# SINGULARITIES OF QUOTIENT SURFACES OF K3 SURFACES WITH AN AUTOMORPHISM OF MAXIMUM ORDER 
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We study cyclic quotient singularities given by automorphisms of maximum order on $K 3$ surfaces. In particular, we describe fixed loci of such automorphisms, and provide types of these singularities.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

We will work over $\mathbb{C}$, the field of complex numbers, throughout this paper. Let $G$ be a finite cyclic group acting on an algebraic surface $S$ as an automorphism and $\varphi$ a fixed generator of $G$. It is well known that singularities of $S / G$ relates to properties of $\varphi$ in this case. On the other hand, properties of automorphisms gives not only local properties (e.g., singularities) but also global properties of quotients surfaces $S / G$. For instance, a quotient surface of a $K 3$ surface by an automorphism which satisfies certain conditions (see also [13]) gives an example of a $\log$ Enriques surface. Then the index of the $\log$ Enriques surface relates to the order of the automorphism ([5], [15], [16]).

This paper is devoted to a study of singularities of quotient surfaces given by automorphisms of $K 3$ surfaces. The main result is the following.

Main Theorem. Let $X$ be a K3 surface with an automorphism $g$ of maximum order 66. Then the following hold:
(1) The fixed loci of $g, g^{2}$ and $g^{3}$ consist of exactly 3 points, 5 points and 6 points, respectively.
(2) Each quotient surfaces $X /\langle g\rangle, X /\left\langle g^{2}\right\rangle$ and $X /\left\langle g^{3}\right\rangle$ have one point of type $\frac{1}{11}(1,5)$ and one point of type $\frac{1}{11}(1,8)$ as singularities. In particular, these surfaces are log Enriques surfaces of index 11.
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The proof of Main Theorem is given by Section 3 and Section 4.
Remark 1.1. Remaining automorphisms $g^{6}, g^{11}, g^{22}$ and $g^{33}$ are not the subject of this paper, but are mentioned in Proposition 3.1 and Lemma 4.1.

For an algebraic surface $S$, if its canonical line bundle $K_{S}$ is trivial and $H^{1}\left(S, \mathcal{O}_{S}\right)=0$ then it is called a $K 3$ surface. Since $K_{S}$ is trivial, there exists a nowhere vanishing holomorphic 2-form on $S$ which is unique up to constants. Let $\varphi$ be an automorphism on $S$ of finite order $I$. It is called symplectic, respectively, (purely) non-symplectic, if and only if it satisfies $\varphi^{*} \omega_{S}=\omega_{S}$, respectively, $\varphi^{*} \omega_{S}=\zeta_{I} \omega_{S}$ where $\zeta_{I}$ is a (primitive) $I$-th root of unity.

Let $(x, y)$ be a local coordinate centered at a point of a $K 3$ surface $S$. If an automorphism $\varphi$ acts on the points as mapping $(x, y)$ to $(\alpha x, \beta y)$ then the action of $\varphi$ for $\omega_{S}(=d x \wedge d y)$ is multiplication by $\alpha \beta$, hence $\varphi^{*} \omega_{S}=\alpha \beta \omega_{S}$. Thus if $\varphi$ is symplectic (resp., non-symplectic) then a group generated by $\varphi$ is a subgroup of $S L(2, \mathbb{C})$ (resp., $G L(2, \mathbb{C})$ ). (See also Lemma 2.1 (2).) This implies that it is important to focus on (non-)symplecticity in the study of singularities.

Automorphisms of $K 3$ surfaces were widely studied in the last years. In this paper, we study cyclic quotient singularities given by automorphisms of maximum order on $K 3$ surfaces. The following results are known for such automorphisms.

Theorem 1.2 ([7, Main Theorem and Lemma 4.2], 9, Theorem], [10, Main Theorem 1 (1)]). The following hold:
(1) The maximum finite order of an automorphism on $K 3$ surfaces is 66 .
(2) An automorphism of order 66 is non-symplectic.
(3) A pair of a K3 surface and a non-symplectic automorphism of order 66 is isomorphic to Example 1.3.

Example 1.3 (9, (3.0.1)]). Put

$$
X: y^{2}=x^{3}+t\left(t^{11}-1\right), g(x, y, t)=\left(\zeta_{66}^{2} x, \zeta_{66}^{3} y, \zeta_{66}^{6} t\right)
$$

Then $X$ is a $K 3$ surface and $g$ is a non-symplectic automorphism of order 66 . Note that the Jacobian elliptic fibration $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ has 12 singular fibers of type II over $t=0$ and $t^{11}=1$.

The Example 1.3 suggests that we may study the fixed locus directly, by using the elliptic fibration and the action of the automorphism. We will give two methods: one using Lefschetz's formulas and the other by using the elliptic fibration.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we collect some basic results which will be frequently applied in this article. For the details, see e.g. [11] and [2]. For a $K 3$ surface $X$, we denote by $S_{X}$ and $T_{X}$ the Néron-Severi lattice and the transcendental lattice, respectively.

Lemma 2.1. Let $f$ be a non-symplectic automorphism of order $I$ on $X$. Then
(1) The eigenvalues of $f^{*} \mid T_{X}$ are the primitive $I$-th roots of unity, hence $f^{*} \mid T_{X} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ can be diagonalized as:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
\zeta_{I} E_{q} & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & & \ddots & & & \vdots \\
\vdots & & & \zeta_{I}^{n} E_{q} & & \vdots \\
\vdots & & & & \ddots & 0 \\
0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & \zeta_{I}^{I-1} E_{q}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $E_{q}$ is the identity matrix of size $q$ and $1 \leq n \leq I-1$ is co-prime with $I$.
(2) Let $P^{i, j}$ be an isolated fixed point of $f$ on $X$. Then $f^{*}$ can be written as

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\zeta_{I}^{i} & 0 \\
0 & \zeta_{I}^{j}
\end{array}\right) \quad(i+j \equiv 1 \quad \bmod I)
$$

under some appropriate local coordinates around $P^{i, j}$.
(3) Let $C$ be an irreducible curve in $X^{f}$ and $Q$ a point on $C$. Then $f^{*}$ can be written as

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & \zeta_{I}
\end{array}\right)
$$

under some appropriate local coordinates around $Q$. In particular, fixed curves are non-singular.

Lemma 2.1 (1) implies that $\Phi(I)$ divides $\mathrm{rk} T_{X}$, where $\Phi$ is the Euler function. Hence if $I=66$ then $\operatorname{rk} T_{X}=20$ and $\operatorname{rk} S_{X}=2$. Lemma 2.1 (2) and (3) imply that the fixed locus of $f$ is either empty or the disjoint union of non-singular curves and isolated points:

$$
X^{f}=\left\{P_{1}^{i_{1}, j_{1}}, \ldots, P_{M}^{i_{M}, j_{M}}\right\} \amalg C_{1} \amalg \cdots \amalg C_{N},
$$

where $P_{k}^{i_{k}, j_{k}}$ is an isolated fixed point and $C_{l}$ is a non-singular curve.
A fixed locus plays an essential role for studying singularities given by $f$. In particular, local actions for isolated fixed points are very important.

Proposition 2.2 (Lefschetz formulae). Let $f$ be a non-symplectic automorphism of order $I$ on $X$. The fixed locus of $f$ satisfies the following:
(1) $\sum_{k=0}^{4}(-1)^{k} \operatorname{tr}\left(f^{*} \mid H^{k}(X, \mathbb{R})\right)=\chi\left(X^{f}\right)$,
(2) $\sum_{k=0}^{2} \operatorname{tr}\left(f^{*} \mid H^{k}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)\right)=\sum_{i+j=I+1}^{M} a\left(P^{i, j}\right)+\sum_{l=1}^{N} b\left(C_{l}\right)$,
where $\chi\left(X^{f}\right)$ is the Euler characteristic of $X^{f}, a\left(P^{i, j}\right)=1 /\left(\left(1-\zeta_{I}^{i}\right)\left(1-\zeta_{I}^{j}\right)\right)$ and $b\left(C_{l}\right)=\left(1-g\left(C_{l}\right)\right) /\left(1-\zeta_{I}\right)-\zeta_{I} C_{l}^{2} /\left(1-\zeta_{I}\right)^{2}$.
(1) and (2) are called the topological Lefschetz formula and the holomorphic Lefschetz formula, respectively. See also [3, page 542] and [4, page 567] for details. We frequently study the local action of a non-symplectic automorphism by using Proposition 2.2. The following Remark is important too.

Remark 2.3. For positive integers $m$ and $n$, let $f$ be an automorphism of order $m n$ and $P^{\alpha, \beta}$ be an isolated fixed point of $f$. If $f^{n}\left(P^{\alpha, \beta}\right)=P^{\alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}}$, hence $P^{\alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}}$ is an isolated fixed point of $f^{n}$, then we have $\alpha \equiv \alpha^{\prime}$ and $\beta \equiv \beta^{\prime}$ $\bmod m$.

## 3. FIXED LOCI OF AUTOMORPHISMS

Put $f_{I}=g^{66 / I}$, so $f_{I}$ is an automorphism of order $I$ on $X$. First, we shall study fixed loci of $f_{22}, f_{33}$ and $g$ via Proposition 2.2 (Lefschetz formulae). Next, we check over the fixed locus of $g$ by a direct calculation of Example 1.3,

We remark that $f_{I}$ acts trivially on the Néron-Severi lattice $S_{X}$ because $g$ acts trivially on $S_{X}$ by [10, Lemma (2.4)]. Cases $I=2,3,6$ and 11 then are well- known. We refer to [12, Theorem 4.2.2], [1, Theorem 2.2], [14, Theorem 1.1], [2, Theorem 7.3] and [6, Theorem 4.1].

Proposition 3.1. The following hold:
(1) The fixed locus of $f_{2}$ consists of one smooth curve of genus 10 and one rational curve.
(2) The fixed locus of $f_{3}$ consists of one smooth curve of genus 5 and one rational curve.
(3) The fixed locus of $f_{6}$ consists of 12 isolated points and one rational curve, hence it is of the form $X^{f_{6}}=\left\{P^{3,4} \times 12\right\} \amalg \mathbb{P}^{1}$.
(4) The fixed locus of $f_{11}$ consists of 2 isolated points and one smooth curve of genus 1, hence it is of the form $X^{f_{11}}=\left\{P^{2,10}, P^{5,7}\right\} \amalg C^{(1)}$.

### 3.1. The case of $I=22$

Lemma 3.2. The fixed locus of $f_{22}$ consists of 6 isolated points.
Proof. If $X^{f_{22}}$ contains a smooth curve then it belongs to both $X^{f_{2}}$ and $X^{f_{11}}$. But it contradicts Proposition 3.1 (1) and (4). Thus, the Euler characteristic of $X^{f_{22}}$ is equal to the number of isolated fixed points.

By Proposition 2.2 (1) and Lemma 2.1 (1), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi\left(X^{f_{22}}\right) & =\sum_{k=0}^{4}(-1)^{k} \operatorname{tr}\left(f_{22}^{*} \mid H^{k}(X, \mathbb{R})\right) \\
& =1-0+\operatorname{tr}\left(f_{22}^{*} \mid S_{X}\right)+\operatorname{tr}\left(f_{22}^{*} \mid T_{X}\right)-0+1 \\
& =4+\operatorname{tr}\left(f_{22}^{*} \mid T_{X}\right) \\
& =4+\left(\zeta_{22}+\zeta_{22}^{3}+\zeta_{22}^{5}+\zeta_{22}^{7}+\zeta_{22}^{9}+\zeta_{22}^{13}+\zeta_{22}^{15}+\zeta_{22}^{17}+\zeta_{22}^{19}+\zeta_{22}^{21}\right) \times 2 \\
& =4-\left(\left(1+\zeta_{22}^{2}+\zeta_{22}^{4}+\cdots+\zeta_{22}^{00}\right)+\zeta_{22}^{11}\right) \times 2 \\
& =4-(0+(-1)) \times 2 \\
& =6 .
\end{aligned}
$$

To study details of local actions on isolated fixed points, we apply Proposition 2.2 (2) for $X^{f_{22}}$.

Proposition 3.3. The fixed locus of $f_{22}$ is of the form

$$
X^{f_{22}}=\left\{P^{5,18}, P^{10,13}, P^{11,12}, P^{11,12}, P^{11,12}, P^{11,12}\right\} .
$$

Proof. Let $m_{i, j}$ be the number of isolated fixed points of type $P^{i, j}$. Since $X^{f_{22}}$ does not have a smooth curve by Lemma 3.2, we have

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{2} \operatorname{tr}\left(f^{*} \mid H^{k}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)\right)=\sum_{i+j=23}^{M} \frac{m_{i, j}}{\left(1-\zeta_{22}^{i}\right)\left(1-\zeta_{22}^{j}\right)}
$$

Using the Serre duality $H^{2}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right) \simeq H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\left(K_{X}\right)\right)^{\vee}$, we calculate the left-hand side as $1+\zeta_{22}^{21}$. Since isolated points contained in $X^{f_{11}}$ are only $P^{2,10}$ and $P^{5,7}$, we have $m_{2,21}+m_{10,13} \leq 1, m_{5,18}+m_{7,16} \leq 1 \ldots(\diamond)$ and $m_{3,20}=m_{4,19}=m_{6,17}=m_{8,15}=m_{9,14}=0$.

Thus Proposition 2.2 (2) implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
1+\zeta_{22}^{21} & =\frac{m_{2,21}}{\left(1-\zeta_{22}^{2}\right)\left(1-\zeta_{22}^{21}\right)}+\frac{m_{5,18}}{\left(1-\zeta_{22}^{5}\right)\left(1-\zeta_{22}^{18}\right)}+\frac{m_{7,16}}{\left(1-\zeta_{22}^{7}\right)\left(1-\zeta_{22}^{16}\right)} \\
& +\frac{m_{10,13}}{\left(1-\zeta_{22}^{10}\right)\left(1-\zeta_{22}^{13}\right)}+\frac{m_{11,12}}{\left(1-\zeta_{22}^{11}\right)\left(1-\zeta_{22}^{12}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

It induces the following equations:

$$
\begin{cases}4 m_{2,21}-m_{5,18}+m_{7,16}+2 m_{10,13} & =1 \\ 5 m_{2,21}+m_{5,18}+3 m_{7,16}+m_{10,13} & =2 \\ 6 m_{2,21}+2 m_{5,18}+2 m_{7,16}-2 m_{10,13}+m_{11,12} & =4\end{cases}
$$

We have $m_{7,16}=m_{10,13}=1$ and $m_{11,12}=4$ from these and $(\diamond)$.

### 3.2. The case of $I=33$

Lemma 3.4. The fixed locus of $f_{33}$ consists of 5 isolated points.
Proof. If $X^{f_{33}}$ contains a smooth curve then it belongs to both $X^{f_{3}}$ and $X^{f_{11}}$. But it contradicts Proposition 3.1 (2) and (4). Thus, the Euler characteristic of $X^{f_{33}}$ is equal to the number of isolated fixed points.

By Proposition 2.2 (1) and Lemma 2.1 (1), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi\left(X^{f_{33}}\right)= & \sum_{k=0}^{4}(-1)^{k} \operatorname{tr}\left(f_{33}^{*} \mid H^{k}(X, \mathbb{R})\right) \\
= & 4+\operatorname{tr}\left(f_{33}^{*} \mid T_{X}\right) \\
= & 4+\left(\zeta_{33}+\zeta_{33}^{2}+\zeta_{33}^{4}+\zeta_{33}^{5}+\zeta_{33}^{7}+\zeta_{33}^{8}+\zeta_{33}^{10}+\zeta_{33}^{13}+\zeta_{33}^{14}+\zeta_{33}^{16}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\zeta_{33}^{17}+\zeta_{33}^{19}+\zeta_{33}^{20}+\zeta_{33}^{23}+\zeta_{33}^{25}+\zeta_{33}^{26}+\zeta_{33}^{28}+\zeta_{33}^{29}+\zeta_{33}^{31}+\zeta_{33}^{32}\right) \\
= & 4-\left(\left(1+\zeta_{33}^{3}+\zeta_{33}^{6}+\cdots+\zeta_{33}^{30}\right)+\left(\zeta_{33}^{11}+\zeta_{33}^{22}\right)\right) \\
= & 5 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 3.5. The fixed locus of $f_{33}$ is of the form

$$
X^{f_{33}}=\left\{P^{7,27}, P^{12,22}, P^{12,22}, P^{12,22}, P^{13,21}\right\}
$$

Proof. It is essentially the same as Proposition 3.3, Let $m_{i, j}$ be the number of isolated fixed points of type $P^{i, j}$. Note that $X^{f_{3}}$ does not have isolated fixed points, and $X^{f_{11}}$ has just one $P^{2,10}$ and one $P^{5,7}$. Then we have $m_{10,24}+m_{13,21} \leq 1, m_{7,27}+m_{16,18} \leq 1$ and other $m_{i, j}$ are 0 except $m_{12,22}$. Thus these and Proposition 2.2 (2):

$$
\begin{aligned}
1+\zeta_{33}^{32} & =\frac{m_{7,27}}{\left(1-\zeta_{33}^{7}\right)\left(1-\zeta_{33}^{27}\right)}+\frac{m_{10,24}}{\left(1-\zeta_{333}^{10}\right)\left(1-\zeta_{33}^{24}\right)}+\frac{m_{12,22}}{\left(1-\zeta_{33}^{12}\right)\left(1-\zeta_{33}^{22}\right)} \\
& +\frac{m_{13,21}}{\left(1-\zeta_{33}^{13}\right)\left(1-\zeta_{33}^{21}\right)}+\frac{m_{16,18}^{18}}{\left(1-\zeta_{33}^{16}\right)\left(1-\zeta_{33}^{18}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

indicates $m_{7,27}=m_{13,21}=1, m_{12,22}=3$ and $m_{10,24}=m_{16,18}=0$.

### 3.3. The case of $I=66$

This is the case we want to know. We study the fixed locus of $g=f_{66}$. But techniques are same as above.

Lemma 3.6. The fixed locus of $g$ consists of 3 isolated points.
Proof. It follows from Proposition 3.2 and Proposition 3.4 that $X^{g}$ does not contain a smooth curve. Thus, the Euler characteristic of $X^{g}$ is equal to the number of isolated fixed points.

By Lemma 2.1 (1), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{tr}\left(g^{*} \mid T_{X}\right) & =\zeta_{66}+\zeta_{66}^{5}+\zeta_{66}^{7}+\zeta_{66}^{13}+\zeta_{66}^{17}+\zeta_{66}^{19}+\zeta_{66}^{23}+\zeta_{66}^{25}+\zeta_{66}^{29}+\zeta_{66}^{31} \\
& +\zeta_{66}^{35}+\zeta_{66}^{37}+\zeta_{66}^{41}+\zeta_{66}^{43}+\zeta_{66}^{47}+\zeta_{66}^{49}+\zeta_{66}^{53}+\zeta_{66}^{59}+\zeta_{66}^{61}+\zeta_{66}^{65} \\
& =-\left(\left(1+\zeta_{66}^{2}+\zeta_{66}^{4}+\cdots+\zeta_{66}^{64}\right)+\left(\zeta_{66}^{3}+\zeta_{66}^{9}+\cdots+\zeta_{66}^{64}\right)+\left(\zeta_{66}^{11}+\zeta_{66}^{55}\right)\right) \\
& \left.=0-\left(\zeta_{22}+\zeta_{22}^{3}+\cdots+\zeta_{22}^{21}\right)-\left(\zeta_{6}+\zeta_{6}^{5}\right)\right) \\
& =-1
\end{aligned}
$$

We apply Proposition 2.2 (1) for $X^{g}$ :

$$
\chi\left(X^{g}\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{4}(-1)^{k} \operatorname{tr}\left(g^{*} \mid H^{k}(X, \mathbb{R})\right)=4+\operatorname{tr}\left(g^{*} \mid T_{X}\right)=4-1=3 .
$$

Therefore, $X^{g}$ consists of 3 isolated points.
Proposition 3.7. The fixed locus of $g$ is of the form

$$
X^{g}=\left\{P^{12,55}, P^{13,54}, P^{27,40}\right\} .
$$

Proof. Let $m_{i, j}$ be the number of isolated fixed points of type $P^{i, j}$. It is easy to see that $m_{12,55} \leq 3, m_{13,54} \leq 1, m_{27,40} \leq 1$ and other $m_{i, j}$ are 0 by Proposition 3.3 and Proposition 3.5,

Then Proposition 2.2 (2):

$$
1+\zeta_{66}^{65}=\frac{m_{12,55}}{\left(1-\zeta_{66}^{12}\right)\left(1-\zeta_{66}^{55}\right)}+\frac{m_{13,54}}{\left(1-\zeta_{66}^{13}\right)\left(1-\zeta_{66}^{54}\right)}+\frac{m_{27,40}}{\left(1-\zeta_{66}^{27}\right)\left(1-\zeta_{66}^{40}\right)}
$$

gives $m_{12,55}=m_{13,54}=m_{27,40}=1$.

### 3.4. Another observation

We treat Example 1.3 directly. We consider elliptic fibration $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$. By replacing a primitive 66 -th root of unity (we take $\zeta_{66}^{53}$ instead of $\zeta_{66}$ ), we may assume $g(x, y, t)=\left(\zeta_{66}^{40} x, \zeta_{66}^{27} y, \zeta_{66}^{54} t\right)$.


Figure 1 - The elliptic fiberation of Example 1.3

Since the automorphism $g$ acts on the base of $\pi$ with order $11, g$ induces an automorphism of order 6 on fibers $\pi^{-1}(0)$ and $\pi^{-1}(\infty)$. Hence $g$ has fixed points on these fibers.

Since $g$ also acts on the 0 -section (the dotted line of Figure (1) of $\pi$, two fixed points of $g$ are contained in it. Note that an automorphism of order 6 on an elliptic curve has exactly one fixed point. Thus $\pi^{-1}(\infty)$ has just one fixed point of $g$, since it is a smooth elliptic curve. On the other hand, $\pi^{-1}(0)$ has two fixed points of $g$. Because $g$ induces an automorphism on $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ which is obtained by blow-ups of the cuspidal curve $\pi^{-1}(0)$, it has exactly two isolated fixed points.

Thus there exist three fixed points of $g$, hence the cusp of $\pi^{-1}(0)$ and intersection points of the 0 -section of $\pi$ and $\pi^{-1}(0)$ and $\pi^{-1}(\infty)$.

Proposition 3.8. Fixed points $P^{13,54}$ and $P^{27,40}$ lie on $\pi^{-1}(0)$, and $P^{12,55}$ is on $\pi^{-1}(\infty)$.

Proof. First we see a fixed point at the cusp of $\pi^{-1}(0)$, hence $(x, y, t)=$ $(0,0,0)$. Since $(x, y)$ is a local coordinate centered at the point and the automorphism $g$ maps $(x, y)$ to $\left(\zeta_{66}^{40} x, \zeta_{66}^{27} y\right)$, the fixed point of $g$ is $P^{27,40}$.

Next, we study the intersection point of the 0 -section of $\pi$ and $\pi^{-1}(0)$, that is the infinite point of $\pi^{-1}(0)$. We may take a local coordinate $(x / y, t)$ centered at the point. Since the automorphism $g$ maps $(x / y, t)$ to $\left(\zeta_{66}^{13} x / y, \zeta_{66}^{54} t\right)$, the point is $P^{13,54}$.

Finally, we study the intersection point of the 0 -section of $\pi$ and $\pi^{-1}(\infty)$. Set $x / t^{4}=x_{1}, y / t^{6}=y_{1}, t=t_{1}^{-1}$ (See also [9, §3]). Then we may take a local coordinate $\left(x_{1} / y_{1}, t_{1}\right)$ centered at the point and the automorphism $g$ maps $\left(x_{1} / y_{1}, t_{1}\right)$ to $\left(\zeta_{66}^{55} x_{1} / y_{1}, \zeta_{66}^{12} t_{1}\right)$. This implies the point is $P^{12,55}$.

Remark 3.9. Let $[x: y: z]$ be a homogeneous coordinate of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$. Then $X$
is given by the following equations in $\mathbb{P}^{2} \times \mathbb{C}$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
z y^{2}=x^{3}+t\left(t^{11}-1\right) z^{3} \\
z_{1} y_{1}^{2}=x_{1}^{3}+\left(1-t_{1}^{11}\right) z_{1}^{3}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Note that the action of $g$ at $t=\infty\left(t_{1}=0\right)$ is

$$
\left(\left[x_{1}: y_{1}: z_{1}\right], t_{1}\right) \mapsto\left(\left[\zeta_{66}^{22} x_{1}: \zeta_{66}^{33} y_{1}: z_{1}\right], \zeta_{66}^{12} t_{1}\right)
$$

It is easy to see

$$
\begin{aligned}
X^{g^{2}} & =\{([x: y: z], t)=([0: 0: 1], 0),([0: 1: 0], 0)\} \\
& \amalg\left\{\left(\left[x_{1}: y_{1}: z_{1}\right], t_{1}\right)=([0: 1: 0], 0),([0: 1: 1], 0),([0: 1:-1], 0)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
X^{g^{3}} & =\{([x: y: z], t)=([0: 0: 1], 0),([0: 1: 0], 0)\} \\
& \amalg\left\{\left(\left[x_{1}: y_{1}: z_{1}\right], t_{1}\right)=([0: 1: 0], 0),\left(\left[1: 0: \zeta_{6}\right], 0\right),([1: 0:-1], 0),\left(\left[1: 0: \zeta_{6}^{5}\right], 0\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the same way as above, we can recheck Proposition 3.3 and Proposition 3.5.

## 4. QUOTIENT SINGULARITIES

Let $G_{66}$ be an abelian group generated by $g$. Similarly, we put $G_{22}:=$ $\left\langle f_{22}\right\rangle$ and $G_{33}:=\left\langle f_{33}\right\rangle$. Then $G_{66}, G_{22}$ and $G_{33}$ which are abelian groups of order 66 , of order 22 and of order 33 act on $X$ as automorphism groups, respectively. In this section, we study quotient surfaces $X / G_{66}, X / G_{22}$ and $X / G_{33}$. For the details about general theory of quotient singularities, see e.g. [8, Chapter 2].

Lemma 4.1. Set $G_{2}:=\left\langle f_{2}\right\rangle, G_{3}:=\left\langle f_{3}\right\rangle$ and $G_{6}:=\left\langle f_{6}\right\rangle$. The quotient surfaces $X / G_{2}, X / G_{3}$ and $X / G_{6}$ are smooth.

Proof. Note that fixed loci of $f_{2}$ and $f_{3}$ do not include isolated points by Proposition 3.1 (1) and (2). This means that $G_{2}$ and $G_{3}$ are quasi-reflection groups, hence $X / G_{2}$ and $X / G_{3}$ are smooth.

By Proposition 3.1(3), fixed locus $X^{f_{6}}$ has 12 isolated fixed points of type $P^{3,4}$. It is sufficient for us to see the action on neighborhoods of such points. Since $G_{2}$ and $G_{3}$ are quasi-reflection subgroups of $G_{6}$, quotient singularity $\mathbb{C}^{2} / G_{6}=\left(\mathbb{C}^{2} / G_{2}\right) /\left(G_{6} / G_{2}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^{2} / G_{3}$ which is smooth. Thus $X / G_{6}$ is smooth.

Proposition 4.2. Each quotient surfaces $X / G_{66}, X / G_{22}$ and $X / G_{33}$ have one point of type $\frac{1}{11}(1,5)$ and one point of type $\frac{1}{11}(1,8)$ as singularities.

Proof. Put $G_{11}:=\left\langle f_{11}\right\rangle$. Since $G_{6}$ is quasi-reflection subgroup of $G_{66}$, $\mathbb{C}^{2} / G_{66}=\left(\mathbb{C}^{2} / G_{6}\right) /\left(G_{66} / G_{6}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^{2} / G_{11}$. Hence $X / G_{66}$ has the same singularities as $X / G_{11}$.

By Proposition 3.1 (4), $X / G_{11}$ has one singular point of $\frac{1}{11}(2,10)$ and one singular point of type $\frac{1}{11}(5,7)$. We remark that a singular point of type $\frac{1}{11}(2,10)$ can be identified with $\frac{1}{11}(1,5)$ and a singular point of type $\frac{1}{11}(5,7)$ can be identified with $\frac{1}{11}(1,8)$ by by replacing a primitive 11 -th root of unity.

Similarly, since $G_{2}$ and $G_{3}$ are also quasi-reflection subgroups of $G_{22}$ and $G_{33}$ respectively, singularities of $X / G_{22}$ and $X / G_{33}$ are same as $X / G_{11}$.

Let $Z$ be a normal algebraic surface with at worst log terminal singularities, $Z$ is called $\log$ Enriques if the irregularity $\operatorname{dim} H^{1}\left(Z, \mathcal{O}_{Z}\right)=0$ and a positive multiple $I K_{Z}$ of a canonical Weil divisor $K_{Z}$ is linearly equivalent to zero. The smallest integer $I>0$ satisfying $I K_{Z} \sim 0$ is called the index of $Z$.

Corollary 4.3. Quotient surfaces $X / G_{66}, X / G_{22}$ and $X / G_{33}$ are log Enriques surfaces of index 11.

Proof. By Proposition4.2, it is enough to see that $X / G_{11}$ is a $\log$ Enriques surface of index 11. It follows from Lemma 1.7 and its proof of [13].
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