algebra $E_{u \oplus u}^{a}$. So using the above mentioned embedding of $E_{u}^{a}$ into $E_{2 u}^{a}$, we conclude that the equivalence relations $\sim$ and $\sim_{s}$ over the idempotents of $M_{\infty}(a ; S)$ are the same.

Now let $I(a ; S)$ be the set of all equivalence classes of idempotents. There is a binary operation on $I(a ; S)$ : if $[e],\left[e^{\prime}\right] \in I(a ; S)$, where $e \in E_{u}^{a}, e^{\prime} \in$ $E_{v}^{a}, u, v \in S$, then $[e]+\left[e^{\prime}\right]:=\left[\operatorname{dia}\left(e, e^{\prime}\right)\right]$ where $\operatorname{dia}\left(e, e^{\prime}\right)$ is an idempotent in $E_{u \oplus v}^{a}$, regarding the identification (2.10).

Definition 3.1. $K_{0}(a ; S)$ is the enveloping group of the semigroup $I(a ; S)$.

Now we put some topological structures on the algebra $E_{u}^{a}$. Let for any $u \in S$, each algebra $E_{u}^{a}$ is a local Banach algebra. For any two idempotents $e, e^{\prime} \in E_{u}^{a}$ we write $e \sim_{h} e^{\prime}$ iff there exists a norm-continuous path of idempotents in $E_{u}^{a}$ from $e$ to $e^{\prime}$. Again like in algebraic $K$-theory for ordinary matrices, one can show that the relation $e \sim_{h} e^{\prime}$ implies $e \sim_{s} e^{\prime}$. Conversely, the relation $e \sim_{s} e^{\prime}$ implies $\left[\begin{array}{ll}e & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right] \sim_{h}\left[\begin{array}{cc}e^{\prime} & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right]$. So the three equivalence relations $\sim, \sim_{s}, \sim_{h}$ on the set of idempotents of $M_{\infty}(a ; S)$ coincide. So no matter which equivalence relation we choose on the semigroup of idempotents, we get the same enveloping group.

Next we define $K_{1}$-theory. Let $G L_{u}^{a}$ be the invertible elements of the algebra $E_{u}^{a}$. We embed $G L_{u}^{a}$ in $G L_{u \oplus v}^{a}$ by $f \mapsto \operatorname{dia}\left(f, \mathrm{id}_{v}\right)$ and we set $K_{1}(a ; S)$ to be the disjoint union of quotient groups $\bigsqcup_{u \in S} G L_{u}^{a} /\left[G L_{u}^{a}, G L_{u}^{a}\right]$ up to this identification.

## 4. CYCLIC COHOMOLOGY

In this section, we extend cyclic cohomology of an ordinary algebra for an algebra inside a monoidal category, see [7].

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a monoidal category which admits braiding. That is, there exists a family of invertible morphisms $\psi_{u, v} \in H_{u \otimes v, v \otimes u}$ satisfying (4.1) $\psi_{u \otimes v, w}=\left(\mathrm{id}_{u} \otimes \psi_{v, w}\right)\left(\psi_{u, w} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{v}\right), \psi_{u, v \otimes w}=\left(\psi_{u, v} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{w}\right)\left(\mathrm{id}_{v} \otimes \psi_{u, w}\right)$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(f \otimes g) \psi_{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}}=\psi_{u, v}(g \otimes f) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $u, v, w, u^{\prime}, v^{\prime} \in \mathcal{O}$ and $f \in H_{u, u^{\prime}}, g \in H_{v, v^{\prime}}$. Let $(a, m, \mu)$ be an associative unital algebra in the category $\mathcal{C}$. We say that $a$ is a ribbon algebra if there exists an invertible morphism $\sigma \in E_{a}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{a, a}^{2}(\sigma \otimes \sigma) m=m \sigma \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the category Vec where the braiding is flip operator, the above condition just means $\sigma$ is algebra automorphism. Also, in general, this condition is a combination of the algebra automorphism condition and the fundamental condition between braiding and twist in a ribbon category.

For arbitrary objects $b, c \in \mathcal{O}$ we define a linear operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{b, c}: H_{a \otimes b, c} \rightarrow H_{b \otimes a, c}, \quad \lambda_{b, c}(\varphi):=\psi_{b, a}\left(\sigma \otimes \operatorname{id}_{b}\right) \varphi . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We recall the notion of braided cyclic cohomology introduced in [1]. First of all, for simplicity we use the notations $\psi_{i, j}:=\psi_{a^{\otimes i}, a^{\otimes j}}$ and $\mathrm{id}_{i}:=\mathrm{id}_{a^{\otimes i}}$. We set $\lambda_{(n)}:=(-1)^{n} \lambda_{a^{\otimes n, 1}}$. Explicitly $\lambda_{(n)}$ is the operator sending a morphism $\varphi$ in the space $H_{a^{\otimes(n+1), 1}}$ to the following morphism in the same space

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{(n)}(\varphi):=(-1)^{n} \psi_{n, 1}\left(\sigma \otimes \operatorname{id}_{n}\right) \varphi \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For simplicity, we will write $\lambda$ instead of $\lambda_{(n)}$.
Let $C^{n}=C^{n}(a ; \sigma):=\left\{\varphi \in H_{a^{\otimes(n+1), \mathbf{1}}} \mid \lambda^{n+1}(\varphi)=\varphi\right\}$. For $\varphi \in C^{n}$, we define

$$
d_{i}^{(n)}(\varphi)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\operatorname{id}_{i} \otimes m \otimes \operatorname{id}_{n-i-1}\right) \varphi, \quad 0 \leq i \leq n-1  \tag{4.6}\\
\psi_{n, 1}\left(\sigma \otimes \operatorname{id}_{n}\right)\left(m \otimes \operatorname{id}_{n-1}\right) \varphi, \quad i=n
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{i}^{(n)}(\varphi)=\left(\operatorname{id}_{i+1} \otimes \mu \otimes \operatorname{id}_{n-i}\right) \varphi, \quad 0 \leq i \leq n \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 4.1. We have $d_{i}^{(n)}\left(C^{n}\right) \subseteq C^{n+1}, s_{i}^{(n)}\left(C^{n}\right) \subseteq C^{n-1}$, $\lambda_{(n)}\left(C^{n}\right) \subseteq C^{n}$ and $\left\{C^{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ with the linear maps $d_{i}^{(n)}, s_{i}^{(n)}$, and $\lambda_{(n)}$ as face, degeneracy and cyclic operators respectively, form a cocyclic module.

Proof. The proof based on the very powerful graphical calculus is given in [1].

We denote the Hochschild cohomology of this cocyclic module by $H H(\mathcal{C} ; a, \sigma)$ and the cohomology of the subcomplex

$$
C_{\lambda}^{n}(\mathcal{C} ; a, \sigma)=\left\{\varphi \in H_{a^{\otimes(n+1), \mathbf{1}}} \mid \lambda(\varphi)=\varphi\right\}
$$

by $H C(\mathcal{C} ; a, \sigma)$ and call them Hochschild and cyclic cohomology of ribbon algebra $(a, m, \mu, \sigma)$.

## 5. PAIRING K-THEORY WITH CYCLIC COHOMOLOGY

In this section, we extend the pairing between K-theory and cyclic cohomology of an ordinary algebra for an algebra inside a monoidal category, see [2].

In this section, we assume that $S$ is a subsemigroup of the semigroup $(\mathcal{O}, \oplus)$ and there exists an additive family $T_{u}: E_{u} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, u \in S$ of linear maps satisfying a trace property which we now explain. By additivity we mean

$$
T_{u \oplus v}\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
f & 0  \tag{5.1}\\
0 & g
\end{array}\right]\right)=T_{u}(f)+T_{v}(g), \quad u, v \in S, f \in E_{u}, g \in E_{v}
$$

Next we extend the family $T_{u}$ to a family $T_{u, \varphi}: E_{u}^{a} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, u \in S, \varphi \in H_{a, \mathbf{1}}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{u, \varphi}(f):=T_{u}\left(\varphi^{*}(f)\right), \quad u \in S, a \in \mathcal{O}, \varphi \in H_{a, \mathbf{1}}, f \in E_{u}^{a} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we express the promised axiom of trace property. We assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{u, \varphi}(f \odot g)=T_{v, \varphi}(g \odot f), \quad u, v \in S, b \in \mathcal{O}, f \in H_{u, v}, g \in H_{v, u}^{b}, \varphi \in H_{b, \mathbf{1}} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and
(5.4)
$T_{u, \varphi}(f \odot g)=T_{v, \lambda(\varphi)}(g \odot f), \quad u, v \in S, a, b \in \mathcal{O}, f \in H_{u, v}^{a}, g \in H_{v, u}^{b}, \varphi \in H_{a \otimes b, \mathbf{1}}$,
where $\lambda=\lambda_{b, 1}$ was defined by (4.4). Using Lemma 2.1 one can easily see that this family is also additive.

For the trivial algebra $a=\mathbf{1}$ with $m=\mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{1}}, \mu=\mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{1}}, \sigma=\mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{1}}$ and for $b=\mathbf{1}$, the product $\odot$ is just the composition law of the category and the axioms (5.3) and (5.4) become the ordinary trace property.

In particular, we can use the following traces: if $E_{\mathbf{1}}=\mathbb{C}$ and $S$ is also closed under tensor product and admits twist, i.e. there exists a natural family of invertible morphisms $\theta_{u} \in E_{u}, u \in S$ satisfying (5.1), and admits duality, i.e. there is an operation on $S, u \mapsto u^{*}$ and there are morphisms $b_{u} \in H_{\mathbf{1}, u \otimes u^{*}}, d_{u} \in$ $H_{u^{*} \otimes u, 1}$ satisfying (5.2), then we get the following family of traces.

Proposition 5.1. Under the conditions mentioned in the above last paragraph, the following linear maps

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{u}: E_{u} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad T_{u}(f):=b_{u}\left(\left(f \theta_{u}\right) \otimes \operatorname{id}_{u^{*}}\right) \psi_{u, u^{*}} d_{u} \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfy the axiom (5.4) for any ribbon algebra a and any object $b$.
Remark. We can use also any ribbon knot to produce a nontrivial family $T_{u}$.

Now we come back to the general situation at the beginning of this section where we had just braiding morphisms and the family $T_{u}, u \in S$.

Proposition 5.2. For any $u \in S$, the map $C^{*}(\mathcal{C} ; a, \sigma) \rightarrow C^{*}\left(E_{u}^{a}\right), \varphi \mapsto$ $\varphi_{u}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{u}\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right):=T_{u, \varphi}\left(x_{0} \odot \cdots \odot x_{n}\right) \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a map of cocyclic modules.
Proof. For $\varphi \in C^{n-1}(\mathcal{C} ; a, \sigma)$ and $u \in S$ we must show $\left(d_{i}(\varphi)\right)_{u}=d_{i}\left(\varphi_{u}\right)$, $\left(s_{i}(\varphi)\right)_{u}=s_{i}\left(\varphi_{u}\right),(\lambda(\varphi))_{u}=\lambda\left(\varphi_{u}\right)$, for all $0 \leq i \leq n$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\lambda(\varphi))_{u}\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) & =T_{u, \lambda(\varphi)}\left(x_{0} \odot \cdots \odot x_{n}\right) \\
& =(-1)^{n} T_{u, \varphi}\left(x_{n} \odot x_{0} \odot \cdots \odot x_{n-1}\right) \\
& =(-1)^{n} \varphi_{u}\left(x_{n}, x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right) \\
& =\left(\lambda\left(\varphi_{u}\right)\right)\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $0 \leq i<n$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(d_{i}(\varphi)\right)_{u}\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) & =T_{u}\left(\left(d_{i}(\varphi)\right)^{*}\left(x_{0} \odot \cdots \odot x_{n}\right)\right) \\
& =T_{u}\left(\varphi^{*}\left(\left(\operatorname{id}_{i} \otimes m \otimes \operatorname{id}_{n-i-1}\right)^{*}\left(x_{0} \odot \cdots \odot x_{n}\right)\right)\right) \\
& =T_{u}\left(\varphi ^ { * } \left(\operatorname{id}_{i}^{*}\left(x_{0} \odot \cdots \odot x_{i-1}\right) \odot m^{*}\left(x_{i} \odot x_{i+1}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\odot \mathrm{id}_{n-i-1}^{*}\left(x_{i+2} \odot \cdots \odot x_{n}\right)\right)\right) \\
& =T_{u}\left(\varphi ^ { * } \left(x_{0} \odot \cdots \odot x_{i-1} \odot\left(x_{i} \circ_{a} x_{i+1}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\odot x_{i+2} \odot \cdots \odot x_{n}\right)\right) \\
& =\varphi_{u}\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{i-1},\left(x_{i} \circ_{a} x_{i+1}\right), x_{i+2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \\
& =d_{i}\left(\varphi_{u}\right)\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The case $i=n$, now is the consequence of the relation $d_{n}(\varphi)=(-1)^{n}$ $\lambda\left(d_{0} \varphi\right)$ ), which holds for any cocyclic module, and the relations $\left(d_{0}(\varphi)\right)_{u}=$ $d_{0}\left(\varphi_{u}\right),(\lambda(\varphi))_{u}=\lambda\left(\varphi_{u}\right)$.

Next, by setting $S_{i}=\left(s_{i}(\varphi)\right)_{u}\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{i} & =T_{u}\left(\left(s_{i}(\varphi)\right)^{*}\left(x_{0} \odot \cdots \odot x_{n}\right)\right) \\
& =T_{u}\left(\varphi^{*}\left(\operatorname{id}_{i+1} \otimes \mu \otimes \operatorname{id}_{n-i}\right)^{*}\left(x_{0} \odot \cdots \odot x_{n}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =T_{u}\left(\varphi^{*}\left(\mathrm{id}_{i+1} \otimes \mu \otimes \mathrm{id}_{n-i}\right)^{*}\left(x_{0} \odot \cdots \odot x_{i} \odot \mathrm{id}_{u} \odot x_{i+1} \odot \cdots \odot x_{n}\right)\right) \\
& =T_{u}\left(\varphi^{*}\left(\mathrm{id}_{i+1}\right)^{*}\left(x_{0} \odot \cdots \odot x_{i}\right) \odot \mu^{*}\left(\operatorname{id}_{u}\right) \odot \mathrm{id}_{n-i}^{*}\left(x_{i+1} \odot \cdots \odot x_{n}\right)\right) \\
& =T_{u}\left(\varphi^{*}\left(x_{0} \odot \cdots \odot x_{i} \odot 1_{u}^{a} \odot x_{i+1} \odot \cdots \odot x_{n}\right)\right) \\
& =\varphi_{u}\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{i}, 1_{u}^{a}, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \\
& =s_{i}\left(\varphi_{u}\right)\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 5.3. The following is a bilinear pairing between $K_{0}(a ; S)$ and $H C^{\text {even }}(\mathcal{C}, a ; \sigma)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
<[e],[\varphi]>:=\varphi_{u}(e, \ldots, e), \quad e \in E_{u}^{a}, u \in S \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We first show that if we replace $e \in E_{u}^{a}$ with $\left[\begin{array}{ll}e & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right]=\pi_{1} e l_{1}^{a} \in$ $E_{u \oplus u}^{a}$, where $\pi_{1}=\pi_{1}(\{u, u\})$ and $\imath_{1}^{a}=\imath_{1}(\{a \otimes u, a \otimes u\})$, the result of the pairing does not change. For morphisms $x_{i} \in E_{u}^{a}, 0 \leq i \leq n$ and for any morphism $\varphi \in H_{a^{\otimes(n+1), 1}}$ and by setting $\Phi_{u \oplus u}=\varphi_{u \oplus u}\left(\pi_{1} x_{0} \imath_{1}^{a}, \ldots, \pi_{1} x_{n} \imath_{1}^{a}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{u \oplus u} & =T_{u \oplus u, \varphi}\left(\pi_{1} x_{0} \imath_{1}^{a} \odot \cdots \odot \pi_{1} x_{n} \imath_{1}^{a}\right) \\
& =T_{u \oplus u, \varphi}\left(\pi_{1} x_{0}\left(\mathrm{id}_{a} \otimes \iota_{1}\right) \odot \cdots \odot \pi_{1} x_{n}\left(\mathrm{id}_{a} \otimes \imath_{1}\right)\right) \\
& =T_{u \oplus u, \varphi}\left(\pi_{1} \odot x_{0} \odot \imath_{1} \odot \cdots \odot \pi_{1} \odot x_{n} \odot \iota_{1}\right) \\
& =T_{u \oplus u, \varphi}\left(\pi_{1} \odot x_{0} \odot \imath_{1} \odot \pi_{1} \odot x_{1} \odot \imath_{1} \cdots \odot \pi_{1} \odot x_{n} \odot \iota_{1}\right) \\
& =T_{u \oplus u, \varphi}\left(\pi_{1} \odot x_{0} \odot \imath_{1} \pi_{1} \odot x_{1} \odot \imath_{1} \cdots \odot \pi_{1} \odot x_{n} \odot \iota_{1}\right) \\
& =T_{u \oplus u, \varphi}\left(\pi_{1} \odot x_{0} \odot \mathrm{id}_{u} \odot x_{1} \odot \imath_{1} \cdots \odot \pi_{1} \odot x_{n} \odot \imath_{1}\right) \\
& =T_{u \oplus u, \varphi}\left(\pi_{1} \odot x_{0} \odot \cdots \odot x_{n} \odot \iota_{1}\right) \\
& =T_{u, \varphi}\left(\imath_{1} \odot \pi_{1} \odot x_{0} \odot \cdots \odot x_{n}\right) \\
& =T_{u, \varphi}\left(\imath_{1} \pi_{1} \odot x_{0} \odot \cdots \odot x_{n}\right) \\
& =T_{u, \varphi}\left(x_{0} \odot \cdots \odot x_{n}\right) \\
& =\varphi_{u}\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Next we must show that if $\varphi$ is a coboundary then the value of the pairing vanishes. So let $d=\sum_{i=0}^{2 m}(-1)^{i} d_{i}$ be the Hochschild coboundary then by Proposition 5.2 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(d(\varphi))_{u}(e, \ldots, e) & =d\left(\varphi_{u}\right)(e, \ldots, e) \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{2 m}(-1)^{i} \varphi_{u}(e, \ldots, e) \\
& =\varphi_{u}(e, \ldots, e)
\end{aligned}
$$

But from $\lambda\left(\varphi_{u}\right)=\varphi_{u}$ we conclude that $\varphi_{u}(e, \ldots, e)=0$. Therefore $(d(\varphi))_{u}(e, \ldots, e)=0$.

Now let $e$ and $f$ be two idempotents in the algebra $E_{u}^{a}$ such that $e \sim f$. Since we proved that the value of the pairing does not change if we replace $e \in E_{u}^{a}$ with $\left[\begin{array}{cc}e & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right] \in E_{u \oplus u}^{a}$ we conclude that we may assume $e \sim_{s} f$ within $E_{u}^{a}$, that there exists an invertible element $z \in E_{u}^{a}$ such that $f=z^{-1} e z$. But using Proposition 1.8 in chapter 3 of [2], and the above fact that the pairing for coboundaries vanishes, we conclude that the value of the pairing will not change if one replaces $e$ with $f$. Thus the pairing only depends on the equivalence class of $e$ and the cohomology class of $\varphi$. To show that this pairing over the semigroup $I(a ; S)$ of the equivalence classes of idempotents extend to the group $K_{0}(a ; S)$, we need to show that this pairing is additive:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{u \oplus v}\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
e & 0 \\
0 & f
\end{array}\right], \ldots,\left[\begin{array}{cc}
e & 0 \\
0 & f
\end{array}\right]\right) & =T_{u \oplus v, \varphi}\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
e & 0 \\
0 & f
\end{array}\right] \odot \cdots \odot\left[\begin{array}{cc}
e & 0 \\
0 & f
\end{array}\right]\right) \\
& =T_{u, \varphi}\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
e^{\odot(n+1)} & 0 \\
0 & f \odot(n+1)
\end{array}\right]\right) \\
& =T_{u, \varphi}\left(e^{\odot(n+1)}\right)+T_{v, \varphi}\left(f^{\odot(n+1)}\right) \\
& =\varphi_{u}(e, \ldots, e)+\varphi_{v}(f \ldots, f) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 5.4. The following is a bilinear pairing between $K_{1}(a ; S)$ and $H C^{\text {odd }}(\mathcal{C} ; a, S)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
<[g],[\varphi]>:=\varphi_{u}\left(g^{-1}-1, g-1, \ldots, g^{-1}-1, g-1\right), \quad g \in G L_{u}^{a}, u \in S \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since for fixed $u$, this pairing is nothing other than the pairing of the cyclic cohomology of the algebra $E_{u}^{a}$ with the quotient of the group of invertible elements of this algebra by the commutator subgroup, it is enough to apply the proof of Proposition 3.3, chapter 3 of [2] for the algebra $\mathcal{A}=E_{u}^{a}$ and for the cyclic cocycle $\varphi_{u}$ for the case $k=1$.

Also we need to show that the pairing is compatible with the inclusion $G L_{u}^{a} \subseteq G L_{u \oplus v}^{a}, f \mapsto \operatorname{dia}\left(f, \mathrm{id}_{v}\right)$ which is easy to show.
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