

MONOTONICITY OF THE PRINCIPAL EIGENVALUE OF THE p -LAPLACIAN ON AN ANNULUS

ANDREI GRECU and MIHAI MIHĂILESCU*

Communicated by Cezar Joița

Let $D > 1$ be a positive integer. For each real numbers a and b such that $0 < a < b < \infty$ consider the annulus $A(a, b) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^D : a < |x| < b\}$. Our goal is to give sufficient conditions on a and b such that the function which gives the principal eigenvalue of the p -Laplacian on $A(a, b)$, subject to the homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition, be monotone or non-monotone with respect to $p \in (1, \infty)$.

AMS 2010 Subject Classification: 35P30, 47J10, 49R05, 49J40, 58C40.

Key words: distance function to the boundary, principal eigenvalue, p -Laplacian.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

For each positive integer $D \geq 1$, each bounded and open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^D$ and each real number $p \in (1, \infty)$ let us recall the classical eigenvalue problem for the p -Laplacian

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) = \lambda|u|^{p-2}u & \text{if } x \in \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{if } x \in \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

It is well-known that the principal eigenvalue of the p -Laplacian is a positive real number which has the following variational characterization

$$(1.2) \quad \lambda_1(p; \Omega) := \min_{u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx}{\int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx}.$$

Moreover, the minimum in (1.2) is achieved by the unique positive solution (up to multiplication by constants) of problem (1.1). Since the corresponding eigenfunctions of $\lambda_1(p; \Omega)$ do not change sign in Ω it is usually referred to as the principal eigenvalue of the p -Laplacian.

*Corresponding author: M. Mihăilescu

Next, let $\delta_\Omega : \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be the distance function to the boundary of Ω , given by

$$\delta_\Omega(x) := \inf_{y \in \partial\Omega} |x - y|, \quad x \in \Omega.$$

Define also

$$\mathbb{P}^D := \{\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^D \mid \Omega \text{ is an open, bounded, convex domain with smooth boundary } \partial\Omega\}.$$

In the particular case where $D = 1$ and $\Omega = (a, b)$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $a < b$, it is well known (see, e.g., [12]) that the principal eigenvalue of the p -Laplacian is given by the explicit formula

$$\lambda_1(p; (a, b)) = (p - 1) \left(\frac{2}{b - a} \right)^p \left(\frac{\pi/p}{\sin(\pi/p)} \right)^p.$$

By [9, Theorem 1.1] we know that when $\frac{b-a}{2} \in (1, \infty)$ there exists $p^* = p^*(\frac{b-a}{2}) \in (1, \infty)$ such that $p \mapsto \lambda_1(p; (a, b))$ is increasing on $(1, p^*)$ and decreasing on (p^*, ∞) , while when $\frac{b-a}{2} \leq 1$ then the map $p \mapsto \lambda_1(p; (a, b))$ is increasing on $(1, \infty)$. Further, when $D > 1$ by [1, Theorem 1] we know that there exists a real number $M \in [e^{-1}, 1]$ such that for each $\Omega \in \mathbb{P}^D$ with $\|\delta_\Omega\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq M$ the function $p \mapsto \lambda_1(p; \Omega)$ is increasing on $(1, \infty)$, and, for each real number $s > M$ there exists $\Omega \in \mathbb{P}^D$ with $\|\delta_\Omega\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} = s$ for which the function $p \mapsto \lambda_1(p; \Omega)$ is not monotone on $(1, \infty)$. Note that this result does not solve the problem of the monotonicity of $\lambda_1(p; \Omega)$ with respect to $p \in (1, \infty)$ in the case when Ω is not a convex domain. The goal of this paper is to discuss the monotonicity of $\lambda_1(p; \Omega)$ with respect to $p \in (1, \infty)$ in the case when Ω is an annulus, and, consequently $\Omega \notin \mathbb{P}^D$.

With that end in view, for each integer $D > 1$ and each two positive real numbers a and b such that $0 < a < b < \infty$ define

$$A(a, b) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^D : a < |x| < b\}.$$

In this paper our goal is to provide sufficient conditions on a and b such that the function $p \mapsto \lambda_1(p; A(a, b))$ is monotone or non-monotone with respect to $p \in (1, \infty)$.

Our main result is the following theorem.

THEOREM 1. *Let $D > 1$ be an integer and a and b be two real numbers such that $0 < a < b < \infty$. If*

$$\frac{b - a}{2} \left(\frac{b}{a} \right)^{D-1} \leq e^{-1},$$

then the function $p \mapsto \lambda_1(p; A(a, b))$ is increasing on $(1, \infty)$.

If $a + 2 < b$ and

$$2 < b \leq \frac{j_{D/2-1,1}}{\sqrt{D/2}},$$

where $j_{D/2-1,1}$ stands for the first zero of the Bessel function $J_{D/2-1}$, then the function $p \mapsto \lambda_1(p; A(a, b))$ is not monotone on $(1, \infty)$.

2. PROPERTIES OF $\lambda_1(p; A(a, b))$

In this section we recall some known properties of $\lambda_1(p; A(a, b))$ that will be useful in the sequel. First, recall that by [7, Lemma 1.5] (see also [4]), we have

$$(2.1) \quad \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[p]{\lambda_1(p; A(a, b))} = \frac{2}{b-a}, \quad \forall 0 < a < b < \infty.$$

In particular, this relation implies that

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_1(p; A(a, b)) = \begin{cases} +\infty & \text{if } \frac{b-a}{2} < 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } \frac{b-a}{2} > 1. \end{cases}$$

From this simple observation we infer that if function $p \mapsto \lambda_1(p; A(a, b))$ has a monotony on $(1, \infty)$ then it should be increasing if $\frac{b-a}{2} < 1$ or decreasing if $\frac{b-a}{2} > 1$.

Next, by [8, Theorem 2.4] we know the following estimates

$$(2.2) \quad \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{D-1} \lambda_1(p; (a, b)) \leq \lambda_1(p; A(a, b)) \leq \left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{D-1} \lambda_1(p; (a, b)),$$

$$\forall p > 1, \forall 0 < a < b < \infty,$$

where $\lambda_1(p; (a, b)) = (p-1) \left(\frac{2}{b-a}\right)^p \left(\frac{\pi/p}{\sin(\pi/p)}\right)^p$ stands for the principal eigenvalue of the p -Laplacian when $D = 1$ and $\Omega = (a, b)$.

Moreover, by [11, Theorem 3.2] we have

$$(2.3) \quad p \sqrt[p]{\lambda_1(p; A(a, b))} \leq q \sqrt[q]{\lambda_1(q; A(a, b))},$$

$$\forall 1 < p < q < \infty, \forall 0 < a < b < \infty.$$

Furthermore, note that for each $R > 0$, considering the rescaled domain

$$RA(a, b) = \{Rx \mid x \in A(a, b)\},$$

it is easy to check that

$$RA(a, b) = A(Ra, Rb).$$

By [2, p. 634] we recall that

$$(2.4) \quad \lambda_1(p; A(Ra, Rb)) = \frac{1}{R^p} \lambda_1(p; A(a, b)) \quad \forall p > 1.$$

Finally, we point out that by [10, Theorem 2] we know the explicit value of the Cheeger constant on an annulus, namely

$$(2.5) \quad h(A(a, b)) := \lim_{p \rightarrow 1^+} \lambda_1(p; A(a, b)) = D \frac{b^{D-1} + a^{D-1}}{b^D - a^D}.$$

We end this section by recalling a formula which gives the value of the principal eigenvalue of the Laplacian (that is the case when $p = 2$) on a ball. For each $R > 0$ let B_R be a ball of radius R in \mathbb{R}^D . It is well-known (see, e.g. [5, p. 11]) that

$$(2.6) \quad \lambda_1(2; B_R) = \frac{j_{D/2-1,1}^2}{R^2},$$

where $j_{D/2-1,1}$ stands for the first zero of the Bessel function $J_{D/2-1}$.

3. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULT

The proof of Theorem 1 is a simple consequence of the two propositions below.

PROPOSITION 1. *Let $D > 1$ be an integer and a and b be two real numbers such that $0 < a < b < \infty$. If*

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{b-a}{2} \left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{D-1} \leq e^{-1},$$

then the function $p \mapsto \lambda_1(p; A(a, b))$ is increasing on $(1, \infty)$.

Remark. Note that by condition (3.1) it follows that $\frac{b-a}{2} < 1$. This fact and relation (2.1) imply that $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_1(p; A(a, b)) = \infty$. This last relation suggests that if function $p \mapsto \lambda_1(p; A(a, b))$ were to be monotone on $(1, \infty)$ it should be increasing.

Proof. Assume by contradiction that there exists $1 < p < q < \infty$ such that

$$(3.2) \quad \lambda_1(q; A(a, b)) \leq \lambda_1(p; A(a, b)).$$

By [1, Lemma 1] we deduce that

$$(3.3) \quad \lambda_1(p; A(a, b)) < e^q.$$

On the other hand, observing that

$$\lambda_1(q; (-1, 1)) = (q-1) \left(\frac{\pi/q}{\sin(\pi/q)} \right)^q, \quad \forall q > 1,$$

the left-hand side of relation (2.2) reads as

$$\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{D-1} \frac{\lambda_1(q; (-1, 1))}{\left(\frac{b-a}{2}\right)^q} \leq \lambda_1(q; A(a, b)), \quad \forall q > 1.$$

Hence, using the fact that $\lambda_1(\cdot; (-1, 1))$ is strictly increasing and that $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^+} \lambda_1(q; (-1, 1)) = 1$ (see [9, Theorem 1.1 (i)] and [6, Theorem 3.3], respectively), we obtain

$$(3.4) \quad \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{D-1} \left(\frac{2}{b-a}\right)^q \leq \lambda_1(q; A(a, b)), \quad \forall q > 1.$$

Thus, under assumptions (3.2), using (3.4) and (3.3) we get

$$\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{D-1} \left(\frac{2}{b-a}\right)^q \leq \lambda_1(q; A(a, b)) \leq \lambda_1(p; A(a, b)) < e^q.$$

Finally, observing that when $a < b$ and $q \geq 1$ it holds

$$\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{(D-1)q} \leq \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{D-1},$$

we obtain

$$\frac{b-a}{2} \left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{D-1} > e^{-1},$$

which contradict assumption (3.1). The proof of Proposition 1 is complete. \square

Remark. 1) Note that if for some two real numbers a and b such that $0 < a < b < \infty$ we have

$$\lambda_1(p; A(a, b)) \leq \lambda_1(q; A(a, b)), \quad \forall 1 < p < q < \infty,$$

then by relation (2.4) we deduce that for each $R \in (0, 1)$ we have

$$\lambda_1(p; A(Ra, Rb)) \leq \lambda_1(q; A(Ra, Rb)), \quad \forall 1 < p < q < \infty,$$

2) Assume that D , a and b satisfy the hypothesis of Proposition 1. Then for each $R \in (0, 1)$ we have

$$\frac{Rb - Ra}{2} \left(\frac{Rb}{Ra}\right)^{D-1} = R \frac{b-a}{2} \left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{D-1} < \frac{b-a}{2} \left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{D-1} < e^{-1}.$$

Consequently, the function $p \mapsto \lambda_1(p; A(Ra, Rb))$ is increasing on $(1, \infty)$.

PROPOSITION 2. *Let $D > 1$ be an integer and a and b be two real numbers such that $0 < a < b < \infty$. If $a + 2 < b$ and*

$$(3.5) \quad 2 < b \leq \frac{j_{D/2-1,1}}{\sqrt{D/2}},$$

where $j_{D/2-1,1}$ stands for the first zero of the Bessel function $J_{D/2-1}$, then the function $p \mapsto \lambda_1(p; A(a, b))$ is not monotone on $(1, \infty)$.

Proof. If $a + 2 < b$ then $\frac{b-a}{2} > 1$ and by (2.1) we deduce that

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_1(p; A(a, b)) = 0.$$

Consequently, if we assume by contradiction that the function $p \mapsto \lambda_1(p; A(a, b))$ is monotone on $(1, \infty)$ it follows that it should be decreasing. In particular, taking into account that fact and using relation (2.5) we deduce that

$$\lambda_1(2; A(a, b)) \leq \lim_{p \rightarrow 1^+} \lambda_1(p; A(a, b)) = h(A(a, b)) = D \frac{b^{D-1} + a^{D-1}}{b^D - a^D}.$$

On the other hand, since $A(a, b) \subset B_b$ by relation (2.6) we get

$$\lambda_1(2; A(a, b)) > \lambda_1(2; B_b) = \frac{j_{D/2-1,1}^2}{b^2}.$$

Taking into account all the above pieces of information we find

$$D \frac{b^{D-1} + a^{D-1}}{b^D - a^D} \geq \frac{j_{D/2-1,1}^2}{b^2},$$

which implies

$$b^2 \geq \frac{j_{D/2-1,1}^2}{D} \frac{b^D - a^D}{b^{D-1} + a^{D-1}}.$$

Finally, since $\frac{b-a}{2} > 1$ we deduce that

$$\frac{b^D - a^D}{b^{D-1} + a^{D-1}} = 2 \frac{b - a}{2} \frac{b^{D-1} + b^{D-2}a + \dots + ba^{D-2} + a^{D-1}}{b^{D-1} + a^{D-1}} > 2,$$

and, consequently,

$$b > \frac{j_{D/2-1,1}}{\sqrt{D/2}},$$

a contradiction with (3.5). It follows that the conclusion of Proposition 2 holds true. \square

Remark. Note that for each integer $D > 1$ it holds true that

$$2D < j_{D/2-1,1}^2.$$

Indeed, since $j_{r,1}^2 < j_{s,1}^2 - (s^2 - r^2)$ for all $0 \leq r < s$ (see, e.g. [3, p. 64]) taking $r = 0$ and $s = D/2 - 1$ we get

$$j_{D/2-1,1}^2 > (D/2 - 1)^2 + j_{0,1}^2.$$

Recalling that $j_{0,1} > 2.404$ (see, e.g. [5, p. 11]) we deduce that

$$j_{D/2-1,1}^2 > D^2/4 - D + 6.779 > 2D,$$

if $D \leq 3$ or $D \geq 8$. Moreover, in the case when $D \in \{4, 5, 6, 7\}$ it can be checked easily (using the approximating values of j from tables) that the inequality still holds true.

Acknowledgments. M. Mihăilescu has been partially supported by CNCS-UEFISCDI Grant No. PN-III-P1-1.1-TE-2016-2233.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Bocea and M. Mihăilescu, *On the monotonicity of the principal frequency of the p -Laplacian*. Adv. Calc. Var. **14** (2021), 147–152.
- [2] F. Charro and E. Parini, *Limits as $p \rightarrow \infty$ of p -Laplacian problems with a superdiffusive power-type nonlinearity: Positive and sign-changing solutions*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **372** (2010), 629–644.
- [3] C. Enache, *La Conjecture du Payne-Polya-Weinberger*. Mémoire de maîtrise, Univ. Laval, 2002.
- [4] N. Fukagai, M. Ito, and K. Narukawa, *Limit as $p \rightarrow \infty$ of p -Laplace eigenvalue problems and L^∞ -inequality of Poincaré type*. Differential Integral Equations **12** (1999), 183–206.
- [5] A. Henrot, *Extremum Problems for Eigenvalues of Elliptic Operators*. Birkhauser, Basel, 2006.
- [6] Y. X. Huang, *On the eigenvalues of the p -Laplacian with varying p* . Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **125** (1997), 3347–3354.
- [7] P. Juutinen, P. Lindqvist, and J. J. Manfredi, *The ∞ -eigenvalue problem*. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **148** (1999), 89–105.
- [8] R. Kajikiya, *A priori estimate for the first eigenvalue of the p -Laplacian*. Differential Integral Equations **28** (2015), 1011–1028.
- [9] R. Kajikiya, M. Tanaka, and S. Tanaka, *Bifurcation of positive solutions for the one-dimensional $(p; q)$ -Laplace equation*. Electron. J. Differential Equations **107** (2017), 1–37.
- [10] D. Krejcirik, G. P. Leonardi, and P. Vlachopoulos, *The Cheeger constant of curved tubes*. Arch. Math. **112** (2019), 429–436.
- [11] P. Lindqvist, *On non-linear Rayleigh quotients*. Potential Anal. **2** (1993), 199–218.
- [12] P. Lindqvist, *Note on a nonlinear eigenvalue problem*. Rocky Mountain J. Math. **23** (1993), 281–288.

University of Craiova
Department of Mathematics
200585 Craiova, Romania
andregrecu.cv@gmail.com

University of Craiova
Department of Mathematics
200585 Craiova, Romania
mmihaiiles@yahoo.com
and

University of Bucharest
Research group of the project
PN-III-P1-1.1-TE-2016-2233
010014 Bucharest, Romania