

GENERALIZED TRIBONACCI DIOPHANTINE QUADRUPLES

NURETTIN IRMAK

Communicated by Alexandru Zaharescu

Let $(t_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be defined by the recurrence $t_n = At_{n-1} + t_{n-2} + t_{n-3}$ with $t_0 = 0$, $t_1 = 1$, $t_2 = A$ and $A \geq 2$ integer. In this paper, we prove that there does not exist integers $1 \leq a_1 < a_2 < a_3 < a_4$ such that $a_1a_2 + 1$, $a_2a_3 + 1$, $a_3a_4 + 1$ and $a_1a_4 + 1$ are Tribonacci numbers.

AMS 2010 Subject Classification: 11D72, 11B39.

Key words: Tribonacci number, diophantine quadruples.

1. INTRODUCTION

A Diophantine m -tuple is a set $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ of positive integers such that $a_i a_j + 1$ is a square for all $1 \leq i < j \leq m$. Diophantus found a rational quadruple $\{\frac{1}{16}, \frac{33}{16}, \frac{68}{16}, \frac{105}{16}\}$. Fermat found the $\{1, 3, 8, 120\}$ as the first Diophantine quadruple. The numbers 1, 3, 8 in Fermat's set can be viewed as three consecutive Fibonacci numbers with even subscript.

A famous conjecture is that no quintuple exists. A closely related theorem of Dujella states that there are only finitely many quintuples.

A variant of the problem is obtained if one replaces the squares by the terms of a given binary recurrence. Firstly, Luca and Szalay [7, 8] put Fibonacci and Lucas numbers instead of the squares and showed that there is no Fibonacci Diophantine triple and $\{1, 2, 3\}$ is the only Lucas Diophantine triples. Alp, Irmak and Szalay [1] proved afterwards that there are no balancing Diophantine triples. Fuchs, Luca and Szalay [3] gave the necessary conditions to have the finitely many solutions in the case of replacing the member of second order sequence instead of squares. Moreover, Irmak and Szalay [5] showed that there is no triple $0 < a < b < c$ satisfying the following system of equations

$$ab + 1 = u_x$$

$$ac + 1 = u_y$$

$$bc + 1 = u_z$$

such that $u_n = Au_{n-1} - u_{n-2}$ with $u_0 = 0$ and $u_1 = 1$ where $A \neq 2$ is an integer. In the sequel, Gómez and Luca [4] solved the following system of equations

$$a_1 a_2 + 1 = T_x$$

$$\begin{aligned} a_2a_3 + 1 &= T_y \\ a_3a_4 + 1 &= T_z \\ a_1a_4 + 1 &= T_w \end{aligned}$$

and found no quadruple $0 < a_1 < a_2 < a_3 < a_4$ where T_x, T_y, T_z and T_w are Tribonacci numbers.

In this paper, we generalize the problem of Ruiz and Luca. Namely, we investigate the solutions of the following equation system

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} a_1a_2 + 1 &= t_x \\ a_2a_3 + 1 &= t_y \\ a_3a_4 + 1 &= t_z \\ a_1a_4 + 1 &= t_w \end{aligned}$$

where $0 < a_1 < a_2 < a_3 < a_4$ are integers. Before going further, we define the generalized Tribonacci sequence $(t_n)_{n \geq 0}$ by the following recurrence relation

$$(2) \quad t_n = At_{n-1} + t_{n-2} + t_{n-3}$$

with the initial conditions $t_0 = 0, t_1 = 1$ and $t_2 = A$ where A is a positive integer.

Our result is the following,

THEOREM 1.1. *There is no solution of the equation system (1) with $A \geq 2$.*

2. PRELIMINARIES

Before proceeding further, some considerations will be needed for the convenience of the reader. First lemma is about the Binet form of the terms of the sequence $(t_n)_{n \geq 0}$.

LEMMA 2.1. *The Binet-type formula of the generalized Tribonacci sequence $(t_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is*

$$(3) \quad t_n = \sum_{k=1}^3 g(k, A) \alpha_k^n$$

where $g(k, A) = \frac{\alpha_k - A}{(A+3)\alpha_k^2 - A(A+5)\alpha_k + (A-1)(2A+1)}$ and the elements α_1, α_2 and α_3 are the roots of the characteristic equation $x^3 - Ax^2 - x - 1 = 0$.

Proof. The terms of the sequence $(t_n)_{n \geq 0}$ can be expressed by linear combination of the roots of the characteristic equation $x^3 - Ax^2 - x - 1 = 0$. Namely,

$$(4) \quad t_n = X\alpha_1^n + Y\alpha_2^n + Z\alpha_3^n.$$

Applying the initial conditions $t_0 = 0$, $t_1 = 1$ and $t_2 = A$ to the equation (4), we obtain the coefficient $X = \frac{\alpha_1}{(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)(\alpha_1 - \alpha_3)}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} X &= \frac{\alpha_1}{(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)(\alpha_1 - \alpha_3)} = \frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_1^2 - \alpha_1(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3) + \alpha_2\alpha_3} \\ &= \frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_1^2 - \alpha_1(A - \alpha_1) + (-1 - A\alpha_1 + \alpha_1^2)} = \frac{1}{\alpha_2\alpha_3(3\alpha_1^2 - 2A\alpha_1 - 1)} \\ &= \frac{\alpha_1 - A}{(A + 3)\alpha_1^2 - A(A + 5)\alpha_1 + (A - 1)(2A + 1)} \end{aligned}$$

follows. The other coefficients can be found by similar way. \square

When we put $A = 1$ in the equation (3), it coincides with the Binet formula of 3-generalized Fibonacci sequence which is given by the paper of Dresden and Du [2].

LEMMA 2.2. *Let $A, s \in \mathbb{N}$, $s \geq 2$ and let*

$$f(x) = x^s - Ax^{s-1} - x^{s-2} - \dots - x - 1.$$

Then

- (a) $f(x)$ has exactly one positive simple root $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ with $A < \alpha < A + 1$
- (b) the remaining $s - 1$ roots of $f(x)$ lie within the unit circle in the complex plane.

Proof. See Lemma 2 in [6]. \square

Since $|\alpha_2| = |\alpha_3| < 1$, then the contribution of the roots α_2 and α_3 will quickly become trivial in the equation (3). That is,

$$(5) \quad t_n \cong g(1, A)\alpha_1^n$$

holds for n sufficiently large.

The next lemma presents the upper and lower bounds for the terms of the sequence $\{t_n\}$.

LEMMA 2.3. *Let $A \geq 2$ and $\alpha = \alpha_1$ be the dominant root of the equation $x^3 - Ax^2 - x - 1 = 0$. Then the following inequality*

$$(6) \quad \alpha^{n-2} \leq t_n < \alpha^{n-0.51}$$

holds for $n \geq 1$.

Proof. It is obvious that $\alpha^{n-2} \leq t_n$. Since $t_n = g(1, A)\alpha^n + g(2, A)\alpha_2^n + g(3, A)\alpha_3^n$, then

$$t_n = g(1, A)\alpha^n \left(1 + \frac{g(2, A)}{g(1, A)} \left(\frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha}\right)^n + \frac{g(3, A)}{g(1, A)} \left(\frac{\alpha_3}{\alpha}\right)^n \right) \leq 2g(1, A)\alpha^n$$

follows. So, we get that

$$t_n < 2g(1, A) \alpha^n = \alpha^{n+\log_\alpha 2g(1,A)}.$$

As the function $\log_\alpha 2g(1, A)$ is decreasing (since $\frac{d}{d\alpha} \log_\alpha 2g(1, A) < 0$), then it takes the maximum value at $A = 2$. So, we have

$$t_n < \alpha^{n-0.51}$$

as claimed. \square

LEMMA 2.4. *Let $A \geq 2$. For $n \geq 1$, the following inequality holds*

$$|t_n - g(1, A) \alpha^n| \leq 0.52.$$

Proof. Let $E_n = t_n - g(1, A) \alpha^n$. Since $t_n = At_{n-1} + t_{n-2} + t_{n-3}$ and $\alpha^n = A\alpha^{n-1} + \alpha^{n-2} + \alpha^{n-3}$ hold, then we have $E_n = AE_{n-1} + E_{n-2} + E_{n-3}$ for $n \geq 3$. Now, we will follow the method of the proof of Theorem 2 in the paper of Dresden of Du [2]. Assume that $|E_n| > 0.52$ for $n \geq 3$. Let n_0 be the smallest positive such integer n . Namely, $|E_{n_0}| > 0.52 > |E_{n_0-k}|$ holds for $k \geq 1$. Together with the equations

$$E_{n_0+1} = AE_{n_0} + E_{n_0-1} + E_{n_0-2}$$

and

$$E_{n_0} = AE_{n_0-1} + E_{n_0-2} + E_{n_0-3},$$

then we have

$$E_{n_0+1} = (A + 1) E_{n_0} + (1 - A) E_{n_0-1} - E_{n_0-3}.$$

This equation gives that

$$\begin{aligned} (A + 1) |E_{n_0}| &= |E_{n_0+1} + (A - 1) E_{n_0-1} + E_{n_0-3}| \\ (7) \qquad \qquad \qquad &\leq |E_{n_0+1}| + (A - 1) |E_{n_0-1}| + |E_{n_0-3}|. \end{aligned}$$

We obtain the followings by the inequality (7)

$$(A - 1) (|E_{n_0}| - |E_{n_0-1}|) + |E_{n_0}| - |E_{n_0-3}| \leq |E_{n_0+1}| - |E_{n_0}|.$$

Since $|E_{n_0}| - |E_{n_0-1}| > 0$ and $|E_{n_0}| - |E_{n_0-3}| > 0$, then we obtain that $|E_{n_0+1}| - |E_{n_0}| > 0$. If we apply this argument repeatedly, we get

$$|E_{n_0+i}| > \dots > |E_{n_0+1}| > |E_{n_0}| \geq 0.52.$$

But this contradicts with the observation from equation (5) since the error terms must converge to 0. We conclude that $|E_n| \leq 0.52$. \square

LEMMA 2.5. *Let $A \geq 2$ be an integer. For $n > m \geq 4$ and $k \in \{1, 2\}$, t_n satisfies*

$$\frac{t_m - 1}{t_{m-k} - 1} > \frac{t_n - 1}{t_{n-k} - 1}.$$

Proof. Let $s(n, m) = (t_m - 1)(t_{n-k} - 1) - (t_{m-k} - 1)(t_n - 1)$. Our aim is to show that $s(n, m) > 0$. Applying induction on n , we have the followings

$$\begin{aligned} & s(n, m) + s(n + 1, m) + A \times s(n + 2, m) \\ = & \det \begin{pmatrix} t_m - 1 & t_{m-k} - 1 \\ t_n - 1 & t_{n-k} - 1 \end{pmatrix} + \det \begin{pmatrix} t_m - 1 & t_{m-k} - 1 \\ t_{n+1} - 1 & t_{n+1-k} - 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ & + A \det \begin{pmatrix} t_m - 1 & t_{m-k} - 1 \\ t_{n+2} - 1 & t_{n+2-k} - 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ = & \det \begin{pmatrix} t_m - 1 & t_{m-k} - 1 \\ t_n + t_{n+1} - 2 & t_{n-k} + t_{n-k+1} - 2 \end{pmatrix} \\ & + \det \begin{pmatrix} t_m - 1 & t_{m-k} - 1 \\ At_{n+2} - A & t_{n+2-k} - A \end{pmatrix} \\ = & \det \begin{pmatrix} t_m - 1 & t_{m-k} - 1 \\ t_{n+3} - (A + 2) & t_{n+3-k} - (A + 2) \end{pmatrix} > 0. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that $(t_m - 1)(t_{n+3-k} - 1) - (t_{m-k} - 1)(t_{n+3} - 1) > (A + 1)(t_m - t_{m-k})$. Since $(t_m - t_{m-k}) > 0$, then we deduce that $s(n + 3, m) = (t_m - 1)(t_{n+3-k} - 1) - (t_{m-k} - 1)(t_{n+3} - 1) > 0$ as claimed. \square

Now we are ready to deal with the proof of the theorems.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

3.1. An upper bound for the integer z

Since $1 \leq a_1 < a_2 < a_3 < a_4$, then $t_x = a_1 a_2 + 1 \geq 3$ follows. Define the function l_A as follows:

$$l_A = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } A \geq 3; \\ 3, & \text{if } A = 2. \end{cases}$$

So, we have that $l_A \leq x$.

In the sequel, we obtain the equation

$$(8) \quad (t_x - 1)(t_z - 1) = (t_y - 1)(t_w - 1)$$

by the equation (1). Using the upper and lowers bounds for the terms of the sequence $(t_n)_{n \geq 0}$, we get the followings

$$\alpha^{x+z-4} \leq (t_x - 1)(t_z - 1) < \alpha^{y+w-1.02}$$

and

$$\alpha^{y+w-4} \leq (t_x - 1)(t_z - 1) < \alpha^{x+z-1.02}.$$

Combining these inequalities yields

$$|(x + z) - (y + w)| \leq 2.$$

So, we have two possible cases $(x + z) = (y + w)$ and $(x + z) \neq (y + w)$. Note that, we can see easily that

$$l_A \leq x < \lambda = \min \{y, w\} \leq \delta = \max \{y, w\} < z.$$

since $1 \leq a_1 < a_2 < a_3 < a_4$.

Case I: $(x + z) = (y + w)$

The terms of the sequence $(t_n)_{n \geq 0}$ can be expressed by $t_n = g_1 \alpha^n + h(n)$ where $g_1 = g(1, A)$ and $|h(n)| < 0.52$. Expanding the equation (8), the equation

$$t_x t_z - t_\lambda t_\delta = t_x + t_z - t_\lambda - t_\delta$$

gives that

$$\begin{aligned} |g_1 \alpha^z h(x) - g_1 \alpha^z| &= \left| -g_1 \alpha^x h(z) - h(x) h(z) + g_1 \alpha^\lambda h(\delta) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + g_1 \alpha^\delta h(\lambda) + h(\lambda) h(\delta) + h(z) + h(x) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - h(\lambda) - h(\delta) + g_1 \alpha^x - g_1 \alpha^\lambda - g_1 \alpha^\delta \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Divide both side by $g_1 \alpha^z$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |h(x) - 1| &< \frac{|h(x) h(z)| + |h(\lambda) h(\delta)| + |h(z)| + |h(x)| + |h(\lambda)| + |h(\delta)|}{g_1 \alpha^z} \\ &\quad + \frac{g_1 \alpha^x |h(z)| + g_1 \alpha^\lambda |h(\delta)| + g_1 \alpha^\delta |h(\lambda)|}{g_1 \alpha^z} \\ &\quad + \frac{g_1 \alpha^x + g_1 \alpha^\lambda + g_1 \alpha^\delta}{g_1 \alpha^z} \\ &< \frac{2.63}{g_1 \alpha^z} + \frac{1.56}{\alpha^{z-\delta}} + \frac{3}{\alpha^{z-\delta}} < \frac{7.19}{\alpha^{z-\delta}} \end{aligned}$$

follows, where we used the facts $\frac{1}{g_1} < \alpha^2$ and $g_1 < 1$. The inequality $|h(x) - 1| > 0.48$ yields that $z - \delta \leq 2$. So we can write that $z = \delta + k$ where $k \in \{1, 2\}$. Applying this fact to the equation (8) together with $x + z = \delta + \lambda$, we obtain that

$$(t_x - 1)(t_{\delta+k} - 1) = (t_\delta - 1)(t_{x+k} - 1).$$

However, this contradicts with Lemma 2.5.

Case II: $(x + z) \neq (y + w)$

Combining the equation (8) together with $t_n = g_1 \alpha^n + h(n)$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned} g_1^2 \alpha^{x+z} - g_1^2 \alpha^{\lambda+\delta} &= g_1 \alpha^x (1 - h(z)) + g_1 \alpha^z (1 - h(x)) \\ &\quad + g_1 \alpha^\lambda (h(\delta) - 1) + g_1 \alpha^\delta (h(\lambda) - 1) \\ &\quad + h(x) + h(z) - h(\lambda) - h(\delta) \\ &\quad + h(\lambda) h(\delta) - h(x) h(z). \end{aligned}$$

When we divide both sides by the term $g_1^2 \alpha^{x+z}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| 1 - \alpha^{-(x+z-\lambda-\delta)} \right| &< \frac{1.52}{g_1} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha^z} + \frac{1}{\alpha^x} + \frac{\alpha^{\lambda-z}}{\alpha^x} + \frac{\alpha^{\delta-z}}{\alpha^x} \right) + \frac{2.63}{g_1^2 \alpha^{x+z}} \\ &< \frac{1}{g_1^2} \left(1.52 g_1 \frac{2 + \alpha^{-1} + \alpha^{-2}}{\alpha^x} + 2.63 \frac{\alpha^{-4}}{\alpha^x} \right) < \frac{1.6}{g_1^2 \alpha^x} < \frac{1.6}{\alpha^{x-4}} \end{aligned}$$

follows. We used the facts $\lambda - z \leq -2$, $\delta - z \leq -1$, $4 \leq z$, $\frac{1}{g_1^2} < \frac{1}{\alpha^{-4}}$ and $|g_1| < 0.4$. So, the inequality

$$0.6 < \min_{|x+z-\lambda-\delta| \leq 2} \left| 1 - \alpha^{-(x+z-\lambda-\delta)} \right| < \frac{1.6}{\alpha^{x-4}}$$

gives that $l_A \leq x \leq 5$.

Now, we rewrite the equation (8) as follows:

$$(t_x - 1)t_z - t_\lambda t_\delta = t_x - t_\lambda - t_\delta.$$

This equation yields that

$$\begin{aligned} (t_x - 1)g_1 \alpha^z - g_1^2 \alpha^{\lambda+\delta} &= g_1 \alpha^\lambda h(\delta) + g_1 \alpha^\delta h(\lambda) + h(\lambda)h(\delta) \\ &\quad - h(z)(t_x - 1) - g_1 \alpha^\lambda - h(\lambda) \\ &\quad - g_1 \alpha^\delta - h(\delta) + (t_x - 1) + 1 \\ &= g_1 \alpha^\lambda (h(\delta) - 1) + g_1 \alpha^\delta (h(\lambda) - 1) \\ &\quad - (t_x - 1)(h(z) - 1) + h(\lambda)h(\delta) - h(\lambda) - h(\delta) + 1. \end{aligned}$$

When we divide both sides by the term $(t_x - 1)g_1 \alpha^z$, we obtain the followings together with the fact $(t_x - 1) \geq 2$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| 1 - g_1 \alpha^{\lambda+\delta-z} (t_x - 1)^{-1} \right| &< \frac{|h(\delta) - 1|}{(t_x - 1) \alpha^{z-\lambda}} + \frac{|h(\lambda) - 1|}{(t_x - 1) \alpha^{z-\delta}} + \frac{|h(z) - 1|}{g_1 \alpha^z} \\ &\quad + \frac{|h(\lambda)h(\delta) - h(\lambda) - h(\delta) + 1|}{g_1 (t_x - 1) \alpha^z} \\ &\leq \frac{1.52}{\alpha^{z-\delta}} + \frac{1.52}{\alpha^{z-2}} + \frac{1.16}{\alpha^{z-2}} < \frac{4.2}{\alpha^{z-\delta}}. \end{aligned}$$

where we used the facts $\frac{1}{g_1} \leq \alpha^2$ and $g_1 > 1$ for $A \geq 2$ integer. Since $|\lambda + \delta - x - z| \leq 2$ and $y + w \neq x + z$ holds, then we write that $\lambda + \delta - z = x + \varepsilon$ where $\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1, \pm 2\}$. Then

$$\min_{\substack{\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1, \pm 2\} \\ l_A \leq x \leq 5}} \left| 1 - g_1 \alpha^{x+\varepsilon} (t_x - 1)^{-1} \right| < \frac{4.2}{\alpha^{z-\delta}}$$

follows. If $A = 2$, then $x = 3$ yields that

$$\min_{\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1, \pm 2\}} \left| 1 - g_1 \alpha^{3+\varepsilon} (t_3 - 1)^{-1} \right| > 0.5.$$

If $A \geq 3$, then $x \geq 2$ gives that

$$\min_{\substack{\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1, \pm 2\} \\ 2 \leq x \leq 5}} \left| 1 - g_1 \alpha^{x+\varepsilon} (t_x - 1)^{-1} \right| > 0.56.$$

Therefore, we have

$$0.5 < \min_{\substack{\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1, \pm 2\} \\ l_A \leq x \leq 5}} \left| 1 - g_1 \alpha^{x+\varepsilon} (t_x - 1)^{-1} \right| < \frac{4.2}{\alpha^{z-\delta}}$$

follows. This fact yields that $z \leq \delta + 2$.

By the equation

$$\frac{(t_x - 1)(t_z - 1)}{t_\delta - 1} = t_\lambda - 1$$

together with Lemma 2.3 and the fact $z \leq \delta + 2$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^{\lambda-2} &< t_\lambda - 1 = \frac{(t_x - 1)(t_z - 1)}{t_\delta - 1} < \alpha^{z-\delta+1.49} + \alpha^{x-0.51} \\ &< \alpha^{3.49} + \alpha^{2.49} < \alpha^{3.49+2.48} = \alpha^{5.97} \end{aligned}$$

which yields that $3 \leq \lambda \leq 7$.

The equation (8) gives that

$$(t_x - 1)t_z - (t_\lambda - 1)t_\delta = t_x - t_\lambda.$$

Put $t_n = g_1 \alpha^n + h(n)$ for the indices δ and z , we have the followings

$$(t_x - 1)g_1 \alpha^z - (t_\lambda - 1)g_1 \alpha^\delta = (t_\lambda - 1)(h(\delta) - 1) + (t_x - 1)(1 - h(z)).$$

Divide both sides by $(t_\lambda - 1)g_1 \alpha^\delta$. Then

$$(9) \quad \left| 1 - (t_x - 1)(t_\lambda - 1)^{-1} \alpha^{z-\delta} \right| \leq \frac{|h(\delta) - 1|}{g_1 \alpha^\delta} + \frac{t_x - 1}{t_\lambda - 1} \frac{|h(z) - 1|}{\alpha^{\delta-2}} < \frac{3.04}{\alpha^{\delta-2}}$$

follows. We used that facts $\frac{t_x-1}{t_\lambda-1} \leq 1$ and $\frac{1}{g_1} < \alpha^2$. Since $z - \delta = \lambda - x + \varepsilon$ and $\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1, \pm 2\}$, then we have that

$$\min_{\substack{l_A \leq x \leq 5 \\ x+1 \leq \lambda \leq 7 \\ \varepsilon \in \{\pm 1, \pm 2\}}} \left| 1 - (t_x - 1)(t_\lambda - 1)^{-1} \alpha^{\lambda-x+\varepsilon} \right| < \frac{3.04}{\alpha^{\delta-2}}.$$

The case $A = 2$ gives that $x = 3$. Then

$$(10) \quad 0.6 < \min_{\substack{4 \leq \lambda \leq 7 \\ \varepsilon \in \{\pm 1, \pm 2\}}} \left| 1 - (t_3 - 1)(t_\lambda - 1)^{-1} \alpha^{\lambda-3+\varepsilon} \right|$$

follows. If $A \geq 3$ integer, then it yields that

$$(11) \quad 0.7 < \min_{\substack{2 \leq x \leq 5 \\ x+1 \leq \lambda \leq 7 \\ \varepsilon \in \{\pm 1, \pm 2\}}} \left| 1 - (t_x - 1)(t_\lambda - 1)^{-1} \alpha^{\lambda-x+\varepsilon} \right|.$$

Together with the inequalities (10), (11) and (9), we obtain

$$0.6 < \min_{\substack{l_A \leq x \leq 5 \\ x+1 \leq \lambda \leq 7 \\ \varepsilon \in \{\pm 1, \pm 2\}}} \left| 1 - (t_x - 1)(t_\lambda - 1)^{-1} \alpha^{\lambda-x+\varepsilon} \right| < \frac{3.04}{\alpha^{\delta-2}}$$

gives that $\delta \leq 3$.

By the equation system (1), one can see that

$$t_z - 1 < (t_\lambda - 1)(t_\delta - 1)$$

which gives that $z \leq \lambda + \delta$. So, $z \leq 10$ since $\lambda \leq 7$ and $\delta \leq 3$.

By the definition of the l_A function, there are two possibilities as follows:

(1) If $A \geq 3$, $2 \leq x < \lambda \leq \delta < z \leq 10$ Since we find $\delta \leq 3$, then only the case $x = 2$, $\delta = \lambda = 3$ and $4 \leq z \leq 10$ must hold.

(2) If $A = 2$, $x = 3$, $\lambda = 4$, $\delta = 5$, and $4 \leq z \leq 10$. However, this case impossible since $\delta \leq 3$.

3.2. An upper bound for the integer A

Up to now, we prove that $x = 2$, $\lambda = \delta = 3$ and $4 \leq z \leq 10$ is the only possible case for the equation system (1). Now, we follow the key argument in the paper [5] to find the upper bound for A . The terms of the sequence $\{t_n\}$ are monic polynomials in A with integer coefficients. By the equation system (1), the term

$$a_1 a_2 = \sqrt{\frac{(t_2 - 1)(t_3 - 1)^2}{(t_z - 1)}}$$

must be integer. By the polynomial division, there uniquely exist polynomials $q(A)$ and $r(A)$ with integer coefficients such that

$$(t_2 - 1)(t_3 - 1)^2 = q(A)(t_z - 1) + r(A)$$

holds. For the case $4 \leq z \leq 10$, we obtain the following table.

z	$q(A)$	$r(A)$
4	$2A^2 + 4A$	$A^2 - A - 2$
5	$-3A^3 + A^2 + 2A$	$A - 1$
6	$-4A^3 - 3A^2 - 3A - 1$	1
7	0	$A^5 - A^4$
8	0	$A^5 - A^4$
9	0	$A^5 - A^4$
10	0	$A^5 - A^4$

Table 1: Remainder and quotient polynomials

Checking the eligible possibilities for $x = 2$, $\lambda = \delta = 3$ and $4 \leq z \leq 10$, we observe that $r(A)$ is never zero and there is no positive integer A such that $r(A) = 0$ for $A \geq 3$. Hence,

$$(12) \quad \frac{(t_x - 1)(t_\lambda - 1)(t_\delta - 1)}{t_z - 1} = q(A) + \frac{r(A)}{t_z - 1},$$

the term $\frac{r(A)}{t_z - 1}$ never disappears in the equation (12). For some A , the right hand side of equation (12) is integer. But $\deg(r(A)) < \deg((t_z - 1))$, so A cannot be large since

$$\lim_{A \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r(A)}{t_z - 1} = 0.$$

Then, $|r(A)| > t_z - 1$ must hold which yields that $A \leq A_0$ for some positive integer A_0 . We use Mathematica programme to find A_0 for $x = 2$, $\lambda = \delta = 3$ and $4 \leq z \leq 10$. Then, we find that $A_0 = 2$. But this contradicts with our assumption $A \geq 3$. Therefore, this gives the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Acknowledgments. The author expresses his gratitude to the anonymous reviewer for the instructive suggestions and comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Alp, N. Irmak, and L. Szalay, *Balancing Diophantine triples*. Acta Univ. Sapientiae Math. **4** (2012), 11–19.
- [2] G. P. B. Dresden and Z. Du, *A simplified Binet formula for k -generalized Fibonacci numbers*. J. Integer Seq. **17** (2014), Article 14.4.7, 9 pp.
- [3] C. Fuchs, F. Luca, and L. Szalay, *Diophantine triples with values in binary recurrences*. Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. **7** (2008), 579–608.
- [4] C. A. Gómez Ruiz and F. Luca, *Tribonacci Diophantine quadruples*. Glas. Mat. Ser. III **50** (2015), 17–24.
- [5] N. Irmak and L. Szalay, *Diophantine triples and reduced quadruples with the Lucas sequence of recurrence $u_n = Au_{n-1} - u_{n-2}$* . Glas. Mat. Ser. III **49** (2014), 303–312.
- [6] T. Komatsu and V. Laohakosol, *On the sum of reciprocals of numbers satisfying a recurrence relation of order s* . J. Integer Seq. **13** (2010), Article 10.5.8, 9 pp.
- [7] F. Luca and L. Szalay, *Fibonacci Diophantine triples*. Glas. Mat. Ser. III **43** (2008), 253–264.
- [8] F. Luca and L. Szalay, *Lucas Diophantine triples*. Integers **9** (2009) A34, 441–457.

Received February 2, 2018

Konya Technical University
 Engineering and Natural Science Faculty
 Department of Engineering Basic Science
 Konya, Turkey

irmaknurettin@gmail.com, nirmak@ktun.edu.tr