# HETEROCLINIC CYCLES IN ODES WITH THE SYMMETRY OF THE QUATERNION $\mathbf{Q}_8$ GROUP

## ADRIAN C. MURZA

Communicated by Horian Cornean

In this paper, we analyze the heteroclinic cycle and the Hopf bifurcation of a generic dynamical system with the symmetry of the group  $\mathbf{Q}_8$ , constructed *via* a Cayley graph. While the Hopf bifurcation is similar to that of a  $\mathbf{D}_8$ -equivariant system, our main result comes from analyzing the system under weak coupling. We identify the conditions for heteroclinic cycle between three equilibria in the three-dimensional fixed point subspace of a certain isotropy subgroup of  $\mathbf{Q}_8 \times \mathbf{S}^1$ . We also analyze the stability of the heteroclinic cycle.

AMS 2020 Subject Classification: 37C80, 37G40, 34C15, 34D06, 34C15.

Key words: equivariant dynamical system, Cayley graph,  $\mathbf{Q}_8$  quaternions, heteroclinic cycle.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Heteroclinic cycles in systems with symmetry have been widely studied over the large decades [1,7,11–14]. During the last couple of years a special interest has received the existence of heteroclinic cycles in systems related with quaternionic symmetry, see for example the works of X. Zhang [15] and O. Podvigina [12,13]. This is basically due to two facts. On the one hand, quaternions are involved in the study of heteroclinic cycles in ODEs with symmetry in a natural way, owing to the easy representation of the dynamics in  $\mathbb{R}^4$  in terms of quaternions. Many of the dynamical systems giving rise to heteroclinic cycles studied so far are  $\mathbf{D}_n$ -equivariant; the action of  $\mathbf{D}_n$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is absolutely irreducible, so  $\mathbb{R}^4$  is  $\mathbf{D}_n$ -simple. Therefore, quaternionic representations in  $\mathbb{R}^4$ turned out to be very useful. On the other hand, there is the intrinsic interest in the differential equations where the variables are the quaternions. We relate the study of heteroclinic cycles with the dynamics of networks of n coupled oscillators with symmetry. Ashwin and Swift [2] showed that the symmetry group of the network can be considered a subgroup of  $\mathbf{S}_n$ , as long as the oscillators taken individually have no internal symmetries. Besides these two main reasons, there are also other ones that stimulate the analysis of dynamical systems with the quaternionic symmetry, and these are related to applications to other sciences. For example, we can cite the heteroclinic phenomena observed in systems with quaternionic symmetry such as nematic liquid crystals [3], particle physics [5] and improving computational efficiency [6]; however, these heteroclinic behaviors in such systems have never encountered a theoretical explanation. This is one of our major motivation, together with the intrinsic value of the mathematical theory developed around this subject.

An important step in designing oscillatory networks with the symmetry of a specific group has been developed by Stork [14]. The authors have shown how to construct an oscillatory network with certain designed symmetry, by the Cayley graph of the symmetry group.

In this paper, we analyze the heteroclinic cycles and Hopf bifurcation in ODEs with the symmetry of the quaternionic group  $\mathbf{Q}_8$  of order 16. We use the methodology developed by Ashwin and Stork [1] to construct a network of differential systems with  $\mathbf{Q}_8$  symmetry. We investigate the dynamical behavior of the system under the weak coupling. In this case, we reduce the asymptotic dynamics to a flow on a sixteen-dimensional torus  $\mathbf{T}^{16}$ . We prove the existence of heteroclinic cycles between the three steady states existing within a three-dimensional fixed point subspace of one of the isotropy subgroups of  $\mathbf{Q}_8 \times \mathbf{S}^1$ , namely  $\mathbf{Z}_2$ . We also classify the stability of heteroclinic cycles.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we construct the most general oscillatory system with the  $\mathbf{Q}_8$  symmetry by using the Cayley graph of this group. In Section 3, we analyze the Hopf bifurcation of the constructed array. In Section 4, we prove the existence of heteroclinic cycles in some of the subspaces which are invariant under the action of certain isotropy subgroups of  $\mathbf{Q}_8$ . We also analyze their stability.

### 2. THE CAYLEY GRAPH OF THE $Q_8$ GROUP

In this section, we construct an oscillatory system with the  $\mathbf{Q}_8$  symmetry and describe the elements of this group, as the relationships between them. For more details about the use of the Cayley graph in constructing the network with the prescribed symmetry see [14] or [11]. The Cayley graphs for  $\mathbf{Q}_8$  is shown in Fig. 1.

The action of the group  $\mathbf{Q}_8$  on the cells can be written as:

Id  $a = (1 \ 2 \ 3 \ 4 \ 9 \ 10 \ 11 \ 12)(5 \ 16 \ 15 \ 14 \ 13 \ 8 \ 7 \ 6)$   $b = (1 \ 5 \ 9 \ 13)(2 \ 6 \ 10 \ 14)(3 \ 7 \ 11 \ 15)(4 \ 8 \ 12 \ 16)$   $ab = (1 \ 16 \ 9 \ 8)(2 \ 5 \ 10 \ 13)(3 \ 6 \ 11 \ 14)(4 \ 7 \ 12 \ 15)$ 

$$b^{2} = (1 \ 9)(2 \ 10)(2 \ 11)(4 \ 12)(5 \ 13)(6 \ 14)(7 \ 15)(8 \ 16)$$

$$a^{2} = (1 \ 3 \ 9 \ 11)(2 \ 4 \ 10 \ 12)(5 \ 15 \ 13 \ 7)(6 \ 16 \ 14 \ 8)$$

$$a^{3} = (1 \ 4 \ 11 \ 2 \ 9 \ 12 \ 3 \ 10)(5 \ 14 \ 7 \ 16 \ 13 \ 6 \ 15 \ 8)$$

$$ab^{2} = (1 \ 10 \ 3 \ 12 \ 9 \ 2 \ 11 \ 4)(5 \ 8 \ 15 \ 6 \ 13 \ 16 \ 7 \ 4)$$

$$a^{2}b^{2} = (1 \ 11 \ 9 \ 3)(2 \ 12 \ 10 \ 4)(5 \ 7 \ 13 \ 15)(6 \ 8 \ 14 \ 16)$$

$$a^{3}b^{2} = (1 \ 12 \ 11 \ 10 \ 9 \ 4 \ 3 \ 2)(5 \ 6 \ 7 \ 8 \ 13 \ 14 \ 15 \ 16)$$

$$ba^{2} = (1 \ 6 \ 9 \ 14)(2 \ 7 \ 10 \ 15)(3 \ 8 \ 11 \ 16)(4 \ 13 \ 12 \ 15)$$

$$ba^{2} = (1 \ 7 \ 9 \ 15)(2 \ 8 \ 10 \ 16)(3 \ 13 \ 11 \ 5)(4 \ 14 \ 12 \ 16)$$

$$b^{3} = (1 \ 13 \ 9 \ 5)(2 \ 14)(3 \ 15 \ 11 \ 7)(4 \ 16 \ 12 \ 8)(6 \ 10)$$

$$ab^{3} = (1 \ 8 \ 9 \ 16)(2 \ 13 \ 10 \ 5)(3 \ 4 \ 15 \ 14)(6 \ 11 \ 12 \ 7)$$

$$a^{3}b = (1 \ 14 \ 9 \ 6)(2 \ 15 \ 10 \ 7)(3 \ 16 \ 11 \ 8)(4 \ 5 \ 12 \ 13)$$

$$a^{2}b = (1 \ 15 \ 9 \ 7)(2 \ 16 \ 10 \ 8)(3 \ 5 \ 11 \ 13)(4 \ 6 \ 12 \ 14)$$

with the relationship between them

(1)

(2) 
$$a^8 = Id, \quad a^4 = b^2 = abab, \quad aba = b$$



Fig. 1 – A Cayley graph of the  $\mathbf{Q}_8$  group. Solid arrows represent left-multiplication with a, dot-and-dashed arrows left multiplication with b, the two generators of this group.

If we assign coupling g between cells related by a and coupling h between cells related by b, from the permutations in (1), we can build the following pairwise system in with the  $\mathbf{Q}_8$  symmetry.

(3)  
$$\dot{x}_1 = f(x_1) + g(x_{12}, x_1) + h(x_5, x_9),$$
  $\dot{x}_2 = f(x_2) + g(x_1, x_2) + h(x_9, x_{13}),$ 

$\dot{x}_3 = f(x_3) + g(x_2, x_3) + h(x_{13}, x_1),$	$\dot{x}_4 = f(x_4) + g(x_3, x_4) + h(x_1, x_5),$
$\dot{x}_5 = f(x_5) + g(x_4, x_9) + h(x_2, x_6),$	$\dot{x}_6 = f(x_6) + g(x_9, x_{10}) + h(x_6, x_{10}),$
$\dot{x}_7 = f(x_7) + g(x_{10}, x_{11}) + h(x_{10}, x_{14}),$	$\dot{x}_8 = f(x_8) + g(x_{11}, x_{12}) + h(x_{14}, x_2),$
$\dot{x}_9 = f(x_9) + g(x_6, x_5) + h(x_3, x_7),$	$\dot{x}_{10} = f(x_{10}) + g(x_5, x_{16}) + h(x_7, x_{11}),$
$\dot{x}_{11} = f(x_{11}) + g(x_{16}, x_{15}) + h(x_{11}, x_{15}),$	$\dot{x}_{12} = f(x_{12}) + g(x_{15}, x_{14}) + h(x_{15}, x_3),$
$\dot{x}_{13} = f(x_{13}) + g(x_{14}, x_{13}) + h(x_4, x_8),$	$\dot{x}_{14} = f(x_{14}) + g(x_{13}, x_8) + h(x_8, x_{12}),$
$\dot{x}_{15} = f(x_{15}) + g(x_8, x_7) + h(x_{12}, x_{16}),$	$\dot{x}_{16} = f(x_{16}) + g(x_7, x_6) + h(x_{16}, x_4),$

where  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  and  $g, h : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ . As shown by Ashwin and Stork [1] we can think of f, g, h as being generic functions that assure that the isotropy of this vector field under the action of  $\mathbf{O}_{16}$  is generically  $\mathbf{Q}_8$ .

#### 3. HOPF BIFURCATION

In order to consider generic one-parameter Hopf bifurcation in systems with  $\mathbf{Q}_8$ , we need to analyze the complex irreducible representations of  $\mathbf{Q}_8$ . Based on the work of Golubitsky and Stewart [8], these representations are of one or two dimensions. From their theory, we have that the linear representation of a group  $\Gamma$ 

$$\alpha_{\Gamma}: \Gamma \times W \to W$$

on the complex vector space W is irreducible if and only if  $\Gamma$ -invariant subspaces are trivial; it is to say,  $\{0\}$  or W itself. It is important to notice, that (a) there need be no faithful irreducible representations, and (b) this is typical. In addition, the amount by which the representation fails to be faithful is the kernel of the action  $\alpha_{\Gamma}$ .

The group  $\mathbf{Q}_8$  has five irreducible representations; four of them are onedimensional and the remaining one is two-dimensional. The one-dimensional representations can be interpreted as Hopf bifurcation with trivial or  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  symmetry, which correspond to a quotient group of  $\mathbf{Q}_8$ .

From [9] the two generators of  $\mathbf{Q}_8$  are

(4) 
$$a = \begin{pmatrix} \omega & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{\omega} \end{pmatrix}, \quad b = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\omega = \exp\left(\frac{\pi i}{4}\right)$ .

Therefore the standard irreducible action of  $\mathbf{Q}_8$  on  $\mathbb{C}^2$  is given by

(5) 
$$a(z_+, z_-) = \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(1+i)z_+, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(1-i)z_-\right)$$
$$b(z_+, z_-) = (-z_-, z_+)$$

 $\begin{array}{c} {\rm TABLE \ 1} \\ {\rm Table \ that \ relates \ the \ isotropies \ of \ points \ in \ } \mathbb{C}^2 \\ {\rm for \ identical \ actions \ of \ } \mathbf{Q}_8 \times \mathbf{S}^1 \ {\rm and \ } \mathbf{D}_8 \times \mathbf{S}^1 \end{array}$ 

Isotropy in $\mathbf{Q}_8 \times \mathbf{S}^1$	Isotropy in $\mathbf{D}_8 \times \mathbf{S}^1$	Fix	$dim_{\mathbb{C}}Fix$	Name $(\mathbf{D}_8)$
${f Q}_8 imes {f S}^1$	$\mathbf{D}_8 imes \mathbf{S}^1$	(0,0)	0	Trivial solution
$ ilde{\mathbf{Z}}_8^a$	$ ilde{\mathbf{Z}}_8( ho)$	(z, 0)	1	Rotating Wave
$ ilde{\mathbf{Z}}_8^b$	$ ilde{\mathbf{Z}}_2( ho^2) imes  ilde{\mathbf{Z}}_2(\kappa)$	(z,z)	1	Edge Solution
$ ilde{\mathbf{Z}}_8^c$	$ ilde{\mathbf{Z}}_2( ho^2) imes  ilde{\mathbf{Z}}_2( ho\kappa)$	(z,iz)	1	Vertex Oscillation
$ ilde{\mathbf{Z}}_2$	$\tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_2(\rho^2)$	(w,z)	2	Submaximal

and there is a phase shift action of  $\mathbf{S}^1$  given by

$$R_{\phi}(z_{+}, z_{-}) = (e^{i\phi}z_{+}, e^{i\phi}z_{-}),$$

for  $\phi \in \mathbf{S}^1$ . The action of  $\mathbf{Q}_8 \times \mathbf{S}^1$  is similar to the action of  $\mathbf{D}_8 \times \mathbf{S}^1$ . This action is generated by

(6) 
$$\kappa(z_+, z_-) = (z_-, z_+), \qquad \rho(z_+, z_-) = (iz_+, -iz_-),$$

where  $\rho^4 = \kappa^2 = 1$  and  $\rho\kappa = \kappa\rho^3$ . In our case of the group  $\mathbf{Q}_8$  we have  $a^8 = b^4 = 1, a^4 = b^2$  and aba = b. The kernel of this action in the 2-cycle in  $\mathbf{D}_8 \times \mathbf{S}^1$  is generated by  $(\rho^2, \pi)$ , while the kernel of the action of  $\mathbf{Q}_8 \times \mathbf{S}^1$  is generated by  $(a^4 = b^2 = 1, \pi)$ . Therefore, it is possible to check that

 $\mathbf{Q}_8\times\mathbf{S}^1/ker\alpha_{\mathbf{Q}_8\times\mathbf{S}^1}\equiv\mathbf{Q}_8\times\mathbf{S}^1/ker\alpha_{\mathbf{D}_8\times\mathbf{S}^1}.$ 

This means that we use the results obtained in [8] for Hopf bifurcation in systems with  $\mathbf{D}_8$  symmetry, with a re-interpretation of the branches.

PROPOSITION 1. There are exactly three branches of periodic solutions that bifurcate from (0,0), corresponding to the isotropy subgroups  $\mathbf{D}_8 \times \mathbf{S}^1$  with two-dimensional fixed-point subspaces.

*Proof.* The proof is a direct application to the group  $\mathbf{D}_8$  of Theorem 4.2 of [4]. Therefore, there are exactly three branches of periodic solutions occurring generically in Hopf bifurcation with  $\mathbf{D}_8$  symmetry.  $\Box$ 

From Proposition 2.1, page 372 in [8] we have that every smooth  $\mathbf{D}_8 \times \mathbf{S}^1$ equivariant map germ  $g: \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}^2$  has the form

(7) 
$$g(z_1, z_2) = A \begin{bmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{bmatrix} + B \begin{bmatrix} z_1^2 \bar{z}_1 \\ z_2^2 \bar{z}_2 \end{bmatrix} + C \begin{bmatrix} \bar{z}_1^3 z_2^4 \\ z_1^4 \bar{z}_2^3 \end{bmatrix} + D \begin{bmatrix} z_1^5 \bar{z}_2^4 \\ \bar{z}_1^4 z_2^5 \end{bmatrix},$$

where A, B, C, D are complex-valued  $\mathbf{D}_8 \times \mathbf{S}^1$ -invariant functions. The branching equations for  $\mathbf{D}_8$ -equivariant Hopf bifurcation may be rewritten  $g(z_1, z_2) = 0$ . These branching equations are shown in Table 2.

Orbit type	Branching Equations	Signs of Eigenvalues
(0, 0)	-	$\operatorname{Re}A(0,\lambda)$
(a, 0)	$A + Ba^2 = 0$	$\operatorname{Re}(A_N + B) + O(a)$
		$-\operatorname{Re}(B)$ [twice]
(a,a)	$A + Ba^2 + Ca^0 + Da^8 = 0$	$\operatorname{Re}(2A_N+B)+O(a)$
		$\begin{cases} \text{trace} = \operatorname{Re}(B) + O(a) \\ \overline{a} \\ \overline{b} \\ \overline{b}$
		$\int \det = -\operatorname{Re}(BC) + O(a)$
$(a, e^{\pi i/4}a)$	$A + Ba^2 - Ca^\circ - Da^\circ = 0$	$\operatorname{Re}(2A_N+B)+O(a)$
		$\begin{cases} \text{trace} = \text{Re}(B) + O(a) \\ \text{Re}(\overline{B},\overline{a}) + O(a) \end{cases}$
		$\int \det = \operatorname{Re}(BC) + O(a)$

TABLE 2 Branching Equations for  $\mathbf{D}_8$  Hopf Bifurcation

## 3.1. BIFURCATING BRANCHES

We now use the information in Table 2 to derive the bifurcation diagrams describing the generic  $\mathbf{D}_8$ -equivariant Hopf bifurcation. Assume

(8) (a) 
$$\operatorname{Re}(A_N + B) \neq 0$$
, (b)  $\operatorname{Re}(B) \neq 0$ , (c)  $\operatorname{Re}(2A_N + B) \neq 0$ ,  
(d)  $\operatorname{Re}(B\bar{C}) \neq 0$ , (c)  $\operatorname{Re}(A_\lambda) \neq 0$ ,

where each term is evaluated at the origin.

Assuming nondegeneracy conditions (8) and the trivial branch is stable subcritically and loses stability as bifurcation parameter  $\lambda$  passes through 0. We summarize these facts into the next theorem.

THEOREM 1. The following statements hold.

- (a) The  $\tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_8$  branch is super- or subcritical according to whether  $\operatorname{Re}(A_N(0) + B(0))$  is positive or negative. It is stable if  $\operatorname{Re}(A_N(0) + B(0)) > 0$ ,  $\operatorname{Re}(B(0)) < 0$ .
- (b) The  $\mathbf{Z}_2(\kappa)[\oplus \mathbf{Z}_2^c]$  is super- or subcritical according to wether  $\operatorname{Re}(2A_N(0) + B(0))$  is positive or negative. It is stable if  $\operatorname{Re}(2A_N(0) + B(0)) > 0$ ,  $\operatorname{Re}(B(0)) > 0$  and  $\operatorname{Re}(2B(0)\overline{C}(0)) < 0$ .
- (c) The  $\mathbf{Z}_2(\kappa, \pi)[\oplus \mathbf{Z}_2^c]$  or  $\mathbf{Z}_2(\kappa, \xi) \oplus \mathbf{Z}_2^c$  branch is super- or subcritical according to wether  $\operatorname{Re}(2A_N(0) + B(0))$  is positive or negative. It is stable if  $\operatorname{Re}(2A_N(0) + B(0)) > 0$ ,  $\operatorname{Re}(B(0)) > 0$  and  $\operatorname{Re}(2B(0)\overline{C}(0)) < 0$ .

*Proof.* The proof is a direct application to the case  $\mathbf{D}_8$  of the Theorem 3.1 page 382 in [8].  $\Box$ 

## 4. WEAK COUPLING

The idea of studying ODEs in the weak coupling limit was introduced by Ashwin and Swift [2]. This situation can be understood as follows. In the no coupling case there is an attracting *n*-dimensional torus with one angle for every oscillator. The situation is completely different to the Hopf bifurcation. Instead of examining small amplitude oscillations near a Hopf bifurcation point, we make a weak coupling approximation. There is a slow evolution of the phase differences in the weak coupling. Another improvement with respect to the Hopf bifurcation is that while the Hopf bifurcation theory gives local information, the weak coupling case yields global results on the *n*-dimensional torus.

System (3) can be rewritten under weak coupling case as an ODE of the form:

(9) 
$$\dot{x}_i = f(x_i) + \epsilon g_i(x_1, \dots, x_{16})$$

for i = 1, ..., 16,  $x_i \in \mathcal{V}$  and commuting with the permutation action of  $\mathbf{Q}_8$ on  $\mathcal{V}^{16}$ , both f and  $g_i$  being of the class  $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$ . The constant  $\epsilon$  represents the coupling strength and we have  $\epsilon \ll 1$ . As in [2] or [1], we may assume  $\dot{x} = f(x)$ has a hyperbolic stable limit cycle.

It follows that if the coupling is weak, we should not just take into account the irreducible representations of  $\mathbf{Q}_8$ . Since there are 16 stable hyperbolic limit cycles in the limit of  $\epsilon = 0$ , it means that the asymptotic dynamics of the system factors into the asymptotic dynamics of 16 limit cycles. We assume that each limit cycle taken individually is hyperbolic for small enough values of the coupling parameter. This justifies expressing the dynamics of the system only in terms of phases, *i.e.* an ODE on  $\mathbf{T}^{16}$  which is  $\mathbf{Q}_8$ -equivariant.

When considering the weakly coupled system we can average it over the phases [14]. This is the same as introducing a phase shift symmetry by translation along the diagonal;

$$R_{\theta}(\phi_1,\ldots,\phi_{16}):=(\phi_1+\theta,\ldots,\phi_{16}+\theta),$$

for  $\theta \in \mathbf{S}^1$ .

We obtained an ODE on that is equivariant under the action of  $\mathbf{Q}_8 \times \mathbf{S}^1$ , and we have to classify the isotropy types of points under this action. This is done in Table 3. Since now on, our interest focuses in the three-dimensional space Fix( $\mathbf{Z}_2$ ); it does not contain two-dimensional fixed-point subspaces. In turn, it contains several one- and zero-dimensional subspaces fixed by the isotropy subgroups  $\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_8^i, \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_8^i$  and  $\mathbf{Z}_8^i$ , respectively, where  $i = \{a, b, ab, a/4, b/4\}$  as in Table 3. These symmetries are not in  $\mathbf{Z}_2$ ; however, they are in the normalizer of  $\mathbf{Z}_2$ .

ŝ	
ਸ਼੍ਰ	
BI	-
TA	
H	

Isotropy subgroups and fixed point subspaces for the  $\mathbf{Q}_8\times\mathbf{S}^1$  action on  $\mathbf{T}^{16}$ 

	Į	1	į
Σ	$\operatorname{Fix}(\Sigma)$	Generators	dim Fix $(\Sigma)$
$\mathbf{Q}_8$	(0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0	(a,0),(b,0)	0
$ ilde{\mathbf{Q}}^a_8$	$(0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,\pi,\pi,\pi,\pi,\pi,\pi)$	$(b,\pi),(ab,\pi)$	0
$ ilde{\mathbf{Q}}^{b}_{8}$	$(0, \pi, 0, \pi)$	$(a,\pi),(ab,\pi)$	0
$ ilde{\mathbf{Q}}_{8}^{ab}$	$(0, \pi, 0, \pi, 0)$	$(a,\pi),(b,\pi)$	0
$\mathbf{Z}_8^a$	$(0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,\phi,\phi,\phi,\phi,\phi,\phi)$	(a,0)	1
$\mathbf{Z}_8^b$	$(0, \phi, 0, \phi)$	(b,0)	1
$\mathbf{Z}_8^{ab}$	$(0, \phi, 0, 0, \phi, 0)$	(ab, 0)	1
$ ilde{\mathbf{Z}}_{8}^{a}$	$(0,\pi,0,\pi,0,\pi,0,\pi,\phi,\phi+\pi,\phi,\phi+\pi,\phi,\phi+\pi,\phi,\phi+\pi)$	$(a,\pi)$	1
$ ilde{\mathbf{Z}}^{b}_{8}$	$(0, \phi, 0, \phi, 0, \phi, 0, \phi, \phi + \pi, \phi, \phi + \pi, \phi, \phi + \pi, \phi, \phi + \pi, \pi)$	$(b,\pi)$	1
$ ilde{\mathbf{Z}}_{8}^{ab}$	$(0, \phi, 0, \phi, 0, \phi, 0, \phi + \pi, \phi, \phi + \pi, \phi, \phi + \pi, \phi, \phi + \pi, \pi, \phi + \pi)$	$(ab,\pi)$	1
$ ilde{\mathbf{Z}}_{8}^{a/4}$	$(0, \frac{7\pi}{4}, \frac{3\pi}{2}, \frac{5\pi}{4}, \pi, \frac{3\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}, \phi, \phi + \frac{\pi}{4}, \phi + \frac{\pi}{2}, \phi + \frac{3\pi}{4}, \phi + \pi, \phi + \frac{5\pi}{4}, \phi + \frac{3\pi}{2}, \phi + \frac{7\pi}{4})$	$(a, rac{\pi}{4})$	1
$ ilde{\mathbf{Z}}_{8}^{b/4}$	$(0, \phi, \pi, \phi + \pi, \phi + \frac{7\pi}{4}, \frac{7\pi}{4}, \phi + \frac{3\pi}{2}, \frac{3\pi}{2}, \phi + \frac{5\pi}{4}, \phi, \phi + \frac{3\pi}{4}, \phi, \phi + \frac{\pi}{2}, \phi, \phi + \frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{4})$	$(b,rac{\pi}{4})$	1
$ ilde{\mathbf{Z}}_{8}^{b/4}$	$(0,\phi,\pi,\phi+\pi,\frac{\pi}{4},\phi+\frac{\pi}{4},\frac{\pi}{2},\phi+\frac{\pi}{2},\frac{3\pi}{4},\phi+\frac{3\pi}{4},\frac{3\pi}{2},\phi+\frac{3\pi}{2},\frac{5\pi}{4},\phi+\frac{5\pi}{4},\frac{7\pi}{4},\phi+\frac{7\pi}{4})$	$(ab, rac{\pi}{4})$	1
$\mathbf{Z}_2$	$(0, \phi_1, 0, \phi_1, 0, \phi_1, 0, \phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3, \phi_2, \phi_3, \phi_2, \phi_3, \phi_2, \phi_3)$	$(b^2, \hat{0})$	3
$ ilde{\mathbf{Z}}_2$	$(0,\phi_1,\pi,\phi_1+\pi,0,\phi_1,\pi,\phi_1+\pi,\phi_2,\phi_3,\phi_2+\pi,\phi_3+\pi,\phi_2,\phi_3,\phi_2+\pi,\phi_3+\pi)$	$(b^2,\pi)$	3

95

## 4.1. DYNAMICS OF THE $\theta_1, \theta_2$ AND $\theta_3$ ANGLES IN FIX( $\mathbb{Z}_2$ )

We can define coordinates in  $Fix(\mathbf{Z}_2)$  by taking a basis

and consider the space spanned by  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$  parametrized by  $\{\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3\}$ :  $\sum_{n=1}^{3} \theta_n e_n$ .

By using these coordinates, we construct the following family of threedimensional differential systems which satisfies the symmetry of  $Fix(\mathbf{Z}_2)$ .

(11) 
$$\begin{cases} \dot{\theta_1} = u \sin \theta_1 \cos \theta_2 + \epsilon \sin 2\theta_1 \cos 2\theta_2 \\ \dot{\theta_2} = u \sin \theta_2 \cos \theta_3 + \epsilon \sin 2\theta_2 \cos 2\theta_3 \\ \dot{\theta_2} = u \sin \theta_3 \cos \theta_1 + \epsilon \sin 2\theta_3 \cos 2\theta_1 + q(1 - \cos \theta_1) \sin 2\theta_3, \end{cases}$$

where  $u, \epsilon, q \in \mathbb{R}$ .

9

#### TABLE 4

Eigenvalues of the flow of equation (11), at the four non-conjugate zero-dimensional fixed points

Σ	Fix( $\Sigma$ ) with coordinates ( $\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3$ )		$\lambda_1$	$\lambda_2$	$\lambda_3$
$\mathbf{Q}_8$	(0, 0, 0)		$u+2\epsilon$	$u + 2\epsilon$	$ $ $u + 2\epsilon$
$ ilde{\mathbf{Q}}_8^a$	$(\pi,0,0)$		$-u + 2\epsilon$	$u + 2\epsilon$	$ -u+2\epsilon+4q$
$ ilde{\mathbf{Q}}_8^b$	$(0,\pi,0)$		$-u + 2\epsilon$	$-u + 2\epsilon$	$u + 2\epsilon$
$ ilde{\mathbf{Q}}_8^{ab}$	$(0,0,\pi)$		$u+2\epsilon$	$-u + 2\epsilon$	$ $ $-u + 2\epsilon$

We will show that this vector field contains structurally stable, attracting heteroclinic cycles which may be asymptotically stable, essentially asymptotically stable or completely unstable, depending on the values of  $u, \epsilon$  and q. We can assume, without loss of genericity that the space  $Fix(\mathbf{Z}_2)$  is normally attracting for the dynamics and therefore the dynamics within the fixed-point space determines the stability of the full system. In the following, we will show that the planes  $\theta_i = 0 \pmod{\pi}, i = 1, 2, 3$  are invariant under the flow of (11).

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be the vector field of system (11).

Definition 1. We call a trigonometric invariant algebraic surface  $h(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3) = 0$ , if it is invariant by the flow of (11), *i.e.* there exists a function  $K(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3)$  such that

(12) 
$$\mathcal{X}h = \frac{\partial h}{\partial \theta_1}\dot{\theta_1} + \frac{\partial h}{\partial \theta_2}\dot{\theta_2} + \frac{\partial h}{\partial \theta_3}\dot{\theta_3} = Kh.$$

LEMMA 1. Functions  $\sin \theta_1$ ,  $\sin \theta_2$  and  $\sin \theta_3$  are trigonometric invariant algebraic surfaces for system (11).

*Proof.* We can write the system (11) in the form

(13) 
$$\begin{cases} \dot{\theta_1} = \sin \theta_1 \left( u \cos \theta_2 + 2\epsilon \cos \theta_1 \cos 2\theta_2 \right) \\ \dot{\theta_2} = \sin \theta_2 \left( u \cos \theta_3 + 2\epsilon \cos \theta_2 \cos 2\theta_3 \right) \\ \dot{\theta_3} = \sin \theta_3 \left( u \cos \theta_1 + 2\epsilon \cos 2\theta_1 \cos \theta_3 + 2q(1 - \cos \theta_1) \cos \theta_3 \right) \end{cases}$$

Now if we choose  $h_1 = \sin \theta_1$ , then  $\mathcal{X}h_1 = \cos \theta_1 \sin \theta_1 (u \cos \theta_2 + 2\epsilon \cos \theta_1 \cos 2\theta_2)$  so  $K_1 = \cos \theta_1 (u \cos \theta_2 + 2\epsilon \cos \theta_1 \cos 2\theta_2)$ . The remaining cases follow similarly.  $\Box$ 

Since the planes  $\theta_i = 0 \pmod{\pi}$  are invariant under the flow of (11), it is clear that (0,0,0),  $(\pi,0,0)$ ,  $(0,\pi,0)$ , and  $(0,0,\pi)$  are equilibria for (11). To check the possibility of heteroclinic cycles in system (11), we linearize about the equilibria (*i.e.* the zero-dimensional fixed points). The idea is proving that there are three-dimensional fixed-point spaces  $\operatorname{Fix}(\mathbf{Z}_2)$  and  $\operatorname{Fix}(\tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_2)$  which connect these fixed points, allowing the existence of such a heteroclinic network between the equilibria.

Let's assume:

(14)  $|\epsilon| < \frac{u}{2}$  and  $|\epsilon + 2q| < \frac{u}{2}$ .

We use the criteria of Krupa and Melbourne [10] to study the stability of the heteroclinic cycle.

THEOREM 2. In the following, we will prove that there exists the possibility of a heteroclinic cycle in the following way:

(15) 
$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Fix}(\tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_8^b)} \operatorname{Fix}(\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_8^a) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Fix}(\tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_8^{ab})} \operatorname{Fix}(\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_8^b) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Fix}(\tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_8^a)} \operatorname{Fix}(\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_8^{ab}) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Fix}(\tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_8^b)} \cdots$$

The stability of the heteroclinic cycle is:

(a) asymptotically stable if

(16) 
$$u < 0 \text{ and } q < \frac{3u}{4} - \frac{\epsilon}{2},$$

(b) unstable but essentially asymptotically stable if

(17) 
$$u < 0 \text{ and } \frac{3u}{4} - \frac{\epsilon}{2} < q < \frac{u}{2} - \frac{(u+2\epsilon)^3}{(-u+2\epsilon)^2}$$

(c) completely unstable if u > 0.

*Proof.* The stability is expressed by

(18) 
$$\rho = \prod_{i=1}^{3} \rho_i$$
, where  $\rho_i = \min\{c_i/e_i, 1 - t_i/e_i\}.$ 

In equation (18),  $e_i$  is the expanding eigenvalue at the *i*th point of the cycle,  $-c_i$  is the contracting eigenvalue and  $t_i$  is the transverse eigenvalue of the linearization. For the heteroclinic cycle we have:

(19) 
$$\rho_1 = \begin{cases} \frac{2u - 4q}{u + 2\epsilon} \text{ if } q < \frac{3u}{4} - \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \\ \frac{-u + 2\epsilon}{u + 2\epsilon} \text{ if } q > \frac{3u}{4} - \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \end{cases} \qquad \rho_2 = \rho_3 = \frac{-u + 2\epsilon}{u + 2\epsilon},$$

so from equations (18) and (19) we obtain:

(20) 
$$\rho = \begin{cases} \frac{(-u+2\epsilon)^2(2u-4q)}{(u+2\epsilon)^3} & \text{if } u < 0 \text{ and } q < \frac{3u}{4} - \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \\ \frac{(-u+2\epsilon)^3}{(u+2\epsilon)^3} & \text{if } u < 0 \text{ and } q > \frac{3u}{4} - \frac{\epsilon}{2}. \end{cases}$$

Then the proof follows by applying Theorem 2.4 in [10].  $\Box$ 

For any u < 0 we have  $\frac{3u}{4} - \frac{\epsilon}{2} < q < \frac{u}{2} - \frac{(u+2\epsilon)^3}{(-u+2\epsilon)^2}$  and therefore there exist values of q for which there exist essentially asymptotic stable heteroclinic connections. In consequence, there exists an attracting heteroclinic cycle even though the linear stability of  $\operatorname{Fix}(\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_8^a)$  has an expanding transverse eigenvalue.

#### 5. CONCLUSIONS

We prove the existence of stable heteroclinic cycles in the most general coupled ordinary differential equations with quaternionic symmetry  $\mathbf{Q}_8$ . Our approach is generic and offers for the first time as far as we know, evidence of these phenomena in systems with this symmetry. While the result stands on its own from a mathematical point of view, it might also contribute to a better understanding of these intermittent behaviors experimentally observed in nematic liquid crystals [3] and particle physics [5].

Acknowledgements. In first place, I would like to address many thanks to the Referee, whose helpful indications and comments greatly improved the presentation of the paper. I acknowledge a BITDEFENDER postdoctoral fellowship from the Institute of Mathematics "Simion Stoilow" of the Romanian Academy, Contract of Sponsorship No. 262/2016 as well as economical support from a grant of the Romanian National

Authority for Scientific Research and Innovation, CNCS-UEFISCDI, project number PN-II-RU-TE-2014-4-0657.

#### REFERENCES

- P. Ashwin and P. Stork, Permissible symmetries of coupled cell networks. Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 116 (1994), 1, 27–36.
- [2] P. ASHWIN AND J.W. SWIFT, The dynamics of n identical oscillators with symmetric coupling. J. Nonlinear Sci. 2 (1992), 1, 69–108.
- [3] S. Čopar and S. Žumer, Quaternions and hybrid nematic disclinations. Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 469 (2013), 1–10.
- [4] A.P.S. Dias and R.C. Paiva, A note on Hopf bifurcation with dihedral group symmetry. Glasg. Math. J. 48 (2006), 1, 41–51.
- [5] S. Dev and S. Verma, Leptogenesis in a hybrid texture neutrino mass model. Modern Phys. Lett. A 25 (2010), 33, 2837–2848.
- [6] J. Funda, R.H. Taylor and R.P. Paul, On Homogeneous Transforms, Quaternions and Computational Efficiency. IEEE Trans. 6 (1990), 382–387.
- [7] M. Golubitsky, M. Pivato and I. Stewart, Interior symmetry and local bifurcation in coupled cell networks. Dyn. Syst. 19 (2004), 4, 389–407.
- [8] M. Golubitsky, I. Stewart and D.G. Schaeffer, Singularities and Groups in Bifurcation Theory II. Appl. Math. Sci. 69, Springer-Verlag, 1988.
- [9] D.L. Johnson, Topics in the Theory of Group Presentations. London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. 42, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1980.
- [10] M. Krupa and I. Melbourne, Asymptotic stability of heteroclinic cycles in systems with symmetry. Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 15 (1995), 1, 121–147.
- [11] A.C. Murza, Hopf bifurcation and heteroclinic cycles in a class of D<sub>2</sub>-equivariant systems. Math. Rep. (Bucur.) 17(67) (2015), 4, 369–383.
- [12] O. Podvigina, Stability and bifurcations of heteroclinic cycles of type Z. Nonlinearity 25 (2012), 6, 1887–1917.
- [13] O. Podvigina and P. Chossat, Simple heteroclinic cycles in ℝ<sup>4</sup>. Nonlinearity 28 (2015), 4, 901–926.
- [14] P. Stork, Statische Verzweigung in Gradientenfeldern mit Symmetrien vom komplexen oder quaternionischen Typ mit numerischer Behandlung. Wissenschaftliche Beiträge aus europäichen Hochschulen 11, Verlag an der Lottbeck, 1993.
- [15] X. Zhang, Global structure of quaternion polynomial differential equations. Comm. Math. Phys. 303 (2011), 2, 301–316.

Received 22 February 2017

Institute of Mathematics "Simion Stoilow" of the Romanian Academy, Calea Griviţei 21, 010702 Bucharest. Romania

University of Texas at Dallas, Department of Mathematical Sciences, 800 W Campbell Rd., Richardson, 75080, Texas, USA adrian\_murza@hotmail.com