# ON EXPANSION IN EIGENFUNCTION FOR q-DIRAC SYSTEMS ON THE WHOLE LINE

## BILENDER P. ALLAHVERDIEV and HÜSEYIN TUNA

Communicated by Horia Cornean

In this work, we establish a Parseval equality and expansion formula in eigenfunctions for the q-Dirac operator on the whole line.

AMS 2010 Subject Classification: 33D15, 34L10, 39A13, 34L40.

 $\begin{array}{l} \textit{Key words: q-Dirac operator, singular point, Parseval equality, spectral function, } \\ \textit{eigenfunction expansion.} \end{array}$ 

#### INTRODUCTION

The calculus without limits is known as the q-calculus (or quantum calculus). The concept of q-calculus was initiated by Jackson [11] in the first quarter of 19th century. Since then, the q-difference operators have been studied extensively. Hence, the q-calculus has a rich literature (see [7]). Moreover, the q-calculus has important applications in several mathematical areas such as the theory of relativity, orthogonal polynomials, combinatorics, number theory, quantum groups [5, 7].

On the other hand, spectral expansion theorems are important for solving various problems in mathematics. Specially, we lead to the problem of expanding an arbitrary function as a series of eigenfunctions when we seek a solution of a partial differential equation by separation of variables. The eigenfunction expansion is obtained by several methods. For instance, by the methods of integral equations, contour integration and the finite difference [3,4,8,9,14,16].

In [2], the authors investigated the eigenfunction expansions for singular q-Dirac systems on  $[0, \infty)$ . In this work, we extend the results of [2] to obtain a Parseval equality and an expansion theorem for such operators on the whole line.

### PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we recall some necessary fundamental concepts of qanalysis. Following the standard notations in [12] and [5], let q be a positive number with 0 < q < 1,  $A \subset \mathbb{R} := (-\infty, \infty)$  and  $a \in A$ . A *q*-difference equation is an equation that contains *q*-derivatives of a function defined on A. Let y be a complex-valued function on A. The *q*-difference operator  $D_q$ , the Jackson *q*-derivative is defined by

$$D_{q}y(x) = \frac{y(qx) - y(x)}{(q-1)x} \text{ for all } x \in A.$$

We know that there is a connection between the q-deformed Heisenberg uncertainty relation and the Jackson derivative on the q-basic numbers (see [15]). In the q-derivative, as  $q \to 1$ , the q-derivative is reduced to the classical derivative. The q-derivative at zero is defined by

$$D_q y\left(0\right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{y\left(q^n x\right) - y\left(0\right)}{q^n x} \quad (x \in A),$$

if the limit exists and does not depend on x. A right-inverse to  $D_q$ , the Jackson q-integration is given by

$$\int_0^x f(t) \, \mathrm{d}_q t = x \, (1-q) \sum_{n=0}^\infty q^n f(q^n x) \quad (x \in A),$$

provided that the series converges, and

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(t) d_{q}t = \int_{0}^{b} f(t) d_{q}t - \int_{0}^{a} f(t) d_{q}t \quad (a, b \in A).$$

The q-integration for a function defined in [10] is given by the formulas

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} f(t) d_{q}t = (1-q) \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{n} f(q^{n}),$$
  
$$\int_{-\infty}^{0} f(t) d_{q}t = (1-q) \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{n} f(-q^{n}),$$
  
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) d_{q}t = (1-q) \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{n} [f(q^{n}) + f(-q^{n})].$$

A function f which is defined on  $A, 0 \in A$ , is said to be *q*-regular at zero if

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} f\left(xq^n\right) = f\left(0\right)$$

for every  $x \in A$ . Throughout the rest of the paper, we deal only with the functions which are q-regular at zero.

If f and g are q-regular at zero, then we have

$$\int_{0}^{a} g(t) D_{q} f(t) d_{q} t + \int_{0}^{a} f(qt) D_{q} g(t) d_{q} t = f(a) g(a) - f(0) g(0).$$

Let  $L^2_q(-\infty,\infty)$  be the space of all complex-valued functions defined on  $(-\infty,\infty)$  such that

$$||f|| := \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)|^2 d_q x\right)^{1/2} < \infty.$$

The space  $L^2_q(-\infty,\infty)$  is a separable Hilbert space with the inner product

$$(f,g) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \overline{g(x)} d_q x, \quad f,g \in L^2_q(0,\infty)$$

(see [6]).

Let  $y(x) = \begin{pmatrix} y_1(x) \\ y_2(x) \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $z(x) = \begin{pmatrix} z_1(x) \\ z_2(x) \end{pmatrix}$ . Then, we define the *q*-Wronskian of y(x) and z(x) by

(1) 
$$W_q(y,z)(x) = y_1(x) z_2(q^{-1}x) - z_1(x) y_2(q^{-1}x)$$

Now, we introduce the convenient Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H} = L_q^2((-\infty,\infty); E)$  $(E := \mathbb{R}^2)$  of vector-valued functions by using the inner product

$$(f,g) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (f(x),g(x))_E \mathrm{d}_q x.$$

#### MAIN RESULTS

Let us consider the q-Dirac system

(2) 
$$-\frac{1}{q}D_{q^{-1}}y_2 + p(x)y_1 = \lambda y_1$$

$$D_q y_1 + r(x) y_2 = \lambda y_2$$

where  $\lambda$  is a complex eigenvalue parameter, p and r are real-valued functions defined on  $(-\infty, \infty)$  and continuous at zero, and  $p, r \in L^1_{q,loc}(-\infty, \infty)$ . This system, as  $q \to 1$ , is reduced to the classical one dimensional Dirac system

$$-y'_{2} + p(x) y_{1} = \lambda y_{1},$$
  
$$y'_{1} + r(x) y_{2} = \lambda y_{2}.$$

We will denote by  $\phi_1(x, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{11}(x, \lambda) \\ \phi_{12}(x, \lambda) \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\phi_2(x, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{21}(x, \lambda) \\ \phi_{22}(x, \lambda) \end{pmatrix}$ , the solution of the system (2)-(3) which satisfy the initial conditions

(4) 
$$\phi_{11}(0,\lambda) = 1, \ \phi_{12}(0,\lambda) = 0, \ \phi_{21}(0,\lambda) = 0, \ \phi_{22}(0,\lambda) = 1.$$

Let  $[-q^{-m}, q^{-m}]$  be an arbitrary finite interval, where  $m \in \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, ...\}$ .

(5) 
$$y_2(-q^{-m})\cos\alpha + y_1(-q^{-m})\sin\alpha = 0,$$
$$y_2(q^{-m})\cos\beta + y_1(q^{-m})\sin\beta = 0, \ \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}, \ m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

In [1], the authors prove that the boundary value problem (2)-(3) with the boundary conditions (5) has a compact resolvent operator, thus it has a purely discrete spectrum.

Let  $\lambda_0$ ,  $\lambda_{\pm 1}$ ,  $\lambda_{\pm 2}$ , ... be the eigenvalues and  $y_0$ ,  $y_{\pm 1}$ ,  $y_{\pm 2}$ , ... be the corresponding eigenfunctions of the problem (2), (3), (5), where  $y_{\pm n}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} y_{\pm n1}(x) \\ y_{\pm n2}(x) \end{pmatrix}$ . Since the solutions of this problem are linearly independent, we get

$$y_{n}(x) = c_{n}\phi_{1}(x,\lambda_{n}) + d_{n}\phi_{2}(x,\lambda_{n}).$$

There is no loss of generality in assuming that  $|c_n| \leq 1$  and  $|d_n| \leq 1$ . Now let us set

$$z_n^2 = \int_{-q^{-m}}^{q^{-m}} \|y_n(x)\|_E^2 \,\mathrm{d}_q x.$$

Let  $f(.) = \begin{pmatrix} f_1(.) \\ f_2(.) \end{pmatrix} \in L^2_q((-q^{-m}, q^{-m}); E)$ . If we apply the Parseval equality (see [2]) to f(x), then we obtain

$$\int_{-q^{-m}}^{q^{-m}} \|f(x)\|_{E}^{2} d_{q}x$$

$$= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{z_{n}^{2}} \left\{ \int_{-q^{-m}}^{q^{-m}} (f(x), y_{n}(x))_{E} d_{q}x \right\}^{2}$$
(6)
$$= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{z_{n}^{2}} \left\{ \int_{-q^{-m}}^{q^{-m}} (f(x), c_{n}\phi_{1}(x, \lambda_{n}) + d_{n}\phi_{2}(x, \lambda_{n}))_{E} d_{q}x \right\}^{2}$$

$$= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{c_{n}^{2}}{z_{n}^{2}} \left\{ \int_{-q^{-m}}^{q^{-m}} (f(x), \phi_{1}(x, \lambda_{n}))_{E} d_{q}x \right\}^{2}$$

$$+ 2\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{c_{n}d_{n}}{z_{n}^{2}} \prod_{j=1}^{2} \left\{ \int_{-q^{-m}}^{q^{-m}} (f(x), \phi_{j}(x, \lambda_{n}))_{E} d_{q}x \right\}$$

$$+ \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d_{n}^{2}}{z_{n}^{2}} \left\{ \int_{-q^{-m}}^{q^{-m}} (f(x), \phi_{2}(x, \lambda_{n}))_{E} d_{q}x \right\}^{2}.$$

Now, we will define the nondecreasing step function  $\mu_{ij,q^{-m}} \ (i,j=1,2)$  on  $(-q^{-m},q^{-m})$  by

$$\mu_{11,q^{-m}}(\lambda) = \begin{cases} -\sum_{\lambda < \lambda_n < 0} \frac{c_n^2}{z_n^2}, & \text{for } \lambda \le 0\\ \sum_{0 \le \lambda_n < \lambda} \frac{c_n^2}{z_n^2}, & \text{for } \lambda > 0, \end{cases}$$
$$\mu_{12,q^{-m}}(\lambda) = \begin{cases} -\sum_{\lambda < \lambda_n < 0} \frac{c_n d_n}{z_n^2}, & \text{for } \lambda \le 0\\ \sum_{0 \le \lambda_n < \lambda} \frac{c_n d_n}{z_n^2}, & \text{for } \lambda > 0, \end{cases}$$
$$\mu_{12,q^{-m}}(\lambda) = \mu_{21,q^{-m}}(\lambda),$$
$$\mu_{22,q^{-m}}(\lambda) = \begin{cases} -\sum_{\lambda < \lambda_n < 0} \frac{d_n^2}{z_n^2}, & \text{for } \lambda \le 0\\ \sum_{0 \le \lambda_n < \lambda} \frac{d_n^2}{z_n^2}, & \text{for } \lambda > 0. \end{cases}$$

From (6), we obtain

(7) 
$$\int_{-q^{-m}}^{q^{-m}} \|f(x)\|_{E}^{2} d_{q}x = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} F_{i}(\lambda) F_{j}(\lambda) d\mu_{ij,q^{-m}}(\lambda),$$

where

$$F_{i}(\lambda) = \int_{-q^{-m}}^{q^{-m}} (f(x), \phi_{i})_{E} d_{q}x \ (i = 1, 2).$$

Now we will prove a lemma, but first we recall some definitions.

A function f defined on an interval [a, b] is said to be of *bounded variation* if there is a constant C > 0 such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} |f(x_k) - f(x_{k-1})| \le C$$

for every partition

(8) 
$$a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = b$$

of [a, b] by points of subdivision  $x_0, x_1, ..., x_n$ .

Let f be a function of bounded variation. Then, by the *total variation* of f on [a, b], denoted by  $\bigvee_{a}^{b}(f)$ , we mean the quantity

$${}_{a}^{b}(f) := \sup \sum_{k=1}^{n} |f(x_{k}) - f(x_{k-1})|,$$

where the least upper bound is taken over all (finite) partitions (8) of the interval [a, b] (see [13]).

LEMMA 1. There exists a positive constant  $\Lambda = \Lambda(\xi)$ ,  $\xi > 0$  such that

(9) 
$$\sum_{-\xi}^{\xi} \left\{ \mu_{ij,q^{-m}} \left( \lambda \right) \right\} < \Lambda \ \left( i, j = 1, 2 \right),$$

where  $\Lambda$  does not depend on  $q^{-m}$ .

*Proof.* From (4), we have

$$\phi_{ij}\left(0,\lambda\right) = \delta_{ij},$$

where  $\delta_{ij}$  (i, j = 1, 2) is the Kronecker delta. So there exists a k > 0 such that (10)  $|\phi_{ij}(x, \lambda) - \delta_{ij}| < \varepsilon, \ \varepsilon > 0, \ |\lambda| < \xi, \ x \in [0, k].$ 

Let 
$$f_k(x) = \begin{pmatrix} f_{k1}(x) \\ f_{k2}(x) \end{pmatrix}$$
 be a nonnegative vector-valued function such

that  $f_{k1}(x)$  vanishes outside the interval [0, k] with

(11) 
$$\int_{0}^{k} f_{k1}(x) d_{q}x = 1,$$

and  $f_{k2}(x) = 0$ . Set

$$F_{ik}(\lambda) = \int_0^k (f_k(x), \phi_i)_E d_q x$$
$$= \int_0^k f_{k1}(x) \phi_{i1}(x, \lambda) d_q x \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

Using (10) and (11), we obtain

(12) 
$$|F_{1k}(\lambda) - 1| < \varepsilon, |F_{2k}(\lambda)| < \varepsilon, |\lambda| < \xi.$$

Now, by applying the Parseval equality (7) to  $f_k(x)$ , we get

$$\begin{split} \int_{0}^{k} f_{k1}^{2}\left(x\right) \mathrm{d}_{q}x &\geq \int_{-\xi}^{\xi} F_{1k}^{2}\left(\lambda\right) \mathrm{d}\mu_{11,q^{-m}}\left(\lambda\right) + 2 \int_{-\xi}^{\xi} F_{1k}\left(\lambda\right) F_{2k}\left(\lambda\right) \mathrm{d}\mu_{12,q^{-m}}\left(\lambda\right) \\ &+ \int_{-\xi}^{\xi} F_{2k}^{2}\left(\lambda\right) \mathrm{d}\mu_{22,q^{-m}}\left(\lambda\right) \geq \int_{-\xi}^{\xi} F_{1k}^{2}\left(\lambda\right) \mathrm{d}\mu_{11,q^{-m}}\left(\lambda\right) \\ &- 2 \int_{-\xi}^{\xi} \left|F_{1k}\left(\lambda\right)\right| \left|F_{2k}\left(\lambda\right)\right| \left|\mathrm{d}\mu_{12,q^{-m}}\left(\lambda\right)\right| . \end{split}$$
From (12), we have

From (12), we have

$$\int_{0}^{k} f_{k1}^{2}(x) d_{q}x > \int_{-\xi}^{\xi} (1-\varepsilon)^{2} d\mu_{11,q^{-m}}(\lambda) - 2 \int_{-\xi}^{\xi} \varepsilon (1+\varepsilon) \left| d\mu_{12,q^{-m}}(\lambda) \right|$$
$$= (1-\varepsilon)^{2} \left( \mu_{11,q^{-m}}(\xi) - \mu_{11,q^{-m}}(-\xi) \right) - 2\varepsilon (1+\varepsilon) \bigvee_{-\xi}^{\xi} \left\{ \mu_{12,q^{-m}}(\lambda) \right\}.$$

Since

$$\begin{cases} (13) \\ \xi \\ -\xi \\ \{\mu_{12,q^{-m}}(\lambda)\} \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[ \mu_{11,q^{-m}}(\xi) - \mu_{11,q^{-m}}(-\xi) + \mu_{22,q^{-m}}(\xi) - \mu_{22,q^{-m}}(-\xi) \right], \\ \text{we get}$$

we get

(14)  
$$\int_{0}^{k} f_{k1}^{2}(x) d_{q}x > (1 - 3\varepsilon) \left\{ \mu_{11,q^{-m}}(\xi) - \mu_{11,q^{-m}}(-\xi) \right\} - \varepsilon (1 + \varepsilon) \left\{ \mu_{22,q^{-m}}(\xi) - \mu_{22,q^{-m}}(-\xi) \right\}.$$

Let  $g_k(x) = \begin{pmatrix} g_{k1}(x) \\ g_{k2}(x) \end{pmatrix}$  be a nonnegative vector-valued function such

that  $g_{k2}(x)$  vanishes outside the interval [0, k] with  $\int_0^k g_{k2}(x) d_q x = 1$ , and  $g_{k1}(x) = 0$ . Similar arguments apply to the function  $g_k(x)$ , and we obtain

(15) 
$$\int_{0}^{k} g_{k2}^{2}(x) d_{q}x > (1 - 3\varepsilon) \left\{ \mu_{22,q^{-m}}(\xi) - \mu_{22,q^{-m}}(-\xi) \right\} - \varepsilon \left(1 + \varepsilon\right) \left\{ \mu_{11,q^{-m}}(\xi) - \mu_{11,q^{-m}}(-\xi) \right\}.$$

If we add the inequalities (14) and (15), then we get

$$\int_{0}^{k} \left\{ f_{k1}^{2}(x) + g_{k2}^{2}(x) \right\} d_{q}x > \left( 1 - 4\varepsilon - \varepsilon^{2} \right) \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mu_{11,q^{-m}}(\xi) - \mu_{11,q^{-m}}(-\xi) \\ + \mu_{22,q^{-m}}(\xi) - \mu_{22,q^{-m}}(-\xi) \end{array} \right\}.$$

If we choose  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $1 - 4\varepsilon - \varepsilon^2 > 0$ , then we obtain the assertion of the lemma for the functions  $\mu_{11,q^{-m}}(\lambda)$  and  $\mu_{22,q^{-m}}(\lambda)$  relying on their monotonicity. From (13), we have the assertion of the lemma for the function  $\mu_{12,q^{-m}}(\lambda)$ .  $\Box$ 

Now we recall Helly's theorems.

THEOREM 2 ([13]). Let  $(w_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  be a uniformly bounded sequence of real nondecreasing functions on a finite interval  $a \leq \lambda \leq b$ . Then there exists a subsequence  $(w_{n_k})_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$  and a nondecreasing function w such that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} w_{n_k} \left( \lambda \right) = w \left( \lambda \right), \quad a \le \lambda \le b.$$

THEOREM 3 ([13]). Assume that  $(w_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a real, uniformly bounded sequence of nondecreasing functions on a finite interval  $a \leq \lambda \leq b$ , and suppose that

 $\lim_{n \to \infty} w_n(\lambda) = w(\lambda), \ a \le \lambda \le b.$ 

If f is any continuous function on  $a \leq \lambda \leq b$ , then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{a}^{b} f(\lambda) \, \mathrm{d}w_{n}(\lambda) = \int_{a}^{b} f(\lambda) \, \mathrm{d}w(\lambda) \, .$$

375

(19)

Now let  $\rho$  be any nondecreasing function on  $-\infty < \lambda < \infty$ . Denote by  $L^2_{\rho}(-\infty,\infty)$  the Hilbert space of all functions  $f:(-\infty,\infty)\to\mathbb{R}$  which are measurable with respect to the Lebesque-Stieltjes measure defined by  $\rho$  and such that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f^2(\lambda) \,\mathrm{d}\varrho(\lambda) < \infty,$$

with the inner product

$$(f,g)_{\varrho} := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\lambda) g(\lambda) d\varrho(\lambda).$$

The main results of this paper are the following three theorems.

THEOREM 4. Let  $f(.) = \begin{pmatrix} f_1(.) \\ f_2(.) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{H}$ . Then, there exist monotonic functions  $\mu_{11}(\lambda)$  and  $\mu_{22}(\lambda)$  which are bounded over every finite interval, and a function  $\mu_{12}(\lambda)$  which is of bounded variation over every finite interval with the property

(16) 
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|f(x)\|_{E}^{2} d_{q}x = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} F_{i}(\lambda) F_{j}(\lambda) d\mu_{ij}(\lambda),$$

where

$$F_i(\lambda) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{-q^{-n}}^{q^{-n}} (f(x), \phi_i(x, \lambda))_E d_q x.$$

We note that the matrix-valued function  $\mu = (\mu_{ij})_{i=1}^2$   $(\mu_{12} = \mu_{21})$  is called a spectral function for the system (2)-(3).

*Proof.* Assume that the function  $f_n(x) = \begin{pmatrix} f_{1n}(x) \\ f_{2n}(x) \end{pmatrix}$  satisfies the follo-

wing conditions:

1)  $f_n(x)$  vanishes outside the interval  $[-q^{-n}, q^{-n}]$ , where  $q^{-n} < q^{-m}$ .

2) The functions  $f_n(x)$  and  $D_q f_n(x)$  are q-regular at zero.

If we apply the Parseval equality to  $f_n(x)$ , then we get

(17) 
$$\int_{-q^{-n}}^{q^{-n}} \|f_n(x)\|_E^2 d_q x = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{z_k^2} \left\{ \int_{-q^{-m}}^{q^{-m}} (f_n(x), y_k(x))_E d_q x \right\}^2.$$

Then, via integrating by parts, we obtain

$$\int_{-q^{-m}}^{q^{-m}} (f_n(x), y_k(x))_E d_q x$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{\lambda_k} \int_{-q^{-m}}^{q^{-m}} f_{1n}(x) \left[ -\frac{1}{q} D_{q^{-1}} y_{k2}(x) + p(x) y_{k1}(x) \right] d_q x$ 

$$+ \frac{1}{\lambda_k} \int_{-q^{-m}}^{q^{-m}} f_{2n}(x) \left[ D_q y_{k1}(x) + r(x) y_{k2}(x) \right] d_q x = \frac{1}{\lambda_k} \int_{-q^{-m}}^{q^{-m}} \left[ -\frac{1}{q} D_{q^{-1}} f_{2n}(x) + p(x) f_{1n}(x) \right] y_{k1}(x) d_q x + \frac{1}{\lambda_k} \int_{-q^{-m}}^{q^{-m}} \left[ D_q f_{1n}(x) + r(x) f_{2n}(x) \right] y_{k2}(x) d_q x.$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{|\lambda_k| \ge s} \frac{1}{z_k^2} \left\{ \int_{-q^{-m}}^{q^{-m}} (f_n(x), y_k(x))_E \, \mathrm{d}_q x \right\}^2 \\ \le & \frac{1}{s^2} \sum_{|\lambda_k| \ge s} \frac{1}{z_k^2} \left\{ \int_{-q^{-m}}^{q^{-m}} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \left[ -\frac{1}{q} D_{q^{-1}} f_{2n}(x) + p(x) f_{1n}(x) \right] y_{k1}(x) \\ + \left[ D_q f_{1n}(x) + r(x) f_{2n}(x) \right] y_{k2}(x) \end{array} \right\} \, \mathrm{d}_q x \right\}^2 \\ \le & \frac{1}{s^2} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{z_k^2} \left\{ \int_{-q^{-m}}^{q^{-m}} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \left[ -\frac{1}{q} D_{q^{-1}} f_{2n}(x) + p(x) f_{1n}(x) \right] y_{k1}(x) \\ + \left[ D_q f_{1n}(x) + r(x) f_{2n}(x) \right] y_{k2}(x) \end{array} \right\} \, \mathrm{d}_q x \right\}^2 \\ = & \frac{1}{s^2} \int_{-q^{-n}}^{q^{-n}} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \left[ -\frac{1}{q} D_{q^{-1}} f_{2n}(x) + p(x) f_{1n}(x) \right] y_{k2}(x) \\ + \left[ D_q f_{1n}(x) + r(x) f_{2n}(x) \right]^2 \end{array} \right\} \, \mathrm{d}_q x. \end{split}$$

By using (17), we obtain

$$\left| \int_{-q^{-n}}^{q^{-n}} (f_n(x), y_k(x))_E \, \mathrm{d}_q x - \sum_{-s \le \lambda_k \le s} \frac{1}{z_k^2} \left\{ \int_{-q^{-m}}^{q^{-m}} (f_n(x), y_k(x))_E \, \mathrm{d}_q x \right\}^2 \right|$$
  
$$\le \frac{1}{s^2} \int_{-q^{-n}}^{q^{-n}} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \left[ -\frac{1}{q} D_{q^{-1}} f_{2n}(x) + p(x) f_{1n}(x) \right]^2 \\ + \left[ D_q f_{1n}(x) + r(x) f_{2n}(x) \right]^2 \end{array} \right\} \mathrm{d}_q x$$
  
ad

ar

$$\sum_{-s \le \lambda_k \le s} \frac{1}{z_k^2} \left\{ \int_{-q^{-m}}^{q^{-m}} (f_n(x), y_k(x))_E \, \mathrm{d}_q x \right\}^2$$
  
= 
$$\sum_{-s \le \lambda_k \le s} \frac{1}{z_k^2} \left\{ \int_{-q^{-m}}^{q^{-m}} (f_n(x), c_k \phi_1(x, \lambda_k) + d_k \phi_2(x, \lambda_k))_E \, \mathrm{d}_q x \right\}^2$$
  
= 
$$\int_{-s}^{s} \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} F_{in}(\lambda) F_{jn}(\lambda) \, \mathrm{d}\mu_{ij,q^{-m}}(\lambda),$$

where

$$F_{in}(\lambda) = \int_{-q^{-m}}^{q^{-m}} (f_n(x), \phi_i(x, \lambda))_E d_q x \ (i = 1, 2).$$

Consequently, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{-q^{-n}}^{q^{-n}} (f_n(x), f_n(x))_E \, \mathrm{d}_q x - \int_{-s}^s \sum_{i,j=1}^2 F_{in}(\lambda) \, F_{jn}(\lambda) \, \mathrm{d}\mu_{ij,q^{-m}}(\lambda) \right| \\ (18) &\leq \frac{1}{s^2} \int_{-q^{-n}}^{q^{-n}} \left[ -\frac{1}{q} D_{q^{-1}} f_{2n}(x) + p(x) \, f_{1n}(x) \right]^2 \, \mathrm{d}_q x \\ &+ \frac{1}{s^2} \int_{-q^{-n}}^{q^{-n}} \left[ D_q f_{1n}(x) + r(x) \, f_{2n}(x) \right]^2 \, \mathrm{d}_q x. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 1 and Theorems 2 and 3, we can find sequences  $\{-q^{-m_k}\}$  and  $\{q^{-m_k}\}$  such that the functions  $\mu_{ij,q^{-m_k}}(\lambda)$   $(m_k \to \infty)$  converge to a monotone function  $\mu_{ij}(\lambda)$ . Passing to the limit with respect to  $\{-q^{-m_k}\}$  and  $\{q^{-m_k}\}$  in (18), we have

$$\left| \int_{-q^{-n}}^{q^{-n}} (f_n(x), f_n(x))_E d_q x - \int_{-s}^{s} \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} F_{in}(\lambda) F_{jn}(\lambda) d\mu_{ij}(\lambda) \right|^2$$
  
$$\leq \frac{1}{s^2} \int_{-q^{-n}}^{q^{-n}} \left[ -\frac{1}{q} D_{q^{-1}} f_{2n}(x) + p(x) f_{1n}(x) \right]^2 d_q x$$
  
$$+ \frac{1}{s^2} \int_{-q^{-n}}^{q^{-n}} [D_q f_{1n}(x) + r(x) f_{2n}(x)]^2 d_q x.$$

As  $s \to \infty$ , we get

$$\int_{-q^{-n}}^{q^{-n}} \left( f_n\left(x\right), f_n\left(x\right) \right)_E \mathrm{d}_q x = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} F_{in}\left(\lambda\right) F_{jn}\left(\lambda\right) \mathrm{d}\mu_{ij}\left(\lambda\right) \mathrm{d}\mu_{ij}\left(\lambda\right)$$

Now let  $f(.) \in \mathcal{H}$ . Choose functions  $\{f_{\eta}(x)\}$  satisfying the conditions 1-2 and such that

$$\lim_{\eta \to \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|f(x) - f_{\eta}(x)\|_{E}^{2} d_{q}x = 0.$$

Let

$$F_{i\eta}(\lambda) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( f_{\eta}(x), \phi_{i}(x,\lambda) \right)_{E} d_{q}x \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

Then, we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|f_{\eta}(x)\|_{E}^{2} d_{q}x = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} F_{i\eta}(\lambda) F_{j\eta}(\lambda) d\mu_{ij}(\lambda).$$

Since

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|f_{\eta_1}(x) - f_{\eta_2}(x)\|_E^2 \,\mathrm{d}_q x \to 0 \text{ as } \eta_1, \eta_2 \to \infty,$$

we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left( F_{i\eta_{1}}\left(\lambda\right) F_{j\eta_{1}}\left(\lambda\right) - F_{i\eta_{2}}\left(\lambda\right) F_{j\eta_{2}}\left(\lambda\right) \right) \mathrm{d}\mu_{ij}\left(\lambda\right)$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|f_{\eta_1}(x) - f_{\eta_2}(x)\|_E^2 \,\mathrm{d}_q x \to 0$$

as  $\eta_1, \eta_2 \to \infty$ . Therefore, there is a limit function F which satisfies

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|f(x)\|_{E}^{2} d_{q}x = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} F_{i}(\lambda) F_{j}(\lambda) d\mu_{ij}(\lambda),$$

by the completeness of the space  $L^{2}_{\mu}\left(-\infty,\infty\right).$ 

Now, we will show that the sequence  $(K_{\eta})$  given by

$$K_{\eta}(\lambda) = \int_{-q^{-\eta}}^{q^{-\eta}} f_1(x) \phi_1(x,\lambda) + f_2(x) \phi_2(x,\lambda) d_q x$$

converges as  $\eta \to \infty$  to F in the metric of the space  $L^2_{\mu}(-\infty,\infty)$ . Let g be another function in  $\mathcal{H}$ . By similar arguments,  $G(\lambda)$  can be defined by g.

It is obvious that

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \|f(x) - g(x)\|_{E}^{2} d_{q}x$$
$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} \left\{ \left(F_{i}(\lambda) - G_{i}(\lambda)\right) \left(F_{j}(\lambda) - G_{j}(\lambda)\right) \right\} d\mu_{ij}(\lambda) \,.$$

Let

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} f(x), & x \in [-q^{-\eta}, q^{-\eta}] \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then, we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} \left\{ \left( F_i\left(\lambda\right) - K_{\eta i}\left(\lambda\right) \right) \left( F_j\left(\lambda\right) - K_{\eta j}\left(\lambda\right) \right) \right\} \mathrm{d}\mu_{ij}\left(\lambda\right)$$
$$= \int_{-\infty}^{-q^{-\eta}} \|f\left(x\right)\|_E^2 \mathrm{d}_q x + \int_{q^{-\eta}}^{\infty} \|f\left(x\right)\|_E^2 \mathrm{d}_q x \to 0 \quad (\eta \to \infty) \,,$$

which proves that  $(K_{\eta})$  converges to F in  $L^{2}_{\mu}(-\infty,\infty)$  as  $\eta \to \infty$ .  $\Box$ 

THEOREM 5. Suppose that the functions  $f(.) = \begin{pmatrix} f_1(.) \\ f_2(.) \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $g(.) = \begin{pmatrix} g_1(.) \\ g_2(.) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{H}$ , and  $F(\lambda)$ ,  $G(\lambda)$  are their Fourier transforms. Then, we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(f\left(x\right), g\left(x\right)\right)_{E} \mathrm{d}_{q} x = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} F_{i}\left(\lambda\right) G_{j}\left(\lambda\right) \mathrm{d} \mu_{ij}\left(\lambda\right),$$

which is called the generalized Parseval equality.

*Proof.* It is clear that  $F \mp G$  are transforms of  $f \mp g$ . Therefore, we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|f(x) + g(x)\|_{E}^{2} d_{q}x$$
$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} \left(F_{i}(\lambda) + G_{i}(\lambda)\right) \left(F_{j}(\lambda) + G_{j}(\lambda)\right) d\mu_{ij}(\lambda)$$

and

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|f(x) - g(x)\|_{E}^{2} d_{q}x$$
$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} \left(F_{i}(\lambda) - G_{i}(\lambda)\right) \left(F_{j}(\lambda) - G_{j}(\lambda)\right) d\mu_{ij}(\lambda).$$

By subtracting one of these equalities from the other one, we get the desired result.  $\hfill\square$ 

THEOREM 6. Let 
$$f(.) = \begin{pmatrix} f_1(.) \\ f_2(.) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{H}$$
. Then, the integrals  
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_i(\lambda) \phi_j(x,\lambda) d\mu_{ij}(\lambda) \quad (i,j=1,2)$$

converge in  $L^2_{\mu}(-\infty,\infty)$ . Consequently, we have

$$f(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} F_i(\lambda) \phi_j(x,\lambda) d\mu_{ij}(\lambda),$$

which is called the expansion theorem.

*Proof.* Take any function  $f_s \in \mathcal{H}$  and any positive number s, and set

$$f_{s}(x) = \int_{-s}^{s} \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} F_{i}(\lambda) \phi_{j}(x,\lambda) d\mu_{ij}(\lambda).$$

Let  $g(.) = \begin{pmatrix} g_1(.) \\ g_2(.) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{H}$  be a vector function which is equal to zero outside the finite interval  $[-q^{-\tau}, q^{-\tau}]$ , where  $q^{-\tau} < q^{-m}$ . Thus we obtain

$$\int_{-q^{-\tau}}^{q^{-\tau}} (f_s(x), g(x))_E d_q x$$
  
= 
$$\int_{-q^{-\tau}}^{q^{-\tau}} \left( \int_{-s}^{s} \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} F_i(\lambda) \phi_j(x, \lambda) d\mu_{ij}(\lambda), g_1(x) \right)_E d_q x$$

$$= \int_{-s}^{s} \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} F_{i}(\lambda) \left\{ \int_{-q^{-\tau}}^{q^{-\tau}} (g(x), \phi_{j}(x, \lambda))_{E} d_{q}x \right\} d\mu_{ij}(\lambda)$$
$$= \int_{-s}^{s} \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} F_{i}(\lambda) G_{j}(\lambda) d\mu_{ij}(\lambda).$$

From Theorem 5, we get

(20) 
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (f(x), g(x))_E d_q x = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} F_i(\lambda) G_j(\lambda) d\mu_{ij}(\lambda).$$

By (19) and (20), we have

$$(f - f_s, g)_{\mathcal{H}} = \int_{|\lambda| > s} \sum_{i,j=1}^2 F_i(\lambda) G_j(\lambda) d\mu_{ij}(\lambda).$$

Apply this equality to the function

$$g\left(x\right) = \begin{cases} f\left(x\right) - f_{s}\left(x\right), & x \in \left[-q^{-s}, q^{-s}\right] \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

we get

$$\|f - f_s\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \le \sum_{i,j=1}^2 \int_{|\lambda| > s} F_i(\lambda) F_j(\lambda) d\mu_{ij}(\lambda).$$

Letting  $s \to \infty$  yields the expansion result.  $\Box$ 

#### REFERENCES

- B.P. Allahverdiev and H. Tuna, One dimensional q-Dirac equation. Math. Methods Appl. Sci. 40 (2017), 7287–7306.
- [2] B.P. Allahverdiev and H. Tuna, Eigenfunction expansion in the singular case for q-Dirac systems. Submitted.
- [3] B.P. Allahverdiev and H. Tuna, An expansion theorem for q-Sturm-Liouville operators on the whole line. Turkish J. Math. 42 (2018), 3, 1060–1071.
- [4] B.P. Allahverdiev and H. Tuna, The spectral expansion for the Hahn-Dirac system on the whole line. Turkish J. Math. DOI: 10.3906/mat-1902-16.
- [5] M.H. Annaby and Z.S. Mansour, q-Fractional calculus and equations. Lecture Notes in Math. 2056, Springer, Berlin, 2012.
- M.H. Annaby, Z.S. Mansour and I.A. Soliman, q-Titchmarsh-Weyl theory: series expansion. Nagoya Math. J. 205 (2012), 67–118.
- T. Ernst, The History of q-Calculus and a New Method. U. U. D. M. Report (2000):16, ISSN 1101-3591, Department of Mathematics, Uppsala University, 2000.
- [8] G.Sh. Guseinov, Eigenfunction expansions for a Sturm-Liouville problem on time scales. Int. J. Difference Equ. 2 (2007), 1, 93–104.

(19)

- G.Sh. Guseinov, An expansion theorem for a Sturm-Liouville operator on semi-unbounded time scales. Adv. Dyn. Syst. Appl. 3 (2008), 1, 147–160.
- [10] W. Hahn, Beiträge zur Theorie der Heineschen Reihen. Math. Nachr. 2 (1949), 340–379 (in German).
- [11] F.H. Jackson, On q-definite integrals. Quart. J. Pure Appl. Math. 41 (1910), 193–203.
- [12] V. Kac and P. Cheung, Quantum Calculus. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, 2002.
- [13] A.N. Kolmogorov and S.V. Fomin, *Introductory Real Analysis*. Translated by R.A. Silverman, Dover Publications, New York, 1970.
- [14] B.M. Levitan and I.S. Sargsjan, Sturm-Liouville and Dirac operators. Mathematics and its Applications (Soviet Series). Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1991 (translated from Russian).
- [15] P.N. Swamy, Deformed Heisenberg algebra: origin of q-calculus. Phys. A 328 (2003), 1-2, 145–153.
- [16] E.C. Titchmarsh, Eigenfunction expansions associated with second-order differential equations. Part I. (Second Edition), Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1962.

Received 18 January 2017

Süleyman Demirel University Department of Mathematics 32260 Isparta, Turkey bilenderpasaoglu@sdu.edu.tr

Mehmet Akif Ersoy University Department of Mathematics 15030 Burdur, Turkey hustuna@gmail.com