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#### Abstract

In this paper, we establish the existence of at least two distinct weak solutions for a class of elliptic equations involving a general operator in divergence form, subject to Dirichlet boundary conditions in a smooth bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. A critical point result for differentiable functionals is exploited, in order to prove that the problem admits at least two distinct non-trivial weak solutions.


AMS 2010 Subject Classification: 35J35, 35J60.
Key words: multiple solutions, boundary value problems, variational methods, critical point.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this paper is to establish the existence of at least two distinct weak solutions for the following elliptic Dirichlet problem

$$
\begin{cases}-\operatorname{div}(a(x, \nabla u))=\lambda k(x) f(u), & \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{1.1}\\ u=0, & \text { on } \partial \Omega,\end{cases}
$$

where $\Omega$ is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}(N \geq 2)$ with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$, $p>N, a: \bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is a suitable continuous map of gradient type, and $\lambda$ is a positive real parameter. Further, $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $k: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$are two continuous functions.

The operator $-\operatorname{div}(a(x, \nabla u))$ arises, for example, from the expression of the $p$-Laplacian in curvilinear coordinates. We refer to the overview papers [ $9,11,30,39,42]$ for the investigation on Dirichlet problems involving a general operator in divergence form. For example, De Nápoli and Mariani in [9] studied the existence of solutions to equations of $p$-Laplacian type. They proved the existence of at least one solution, and under further assumptions, the existence of infinitely many solutions. In order to apply mountain pass results, they introduced a notion of uniformly convex functional that generalizes the notion

[^0]of uniformly convex norm. Duc and Vu in [11] studied the non-uniform case. The authors in [42] established the existence and multiplicity of weak solutions of a problem involving a uniformly convex elliptic operator in divergence form. They discussed the existence of one nontrivial solution by the mountain pass lemma, when the nonlinearity has a $(p-1)$-superlinear growth at infinity, and two nontrivial solutions by minimization and mountain pass when the nonlinear term has a $(p-1)$-sublinear growth at infinity. In [6], Colasuonno, Pucci and Varga studied different and very general classes of elliptic operators in divergence form looking at the existence of multiple weak solutions. Their contributions represent a nice improvement, in several directions, of the results obtained by Kristály et al. in [25] in which a uniform Dirichlet problem with parameter is investigated.

In [29] Molica Bisci and Rădulescu, applying mountain pass results studied the existence of solutions to nonlocal equations involving the $p$-Laplacian. More precisely, they proved the existence of at least one nontrivial weak solution, and under additional assumptions, the existence of infinitely many weak solutions. In [28], they also by using an abstract linking theorem for smooth functionals established a multiplicity result on the existence of weak solutions for a nonlocal Neumann problem driven by a nonhomogeneous elliptic differential operator.

Recently, motivated by this large interest, Molica Bisci and Repovš in [30, Theorem 3.5] studied the existence of at least three weak solutions for the following elliptic Dirichlet problem

$$
\begin{cases}-\operatorname{div}(a(x, \nabla u))=\lambda k(x) f(u), & \text { in } \Omega \\ u=0, & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

where $\Omega$ is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}(N \geq 2)$ with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$, $p>N, a: \bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is a suitable continuous map of gradient type, and $\lambda$ is a positive real parameter. Further, $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $k: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$are two continuous functions, and $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(t)| \leq a_{1}+a_{2}|t|^{q-1}, \quad \forall t \in R, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some non-negative constants $a_{1}, a_{2}$, where $\left.q \in\right] 1, \frac{p N}{N-p}[$, if $p<N$ and $1<$ $q<+\infty$ if $p \geq N$. In addition, as customary, the symbol

$$
p^{*}:= \begin{cases}\frac{p N}{N-p} & \text { if } 1<p<N \\ \infty & \text { if } p \geq N\end{cases}
$$

denotes the critical Sobolev exponent of $p$. In this work, our goal is to obtain the existence of two distinct weak solutions for problem (1.1).

A special case of our main result reads as follows.

THEOREM 1.1. Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a non-negative continuous function with $f(0) \neq 0$, satisfying a $(q-1)$-sublinear growth at infinity for some $q \in] p, p^{*}[$, i.e.,

$$
\lim _{|t| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(t)}{|t|^{q-1}}=0
$$

Moreover, assume that there exist $\theta>p$ and $M>0$ such that

$$
0<\theta F(t) \leq t f(t)
$$

for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $|t| \geq M$. Then, there exists $\lambda^{\star}>0$, such that, for any $\lambda \in] 0, \lambda^{\star}[$ the problem (1.1), admits two positive weak solutions.

For completeness, we recall that a careful and interesting analysis of elliptic problems was developed in the monographs $[21,34]$ as well as the papers $[14,18,24,26,31]$ and references therein.

## 2. AUXILIARY RESULTS

Assume that $\Omega$ is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}(N \geq 2)$ with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$. Further, denote by $X$ the space $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ endowed with the norm

$$
\|u\|:=\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u(x)|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{1 / p}
$$

and $X^{*}$ the topological dual of $X$.
By the compact embedding $X \hookrightarrow L^{q}(\Omega)$ for each $q \in\left[1, p^{*}[\right.$, there exists a positive constant $c_{q}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{L^{q}(\Omega)} \leq c_{q}\|u\|, \quad(\forall u \in X) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{q}$ is the best constant of the embedding.
The functional $I_{\lambda}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ associated with (1.1) is introduced as following:

$$
I_{\lambda}(u):=\Phi(u)-\lambda \Psi(u),
$$

for every $u \in X$, where

$$
\Phi(u):=\int_{\Omega} A(x, \nabla u(x)) \mathrm{d} x
$$

and

$$
\Psi(u):=\int_{\Omega} k(x) F(u(x)) \mathrm{d} x,
$$

for every $u \in X$, where $k: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$is a positive and continuous function, and

$$
F(s)=\int_{0}^{s} f(t) \mathrm{d} t
$$

for every $s \in \mathbb{R}$. By standard arguments, $\Phi$ is Gâteaux differentiable and sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous and its Gâteaux derivative is the functional $\Phi^{\prime}(u) \in X^{*}$, given by

$$
\Phi^{\prime}(u)(v):=\int_{\Omega} a(x, \nabla u(x)) \nabla v(x) \mathrm{d} x,
$$

for every $v \in X$. Moreover, $\Psi$ is a Gâteaux differentiable sequentially weakly upper continuous functional whose Gâteaux derivative is given by

$$
\Psi^{\prime}(u)(v):=\int_{\Omega} k(x) f(u(x)) v(x) \mathrm{d} x,
$$

for every $v \in X$. Fixing the real parameter $\lambda$, a function $u: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be a weak solution of (1.1) if $u \in X$ and

$$
\int_{\Omega} a(x, \nabla u(x)) \nabla v(x) \mathrm{d} x-\lambda \int_{\Omega} k(x) f(u(x)) v(x) \mathrm{d} x=0,
$$

for every $v \in X$. Therefore, the critical points of $I_{\lambda}$ are exactly the weak solutions of (1.1).

Definition 2.1. A Gâteaux differentiable function $I$ satisfies the PalaisSmale condition (in short (PS)-condition) if any sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ such that
(a) $\left\{I\left(u_{n}\right)\right\}$ is bounded,
(b) $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|_{X^{*}}=0$,
has a convergent subsequence.
Definition 2.2. Let $X$ be a reflexive real Banach space. The operator $T: X \rightarrow X^{*}$ is said to satisfy the $\left(S_{+}\right)$condition if the assumptions $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u_{0}$ in $X$ and $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left\langle T\left(u_{n}\right)-T(u), u_{n}-u_{0}\right\rangle \leq 0$ imply $u_{n} \rightarrow u_{0}$ in $X$.

Our main tool is the following critical point theorem.
Theorem 2.3 (see [1, Theorem 3.2]). Let $X$ be a real Banach space and let $\Phi, \Psi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be two continuously Gâteaux differentiable functionals such that $\Phi$ is bounded from below and $\Phi(0)=\Psi(0)=0$. Fix $r>0$ such that $\sup _{\{\Phi(u)<r\}}<+\infty$ and assume that, for each $\left.\lambda \in\right] 0, \frac{r}{\sup _{\{\Phi(u)<r\}} \Psi(u)}[$, the functional $I_{\lambda}:=\Phi-\lambda \Psi$ satisfies (PS)-condition and it is unbounded from below. Then, for each $\lambda \in] 0, \frac{r}{\sup _{\{\Phi(u)<r\}} \Psi(u)}\left[\right.$, the functional $I_{\lambda}$ admits two distinct critical points.

## 3. MAIN RESULTS

In this section we formulate our main results. Let $p \geq 1$ and let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{N}$ be a bounded Euclidean domain, where $N \geq 2$. Further, let $A: \bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and let $A=A(x, \xi)$ be a continuous function in $\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}$, with continuous gradient $a(x, \xi):=\nabla_{\xi} A(x, \xi): \bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N}$, and assume that the following conditions hold:
$\left(\alpha_{1}\right) A(x, 0)=0$ for all $x \in \Omega$;
$\left(\alpha_{2}\right) A$ satisfies $\Lambda_{1}|\xi|^{p} \leq A(x, \xi) \leq \Lambda_{2}|\xi|^{p}$ for all $x \in \bar{\Omega}, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$, where $\Lambda_{1}$ and $\Lambda_{2}$ are positive constants.
$\left(\alpha_{3}\right) a$ satisfies the growth condition $|a(x, \xi)| \leq c\left(1+|\xi|^{p-1}\right)$ for all $x \in \Omega$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, c>0$;
$\left(\alpha_{4}\right) A$ is $p$-uniformly convex, that is

$$
A\left(x, \frac{\xi+\eta}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2} A(x, \xi)+\frac{1}{2} A(x, \eta)-k|\xi-\eta|^{p}
$$

for every $x \in \bar{\Omega}, \xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and some $k>0$. $\left(\alpha_{5}\right) a$ satisfies the strictly monotonicity condition, that is

$$
\left(a\left(x, \xi_{1}\right)-a\left(x, \xi_{2}\right)\right)\left(\xi_{1}-\xi_{2}\right)>0
$$

for all $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2} \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ with $\xi_{1} \neq \xi_{2}$.

Proposition 3.1. The operator $T: X \rightarrow X^{*}$ defined by

$$
T(u)(v):=\int_{\Omega} a(x, \nabla u(x)) \nabla v(x) \mathrm{d} x
$$

for every $u, v \in X$, is strictly monotone.

Proof. Taking into account $\left(\alpha_{5}\right)$, the operator $T$ is strictly monotone.

We recall that $c_{q}$ is the constant of the embedding $X \hookrightarrow L^{q}(\Omega)$ for each $q \in\left[1, p^{*}\left[\right.\right.$, and $c_{1}$ stands for $c_{q}$ with $q=1$; see (2.1). Now, we establish the main abstract result of this paper.

Theorem 3.2. Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function such that condition $\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}\right)$ holds. Moreover, assume that
( $\mathrm{f}_{2}$ ) there exist $\theta>p$ and $M>0$ such that

$$
0<\theta F(t) \leq t f(t)
$$

for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $|t| \geq M$. Then, for each $\lambda \in] 0, \lambda^{\star}[$, problem (1.1) admits at least two distinct weak solutions, where

$$
\lambda^{\star}:=\frac{1}{\|k\|_{\infty}\left(\frac{a_{1} c_{1}}{\Lambda_{1}^{1 / p}}+\frac{a_{2} c_{q}^{q}}{q \Lambda_{1}^{q / p}}\right)} .
$$

Proof. Our aim is to apply Theorem 2.3 to problem (1.1) in the case $r=1$ to the space $X:=W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ with the norm

$$
\|u\|:=\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u(x)|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{1 / p}
$$

and to the functionals $\Phi, \Psi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by
$\Phi(u):=\int_{\Omega} A(x, \nabla u(x)) \mathrm{d} x \quad$ and $\quad \Psi(u):=\int_{\Omega} k(x) F(u(x)) \mathrm{d} x$, for all $u \in X$.
The functional $\Phi$ is in $C^{1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ and $\Phi^{\prime}: X \rightarrow X^{*}$ is strictly monotone (see Proposition 3.1). Now we prove that $\Phi^{\prime}$ is a mapping of type ( $S_{+}$). Let $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ in $X$ and $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)-\Phi^{\prime}(u), u_{n}-u\right\rangle \leq 0$. Then $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $X$ (see Theorem 3.1 of [12]). So, $\Phi^{\prime}$ is a mapping of type $\left(S_{+}\right)$. By Theorem 3.1 from [12], we get that $\Phi^{\prime}: X \rightarrow X^{*}$ is a homeomorphism. Moreover, thanks to condition $\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}\right)$ and to the compact embedding $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{q}(\Omega)$ for each $q \in\left[1, p^{*}\left[\right.\right.$, the functional $\Psi$ is in $C^{1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ and has compact derivative and

$$
\Psi^{\prime}(u)(v)=\int_{\Omega} k(x) f(u(x)) v(x) \mathrm{d} x
$$

for every $v \in X$. Now we prove that $I_{\lambda}=\Phi-\lambda \Psi$ satisfies (PS)-condition for every $\lambda>0$. Namely, we will prove that any sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset X$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
d:=\sup _{n} I_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right)<+\infty, \quad\left\|I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|_{X^{*}} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow+\infty \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

contains a convergent subsequence. For $n$ large enough, we have by (3.1)

$$
d \geq I_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right)=\int_{\Omega} A\left(x, \nabla u_{n}(x)\right) \mathrm{d} x-\lambda \int_{\Omega} k(x) F\left(u_{n}(x)\right) \mathrm{d} x,
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right) \geq & \int_{\Omega} A\left(x, \nabla u_{n}(x)\right) \mathrm{d} x-\frac{\lambda}{\theta} \int_{\Omega} k(x) f\left(u_{n}(x)\right) u_{n}(x) \mathrm{d} x \\
= & \left(1-\frac{1}{\theta}\right) \int_{\Omega} A\left(x, \nabla u_{n}(x)\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& +\frac{1}{\theta}\left(\int_{\Omega} A\left(x, \nabla u_{n}(x)\right) \mathrm{d} x-\lambda \int_{\Omega} k(x) f\left(u_{n}(x)\right) u_{n}(x) \mathrm{d} x\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\geq\left(1-\frac{1}{\theta}\right) \Lambda_{2}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}+\frac{1}{\theta}\left\langle I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle .
$$

Due to (3.1), we can actually assume that $\left|\frac{1}{\theta}\left\langle I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle\right| \leq\left\|u_{n}\right\|$. Thus,

$$
d+\left\|u_{n}\right\| \geq I_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{\theta}\left\langle I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle \geq\left(1-\frac{1}{\theta}\right) \Lambda_{2}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p} .
$$

It follows from this quadratic inequality that $\left\{\left\|u_{n}\right\|\right\}$ is bounded. By the Eberlian-Smulyan theorem, passing to a subsequence if necessary, we can assume that $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$. Then $\Psi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow \Psi^{\prime}(u)$ because of compactness. Since $I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)=\Phi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)-\lambda \Psi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$, then $\Phi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow \lambda \Psi^{\prime}(u)$. Since $\Phi^{\prime}$ is a homeomorphism, then $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ and so $I_{\lambda}$ satisfies (PS)-condition.
From ( $\mathrm{f}_{2}$ ), by standard computations, there is a positive constant $C$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(t) \geq C|t|^{\theta} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $|t|>M$. In fact, setting $a:=\min _{|\xi|=M} F(\xi)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{t}(s):=F(s t), \quad \forall s>0, \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

by ( $\mathrm{f}_{2}$ ), for every $t \in \Omega$ and $|t|>M$ one has

$$
0<\theta \varphi_{t}(s)=\theta F(s t) \leq s t \cdot f(s t)=s \varphi_{t}^{\prime}(s), \quad \forall s>\frac{M}{|t|}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\int_{M /|t|}^{1} \frac{\varphi_{t}^{\prime}(s)}{\varphi_{t}(s)} \mathrm{d} s \geq \int_{M /|t|}^{1} \frac{\theta}{s} \mathrm{~d} s
$$

then

$$
\varphi_{t}(1) \geq \varphi_{t}\left(\frac{M}{|t|}\right) \frac{|t|^{\theta}}{M^{\theta}}
$$

Taking into account of (3.3), we obtain

$$
F(t) \geq F\left(\frac{M}{|t|} t\right) \frac{|t|^{\theta}}{M^{\theta}} \geq a(x) \frac{|t|^{\theta}}{M^{\theta}} \geq C|t|^{\theta}
$$

where $C>0$ is a constant. Thus, (3.2) is proved.
Fixed $u_{0} \in X \backslash\{0\}$, for each $t>1$ one has

$$
I_{\lambda}\left(t u_{0}\right) \leq \Lambda_{2} t^{p}\left\|u_{0}\right\|^{p}-\lambda C t^{\theta} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{0}(x)\right|^{\theta} \mathrm{d} x
$$

Since $\theta>p$, this condition guarantees that $I_{\lambda}$ is unbounded from below. Fixed $\lambda \in] 0, \lambda^{\star}$ [, from condition ( $\alpha_{2}$ ) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|<\left(\frac{r}{\Lambda_{1}}\right)^{1 / p} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $u \in X$ such that $u \in \Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, 1[)$. Moreover, the compact embedding $X \hookrightarrow L^{1}(\Omega),\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}\right),(3.4)$ and the compact embedding $X \hookrightarrow L^{q}(\Omega)$ imply that, for each $u \in \Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, 1[)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi(u) & \leq\|k\|_{\infty}\left(a_{1}\|u\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)}+\frac{a_{2}}{q}\|u\|_{L^{q}(\Omega)}^{q}\right) \\
& \leq\|k\|_{\infty}\left(a_{1} c_{1}\|u\|+\frac{a_{2}}{q}\left(c_{q}\|u\|\right)^{q}\right) \\
& <\|k\|_{\infty}\left(\frac{a_{1} c_{1} r^{1 / p}}{\Lambda_{1}^{1 / p}}+\frac{a_{2} c_{q}^{q} r^{q / p}}{q \Lambda_{1}^{q / p}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

hence, by choosing $r=1$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\Phi(u)<1} \Psi(u) \leq\|k\|_{\infty}\left(\frac{a_{1} c_{1}}{\Lambda_{1}^{1 / p}}+\frac{a_{2} c_{q}^{q}}{q \Lambda_{1}^{q / p}}\right)=\frac{1}{\lambda^{\star}}<\frac{1}{\lambda} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.5) one has

$$
\lambda \in] 0, \lambda^{\star}[\subseteq] 0, \frac{1}{\sup _{\{\Phi(u)<1\}} \Psi(u)}[
$$

So all hypotheses of Theorem 2.3 are verified. Therefore, for each $\lambda \in] 0, \lambda^{\star}[$, the functional $I_{\lambda}$ admits two distinct critical points that are weak solutions of problem (1.1).

Remark 3.3. Theorem 1.1 is an immediately consequence of Theorem 3.2.
Remark 3.4. We observe that, if $f$ is non-negative and $f(0) \neq 0$ in $\Omega$, then Theorem 3.2 ensures the existence of two positive weak solutions for problem (1.1) (see, e.g., [32, Theorem 11.1]).

Remark 3.5. Thanks to Talenti's inequality, it is possible to obtain an estimate of the embedding's constants $c_{1}, c_{q}$. By the Sobolev embedding theorem there exists a positive constant $c$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{L^{p^{*}}(\Omega)} \leq c\|u\|, \quad(\forall u \in X), \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

see [33, Proposition B.7]. The best constant that appears in (3.6) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
c:=\frac{1}{N \sqrt{\pi}}\left(\frac{N!\Gamma\left(\frac{N}{2}\right)}{2 \Gamma\left(\frac{N}{p}\right) \Gamma\left(N+1-\frac{N}{p}\right)}\right)^{1 / N} \eta^{1-1 / p} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\eta:=\frac{N(p-1)}{N-p}
$$

see, for instance, [38].
Due to (3.7), as a simple consequence of Hölder's inequality, it follows that

$$
c_{q} \leq \frac{\operatorname{meas}(\Omega)^{\frac{p^{*}-q}{p^{*} q}}}{N \sqrt{\pi}}\left(\frac{N!\Gamma\left(\frac{N}{2}\right)}{2 \Gamma\left(\frac{N}{p}\right) \Gamma(N+1-N / p)}\right)^{1 / N} \eta^{1-1 / p},
$$

where "meas $(\Omega)$ " denotes the Lebesgue measure of the set $\Omega$.
In conclusion we present the concrete examples of application of Theorem 3.2 whose construction is motivated by [2, Example 4.1].

Example 3.6. We consider the function $f$ defined by

$$
f(t):= \begin{cases}c+d q t^{q-1}, & \text { if } t \geq 0 \\ c-d q(-t)^{q-1}, & \text { if } t<0\end{cases}
$$

for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$, where $1<p<q<p^{*}$ and $c, d$ are two positive constants. For fixed $p<\theta<q$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
r>\max \left\{\left[\frac{(\theta-1) c}{d(q-\theta)}\right]^{h},\left[\frac{c}{d}\right]^{h}\right\} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $h=\frac{1}{q-1}$, we prove that $f$ verifies the assumptions requested in Theorem 3.2. Condition $\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}\right)$ of Theorem 3.2 is easily verified. We observe that

$$
F(t)=c t+d|t|^{q}
$$

for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Taking (3.8) into account, condition $\left(\mathrm{f}_{2}\right)$ is verified (see Example 4.1 of $[2])$ and clearly $f(0) \neq 0$ in $\Omega$,. Therefore, problem (1.1) has at least two non-trivial weak solutions for every $\lambda \in] 0, \lambda^{\star}\left[\right.$, where $\lambda^{\star}$ is the constant introduced in the statement of Theorem 3.2.

Example 3.7. Thanks to Theorem 1.1, the problem

$$
\begin{cases}-\operatorname{div}(a(x, \nabla u))=\lambda\left(u^{3}+1\right), & \text { in } \Omega \\ u=0, & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

admits two positive weak solutions for each $\lambda \in] 0, \lambda^{*}[$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda^{*} & =\frac{1}{\|k\|_{\infty}\left(\frac{c_{1}}{\Lambda_{1}^{1 / p}}+\frac{c_{4}^{4}}{4 \Lambda_{1}^{4 / p}}\right)} \\
& \geq N \sqrt{\pi}\left(\frac{2 \Gamma\left(\frac{N}{p}\right) \Gamma\left(N+1-\frac{N}{p}\right)}{N!\Gamma\left(\frac{N}{2}\right)}\right)^{1 / N} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In fact, it is enough to observe that $f$ satisfies

$$
\lim _{|t| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(t)}{|t|^{3}}=0
$$

and $0<3 F(\xi) \leq \xi f(\xi)$ for all $|\xi| \geq 2$.
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