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#### Abstract

In [20], the following question arose: Which groups can be uniquely determined by the structure of their complex group algebras? In this paper, we prove that the direct product $G^{n}$ of $n$ copies of a group $G$, where (a) $G \cong A_{5}$ and $n \leq 5$; (b) $G \cong L_{2}(7)$ and $n \leq 7$; (c) $G \cong L_{3}(3)$ and $n \leq 13$; (d) $G \cong L_{2}(17)$ and $n \leq 17$; are uniquely determined by their order and some information on irreducible character degrees. As a consequence of our results, we show that these groups are uniquely determined by the structure of their complex group algebras.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Let $G$ be a finite group, $\operatorname{Irr}(G)$ be the set of irreducible characters of $G$, and denote by $\operatorname{cd}(G)$, the set of irreducible character degrees of $G$. If $n$ is a natural number, by $G^{n}$ we mean the direct product of $n$ copies of $G$; that is, $G \times G \times \cdots \times G$.

In [5, Problem 2*], R. Brauer posed the following question: Let $G$ and $H$ are two finite groups. If for all fields $\mathbb{F}$, two group algebras $\mathbb{F} G$ and $\mathbb{F} H$ are isomorphic, can we get that $G$ and $H$ are isomorphic? In [7], E.C. Dade showed that this is false in general.

It was shown in $[16,21]$ that the symmetric groups are uniquely determined by the structure of their complex group algebras. In [15, 17, 20, 22, 23] it is proved that each nonabelian simple group is uniquely determined by its complex group algebra. In [20], Tong-Viet posed the following question:

Question. Which groups can be uniquely determined by the structure of their complex group algebras?

In $[4,19]$, it is proved that every quasisimple group $L$ is uniquely determined up to isomorphism by the structure of $\mathbb{C} L$, the complex group algebra
of $L$. In [13] and [14], it is proved that if $q \mid p^{2}$, where $p>3$ is an odd prime, $S=L_{2}(q), M$ is a finite group such that $S<M<\operatorname{Aut}(S), M=\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times L_{2}(q)$ or $M=\mathrm{SL}(2, q)$, then $M$ is uniquely determined by its complex group algebra.

One of the next natural groups to be considered are the characteristically simple groups. Khosravi et al. proved that $L_{2}(p) \times L_{2}(p)$ is uniquely determined by its complex group algebra, where $p \geq 5$ is a prime number (see [12]). In [1], we prove that if $M$ is a simple $K_{3}$-group, then $M \times M$ is uniquely determined by its order and some information on irreducible character degrees. In [2], we proved that the direct product of non-isomorphic Suzuki groups is uniquely determined by its complex group algebra.

In this paper, we prove that the direct product $G^{n}$ of $n$ copies of a group $G$, where (a) $G \cong A_{5}$ and $n \leq 5$; (b) $G \cong L_{2}(7)$ and $n \leq 7$; (c) $G \cong L_{3}(3)$ and $n \leq 13$; (d) $G \cong L_{2}(17)$ and $n \leq 17$; are uniquely determined by their order and some information on irreducible character degrees. As a consequence of our results we show that these groups are uniquely determined by the structure of their complex group algebras.

If $N \unlhd G$ and $\theta \in \operatorname{Irr}(N)$, then the inertia group of $\theta$ in $G$ is $I_{G}(\theta)=$ $\left\{g \in G \mid \theta^{g}=\theta\right\}$. If the character $\chi=\sum_{i=1}^{k} e_{i} \chi_{i}$, where for each $1 \leq i \leq k$, $\chi_{i} \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)$ and $e_{i}$ is a natural number, then each $\chi_{i}$ is called an irreducible constituent of $\chi$.

Lemma 1 ([11, Theorems $6.2,6.8,11.29])$. Let $N \unlhd G$ and let $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(\mathrm{G})$. Let $\theta$ be an irreducible constituent of $\chi_{N}$ and suppose $\theta_{1}=\theta, \ldots, \theta_{t}$ are the distinct conjugates of $\theta$ in $G$. Then $\chi_{N}=e \sum_{i=1}^{t} \theta_{i}$, where $e=\left[\chi_{N}, \theta\right]$ and $t=\left|G: I_{G}(\theta)\right|$. Also $\theta(1) \mid \chi(1)$ and $\chi(1) / \theta(1)| | G: N \mid$.

Lemma 2 ([24, Lemma 1$])$. Let $G$ be a nonsolvable group. Then $G$ has a normal series $1 \unlhd H \unlhd K \unlhd G$ such that $K / H$ is a direct product of isomorphic nonabelian simple groups and $|G / K|||\operatorname{Out}(K / H)|$.

Given a natural number $n$, let $\mathrm{P}(n)$ denote the greatest prime factor of $n$, and let $n_{r}$, where $r$ is a prime, denote the $r$-part of $n$, i.e., the largest power of $r$ that divides $n$. For every integer $a$ coprime to $n$, let $\operatorname{Ord}_{n}(a)$ denote the smallest positive integer $e$ such that $a^{e} \equiv 1(\bmod n)$. If $s$ is a prime number, then we write $s^{k} \| n$, when $s^{k} \mid n$ but $s^{k+1} \nmid n$.

Using [25] we have the following result:
Lemma 3. If $n>2$ and $a>b>0$, then $n+1 \leq \mathrm{P}\left(a^{n}-b^{n}\right)$.
Lemma 4 ([18, Theorems 3.6$])$. Let $p$ be an odd prime, and let $a \neq \pm 1$ be an integer not divisible by $p$. Let d be the order of a modulo $p$. Let $k_{0}$ be the largest integer such that $a^{d} \equiv 1\left(\bmod p^{k_{0}}\right)$. Then the order of a modulo $p^{k}$ is $d$ for $k=1, \ldots, k_{0}$ and $d p^{k-k_{0}}$ for $k>k_{0}$.

## 2. THE MAIN RESULTS

Lemma 5. Let $M$ be a finite group such that $p||M|$. If there exists $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(\mathrm{G})$, where $\chi(1)=|M|_{p}$, then $O_{p}(M)=1$.

Proof. Let $|M|_{p}=p^{j}$. Assume on the contrary $L=O_{p}(M) \neq 1$ and $|L|=p^{i}$, where $1 \leq i \leq j$. If $\eta \in \operatorname{Irr}(\mathrm{L})$ such that $\left[\chi_{L}, \eta\right] \neq 0$, then by Lemma $1, p^{j} / \eta(1)$ is a divisor of $|M: L|_{p}=p^{j-i}$. Since $\eta(1)\left||L|\right.$, we get that $\eta(1)=p^{i}$. On the other hand, $\sum_{\nu \in \operatorname{Irr}(\mathrm{L})} \nu^{2}(1)=|L|$, which is a contradiction.

Lemma 6. Let $S$ be a finite nonabelian simple group and let $p_{0}=\mathrm{P}(|S|)$. If $G$ is an extension of $S^{m}$ by $S^{n}$, where $m+n \leq p_{0}$, then $G \cong S^{m+n}$.

Proof. We claim that $p_{0} \nmid|\mathrm{Out}(\mathrm{S})|$. Obviously, $p_{0} \geq 5$. If $S$ is an alternating group or a sporadic simple group, then by page $i x$ and Table 1 in [6], we get that $|\operatorname{Out}(S)| \leq 4$. Therefore we assume that $S$ is a simple group of Lie type over GF(q), where $q=p^{f}$. By the notations in [6, Page $x v$ and Table $5]$, $\mid$ Out(S) $\mid=d f g$, where $d, f$ and $g$ are the orders of the diagonal, field and graph automorphisms of $S$, respectively. Let $k$ be the largest integer such that $q^{k}-1$ is a divisor of $|S|$. By Lemma $3, f k+1 \leq \mathrm{P}\left(q^{k}-1\right)$.

Assume that $S \cong L_{l+1}(q)$, where $l \geq 2$. Then $k=l+1$. Hence

$$
\max \{d, f, g\}<l f+f+1 \leq \mathrm{P}\left(p^{(l+1) f}-1\right) \leq p_{0}
$$

Suppose that $S \cong U_{l+1}(q)$, where $l \geq 2$. we know that $f$ is an even number. If $l$ is an even number, then $k=l$. Thus

$$
\max \{d, f, g\}<l f+1 \leq \mathrm{P}\left(p^{l f}-1\right) \leq p_{0}
$$

If $l$ is an odd number, then $k=l+1$. Therefore

$$
\max \{d, f, g\}<l f+f+1 \leq \mathrm{P}\left(p^{(l+1) f}-1\right) \leq p_{0}
$$

Therefore $p_{0} \nmid|\operatorname{Out}(S)|$. For other cases, easily we can check that $p_{0}$ does not divide $|\operatorname{Out}(S)|$. Therefore the claim is proved.

By assumptions, there exists a normal subgroup $H_{m}$ of $G$, which is isomorphic to $S^{m}$. We know that $\operatorname{Out}\left(H_{m}\right) \cong \frac{\operatorname{Aut}\left(H_{m}\right)}{\operatorname{Inn}\left(H_{m}\right)}$ and $\operatorname{Inn}\left(H_{m}\right) \cong \frac{H_{m}}{Z\left(H_{m}\right)}$. Therefore $\left|\operatorname{Aut}\left(H_{m}\right)\right|=\left|\operatorname{Out}\left(H_{m}\right)\right| \frac{\left|H_{m}\right|}{\left|Z\left(H_{m}\right)\right|}$. Since $S$ is a non-abelian simple group and $H_{m} \cong S^{m}$, we have $Z\left(H_{m}\right)=1$ and $\left|\operatorname{Aut}\left(H_{m}\right)\right|=\left|\operatorname{Out}\left(H_{m}\right)\right|\left|H_{m}\right|$. On the other hand, by [8, Page 131], we have $\operatorname{Out}\left(H_{m}\right) \cong \operatorname{Out}(S)$ 乙 $S_{m}$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{G}{C_{G}\left(H_{m}\right)} \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}\left(H_{m}\right) & \left.\Longrightarrow\left|\frac{G}{C_{G}\left(H_{m}\right)}\right|\left|\left|\operatorname{Aut}\left(H_{m}\right)\right|=\left|\operatorname{Out}\left(H_{m}\right)\right|\right| H_{m} \right\rvert\, \\
& \left.\Longrightarrow \frac{|G|}{\left|C_{G}\left(H_{m}\right)\right|}\left|\left|\operatorname{Out}\left(S^{m}\right)\right|\right| S^{m}\left|=|\operatorname{Out}(S)|^{m} m!\right| S^{m} \right\rvert\,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\Longrightarrow \frac{\left|S^{m}\right|\left|S^{n}\right|}{\left|C_{G}\left(H_{m}\right)\right|}\left||\operatorname{Out}(S)|^{m} m!\right| S^{m} \right\rvert\, \\
& \Longrightarrow \frac{\left|S^{n}\right|}{\left|C_{G}\left(H_{m}\right)\right|}\left||\operatorname{Out}(S)|^{m} m!.\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $p_{0}>m, p_{0}| | S \mid$ and $p_{0} \nmid|\operatorname{Out}(S)|^{m} m$ !, we get that $p_{0}| | C_{G}\left(H_{m}\right) \mid$ and so $\left|C_{G}\left(H_{m}\right)\right| \neq 1$. As $S$ is a nonabelian simple group, $H_{m} \cap C_{G}\left(H_{m}\right)=1$ and it follows that $H_{m} C_{G}\left(H_{m}\right) \cong S^{m} \times C_{G}\left(H_{m}\right)$. Since $C_{G}\left(H_{m}\right) \cong H_{m} C_{G}\left(H_{m}\right) / H_{m} \unlhd$ $G / H_{m} \cong S^{n}$, we have $C_{G}\left(H_{m}\right) \cong S^{i}$, where $1 \leq i \leq n$.
Put $L=H_{m} C_{G}\left(H_{m}\right) \cong S^{m+i}$. Now we consider the possibilities for $n$ :

- If $n=1$, then $G \cong S^{m+1}$.
- If $n=2$, then we have two cases. If $C_{G}\left(H_{m}\right) \cong S^{2}$, then $G \cong S^{m+2}$. If $C_{G}\left(H_{m}\right) \cong S$, then we have $G / L \cong\left(G / H_{m}\right) /\left(H_{m} C_{G}\left(H_{m}\right) / H_{m}\right)$ so $G / S^{m+1} \cong$ $S$ and using the case $n=1$, we get that $G \cong S^{m+2}$.
- If $n=3$, then we have three cases. If $C_{G}\left(H_{m}\right) \cong S^{3}$, then $G \cong S^{m+3}$. If $C_{G}\left(H_{m}\right) \cong S^{2}$, then we have $G / L \cong\left(G / H_{m}\right) /\left(H_{m} C_{G}\left(H_{m}\right) / H_{m}\right)$ so $G / S^{m+2} \cong$ $S$ and using the case $n=1$, we get that $G \cong S^{m+3}$. If $C_{G}\left(H_{m}\right) \cong S$, then we have $G / L \cong\left(G / H_{m}\right) /\left(H_{m} C_{G}\left(H_{m}\right) / H_{m}\right)$ so $G / S^{m+1} \cong S^{2}$ and using the case $n=2$, we get that $G \cong S^{m+3}$.

By iterating this process we get that $G$ is isomorphic to $S^{m+n}$, where $m+n \leq p_{0}$.

Lemma 7. Let $G$ be a finite group. Then the following statements hold:
(a) If $|G|=2^{s} 3^{t} 5^{n}$, where $s+t<16 n / 5$, and $5^{n} \in \operatorname{cd}(G)$, then $G$ is not solvable;
(b) If $|G|=2^{r} 3^{s} 17^{n}$, where $2 r+s<256 n / 17$, and $17^{n} \in \operatorname{cd}(G)$, then $G$ is not solvable.

Proof. (a) On the contrary, let $G$ be a solvable group. Since $5^{n} \in \operatorname{cd}(G)$, by Lemma $5, O_{5}(G)=1$ and so $\operatorname{Fit}(G) \cong O_{2}(G) \times O_{3}(G) \neq 1$. Then $G / C_{G}(\operatorname{Fit}(G)) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{Fit}(G))$ and since $G$ is a solvable group, $C_{G}(\operatorname{Fit}(G)) \leq$ $\operatorname{Fit}(G)$. Hence $|G|||\operatorname{Fit}(G)| \cdot| \operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{Fit}(G)) \mid$. On the other hand, $\operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{Fit}(G)) \cong$ $\operatorname{Aut}\left(O_{2}(G) \times O_{3}(G)\right) \cong \operatorname{Aut}\left(O_{2}(G)\right) \times \operatorname{Aut}\left(O_{3}(G)\right)$. Also, according to [10, Section 1.3] we obtain that

$$
\left|\operatorname{Aut}\left(O_{2}(G)\right)\right|\left||\mathrm{GL}(s, 2)|=\left(2^{s}-1\right)\left(2^{s}-2\right) \cdots\left(2^{s}-2^{s-1}\right)\right.
$$

and

$$
\left|\operatorname{Aut}\left(O_{3}(G)\right)\right|\left||\operatorname{GL}(t, 3)|=\left(3^{t}-1\right)\left(3^{t}-3\right) \cdots\left(3^{t}-3^{t-1}\right)\right.
$$

Hence $|G|||\operatorname{Fit}(G)| \cdot| \mathrm{GL}(s, 2)|\cdot| \mathrm{GL}(t, 3)\left|=\left|O_{2}(G)\right| \cdot\right| O_{3}(G)|\cdot| \operatorname{GL}(s, 2) \mid$. $|\mathrm{GL}(t, 3)|$. Therefore $5^{n}| | \mathrm{GL}(s, 2)|\cdot| \mathrm{GL}(t, 3) \mid$. Consequently the power of 5 in $5^{n}$ is less than or equal to the power of 5 in $|\mathrm{GL}(s, 2)| \cdot|\mathrm{GL}(t, 3)|$.

First, we calculate the multiplicity of the prime 5 in the number
$|\mathrm{GL}(s, 2)|=\left(2^{s}-1\right)\left(2^{s}-2\right) \cdots\left(2^{s}-2^{s-1}\right)=2^{s(s-1 / 2)}\left(2^{s}-1\right)\left(2^{s-1}-1\right) \cdots(2-1)$.
We can start counting:

* The number of $\left(2^{l}-1\right)$, where $1 \leq l \leq s$ such that $5 \mid\left(2^{l}-1\right)$ is equal to the number of multiples of $\operatorname{Ord}_{5}(2)$ which are less than or equal to $s$, i.e. $\left[s / \operatorname{Ord}_{5}(2)\right]$.
* The number of $\left(2^{l}-1\right)$, where $1 \leq l \leq s$ such that $5^{2} \mid\left(2^{l}-1\right)$ is equal to the number of multiples of $\operatorname{Ord}_{5^{2}}(2)$ which are less than or equal to $s$, i.e. $\left[s / \operatorname{Ord}_{5^{2}}(2)\right]$.
* The number of $\left(2^{l}-1\right)$, where $1 \leq l \leq s$ such that $5^{m} \mid\left(2^{l}-1\right)$ is equal to the number of multiples of $\operatorname{Ord}_{5^{m}}(2)$ which are less than or equal to $s$, i.e. $\left[s / \operatorname{Ord}_{5^{m}}(2)\right]$.
Putting this all together, the multiplicity of the prime 5 in $|\mathrm{GL}(s, 2)|$ is

$$
\left[\frac{s}{\operatorname{Ord}_{5}(2)}\right]+\left[\frac{s}{\operatorname{Ord}_{5^{2}}(2)}\right]+\left[\frac{s}{\operatorname{Ord}_{5^{3}}(2)}\right]+\cdots
$$

Similarly, the multiplicity of the prime 5 in $|\mathrm{GL}(t, 3)|$ is

$$
\left[\frac{t}{\operatorname{Ord}_{5}(3)}\right]+\left[\frac{t}{\operatorname{Ord}_{5^{2}}(3)}\right]+\left[\frac{t}{\operatorname{Ord}_{5^{3}}(3)}\right]+\cdots
$$

By Lemma 4, we obtain that $\operatorname{Ord}_{5^{k}}(2)=\operatorname{Ord}_{5}(2) \cdot 5^{k-1}$ and $\operatorname{Ord}_{5^{k}}(3)=$ $\operatorname{Ord}_{5}(3) \cdot 5^{k-1}$, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
n & \leq\left[\frac{s}{\operatorname{Ord}_{5}(2)}\right]+\left[\frac{s}{\operatorname{Ord}_{5^{2}}(2)}\right]+\cdots+\left[\frac{t}{\operatorname{Ord}_{5}(3)}\right]+\left[\frac{t}{\operatorname{Ord}_{5^{2}}(3)}\right]+\cdots \\
& \leq\left[\frac{s}{4}\right]+\left[\frac{s}{20}\right]+\cdots+\left[\frac{t}{4}\right]+\left[\frac{t}{20}\right]+\cdots \leq \frac{s}{4}+\frac{s}{20}+\cdots+\frac{t}{4}+\frac{t}{20}+\cdots \\
& =\frac{s}{4}\left(1+1 / 5+1 / 5^{2}+\cdots\right)+\frac{t}{4}\left(1+1 / 5+1 / 5^{2}+\cdots\right) \leq \frac{s+t}{4} \cdot \frac{5}{4}<n,
\end{aligned}
$$

which is a contradiction.
(b) We know that $\operatorname{Ord}_{17}(2)=8, \operatorname{Ord}_{17}(3)=16$. Now using Lemma 4, we obtain that $\operatorname{Ord}_{17^{k}}(2)=\operatorname{Ord}_{17}(2) \cdot 17^{k-1}$ and $\operatorname{Ord}_{17^{k}}(3)=\operatorname{Ord}_{17}(3) \cdot 17^{k-1}$, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. So similarly to the above argument, we get the result.

Theorem 8. Let $G$ be a finite group and $\alpha \leq 5$. Then $G \cong A_{5}^{\alpha}$ if and only if $|G|=\left|A_{5}\right|^{\alpha}$ and $5^{\alpha} \in \operatorname{cd}(G)$.

Proof. We put $H_{0}=G$. By Lemma 7, it follows that $G$ is not solvable. According to Lemma 2, $G=H_{0}$ has a normal series $1 \unlhd H_{1} \unlhd K_{1} \unlhd H_{0}=G$ such that $K_{1} / H_{1}$ is a direct product of isomorphic nonabelian simple groups and $\left|H_{0} / K_{1}\right|\left|\left|\operatorname{Out}\left(K_{1} / H_{1}\right)\right|\right.$. If $H_{1}$ is not a solvable group, we can proceed
similarly to the above and get a normal series $1 \unlhd H_{2} \unlhd K_{2} \unlhd H_{1}$ such that $K_{2} / H_{2}$ is a nonabelian characteristically simple group and $\left|H_{1} / K_{2}\right|\left|\left|\operatorname{Out}\left(K_{2} / H_{2}\right)\right|\right.$. If $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ is not a solvable group, we continue this process and finally we have a subnormal series

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \unlhd H_{m} \unlhd K_{m} \unlhd H_{m-1} \unlhd K_{m-1} \cdots \unlhd H_{2} \unlhd K_{2} \unlhd H_{1} \unlhd K_{1} \unlhd H_{0}=G \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

of $G$ such that $m$ is the smallest number, where $H_{m}$ is solvable. Hence

$$
|G|=\prod_{i=1}^{m}\left|K_{i} / H_{i}\right| \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{m}\left|H_{i-1} / K_{i}\right| \cdot\left|H_{m}\right| .
$$

By assumptions, $\alpha \leq 5$ and $K_{i} / H_{i}$ is a direct product of $\alpha_{i}$ copies of a nonabelian simple group $S_{i}$ such that $\left|H_{i-1} / K_{i}\right|\left|\left|\operatorname{Out}\left(K_{i} / H_{i}\right)\right|\right.$. Also $\left|\operatorname{Out}\left(S_{i}{ }^{\alpha_{i}}\right)\right|=\left|\operatorname{Out}\left(S_{i}\right)\right|^{\alpha_{i}}\left(\alpha_{i}!\right)$ and $5=\mathrm{P}\left(\left|S_{i}\right|\right) \nmid\left|\operatorname{Out}\left(S_{i}\right)\right|$. Therefore we get that $5 \nmid \prod_{i=1}^{m}\left|H_{i-1} / K_{i}\right|$.

We show that $5 \nmid\left|H_{m}\right|$. Otherwise, if $5\left|\left|H_{m}\right|\right.$, let $5^{\beta} \|\left|H_{m}\right|$. Then $5^{\beta}$ is a divisor of

$$
t=\left|H_{m}\right| \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{m}\left|H_{i-1} / K_{i}\right|=\frac{|G|}{\prod_{i=1}^{m}\left|K_{i} / H_{i}\right|}
$$

On the other hand, $t$ is a divisor of $\left|A_{5}\right|^{\alpha} /\left|A_{5}\right|^{\gamma}$, where $5^{\gamma} \| \prod_{i=1}^{m}\left|K_{i} / H_{i}\right|$. Hence $\alpha=\beta+\gamma$. Therefore $\left|H_{m}\right|\left|\left|A_{5}\right|^{\beta}\right.$ and so $| H_{m} \mid=2^{\sigma} 3^{\tau} 5^{\beta}$, where $\sigma \leq 2 \beta, \tau \leq \beta$. Also, by successively applying Lemma 1 at every extension, we get that $5^{\beta} \in \operatorname{cd}\left(H_{m}\right)$ and by Lemma $7, H_{m}$ is not solvable, which is a contradiction. Thus $5 \nmid\left|H_{m}\right|$. Hence $5^{\alpha} \| \prod_{i=1}^{m}\left|K_{i} / H_{i}\right|$. Therefore

$$
|G|_{5}=\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m}\left|K_{i} / H_{i}\right| \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{m}\left|H_{i-1} / K_{i}\right| \cdot\left|H_{m}\right|\right)_{5}=\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m}\left|K_{i} / H_{i}\right|\right)_{5}
$$

Since $G$ is a $\{2,3,5\}$-group, $S_{i}$ is a $\{2,3,5\}$-group. If there exists $i$ such that $S_{i} \not \not A_{5}$, then $|G|_{2}<\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m}\left|K_{i} / H_{i}\right|\right)_{2}$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $K_{i} / H_{i} \cong A_{5}^{\alpha_{i}}$. Now we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|G|=\prod_{i=1}^{m}\left|A_{5}\right|^{\alpha_{i}} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{m}\left|H_{i-1} / K_{i}\right| \cdot\left|H_{m}\right| . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By eliminating $\left|A_{5}\right|$ from both sides of (2), we have

$$
\left|A_{5}\right|^{\alpha-1}=\left|A_{5}\right|^{\alpha_{j}-1} \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{m}\left|A_{5}\right|^{\alpha_{i}} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{m}\left|H_{i-1} / K_{i}\right| \cdot\left|H_{m}\right| .
$$

Since $|G|_{5}=\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m}\left|K_{i} / H_{i}\right|\right)_{5}$, by iterating this process, we get that

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{m}\left|H_{i-1} / K_{i}\right| \cdot\left|H_{m}\right|=1
$$

We get that $H_{m}=1$, and for each $1 \leq i \leq m, H_{i-1}=K_{i}$ and $K_{i} / H_{i} \cong A_{5}^{\alpha_{i}}$, where $\alpha_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{m}=\alpha$. Therefore

$$
1=H_{m} \unlhd H_{m-1} \unlhd H_{m-2} \cdots \unlhd H_{2} \unlhd H_{1} \unlhd H_{0}=G
$$

such that $K_{i}=H_{i-1}$ and $H_{i-1} / H_{i} \cong A_{5}^{\alpha_{i}}$. Applying Lemma 6, we obtain that $H_{m-1} \cong A_{5}^{\alpha_{m}}, H_{m-2} \cong A_{5}^{\alpha_{m}+\alpha_{m-1}}$ and finally $G \cong A_{5}^{\alpha_{m}+\alpha_{m-1}+\cdots+\alpha_{1}}=$ $A_{5}^{\alpha}$.

Similarly to the above, we have the following theorem and for convenience we omit the proof.

Theorem 9. Let $G$ be a finite group and $\alpha \leq 17$. Then $G \cong L_{2}(17)^{\alpha}$ if and only if $|G|=\left|L_{2}(17)\right|^{\alpha}$ and $17^{\alpha} \in \operatorname{cd}(G)$.

Remark 10. In [24, Theorem A], Xu et al. proved that $L_{2}(7)$ is characterizable by $|G|$ and $7 \in \operatorname{cd}(G)$.

Using the notations of GAP [9], if $A=\operatorname{SmallGroup}(56,11)$ and $H=$ $A^{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{9}$, then $|H|=\left|L_{2}(7)\right|^{2}$ and $H$ has an irreducible character of degree $7^{2}$. Therefore $L_{2}(7)^{\alpha}$, where $\alpha \geq 2$, is not characterizable by $|G|$ and $7^{\alpha} \in \operatorname{cd}(G)$.

If $C=\operatorname{SmallGroup}\left(3^{3} 13,11\right)$ and $H=C \times \mathbb{Z}_{16}$, then $|H|=\left|L_{3}(3)\right|$ and $H$ has an irreducible character of degree 13 . Thus $L_{3}(3)^{\alpha}$, where $\alpha \geq 1$, is not characterizable by $|G|$ and $13^{\alpha} \in \operatorname{cd}(G)$.

So we need more assumptions to characterize $L_{2}(7)^{\alpha}$, where $2 \leq \alpha \leq 7$ and $L_{3}(3)^{\alpha}$, where $\alpha \leq 13$.

Lemma 11. Let $G$ be a finite group. Then the following statements hold:
(a) If $|G|=2^{r} 3^{s} 7^{n}$, where $s<36 n / 7$, and $2^{r}, 7^{n} \in \operatorname{cd}(G)$, then $G$ is not solvable;
(b) If $|G|=2^{r} 3^{s} 13^{n}$, where $r<144 n / 13$, and $3^{s}, 13^{n} \in \operatorname{cd}(G)$, then $G$ is not solvable.

Proof. (a) Let $G$ be a solvable group. By Lemma 5, $O_{2}(G)=1$ and $O_{7}(G)=1$. Therefore $\operatorname{Fit}(G) \cong O_{3}(G) \neq 1$. Since $G$ is a solvable group, $C_{G}(\operatorname{Fit}(G)) \leq \operatorname{Fit}(G)$. Hence $|G|$ divides $|\operatorname{Fit}(G)| \cdot|\operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{Fit}(G))|$. So $7^{n} \mid$ $|\mathrm{GL}(t, 3)|$ and by Lemma $4, \operatorname{Ord}_{7^{k}}(3)=\operatorname{Ord}_{7}(3) \cdot 7^{k-1}$. Hence

$$
n \leq\left[\frac{s}{\operatorname{Ord}_{7}(3)}\right]+\left[\frac{s}{\operatorname{Ord}_{7^{2}}(3)}\right]+\cdots \leq \frac{s}{6}\left(1+1 / 7+1 / 7^{2}+\cdots\right) \leq \frac{s}{6} \cdot \frac{7}{6}<n
$$

which is a contradiction.
(b) Similarly to the above, the result holds.

Theorem 12. Let $G$ be a finite group and $\alpha \leq 7$. Then $G \cong L_{2}(7)^{\alpha}$ if and only if $|G|=\left|L_{2}(7)\right|^{\alpha}$ and $2^{3 \alpha}, 7^{\alpha} \in \operatorname{cd}(G)$.

Proof. By Lemma 11, it follows that $G$ is not solvable. So, similarly to the proof of Theorem 8, we get that a subnormal series, like (1), where $K_{i} / H_{i}$ is a direct product of $\alpha_{i}$ copies of a nonabelian simple group $S_{i}$. Also $\left|H_{i-1} / K_{i}\right|\left|\left|\operatorname{Out}\left(K_{i} / H_{i}\right)\right|\right.$ and $m$ is the smallest number where $H_{m}$ is solvable. We show that $7 \nmid\left|H_{m}\right|$. On the contrary, let $7^{\beta} \|\left|H_{m}\right|$. Then $7^{\beta}$ is a divisor of

$$
t=\left|H_{m}\right| \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{m}\left|H_{i-1} / K_{i}\right|=\frac{|G|}{\prod_{i=1}^{m}\left|K_{i} / H_{i}\right|}
$$

On the other hand, $t$ is a divisor of $\left|L_{2}(7)\right|^{\alpha} /\left|L_{2}(7)\right|^{\gamma}$, where $7^{\gamma} \| \prod_{i=1}^{m}\left|K_{i} / H_{i}\right|$. By Lemma $1,7^{\beta} \in \operatorname{cd}\left(H_{m}\right)$ and using Lemma 11, $H_{m}$ is not solvable which is a contradiction. Thus $7 \nmid\left|H_{m}\right|$. We conclude that $7^{\alpha} \| \prod_{i=1}^{m}\left|K_{i} / H_{i}\right|$. As $K_{i} / H_{i} \cong S_{i}^{\alpha_{i}}$, where $S_{i}$ is a nonabelian simple $\{2,3,7\}$-group, it must hold that $H_{m}=1$ and for each $i, H_{i-1}=K_{i}$. We obtain that $|G|=\prod_{i=1}^{m}\left|K_{i} / H_{i}\right|$, therefore for each $i, K_{i} / H_{i} \cong L_{2}(7)^{\alpha_{i}}$, where $\alpha_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{m}=\alpha$. Applying Lemma 6 , we get that $G \cong L_{2}(7)^{\alpha}$.

Remark 13. Theorems 8, 9 and 12 are generalizations of Theorem 2.4 in [12], for special cases $p=5,7$ and 17 .

Similarly to the above theorem we have the following theorem:
Theorem 14. Let $G$ be a finite group and $\alpha \leq 13$. Then $G \cong L_{3}(3)^{\alpha}$ if and only if $|G|=\left|L_{3}(3)\right|^{\alpha}$ and $3^{3 \alpha}, 13^{\alpha} \in \operatorname{cd}(G)$.

As a consequence of the above theorems, by [3, Theorem 2.13] we have the following result which is a partial answer to the question arose in [20].

Corollary 15. Let $M \in\left\{A_{5}^{n} \mid n \leq 5\right\} \cup\left\{L_{2}(7)^{n} \mid n \leq 7\right\} \cup\left\{L_{3}(3)^{n} \mid n \leq\right.$ $13 \cup\left\{L_{2}(17)^{n} \mid n \leq 17\right\}$. If $G$ is a group such that $\mathbb{C} G \cong \mathbb{C} M$, then $G \cong M$. Thus $M$ is uniquely determined by the structure of its complex group algebra.
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