

# ON THE SPACE OF HOMOGENEOUS MODIFIED HARMONIC POLYNOMIALS IN FOUR DIMENSIONS

ELEUTHERIUS SYMEONIDIS

*Communicated by Lucian Beznea*

The functions on  $\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, \infty)$  that are annihilated by the Laplace-Beltrami operator corresponding to the line-element  $dl^2 = s^2(dx^2 + dy^2 + dt^2 + ds^2)$  are called *modified harmonic*. In this note we prove a conjecture of Heinz Leutwiler concerning the space of homogeneous modified harmonic polynomials of a fixed degree.

*AMS 2010 Subject Classification:* 35C11, 33E30.

*Key words:* modified harmonic function, modified harmonic polynomial, modified spherical harmonics, modified Kelvin transform.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The "upper half space"  $\{(x, y, t, s) \in \mathbb{R}^4 \mid s > 0\}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^4$  equipped with the line-element  $dl^2 = s^2(dx^2 + dy^2 + dt^2 + ds^2)$  becomes a Riemannian manifold, whose Laplace-Beltrami operator is  $\frac{1}{s^2} \left( \Delta + \frac{2}{s} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \right)$ , where  $\Delta = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2}$ . The functions  $u$  that are annihilated by this operator, or, more generally, the solutions of

$$s \cdot \Delta u + 2 \cdot \frac{\partial u}{\partial s} = 0$$

(waiving the restriction  $s > 0$ ) are called *modified harmonic functions*. It is straightforward to see that this property passes from  $u$  to  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}$ ,  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}$ , and  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$ .

In [1], Heinz Leutwiler introduces the space  $\mathcal{H}_n(\mathbb{R}^4)$  of all homogeneous modified harmonic polynomials of degree  $n$  on  $\mathbb{R}^4$  and shows that its dimension equals  $\binom{n+2}{2}$ . He further proves that if  $u$  is a modified harmonic function, then so is its *modified Kelvin transform*,

$$K[u](x, y, t, s) := \frac{1}{r^4} u \left( \frac{x}{r^2}, \frac{y}{r^2}, \frac{t}{r^2}, \frac{s}{r^2} \right),$$

where  $r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + t^2 + s^2}$ .

Now, since  $u(x, y, t, s) := \frac{1}{r^4}$  is a modified harmonic function (being the modified Kelvin transform of 1), so are its partial derivatives

$$u_{\alpha\beta\gamma} := \frac{\partial^n r^{-4}}{\partial x^\alpha \partial y^\beta \partial t^\gamma}$$

for  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ ,  $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = n$ , as well as their modified Kelvin transforms

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} v_{\alpha\beta\gamma}(x, y, t, s) &:= K[u_{\alpha\beta\gamma}](x, y, t, s) \\ &= \frac{1}{r^4} u_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \left( \frac{x}{r^2}, \frac{y}{r^2}, \frac{t}{r^2}, \frac{s}{r^2} \right) = r^{2n+4} \cdot \frac{\partial^n r^{-4}}{\partial x^\alpha \partial y^\beta \partial t^\gamma}, \end{aligned}$$

since  $u_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$  is homogeneous of degree  $-4 - n$  (in fact,  $r^{-4}$  is homogeneous of degree  $-4$ , and every partial derivative reduces the degree of homogeneity by 1). Setting  $R := r^2$ , it follows by induction that  $u_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$  has the form  $R^{-2-n} \cdot P$ , where  $P$  is a polynomial, whence  $v_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$  is a polynomial too. Altogether,  $v_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \in \mathcal{H}_n(\mathbb{R}^4)$ .

In [1], Leutwiler conjectured that the  $\binom{n+2}{2}$  polynomials  $v_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \in \mathcal{H}_n(\mathbb{R}^4)$  are linearly independent (and therefore form a basis of  $\mathcal{H}_n(\mathbb{R}^4)$ ). The purpose of this article is to prove this conjecture.

We close this introduction by listing the polynomials  $v_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$  for  $0 \leq n \leq 3$ :

$$\begin{aligned} v_{000} &= 1; \\ v_{100} &= -4x, \quad v_{010} = -4y, \quad v_{001} = -4t; \\ v_{200} &= 4(5x^2 - y^2 - t^2 - s^2), \quad v_{110} = 24xy, \quad v_{101} = 24xt, \\ v_{020} &= 4(-x^2 + 5y^2 - t^2 - s^2), \quad v_{011} = 24yt, \quad v_{002} = 4(-x^2 - y^2 + 5t^2 - s^2); \\ v_{300} &= 24x(-5x^2 + 3y^2 + 3t^2 + 3s^2), \quad v_{210} = 24y(-7x^2 + y^2 + t^2 + s^2), \\ v_{201} &= 24t(-7x^2 + y^2 + t^2 + s^2), \quad v_{102} = 24x(x^2 + y^2 - 7t^2 + s^2), \quad v_{111} = -192xyt, \\ v_{120} &= 24x(x^2 - 7y^2 + t^2 + s^2), \quad v_{012} = 24y(x^2 + y^2 - 7t^2 + s^2), \\ v_{021} &= 24t(x^2 - 7y^2 + t^2 + s^2), \quad v_{030} = 24y(3x^2 - 5y^2 + 3t^2 + 3s^2), \\ v_{003} &= 24t(3x^2 + 3y^2 - 5t^2 + 3s^2). \end{aligned}$$

## 2. PROOF OF LEUTWILER'S CONJECTURE

We introduce the new variables  $X := x^2$ ,  $Y := y^2$ ,  $T := t^2$ ,  $S := s^2$ . Then,  $R = r^2 = X + Y + T + S$ . Furthermore, we relate every function  $f$  of the variables  $X, Y, T, S$  to the function

$$g(x, y, t, s) := f(X, Y, T, S) \Big|_{\substack{X=x^2, Y=y^2, \\ T=t^2, S=s^2}},$$

where we assume  $x, y, t, s \geq 0$ . There follow relations among the partial derivatives of  $f$  and  $g$ :

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(x, y, t, s) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial X}(X, Y, T, S) \Big|_{\substack{X=x^2, Y=y^2, \\ T=t^2, S=s^2}} \cdot 2x$$

$$= \left[ \frac{\partial f}{\partial X}(X, Y, T, S) \cdot 2\sqrt{X} \right] \Bigg|_{\substack{X=x^2, Y=y^2, \\ T=t^2, S=s^2}},$$

which we shall express in the shorter form

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial x} = 2\sqrt{X} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial X}.$$

Under this convention, which we shall always use in the sequel, it further holds:

$$\frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x^2} = 2 \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial X} + 4X \cdot \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial X^2}, \quad \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x \partial y} = 4\sqrt{XY} \cdot \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial X \partial Y}, \quad \dots,$$

$$\frac{\partial^3 g}{\partial x^3} = 12\sqrt{X} \cdot \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial X^2} + 8X\sqrt{X} \cdot \frac{\partial^3 f}{\partial X^3} \quad \text{etc.}$$

For the proof of the conjecture we need the next three lemmas.

LEMMA 1. *Let the notation be as above.*

1. For  $\alpha \in 2\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  it holds:

$$\frac{\partial^\alpha g}{\partial x^\alpha} = \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} c_{\alpha,i} X^i \cdot \frac{\partial^{\frac{\alpha}{2}+i} f}{\partial X^{\frac{\alpha}{2}+i}}$$

with certain  $c_{\alpha,i} \in \mathbb{N}$ .

2. For  $\alpha \in 2(\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}) + 1$  it holds:

$$\frac{\partial^\alpha g}{\partial x^\alpha} = \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}} c_{\alpha,i+\frac{1}{2}} X^{i+\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \frac{\partial^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}+i} f}{\partial X^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}+i}}$$

with certain  $c_{\alpha,i+\frac{1}{2}} \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* 1. We only have to verify the inductive step from  $\alpha$  to  $\alpha+2$ . Let  $A$  denote the right side of the assertion for an even  $\alpha$ . Then,

$$\frac{\partial A}{\partial x} = \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} 2c_{\alpha,i} \left( iX^{i-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \frac{\partial^{\frac{\alpha}{2}+i} f}{\partial X^{\frac{\alpha}{2}+i}} + X^{i+\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \frac{\partial^{\frac{\alpha}{2}+i+1} f}{\partial X^{\frac{\alpha}{2}+i+1}} \right),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial x^2} &= \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} 4c_{\alpha,i} \left[ i(i - \frac{1}{2})X^{i-1} \cdot \frac{\partial^{\frac{\alpha}{2}+i} f}{\partial X^{\frac{\alpha}{2}+i}} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (2i + \frac{1}{2})X^i \cdot \frac{\partial^{\frac{\alpha}{2}+i+1} f}{\partial X^{\frac{\alpha}{2}+i+1}} + X^{i+1} \cdot \frac{\partial^{\frac{\alpha}{2}+i+2} f}{\partial X^{\frac{\alpha}{2}+i+2}} \right] \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{\alpha+2}{2}} [2(4i + 1)c_{\alpha,i} + 4c_{\alpha,i-1}] X^i \cdot \frac{\partial^{\frac{\alpha+2}{2}+i} f}{\partial X^{\frac{\alpha+2}{2}+i}} \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-1} 4(i + \frac{1}{2})(i + 1)c_{\alpha,i+1} \cdot X^i \cdot \frac{\partial^{\frac{\alpha+2}{2}+i} f}{\partial X^{\frac{\alpha+2}{2}+i}} \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{\alpha+2}{2}} [4c_{\alpha,i-1} + 2(4i + 1)c_{\alpha,i} + 2(i + 1)(2i + 1)c_{\alpha,i+1}] X^i \cdot \frac{\partial^{\frac{\alpha+2}{2}+i} f}{\partial X^{\frac{\alpha+2}{2}+i}},
\end{aligned}$$

where we have set  $c_{\alpha,-1} = c_{\alpha,\frac{\alpha+2}{2}} = c_{\alpha,\frac{\alpha+4}{2}} = 0$ . This completes the proof for even  $\alpha$ .

2. If  $\alpha$  is odd, then  $\alpha - 1$  is even, so by what has just been proven,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial^\alpha g}{\partial x^\alpha} &= \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}} 2c_{\alpha-1,i} \left( iX^{i-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \frac{\partial^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}+i} f}{\partial X^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}+i}} + X^{i+\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \frac{\partial^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}+i+1} f}{\partial X^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}+i+1}} \right) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}} [2c_{\alpha-1,i} + 2(i + 1)c_{\alpha-1,i+1}] X^{i+\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \frac{\partial^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}+i} f}{\partial X^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}+i}}. \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 2. *The functions of  $X, Y, T$  of the form  $X^i Y^j T^k$ , where  $i, j, k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , are linearly independent.*

*Proof.* By the substitution  $X = x^2$ ,  $Y = y^2$ ,  $T = t^2$ , these functions become the monomials  $x^{2i} y^{2j} t^{2k}$ , which obviously are linearly independent. □

LEMMA 3. *For a function  $h$  of the form  $h(X, Y, T, S) = \frac{1}{(X+Y+T+S)^k}$  it holds for every  $l \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ :*

$$\frac{\partial^l h}{\partial X^l} = \frac{\partial^l h}{\partial Y^l} = \frac{\partial^l h}{\partial T^l} = \frac{\partial^l h}{\partial S^l} = \frac{(-1)^l \cdot (k)_l}{(X + Y + T + S)^{k+l}},$$

where  $(k)_l := \prod_{i=0}^{l-1} (k + i)$  is the Pochhammer symbol.

*Proof.* The claim follows easily by induction.  $\square$

We now start with the actual proof of the conjecture.

For the function  $f$  defined by  $f(X, Y, T, S) = \frac{1}{(X+Y+T+S)^2}$ , the last lemma gives for  $k, l, m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^k f(X, Y, T, S)}{\partial X^k} &= \frac{(-1)^k \cdot (k+1)!}{(X+Y+T+S)^{k+2}}, \\ \frac{\partial^{k+l} f(X, Y, T, S)}{\partial Y^l \partial X^k} &= \frac{(-1)^{k+l} \cdot (k+1)! \cdot (k+2)_l}{(X+Y+T+S)^{k+2+l}} = \frac{(-1)^{k+l} \cdot (k+l+1)!}{(X+Y+T+S)^{k+l+2}}, \\ (2.1) \quad \frac{\partial^{k+l+m} f(X, Y, T, S)}{\partial T^m \partial Y^l \partial X^k} &= \frac{(-1)^{k+l+m} \cdot (k+l+m+1)!}{(X+Y+T+S)^{k+l+m+2}}. \end{aligned}$$

The conjecture is proven if we show that the functions

$$\frac{\partial^n}{\partial x^\alpha \partial y^\beta \partial t^\gamma} \left[ f(X, Y, T, S) \Big|_{\substack{X=x^2, Y=y^2 \\ T=t^2, S=s^2}} \right] = \frac{v_{\alpha\beta\gamma}(x, y, t, s)}{r^{2n+4}}$$

(see (1.1)) for  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ ,  $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = n$ , are linearly independent. By reductio ad absurdum we assume that there exists a linear combination

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{\substack{\alpha+\beta+\gamma=n \\ \alpha, \beta, \gamma \geq 0}} C_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} \cdot \frac{\partial^n}{\partial x^\alpha \partial y^\beta \partial t^\gamma} \left[ f(X, Y, T, S) \Big|_{\substack{X=x^2, Y=y^2 \\ T=t^2, S=s^2}} \right] = 0,$$

where not all  $C_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}$  vanish.

Let  $\hat{\alpha}$  be the biggest value of  $\alpha$  such that  $C_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} \neq 0$  for certain  $\beta, \gamma$ . Let then  $\hat{\beta}$  be the biggest value of  $\beta$  for which  $C_{\hat{\alpha}, \beta, \gamma} \neq 0$  for certain  $\gamma$ . Obviously, there is only one such value of  $\gamma$ , namely  $\hat{\gamma} := n - \hat{\alpha} - \hat{\beta}$ .

According to Lemma 1, the term with the biggest exponents of  $X, Y,$  and  $T$  in  $\frac{\partial^n}{\partial x^\alpha \partial y^\beta \partial t^\gamma} \left[ f(X, Y, T, S) \Big|_{\substack{X=x^2, Y=y^2 \\ T=t^2, S=s^2}} \right]$  is

$$c_{\alpha, \frac{\alpha}{2}} \cdot c_{\beta, \frac{\beta}{2}} \cdot c_{\gamma, \frac{\gamma}{2}} \cdot X^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} Y^{\frac{\beta}{2}} T^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} \cdot \frac{\partial^{\alpha+\beta+\gamma} f(X, Y, T, S)}{\partial T^\gamma \partial Y^\beta \partial X^\alpha}.$$

Therefore, after setting  $S = 1 - X - Y - T$  (restricting  $x, y, t$  to  $\left[0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\right]$ , that is,  $X, Y, T$  to  $\left[0, \frac{1}{3}\right]$ , which does not affect Lemma 2) and observing (2.1), the product  $X^{\frac{\hat{\alpha}}{2}} Y^{\frac{\hat{\beta}}{2}} T^{\frac{\hat{\gamma}}{2}}$  appears only once in (2.2), and its coefficient is

$$C_{\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}, \hat{\gamma}} \cdot c_{\hat{\alpha}, \frac{\hat{\alpha}}{2}} \cdot c_{\hat{\beta}, \frac{\hat{\beta}}{2}} \cdot c_{\hat{\gamma}, \frac{\hat{\gamma}}{2}} \cdot (-1)^n \cdot (n+1)! \neq 0,$$

which contradicts Lemma 2. At this point, the proof is completed.

## REFERENCES

- [1] H. Leutwiler, *Modified Spherical Harmonics in Four Dimensions*. Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebr. **28** (2018), 2, paper no. 49, 18 p.

*Katholische Universität Eichstätt-Ingolstadt  
Mathematisch-Geographische Fakultät  
85071 Eichstätt, Germany  
e.symeonidis@ku.de*