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#### Abstract

In this paper, we generalize the chaotic characteristic of the logistic function $F_{\mu}$ for $\mu \geq 4$ on its invariant subset to an arbitrary real function. In fact, we describe sufficient conditions for a real arbitrary function to be chaotic on an invariant subset. Then we present some functions that satisfy these sufficient conditions, although these functions have some differences with the logistic function.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

The logistic family $F_{\mu}(x)=\mu x(1-x)$ is a well-known family of polynomials in one dimensional discrete dynamical systems. Although $F_{\mu}$ is expressed with a simple formula, however many different dynamical behaviors are observed in this family as the parameter $\mu$ increases. Specially, when $\mu \geq 4, F_{\mu}$ is chaotic on the invariant set $\Lambda_{\mu}=\cap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_{\mu}^{-n}([0,1])$. The study of this chaotic behavior is done in several ways. A well known theorem from complex dynamics shows that when the orbit of each critical point of a polynomial tends to infinity, then the Julia set of the polynomial is totally disconnected. Thus $\Lambda_{\mu}$ that is the Julia set of $F_{\mu}$ for $\mu>4$ is totally disconnected (see [4, Theorem 9.8.1]). Henry in [11] proves that for $\mu>4$, the Lebesgue measure of $\Lambda_{\mu}$ is zero, therefore $\Lambda_{\mu}$ does not contain any interval. The totally disconnectedness of $\Lambda_{\mu}$ for $\mu>4$ can be proved by using [10, Proposition 2.8] and negativeness of the Schwarzian derivative of $\Lambda_{\mu}$. In [13] Robinson shows, by using Schwarz Lemma from complex analysis, that for $\mu>4, \Lambda_{\mu}$ is a Cantor set. In [12] it is shown that when $\mu>4, \Lambda_{\mu}$ is a Cantor set and $\left.F_{\mu}\right|_{\Lambda_{\mu}}$ is chaotic by employing the negative Schwarzian derivative and the Return Lemma. Glendinning [9], by conjugating $F_{\mu}$, gives an estimate of the expansion rate of the function on the invariant set by employing mathematical techniques of elementary calculus. In [3] Aulbach and Kieninger give an elementary and self-contained proof

[^0]of the hyperbolicity of $\Lambda_{\mu}$ for $\mu>4$. They also prove that $F_{\mu}$ is chaotic on $\Lambda_{\mu}$. In [7] it is proved, when $\mu=4$, for each interval $J \subseteq[0,1]$ there is some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $F_{4}^{n}(J) \supseteq[0,1]$ and it is deduced that $F_{4}$ is chaotic on $[0,1]$. In this method the first return map and the negative Schwarzian derivative play essential roles.

In this paper we recognize the main features that cause the logistic function $F_{\mu}$ to be chaotic on $\Lambda_{\mu}$, and based on them we introduce some sufficient conditions for a real function $f$ in order to be chaotic on an invariant subset of its domain. We call this function a generalized logistic function since the dynamics of $f$ restricted to this invariant subset is similar to $\left.F_{\mu}\right|_{\Lambda_{\mu}}$ when $\mu \geq 4$. Although, for $\mu>1$, the logistic function $F_{\mu}$ is concave downward and 0 is a repelling fixed point, we show that for a generalized logistic function $f, f^{\prime}(0)=1$ is possible and there is no such restriction on the concavity of $f$ (see Section 4, Example 2). Also, we do not consider the behavior of $f$ on the complement of the invariant subset (see Section 4, Examples 2 and 3). We introduce these conditions and their conclusions in Section 2. We show in Section 3, Theorem 1 , that for a generalized logistic function there is some invariant subset on which it is chaotic. The method that is used has been adapted from [7] where it is employed for $F_{4}$. In Section 4 some examples of the generalized logistic functions are presented.

We next describe our terminology and notations. Let $I$ be an interval and $f: I \rightarrow I$ be a $C^{1}$ function. By $f^{n}$ we mean $f \circ f^{n-1}$, where $f^{0}$ is the identity function. A point $x_{0} \in I$ is called a fixed point of $f$ if $f\left(x_{0}\right)=x_{0}$ and it is called a periodic point of $f$ of period $n$, if $n$ is the least natural number that $f^{n}\left(x_{0}\right)=x_{0}$. In this case, the set $\left\{x_{0}, f\left(x_{0}\right), f^{2}\left(x_{0}\right), \cdots, f^{n-1}\left(x_{0}\right)\right\}$ is called a cycle of period $n$. The basin of this cycle is $\cup_{i=0}^{n-1}\left\{x: \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} f^{k n}(x)=\right.$ $\left.f^{i}\left(x_{0}\right)\right\}$. The immediate basin of this cycle is the union of the connected components of its basin which contain a point of the cycle. A cycle $\left\{f^{i}\left(x_{0}\right)\right.$ : $0 \leq i \leq n-1\}$ is called an attracting cycle, repelling cycle, or neutral cycle if $\left|\left(f^{n}\right)^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right|<1,\left|\left(f^{n}\right)^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right|>1$, or $\left|\left(f^{n}\right)^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right|=1$, respectively.

Let $f$ be a $C^{3}$ function such that $f^{\prime}(x) \neq 0$. The Schwarzian derivative of $f$ at $x$ is defined by:

$$
S f(x)=\frac{f^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)}{f^{\prime}(x)}-\frac{3}{2}\left(\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(x)}{f^{\prime}(x)}\right)^{2}
$$

Every function $f: I \rightarrow I$ with negative Schwarzian derivative has the following properties (see $[7,6]$ for details):

1. The Schwarzian derivative of $f^{n}$ is negative.
2. $f^{\prime}$ does not have a positive local minimum or a negative local maximum.
3. The immediate basin of a neutral cycle of $f$ contains some intervals.
4. The immediate basin of any attracting (neutral) cycle contains either a critical point of $f$ or a boundary point of the interval $I$.
5. If $f$ has finitely many critical points then it has finitely many periodic points of period $m$ for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

For $\Lambda \subseteq I$, a function $f: \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$ is called chaotic on $\Lambda$ if the following three conditions hold (see [7] for more details):

1. The set of the periodic points of $f$ is dense in $\Lambda$.
2. $f$ is topologically transitive (i.e., for every pair of open subsets $U$ and $V$ of $\Lambda$, there exists some $k>0$ such that $\left.f^{k}(U) \cap V \neq \emptyset\right)$.
3. $f$ has sensitive dependence on initial conditions (i.e., there exists $\delta>0$ such that for any $x \in \Lambda$ and any open subset $U$ of $\Lambda$ containing $x$, there exist $y \in U$ and $n \geq 0$ such that $\left.\left|f^{n}(x)-f^{n}(y)\right|>\delta\right)$.

## 2. PROPERTIES OF A GENERALIZED LOGISTIC FUNCTION

In this section we introduce a real function $f$ on an interval $I$ that satisfies some conditions which make $f$ to be chaotic on an invariant subset of $I$. We introduce these conditions in several steps and in each step we derive the properties of $f$.

Let $I$ be an interval, $f: I \rightarrow I$ be a continuous function, and $[0,1] \subseteq I$. In the first step we suppose that $f$ satisfies the following conditions.
(c1) $\left.f\right|_{[0,1]}$ is a $C^{3}$ function.
(c2) $f(0)=f(1)=0$.
(c3) $f$ has just one critical point $c$ in the interval $(0,1)$ and $f(c) \geq 1$.
Note that under these conditions, $f(x)>0$, for $x \in(0,1)$ and the critical point $c$ is the maximum point of $f$ on the interval $[0,1]$, consequently $f$ is strictly increasing on $[0, c]$ and strictly decreasing on $[c, 1]$, as well. Therefore $f$ has only one non zero fixed point $p$ in the interval $(c, 1)$, since $f(1)-1<0$ and $f(c)-c \geq 1-c>0$.

The following conditions guarantee that $f$ has no fixed point in interval $(0, c)$.
(c4) $f(x)>x$ for every positive $x$ that is close enough to 0 .
(c5) $\left.f\right|_{(0,1)}$ has negative Schwarzian derivative.

Suppose that now $f$ satisfies conditions (c1)-(c5). Since $\left.f\right|_{(0,1)}$ has negative Schwarzian derivative, the number of fixed points of $f$ is finite in $[0,1]$. If $f$ has some fixed points in interval $(0, c)$, then we call the least fixed point in interval $(0, c)$ by $x_{0}$. Now, condition (c4) guarantees $f(x)>x$, for $x \in\left(0, x_{0}\right)$. Thus $x_{0}$ is attracting from the left and there must be a critical point in the immediate basin of $x_{0}$, that is a contradiction. Therefore, $f$ has no fixed point on the interval $(0, c)$.

Also, under the conditions (c1)-(c3) the equation $f(x)=a$ with $0 \leq a<$ $f(c)$ has exactly two solutions in the interval [ 0,1$]$. Let $\hat{q} \leq q$ be the solutions of $f(x)=1$ in the interval $[0,1]$, and $0<\hat{p}<p$ be such that $f(\hat{p})=f(p)=p$. Note that if $f(c)=1$, then $\hat{q}=q=c$, also note that $\hat{p}<\hat{q} \leq q<p$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda=\left\{x \in[0,1]: f^{n}(x) \in[0,1] \text { for all } n \in \mathbb{N}\right\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It can be shown that $f(\Lambda)=\Lambda$. Thus $\Lambda$ is an invariant subset of $[0,1]$ under $f$. In order to prove that $f$ is chaotic on $\Lambda$, we need further assumptions to guarantee that for each $x \in(\hat{p}, \hat{q}) \cup(q, p)$ there is some $n$ such that $\left|\left(f^{n}\right)^{\prime}(x)\right|>$ 1. Hence we suppose $f$ satisfies the following conditions as well.
(c6) $f^{\prime}(\hat{p}) \geq 1$, where $0<\hat{p}<1$ is the preimage of the non-zero fixed point $p$. (c7) $\hat{p} \geq \max \{p-q, \hat{q}-\hat{p}\}$, where $0<\hat{q} \leq q<1$ are the preimages of 1 .

We call a function $f$ that satisfies conditions (c1)-(c7) a generalized logistic function. In the next section, we study the dynamics of $\left.f\right|_{\Lambda}$.

Remark 1. Note that in this section by some modifications in the conditions, we can choose any other interval $\left[x_{0}, x_{1}\right]$ instead of interval $[0,1]$. More precisely, in conditions (c1)-(c5) we should replace 0 with $x_{0}$ and 1 with $x_{1}$, in (c6) we should replace $0<\hat{p}<1$ with $x_{0}<\hat{p}<x_{1}$, and also in condition (c7) we should replace $\hat{p} \geq \max \{p-q, \hat{q}-\hat{p}\}$ with $\hat{p}-x_{0} \geq \max \{p-q, \hat{q}-\hat{p}\}$.

Remark 2. Note that under the conditions (c2) and (c3) when $f(c)>1$, there exists a compact set $X \subseteq I$ such that $f(X)=X$ and $f: X \rightarrow X$ is semi-conjugate to $\sigma: \Sigma_{2} \rightarrow \Sigma_{2}$ (see [5, Proposition 15. page 35] for details), and when $f(c)>1$ and $f^{\prime}(0)>1$, for each neighborhood $U$ of 0 , there is an integer $n>0$ such that $f^{n}$ has a hyperbolic invariant subset in $U$ on which $f^{n}$ is topologically conjugate to $\sigma: \Sigma_{2} \rightarrow \Sigma_{2}$ (see [7, Theorem 16.5] for details).

## 3. CHAOS IN A GENERALIZED LOGISTIC FUNCTION

Our aim in this section is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1. Suppose that $f$ is a generalized logistic function. Let $\Lambda$ be as defined in (1). Then $f$ is chaotic on $\Lambda$.

We use the following lemmas to prove Theorem 1. In these lemmas we suppose that $f$ is a generalized logistic function and $\Lambda$ is as defined in (1).

Lemma 2. Suppose $U$ is an open interval in $[0,1]$ and $0 \in U$. Then there are some closed interval $U^{\prime} \subseteq U$ and some $n \geq 1$ such that $0 \in U^{\prime}$, $f^{n}\left(U^{\prime}\right)=[0,1]$ and $f^{k}\left(U^{\prime}\right) \subseteq[0, \hat{q}]$ for $k=0,1, \cdots, n-1$.

Proof. Note that $f:[0, \hat{q}] \rightarrow[0,1]$ is increasing and by (c4) the fixed point 0 is an attracting fixed point from the right of $\left(\left.f\right|_{[0, \hat{q}]}\right)^{-1}$. Thus there is the decreasing sequence $\left(\hat{q}_{-n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $f\left(\hat{q}_{-n}\right)=\hat{q}_{-n+1}$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{q}_{-n}=0$ where $\hat{q}_{0}=\hat{q}$. Therefore there are some closed interval $U^{\prime} \subseteq U$ and some $n \geq 1$ such that $0 \in U^{\prime}, f^{n}\left(U^{\prime}\right)=[0,1]$ and $f^{k}\left(U^{\prime}\right) \subseteq[0, \hat{q}]$ for $k=0,1, \cdots, n-1$.

Remark 3. Note that by (c5) and this fact that $f$ is strictly increasing on $[0, c]$ and strictly decreasing on $[c, 1]$, we can conclude that $f^{\prime}(p)<-1$.

Lemma 3. Suppose $U \subseteq[0,1]$ is an open interval that contains $p$. Then there are some closed interval $U^{\prime} \subseteq U$ and some $n \geq 1$ such that $p \in U^{\prime}$, $f^{n}\left(U^{\prime}\right) \supseteq[0,1]$ and $f^{k}\left(U^{\prime}\right) \subseteq[0,1]$ for $k=0,1, \cdots, n-1$

Proof. Let $x \in U \cap(p, 1)$ such that for all $t \in(f(x), x), f^{\prime}(t)<-1$. Since $f$ is strictly decreasing on $[c, 1]$ and $S f<0$, there is the least $n_{0}$ such that $f^{2 n_{0}+1}(x) \leq q$, otherwise $f$ has an attracting (neutral) 2-cycle in $(q, 1)$ which is a contradiction.

Next note that $q<f^{k}(x)<1$, for $k=0,1, \cdots, 2 n_{0}$. Therefore, there is $z \in(p, x)$ such that $f^{2 n_{0}+1}(z)=q$. Let $U^{\prime}=[p, z]$. Then $f^{2 n_{0}+4}\left(U^{\prime}\right) \supseteq[0,1]$ and $f^{k}\left(U^{\prime}\right) \subseteq[0,1]$, for $k=0,1, \cdots, 2 n_{0}+3$. Therefore there are some closed interval $U^{\prime} \subseteq U$ and some $n \geq 1$ such that $p \in U^{\prime}, f^{n}\left(U^{\prime}\right) \supseteq[0,1]$ and $f^{k}\left(U^{\prime}\right) \subseteq[0,1]$, for $k=0,1, \cdots, n-1$.

Here we use the method of [7] in partitioning the set $(\hat{p}, \hat{q}) \cup(q, p)$. Note that $f(q, p)=(p, 1)$ and $f(p, 1)=(0, p)$, thus there is an interval $A_{2}=$ $\left[a_{2}, b_{2}\right) \subset(q, p)$ such that $f^{2}\left(A_{2}\right)=[\hat{p}, p)$. Let the subset whose image under $f^{2}$ is $(0, \hat{p})$ be $W_{2}=\left(q, a_{2}\right)$. Moreover, $f(0, \hat{p})=(0, p)$, therefore there is an interval $A_{3} \subseteq W_{2}$ such that $f^{3}\left(A_{3}\right)=[\hat{p}, p)$. By continuing this process we construct subsets $A_{n}$ and $W_{n}$ for $n \geq 2$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
q<\cdots<b_{n}<\cdots<b_{3}<b_{2}=p, b_{n+1}=a_{n} \\
W_{n}=\left(q, a_{n}\right), A_{n}=\left[a_{n}, b_{n}\right) \\
f^{n}\left(A_{n}\right)=[\hat{p}, p), f^{n}\left(W_{n}\right)=(0, \hat{p})
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\cup A_{n}=(q, p)
$$

Note that $b_{n}-a_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
Since $f^{n}\left(\left[a_{n}, b_{n}\right)\right)=[\hat{p}, p)$, we have

$$
\frac{\left|f^{n}\left(a_{n}\right)-f^{n}\left(b_{n}\right)\right|}{\left|a_{n}-b_{n}\right|}>\frac{p-\hat{p}}{p-q}>1,
$$

also, $f^{n}\left(\left(q, a_{n}\right)\right)=(0, \hat{p})$ and by condition (c7),

$$
\frac{\left|f^{n}\left(a_{n}\right)-f^{n}(q)\right|}{\left|a_{n}-q\right|}>\frac{\hat{p}}{p-q} \geq 1 .
$$

By the Mean Value Theorem we have $\left|\left(f^{n}\right)^{\prime}\left(c_{n}\right)\right|>1$ and $\left|\left(f^{n}\right)^{\prime}\left(d_{n}\right)\right|>1$ for some $c_{n} \in\left(a_{n}, b_{n}\right)$ and $d_{n} \in\left(q, a_{n}\right)$. Since the Schwarzian derivative of $\left.f\right|_{(0,1)}$ is negative, we conclude that $\left|\left(f^{n}\right)^{\prime}\left(a_{n}\right)\right|>1$. Since $b_{n}=a_{n-1}$, for $n \geq 3$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left(f^{n}\right)^{\prime}\left(b_{n}\right)\right| & =\left|f^{\prime}\left(f^{n-1}\left(b_{n}\right)\right)\right|\left|\left(f^{n-1}\right)^{\prime}\left(b_{n}\right)\right| \\
& =\left|f^{\prime}\left(f^{n-1}\left(a_{n-1}\right)\right)\right|\left|\left(f^{n-1}\right)^{\prime}\left(a_{n-1}\right)\right| \\
& =\left|f^{\prime}(\hat{p})\right|\left|\left(f^{n-1}\right)^{\prime}\left(a_{n-1}\right)\right|>1
\end{aligned}
$$

Also note that $\left|\left(f^{2}\right)^{\prime}\left(b_{2}\right)\right|=\left|\left(f^{2}\right)^{\prime}(p)\right|>1$. Therefore if $x \in\left[a_{n}, b_{n}\right)$, then $\left|\left(f^{n}\right)^{\prime}(x)\right|>1$.
The subintervals $\hat{W}_{n}$ and $\hat{A}_{n}$ in $(\hat{p}, \hat{q})$ are constructed in a similar way. Note that condition (c6) guarantees $\left|\left(f^{2}\right)^{\prime}\left(\hat{b_{2}}\right)\right|>1$, as well. See [7] for more details.

Now suppose that the open interval $U$ is a subset of $(\hat{p}, \hat{q}) \cup(q, p)$ and $U \cap \Lambda \neq \emptyset$. In this case we are going to show that there is some $n \geq 2$ such that $f^{n}(U) \supseteq[0,1]$. In the proof of the following lemma, we use the fact that $f^{n}$ is expanding on $\left[a_{n}, b_{n}\right)$.

Lemma 4. Let $U \subseteq(\hat{p}, \hat{q}) \cup(q, p)$ be an open interval such that $U \cap \Lambda \neq \emptyset$. Then there are a closed interval $U^{\prime} \subseteq U$ and an $n \geq 1$ such that $f^{n}\left(U^{\prime}\right) \supseteq[0,1]$ and $f^{k}\left(U^{\prime}\right) \subseteq[0,1]$ for $k=0,1, \cdots, n-1$.

Proof. Let $U \subseteq(q, p)$. If there exists some $m$ such that $f^{m}(U)$ contains $\hat{b}_{k}$ or $b_{k}$ for some $k \geq 2$, then $p \in f^{k+m}(U)$ and the claim holds by Lemma 3 . Otherwise let $U_{0}=U \subseteq\left(a_{n_{0}}, b_{n_{0}}\right)$ and $U_{1}=f^{n_{0}}\left(U_{0}\right) \subseteq\left(\hat{b}_{n_{1}}, \hat{a}_{n_{1}}\right) \cup\left(a_{n_{1}}, b_{n_{1}}\right)$. Note that $U \cap \Lambda \neq \emptyset$, therefore $f^{n}(U) \nsubseteq(\hat{q}, q)$ for all $n$. By induction on $k$, the sequences $\left(U_{k}\right)$ of open intervals and $\left(n_{k}\right)$ of integers are constructed such that $U_{k+1}=f^{n_{k}}\left(U_{k}\right) \subseteq\left(\hat{b}_{n_{k+1}}, \hat{a}_{n_{k+1}}\right) \cup\left(a_{n_{k+1}}, b_{n_{k+1}}\right)$ and $b_{n}, \hat{b}_{n} \notin U_{k}$ for all $n$ and all $k$.

This is impossible, since $f^{n}$ is expanding on $\left(\hat{b}_{n}, \hat{a}_{n}\right) \cup\left(a_{n}, b_{n}\right)$ and the length of $\left(\hat{b}_{n}, \hat{a}_{n}\right) \cup\left(a_{n}, b_{n}\right)$ tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 5. If $U$ is an open interval in $[0,1]$ such that $U \cap \Lambda \neq \emptyset$. Then there are a closed interval $U^{\prime} \subseteq U$ and an $n \geq 1$ such that $f^{n}\left(U^{\prime}\right) \supseteq[0,1]$ and $f^{k}\left(U^{\prime}\right) \subseteq[0,1]$ for $k=0,1, \cdots, n-1$.

Proof. Note that $f(\hat{p})=f(p)=p$ and $f(1)=0$. Thus, by Lemmas 2-4, it is enough that we consider the case $U \subseteq(0, \hat{p}) \cup(p, 1)$. Now let $x \in U \cap \Lambda \subseteq$ $(0, \hat{p})$. The sequence $\left(f^{n}(x)\right)_{n \geq 0}$ is increasing, so $f^{n}(x)>\hat{p}$, for some $n \geq 1$. Thus $f^{n}(x) \in(\hat{p}, \hat{q}) \cup(q, p)$, for some $n \geq 1$. Choose an open interval $V \subseteq U$ containing $x$ such that $f^{n}(V) \subseteq(\hat{p}, \hat{q}) \cup(q, p)$ is an open interval and then use lemma 4 for $f^{n}(V)$. The case $U \subseteq(p, 1)$ is clear, since $f(p, 1)=(0, p)$.

The following lemma is useful in proving the existence of a fixed point in a closed interval.

Lemma 6 ([2, Theorem 3.17]). Suppose $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function and $I$ is a closed interval such that $g(I) \supseteq I$. Then $g$ has a fixed point in $I$.

We use the following lemma to prove that $f$ is transitive and has sensitive dependence on initial conditions.

Lemma 7. Let $A$ be a bounded closed subset of $\mathbb{R}$ and $g: A \rightarrow A$ be a continuous function. Suppose for every open subset $U \subseteq A$, there is some $n$ such that $g^{n}(U)=A$. Then $g$ is transitive and has sensitive dependence on initial conditions.

Proof. Let $a=\inf A, b=\sup A$ and $\delta=(b-a) / 4$. Suppose $x \in A$ and the open subset $U$ contains $x$. Then $g^{n}(U)=A$ for some $n$. We choose $z \in A$ and $y \in U$ such that $\left|g^{n}(x)-z\right|>\delta$ and $z=g^{n}(y)$. Thus $g$ has sensitive dependence on initial conditions. Also for every open set $V$, we have $g^{n}(U) \cap V \neq \emptyset$. Hence $g$ is transitive.

We are ready to prove our main result.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $U_{1}$ be an open subset in $\Lambda$, i.e., $U_{1}=U \cap \Lambda$ for some open interval in $[0,1]$. By Lemma 5 , there are a closed interval $U^{\prime} \subseteq U$ and an $n \geq 1$ such that $f^{n}\left(U^{\prime}\right) \supseteq[0,1]$ and $f^{k}\left(U^{\prime}\right) \subseteq[0,1]$ for $k=0,1, \cdots, n-1$. Thus, by Lemma $6, U^{\prime}$ and hence $U_{1}$ contains a periodic point of $\left.f\right|_{\Lambda}$. Also, since $f(\Lambda)=\Lambda$, we have $f^{n}\left(U_{1}\right) \subseteq \Lambda$. For every $y \in \Lambda$ there is some $x \in U^{\prime}$ such that $f^{n}(x)=y$ and $f^{i}(x) \in[0,1]$ for $i=0,1, \cdots, n-1$. Therefore $x \in \Lambda$ and consequently $x \in U_{1}$. Hence $f^{n}\left(U_{1}\right)=\Lambda$. Therefore by Lemma $7, f$ is transitive and has sensitive dependence on initial conditions on $\Lambda$.

Thus $f$ is chaotic on $\Lambda$.


Fig. 1 - The graphs of $F_{5}, f_{-7 / 2}$ and $S_{3 \pi / 2}$, respectively from left to right

## 4. EXAMPLES

In this section we present three examples of the generalized logistic function. Therefore they are chaotic on $\Lambda$ as defined in (1). The graphs and graphical analysis of these examples are shown in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2.

Example 1. Let $F_{\mu}(x)=\mu x(1-x), \mu \geq 4$.
Then, $F_{\mu}(x)$ satisfies Conditions (c1)-(c4). Also, we have

1. $S F_{\mu}(x)=-(3 / 2)(2 /(1-2 x))^{2}<0$.
2. The non-zero fixed point is $p=(\mu-1) / \mu$.
3. $\hat{p}=1 / \mu, \hat{q}=\left(\mu-\sqrt{\mu^{2}-4 \mu}\right) /(2 \mu)$ and $q=\left(\mu+\sqrt{\mu^{2}-4 \mu}\right) /(2 \mu)$.
4. $F_{\mu}^{\prime}(\hat{p})=\mu-2>1$.

Finally, standard calculation shows that $2 \hat{p} \geq \hat{q}$, for $\mu \geq 4$. Hence (c7) is satisfied since $p-q=\hat{q}-\hat{p}$. Therefore $F_{\mu}$ is chaotic on $\Lambda_{\mu}$, where $\Lambda_{\mu}=\{x \in$ $[0,1]: F_{\mu}^{n}(x) \in[0,1]$ for all $\left.n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$.

Note that $F_{\mu}^{\prime}(0)=\mu>1$. Thus, 0 is a repelling fixed point. Also, $F_{\mu}$ is concave downward and if for some $n, F_{\mu}^{n}(x) \notin[0,1]$, then $F_{\mu}^{m}(x) \notin[0,1]$ for all $m \geq n$, and $\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} F_{\mu}^{m}(x)=-\infty$.

In the next two examples $[0,1]$ is replaced with another suitable interval.
Example 2. Let $f_{a}(x)=a x^{2}(x-1)+x,-3.59 \leq a \leq-3.42$.
The dynamics of the family $f_{a}(x), a \neq 0$ has been studied in [1]. It has been shown that $f_{a}$ is chaotic on $\Lambda_{a}$, for $-3.59 \leq a \leq-3.42$, where $\Lambda_{a}=\left\{x \in\left[0, x_{1}\right]: f_{a}^{n}(x) \in\left[0, x_{1}\right] ; \forall n \geq 1\right\}, x_{1}>0$ and $f_{a}\left(x_{1}\right)=f_{a}(0)=0$.

In this example 0 is a neutral fixed point of $f_{a}$ and it is repelling from the right and attracting from the left, thus (c4) is satisfied. The function $f_{a}$ has only one critical point $c_{1}$ in $\left[0, x_{1}\right]$ and $f_{a}\left(c_{1}\right) \geq x_{1}$, hence (c3) is satisfied.


Fig. 2 - Graphical analysis of $F_{4}, f_{-3.42}$ and $S_{\pi}$, respectively from left to right.

Also, by [1, Lemma 2.3], condition (c7) is satisfied. The investigation of the other conditions is straightforward.

In contrary to the logistic function, the concavity of $f_{a}$ changes in $\left[0, x_{1}\right]$, $f_{a}^{\prime}(0)=1$ and when $-3.59 \leq a \leq-3.42$, the points of interval $\left[0, x_{1}\right]$ whose orbits leave $\left[0, x_{1}\right]$ are attracted to 0 .

Example 3. Let $S_{\lambda}(x)=\lambda \sin x, \pi \leq \lambda \leq \sqrt{1+\pi^{2}}$.
One can show that

1. $\left.S_{\lambda}\right|_{[0, \pi]}$ is a $C^{3}$ function.
2. $S_{\lambda}(0)=S_{\lambda}(\pi)=0$.
3. $S_{\lambda}$ has just one critical point $c=\pi / 2$ in interval $(0, \pi)$, and $S_{\lambda}(c) \geq \pi$.
4. 0 is a repelling fixed point.
5. $\left.S_{\lambda}\right|_{(0, \pi)}$ has negative Schwarzian derivative.

Let $p, \hat{p}, q$ and $\hat{q}$ have the similar roles that defined in Section 2. Thus $\sin \hat{p}=p / \lambda$. Then for $0<x<p$, we have $S_{\lambda}(x)>x$ and for $p<x<\pi$, we have $S_{\lambda}(x)<x$. Now, to verify (c6) and (c7), note that for $\pi \leq \lambda \leq \sqrt{1+\pi^{2}}$, we have $S_{\lambda}(3 \pi / 4)<3 \pi / 4$, and thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
p<\frac{3 \pi}{4} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2) we conclude $p^{2}<\pi^{2}-1 \leq \lambda^{2}-1$. Hence $S_{\lambda}^{\prime}(\hat{p})=\lambda \cos \hat{p} \geq 1$ Thus (c6) is satisfied. Also, from (2) we conclude $\pi-p>p-\frac{\pi}{2}$, thus $\hat{p}=\pi-p>$ $p-(\pi / 2)>p-q=\hat{q}-\hat{p}$. Therefore (c7) is satisfied too.

Note that in contrary to the other two examples there are some points in $[0, \pi]$ whose orbits leave $[0, \pi]$ and return to it frequently.
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