

ALMOST POISED ${}_2\phi_1$ -SERIES EXTENDED WITH TWO INTEGER PARAMETERS

WENCHANG CHU

Communicated by Lucian Beznea

Almost poised ${}_2\phi_1$ -series extended with two integer parameters are investigated by means of the linearization method. Four analytical formulae are established and fourteen closed formulae are presented as examples.

AMS 2020 Subject Classification: Primary 33D15; Secondary 05A30.

Key words: basic hypergeometric series, well-poised series, linearization method, Heine transformation, q -binomial series, q -Saalschütz summation formula.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MOTIVATION

Let \mathbb{Z} and \mathbb{N} be the sets of integers and natural numbers with $\mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. For two indeterminates x and q , define the shifted factorials by $(x; q)_0 = \langle x; q \rangle_0 = 1$ and

$$\left. \begin{aligned} (x; q)_n &= (1-x)(1-qx) \cdots (1-q^{n-1}x) \\ \langle x; q \rangle_n &= (1-x)(1-x/q) \cdots (1-q^{1-n}x) \end{aligned} \right\} \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The rising factorial of negative order can be expressed as

$$(x; q)_{-n} = \frac{1}{(q^{-n}x; q)_n} = q^{\binom{n}{2}} \frac{(-q/x)^n}{(q/x; q)_n} \quad \text{where } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The product and fraction of shifted factorials are abbreviated respectively to

$$\begin{aligned} [\alpha, \beta, \dots, \gamma; q]_n &= (\alpha; q)_n (\beta; q)_n \cdots (\gamma; q)_n, \\ \left[\begin{array}{c} \alpha, \beta, \dots, \gamma \\ A, B, \dots, C \end{array} \middle| q \right]_n &= \frac{(\alpha; q)_n (\beta; q)_n \cdots (\gamma; q)_n}{(A; q)_n (B; q)_n \cdots (C; q)_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Following Bailey [2] and Gasper–Rahman [8], the basic hypergeometric series (shortly as q -series) is defined by

$${}_{1+p}\phi_p \left[\begin{array}{c} a_0, a_1, \dots, a_p \\ b_1, \dots, b_p \end{array} \middle| q; z \right] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[\begin{array}{c} a_0, a_1, \dots, a_p \\ q, b_1, \dots, b_p \end{array} \middle| q \right]_n z^n.$$

This series is well-poised if the linear condition $qa_0 = a_1b_1 = \dots = a_p b_p$ is satisfied by its parameters. When this condition is disturbed by integer powers of the base q , we say that the series is “almost poised”.

Among the numerous identities, the following one (cf. Gasper–Rahman [8, II-20]) for the nonterminating well-poised ${}_6\phi_5$ -series plays a fundamental role:

$${}_6\phi_5 \left[\begin{matrix} a, q\sqrt{a}, -q\sqrt{a}, b, c, d \\ \sqrt{a}, -\sqrt{a}, qa/b, qa/c, qa/d \end{matrix} \middle| q; \frac{qa}{bcd} \right] = \left[\begin{matrix} qa, qa/bc, qa/bd, qa/cd \\ qa/b, qa/c, qa/d, qa/bcd \end{matrix} \middle| q \right]_{\infty}.$$

When $b, d = \pm\sqrt{a}$, the above identity recovers the q -Kummer formula established independently by Bailey [3] and Daum [7] (see also Gasper–Rahman [8, II-9]):

$$(1.1) \quad {}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} a, c \\ qa/c \end{matrix} \middle| q; -q/c \right] = \frac{(qa; q^2)_{\infty}}{(qa/c^2; q^2)_{\infty}} \left[\begin{matrix} qa/c^2, -q \\ qa/c, -q/c \end{matrix} \middle| q \right]_{\infty}.$$

For the q -Kummer theorem, we find that there is a positive series counterpart

$$(1.2) \quad {}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} a, c \\ qa/c \end{matrix} \middle| q; q^{1/2}/c \right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[\begin{matrix} q^{1/2}, a \\ q^{1/2}/c, qa/c \end{matrix} \middle| q \right]_{\infty} \times \left\{ \frac{(\sqrt{qa}/c; q^{1/2})_{\infty}}{(\sqrt{a}; q^{1/2})_{\infty}} + \frac{(-\sqrt{qa}/c; q^{1/2})_{\infty}}{(-\sqrt{a}; q^{1/2})_{\infty}} \right\}$$

which resembles the following one discovered by Andrews and Askey [1, eq. 3.25]

$$(1.3) \quad {}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} a, c \\ a/c \end{matrix} \middle| q; q^{1/2}/c \right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[\begin{matrix} q^{1/2}, a \\ q^{1/2}/c, a/c \end{matrix} \middle| q \right]_{\infty} \times \left\{ \frac{(\sqrt{a}/c; q^{1/2})_{\infty}}{(\sqrt{a}; q^{1/2})_{\infty}} + \frac{(-\sqrt{a}/c; q^{1/2})_{\infty}}{(-\sqrt{a}; q^{1/2})_{\infty}} \right\}.$$

Letting $a = q^{-m}$ with $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ in the above two identities, we derive, under the base replacement $q \rightarrow q^2$, their terminating forms

$$\begin{aligned} {}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} q^{-2m}, c \\ q^{2-2m}/c \end{matrix} \middle| q^2; q/c \right] &= \frac{[c, -q; q]_m}{(c; q^2)_m} \cdot q^{-m}, \\ {}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} q^{-2m}, c \\ q^{-2m}/c \end{matrix} \middle| q^2; q/c \right] &= \frac{[c, -q; q]_m}{(c; q^2)_m} \cdot \frac{1 - q^m c}{1 - q^{2m} c}. \end{aligned}$$

When $c \rightarrow 0$ further, the last two identities reduce to the same amazing binomial formula (cf. Andrews–Askey [1, eq. 3.26]):

$$\sum_{k=0}^m \begin{bmatrix} m \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{q^2} q^k = (-q; q)_m.$$

Following Andrews–Askey [1], we can provide, for completeness, an elementary proof of (1.2). Recalling the first Heine transformation (Gasper–Rahman [8, III-1])

$${}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} a, b \\ c \end{matrix} \middle| q; z \right] = \left[\begin{matrix} a, bz \\ c, z \end{matrix} \middle| q \right]_{\infty} {}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} c/a, z \\ bz \end{matrix} \middle| q; a \right]$$

we can first express the ${}_2\phi_1$ -series in question as another ${}_2\phi_1$ -series

$${}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} a, c \\ qa/c \end{matrix} \middle| q; q^{1/2}/c \right] = \left[\begin{matrix} q^{1/2}, a \\ q^{1/2}/c, qa/c \end{matrix} \middle| q \right]_{\infty} {}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} q/c, q^{1/2}/c \\ q^{1/2} \end{matrix} \middle| q; a \right].$$

Then the last ${}_2\phi_1$ -series can be evaluated, in turn, by means of the q -binomial series (Gasper–Rahman [8, II-3])

$${}_1\phi_0 \left[\begin{matrix} a \\ - \end{matrix} \middle| q; z \right] = \frac{(az; q)_{\infty}}{(z; q)_{\infty}}$$

in the following closed form:

$$\begin{aligned} {}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} q/c, q^{1/2}/c \\ q^{1/2} \end{matrix} \middle| q; a \right] &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ {}_1\phi_0 \left[\begin{matrix} q^{1/2}/c \\ - \end{matrix} \middle| q^{1/2}; \sqrt{a} \right] + {}_1\phi_0 \left[\begin{matrix} q^{1/2}/c \\ - \end{matrix} \middle| q^{1/2}; -\sqrt{a} \right] \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{(\sqrt{qa}/c; q^{1/2})_{\infty}}{(\sqrt{a}; q^{1/2})_{\infty}} + \frac{(-\sqrt{qa}/c; q^{1/2})_{\infty}}{(-\sqrt{a}; q^{1/2})_{\infty}} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Motivated by these two identities (1.2) and (1.3), this paper will examine, for any given pair of integers λ and ρ , the following general series

$$(1.4) \quad \Omega_{\lambda}^{\rho} := \Omega_{\lambda}^{\rho}(a, c) = {}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} a, c \\ q^{1+\rho}a/c \end{matrix} \middle| q; q^{\lambda+1/2}/c \right].$$

By applying the linearization method employed in [5, 6, 4, 9], we shall prove (see Theorem 6) that the $\Omega_{\lambda}^{\rho}(a, c)$ -series for $\lambda, \rho \in \mathbb{Z}$ is always explicitly evaluable in the $\Omega_0^0(a', c')$ -series with the number of terms at most $(1 + |\rho|) \times (1 + |\lambda| + |\rho|)$.

The rest of the paper will be organized as follows. In the next section, we prove by means of the q -binomial theorem two formulae that transform the Ω_{λ}^{ρ} -series into the Ω_{λ}^0 -series. Then the Ω_{λ}^0 -series will be explicitly evaluated in Section 3 through the linearization method. Finally, the paper will end up with fourteen further examples as applications.

2. REDUCTION FORMULAE FROM Ω_{λ}^{ρ} TO Ω_{λ}^0

By applying the series rearrangement and the q -binomial theorem

$$(x; q)_m = \sum_{k=0}^m q^{\binom{k}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ k \end{bmatrix} (-x)^k$$

we shall derive, in this section, two transformation formulae that express the Ω_λ^ρ -series in terms of the Ω_λ^0 -series.

§2.1. $\rho \geq 0$

By inserting the binomial relation in the Ω_λ^ρ -series

$$(q^{n-\rho}c; q)_\rho = \sum_{k=0}^\rho (-c)^{\rho-k} \begin{bmatrix} \rho \\ k \end{bmatrix} q^{\binom{\rho-k}{2} + (n-\rho)(\rho-k)}$$

we can reformulate the following double series

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_\lambda^\rho(a, c) &= \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(a; q)_n (c; q)_n}{(q; q)_n (q^{1+\rho}a/c; q)_n} \left(\frac{q^{\lambda+\frac{1}{2}}}{c}\right)^n \sum_{k=0}^\rho \frac{(-c)^{\rho-k}}{(q^{n-\rho}c; q)_\rho} \begin{bmatrix} \rho \\ k \end{bmatrix} q^{\binom{\rho-k}{2} + (n-\rho)(\rho-k)} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^\rho \frac{(-c)^{\rho-k}}{(q^{-\rho}c; q)_\rho} \begin{bmatrix} \rho \\ k \end{bmatrix} q^{\binom{\rho-k}{2} - \rho(\rho-k)} \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(a; q)_n (q^{-\rho}c; q)_n}{(q; q)_n (q^{1+\rho}a/c; q)_n} \left(\frac{q^{\lambda+\rho-k+\frac{1}{2}}}{c}\right)^n. \end{aligned}$$

Writing the last sum as $\Omega_{\lambda-k}^0(a, q^{-\rho}c)$, we derive the following reduction formula.

THEOREM 1 ($\lambda, \rho \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $\rho \geq 0$).

$$\Omega_\lambda^\rho(a, c) = \sum_{k=0}^\rho q^{\binom{k}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} \rho \\ k \end{bmatrix} \frac{(-q/c)^k}{(q/c; q)_\rho} \Omega_{\lambda-k}^0(a, q^{-\rho}c).$$

§2.2. $\rho \leq 0$

Instead, by putting another binomial relation inside the Ω_λ^ρ -series

$$(q^{1+n+\rho}a/c; q)_{-\rho} = \sum_{k=0}^{-\rho} \left(\frac{-a}{c}\right)^k \begin{bmatrix} -\rho \\ k \end{bmatrix} q^{\binom{k+1}{2} + k\rho + kn}$$

we can analogously manipulate the following double series

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_\lambda^\rho(a, c) &= \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(a; q)_n (c; q)_n}{(q; q)_n (q^{1+\rho}a/c; q)_n} \left(\frac{q^{\lambda+\frac{1}{2}}}{c}\right)^n \sum_{k=0}^{-\rho} \left(\frac{-a}{c}\right)^k \begin{bmatrix} -\rho \\ k \end{bmatrix} \frac{q^{\binom{k+1}{2} + k\rho + kn}}{(q^{1+n+\rho}a/c; q)_{-\rho}} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{-\rho} \left(\frac{-a}{c}\right)^k \begin{bmatrix} -\rho \\ k \end{bmatrix} \frac{q^{\binom{k+1}{2} + k\rho}}{(q^{1+\rho}a/c; q)_{-\rho}} \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(a; q)_n (c; q)_n}{(q; q)_n (qa/c; q)_n} \left(\frac{q^{\lambda+k+\frac{1}{2}}}{c}\right)^n. \end{aligned}$$

Writing the last sum as $\Omega_{\lambda+k}^0(a, c)$, we derive another reduction formula.

THEOREM 2 ($\lambda, \rho \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $\rho \leq 0$).

$$\Omega_\lambda^\rho(a, c) = \sum_{k=0}^{-\rho} q^{\binom{k}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} -\rho \\ k \end{bmatrix} \frac{(-q^{1+\rho}a/c)^k}{(q^{1+\rho}a/c; q)_{-\rho}} \Omega_{\lambda+k}^0(a, c).$$

3. REDUCTION FORMULAE FROM Ω_λ^0 TO Ω_0^0

By making use of the second Heine transformation (Gasper–Rahman [8, III-2])

$${}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} a, b \\ c \end{matrix} \middle| q; z \right] = \left[\begin{matrix} c/b, bz \\ c, z \end{matrix} \middle| q \right]_\infty {}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} abz/c, b \\ bz \end{matrix} \middle| q; c/b \right]$$

we can express the $\Omega_\lambda^0(a, c)$ -series as

$$(3.1) \quad \Omega_\lambda^0(a, c) = \left[\begin{matrix} q/c, q^{\lambda+1/2}a/c \\ qa/c, q^{\lambda+1/2}/c \end{matrix} \middle| q \right]_\infty \times {}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} a, q^{\lambda-1/2}c \\ q^{\lambda+1/2}a/c \end{matrix} \middle| q; q/c \right].$$

Therefore, in order to evaluate $\Omega_\lambda^0(a, c)$, it suffices to find explicit formulae for the rightmost nonterminating ${}_2\phi_1$ -series. For that purpose, we have to invoke the following linearization lemma.

LEMMA 3 (Linear representation). *Let x be a variable and m a natural number. Then for three indeterminates $\{u, v, w\}$, the following linear representation formula holds*

$$(3.2) \quad (wx; q)_m = \sum_{k=0}^m (ux; q)_{m-k} \langle vx; q \rangle_k \mathcal{E}_m^k(u, v, w),$$

where the connection coefficients $\{\mathcal{E}_m^k(u, v, w)\}$ are independent of x and given by

$$(3.3) \quad \mathcal{E}_m^k(u, v, w) = q^{\binom{k}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ k \end{bmatrix} \frac{(w/u, q)_k (w/v; q)_m}{(w/v; q)_k (u/v; q)_m} \left(-\frac{u}{v} \right)^k.$$

Proof. Recall the following three relations

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ k \end{bmatrix} &= (-1)^k \frac{(q^{-m}; q)_k}{(q; q)_k} q^{mk - \binom{k}{2}}, \\ (ux; q)_{m-k} &= \left(\frac{-q}{ux} \right)^k \frac{(ux; q)_m}{(q^{1-m}/ux; q)_k} q^{\binom{k}{2} - mk}, \\ \langle vx; q \rangle_k &= (-vx)^k (1/vx; q)_k q^{-\binom{k}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (3.3) into (3.2), we confirm the lemma by simplifying the finite sum

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^m (ux; q)_{m-k} \langle vx; q \rangle_k \begin{bmatrix} m \\ k \end{bmatrix} q^{\binom{k}{2}} \frac{(w/u, q)_k (w/v; q)_m}{(w/v; q)_k (u/v; q)_m} \left(-\frac{u}{v}\right)^k \\ &= \frac{(ux; q)_m (w/v; q)_m}{(u/v; q)_m} \sum_{k=0}^m q^k \left[\begin{matrix} q^{-m}, 1/vx, w/u, \\ q, q^{1-m}/ux, w/v \end{matrix} \middle| q; q \right]_k = (wx; q)_m, \end{aligned}$$

where the last sum has been evaluated by means of the following q -Saalschütz summation formula (cf. [8, II-12])

$${}_3\phi_2 \left[\begin{matrix} q^{-m}, a, b \\ c, q^{1-m}ab/c \end{matrix} \middle| q; q \right] = \left[\begin{matrix} c/a, c/b \\ c, c/ab \end{matrix} \middle| q \right]_m.$$

□

§3.1. $\lambda \geq 0$

When $\lambda \geq 0$, specifying in Lemma 3 by

$$\left. \begin{matrix} m \rightarrow \lambda \\ x \rightarrow q^n \end{matrix} \right\} \text{ and } \begin{cases} u \rightarrow a \\ v \rightarrow q^{\lambda-1/2}a/c \\ w \rightarrow q^{-1/2}c \end{cases}$$

we get the equation

$$(q^{n-1/2}c; q)_\lambda = \sum_{k=0}^\lambda (q^n a; q)_k \langle q^{n+\lambda-1/2}a/c; q \rangle_{\lambda-k} \mathcal{E}_\lambda^{\lambda-k}(a, q^{\lambda-1/2}a/c, q^{-1/2}c).$$

By inserting this relation in the ${}_2\phi_1$ -series displayed in (3.1), we can reformulate the double sum below

$$\begin{aligned} {}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} a, q^{\lambda-1/2}c \\ q^{\lambda+1/2}a/c \end{matrix} \middle| q; q/c \right] &= \sum_{n=0}^\infty \left(\frac{q}{c}\right)^n \left[\begin{matrix} a, q^{\lambda-1/2}c \\ q, q^{\lambda+1/2}a/c \end{matrix} \middle| q \right]_n \\ &\quad \times \sum_{k=0}^\lambda \frac{(q^n a; q)_k \langle q^{n+\lambda-1/2}a/c; q \rangle_{\lambda-k}}{(q^{n-1/2}c; q)_\lambda} \mathcal{E}_\lambda^{\lambda-k}(a, q^{\lambda-1/2}a/c, q^{-1/2}c) \\ &= \frac{(q^{1/2}a/c; q)_\lambda}{(q^{-1/2}c; q)_\lambda} \sum_{k=0}^\lambda \frac{(a; q)_k}{(q^{1/2}a/c; q)_k} \mathcal{E}_\lambda^{\lambda-k}(a, q^{\lambda-1/2}a/c, q^{-1/2}c) \\ &\quad \times \sum_{n=0}^\infty \left(\frac{q}{c}\right)^n \left[\begin{matrix} q^k a, q^{-1/2}c \\ q, q^{k+1/2}a/c \end{matrix} \middle| q \right]_n. \end{aligned}$$

In view of (3.1), evaluating explicitly the last series

$$(3.4) \quad {}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} q^k a, q^{-1/2} c \\ q^{k+1/2} a/c \end{matrix} \middle| q; \frac{q}{c} \right] = \Omega_0(q^k a, c) \times \left[\begin{matrix} q^{1/2}/c, q^{1+k} a/c \\ q/c, q^{k+1/2} a/c \end{matrix} \middle| q \right]_{\infty}$$

we establish the following expression

$$\begin{aligned} {}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} a, q^{\lambda-1/2} c \\ q^{\lambda+1/2} a/c \end{matrix} \middle| q; q/c \right] &= \frac{(q^{1/2} a/c; q)_{\lambda}}{(q^{-1/2} c; q)_{\lambda}} \left[\begin{matrix} q^{1/2}/c, qa/c \\ q/c, q^{1/2} a/c \end{matrix} \middle| q \right]_{\infty} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{k=0}^{\lambda} \mathcal{E}_{\lambda}^{\lambda-k}(a, q^{\lambda-1/2} a/c, q^{-1/2} c) \frac{(a; q)_k}{(qa/c; q)_k} \Omega_0(q^k a, c). \end{aligned}$$

Replacing the \mathcal{E} -coefficient by (3.3) and then simplifying the result, we find the following theorem.

THEOREM 4 ($\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $\lambda \geq 0$).

$$\Omega_{\lambda}^0(a, c) = \frac{(q^{-\frac{1}{2}} c/a; q)_{\lambda}}{(q^{-\frac{1}{2}} c; q)_{\lambda}} \sum_{k=0}^{\lambda} q^k \left[\begin{matrix} q^{-\lambda}, a, qa/c^2 \\ q, qa/c, q^{\frac{3}{2}-\lambda} a/c \end{matrix} \middle| q \right]_k \Omega_0^0(q^k a, c).$$

§3.2. $\lambda \leq 0$

When $\lambda \geq 0$, specifying in Lemma 3 by

$$\left. \begin{matrix} m \rightarrow -\lambda \\ x \rightarrow q^n \end{matrix} \right\} \text{ and } \left\{ \begin{matrix} u \rightarrow q^{\lambda-1/2} c \\ v \rightarrow 1 \\ w \rightarrow q^{\lambda+1/2} a/c \end{matrix} \right.$$

we get the equation

$$(q^{n+\lambda+1/2} a/c; q)_{-\lambda} = \sum_{k=0}^{-\lambda} (q^{n+\lambda-1/2} c; q)_{-\lambda-k} \langle q^n; q \rangle_k \mathcal{E}_{\lambda}^k(q^{\lambda-1/2} c, 1, q^{\lambda+1/2} a/c).$$

By putting this relation inside the ${}_2\phi_1$ -series displayed in (3.1), we can manipulate the double sum below

$$\begin{aligned} {}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} a, q^{\lambda-1/2} c \\ q^{\lambda+1/2} a/c \end{matrix} \middle| q; q/c \right] &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{q}{c} \right)^n \left[\begin{matrix} a, q^{\lambda-1/2} c \\ q, q^{\lambda+1/2} a/c \end{matrix} \middle| q \right]_n \\ &\quad \times \sum_{k=0}^{-\lambda} \frac{\langle q^n; q \rangle_k (q^{n+\lambda-1/2} c; q)_{-\lambda-k}}{(q^{n+\lambda+1/2} a/c; q)_{-\lambda}} \mathcal{E}_{\lambda}^k(q^{\lambda-1/2} c, 1, q^{\lambda+1/2} a/c) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum_{k=0}^{-\lambda} \frac{(q^{\lambda-1/2}c; q)_{-\lambda-k}}{(q^{\lambda+1/2}a/c; q)_{-\lambda}} \mathcal{E}_\lambda^k(q^{\lambda-1/2}c, 1, q^{\lambda+1/2}a/c) \\
 &\quad \times \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \left[\begin{matrix} a, q^{-k-1/2}c \\ q^{1/2}a/c \end{matrix} \middle| q \right]_n \frac{(q/c)^n}{(q; q)_{n-k}} \\
 &= \frac{(q^{1/2}a/c; q)_\lambda}{(q^{-1/2}c; q)_\lambda} \sum_{k=0}^{-\lambda} \left(\frac{q}{c}\right)^k \frac{(a; q)_k}{(q^{1/2}a/c; q)_k} \mathcal{E}_\lambda^k(q^{\lambda-1/2}c, 1, q^{\lambda+1/2}a/c) \\
 &\quad \times \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[\begin{matrix} q^k a, q^{-1/2}c \\ q, q^{k+1/2}a/c \end{matrix} \middle| q \right]_n \left(\frac{q}{c}\right)^n
 \end{aligned}$$

where the last passage has been justified by the replacement $n \rightarrow n + k$ on the summation index n . Evaluating the last series by (3.4), we have the following expression

$$\begin{aligned}
 {}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} a, q^{\lambda-1/2}c \\ q^{\lambda+1/2}a/c \end{matrix} \middle| q; q/c \right] &= \frac{(q^{1/2}a/c; q)_\lambda}{(q^{-1/2}c; q)_\lambda} \left[\begin{matrix} qa/c, q^{1/2}/c \\ q/c, q^{1/2}a/c \end{matrix} \middle| q \right]_\infty \\
 &\times \sum_{k=0}^{-\lambda} \left(\frac{q}{c}\right)^k \mathcal{E}_\lambda^k(q^{\lambda-1/2}c, 1, q^{\lambda+1/2}a/c) \frac{(a; q)_k}{(qa/c; q)_k} \Omega_0(q^k a, c).
 \end{aligned}$$

Replacing the \mathcal{E} -coefficient by (3.3) and then simplifying the resulting expression, we get another theorem.

THEOREM 5 ($\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $\lambda \leq 0$).

$$\Omega_\lambda^0(a, c) = \frac{(q^{\frac{1}{2}}/c; q)_\lambda}{(q^{\frac{1}{2}}a/c; q)_\lambda} \sum_{k=0}^{-\lambda} q^{\frac{k}{2}} \left[\begin{matrix} q^\lambda, a, qa/c^2 \\ q, qa/c, q^{\lambda+\frac{1}{2}}a/c \end{matrix} \middle| q \right]_k \Omega_0^0(q^k a, c).$$

4. CONCLUSIVE THEOREM AND EXAMPLES

Summing up the results shown in the previous two sections, we can evaluate the Ω_λ^ρ -series, for any given pair of integers λ and ρ , by carrying out the following procedure:

- **STEP A.** If $\rho \neq 0$, we first transform the Ω_λ^ρ -series into the Ω_λ^0 -series by making use of Theorems 1 and 2 and then go to **STEP B**.
- **STEP B.** If $\rho = 0$, we evaluate the Ω_λ^0 -series by means of Theorems 4 and 5.

Therefore, we have confirmed the following conclusive theorem.

THEOREM 6. *For any given pair of integers λ and ρ , the Ω_λ^ρ -series can be explicitly expressed as a linear combination of the Ω_0^0 -series with the number of terms at most $(1 + |\rho|)(1 + |\lambda| + |\rho|)$.*

The afore-described procedure is realized by appropriately devised *Mathematica* commands, that are executed to produce several closed formulae of the Ω_λ^ρ -series for small integers λ and ρ . In order to avoid complicated expressions, we shall write the identities under the replacements $q \rightarrow q^2$ and $a \rightarrow a^2$. As a showcase, the first two examples in (1.2) and (1.3) can be reformulated as

$$(\Omega_0^0) \quad {}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} a^2, c \\ q^2 a^2/c \end{matrix} \middle| q^2; q/c \right] = \left[\begin{matrix} q, a^2 \\ q/c, q^2 a^2/c \end{matrix} \middle| q^2 \right]_\infty \left\{ \frac{(qa/c; q)_\infty}{2(a; q)_\infty} + \frac{(-qa/c; q)_\infty}{2(-a; q)_\infty} \right\},$$

$$(\Omega_0^{-1}) \quad {}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} a^2, c \\ a^2/c \end{matrix} \middle| q^2; q/c \right] = \left[\begin{matrix} q, a^2 \\ q/c, a^2/c \end{matrix} \middle| q^2 \right]_\infty \left\{ \frac{(a/c; q)_\infty}{2(a; q)_\infty} + \frac{(-a/c; q)_\infty}{2(-a; q)_\infty} \right\}.$$

Fourteen remarkable examples are recorded as further applications. They do not seem to have appeared previously in the mathematical literature.

Example 1 ($\lambda = 1$ and $\rho = 0$).

$${}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} a^2, c \\ q^2 a^2/c \end{matrix} \middle| q^2; q^3/c \right] = \left[\begin{matrix} q, a^2 \\ q/c, q^2 a^2/c \end{matrix} \middle| q^2 \right]_\infty \times \left\{ \theta(a) + \theta(-a) \right\}$$

where $\theta(a) = \frac{(qa/c; q)_\infty}{2a(a; q)_\infty}$.

Example 2 ($\lambda = 1$ and $\rho = 1$).

$${}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} a^2, c \\ q^4 a^2/c \end{matrix} \middle| q^2; q^3/c \right] = \left[\begin{matrix} q, a^2 \\ q^3/c, q^4 a^2/c \end{matrix} \middle| q^2 \right]_\infty \times \left\{ \theta(a) + \theta(-a) \right\}$$

where $\theta(a) = \frac{(q^2 a/c; q)_\infty}{2a(1 - q^2/c)(a; q)_\infty}$.

Example 3 ($\lambda = 0$ and $\rho = 1$).

$${}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} a^2, c \\ q^4 a^2/c \end{matrix} \middle| q^2; q/c \right] = \left[\begin{matrix} q, a^2 \\ q/c, q^4 a^2/c \end{matrix} \middle| q^2 \right]_\infty \times \left\{ \theta(a) + \theta(-a) \right\}$$

where $\theta(a) = \frac{(q^2 a/c; q)_\infty}{2(a; q)_\infty} \times \frac{q^2 - c + qa - q^2 a}{q^2 - c}$.

Example 4 ($\lambda = 1$ and $\rho = -1$).

$${}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} a^2, c \\ a^2/c \end{matrix} \middle| q^2; q^3/c \right] = \left[\begin{matrix} q, a^2 \\ q/c, a^2/c \end{matrix} \middle| q^2 \right]_\infty \times \left\{ \theta(a) + \theta(-a) \right\}$$

where $\theta(a) = \frac{(a/c; q)_\infty}{2(a; q)_\infty} \times \frac{q + a - qa - qc}{a(1 - qc)}$.

Example 5 ($\lambda = -1$ and $\rho = -1$).

$${}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} a^2, c \\ a/c \end{matrix} \middle| q^2; q^{-1}/c \right] = \left[\begin{matrix} q, a \\ q/c, a^2/c \end{matrix} \middle| q^2 \right]_{\infty} \times \left\{ \theta(a) + \theta(-a) \right\}$$

where $\theta(a) = \frac{(a/c; q)_{\infty}}{2(a; q)_{\infty}} \times \frac{a + c - ac - qc}{1 - qc}$.

Example 6 ($\lambda = 0$ and $\rho = -2$).

$${}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} a^2, c \\ q^{-2}a/c \end{matrix} \middle| q^2; q/c \right] = \left[\begin{matrix} q, a \\ q/c, q^{-2}a^2/c \end{matrix} \middle| q^2 \right]_{\infty} \times \left\{ \theta(a) + \theta(-a) \right\}$$

where $\theta(a) = \frac{(q^{-1}a/c; q)_{\infty}}{2(a; q)_{\infty}} \times \frac{q + a - qa - q^2c}{q(1 - qc)}$.

Example 7 ($\lambda = 1$ and $\rho = 2$).

$${}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} a^2, c \\ q^6a/c \end{matrix} \middle| q^2; q^3/c \right] = \left[\begin{matrix} q, a \\ q^3/c, q^6a^2/c \end{matrix} \middle| q^2 \right]_{\infty} \times \left\{ \theta(a) + \theta(-a) \right\}$$

where $\theta(a) = \frac{(q^3a/c; q)_{\infty}}{2(a; q)_{\infty}} \times \frac{c - q^3 - q^2a + q^3a}{ac(q^2 - c)(q^4 - c)}$.

Example 8 ($\lambda = -1$ and $\rho = -2$).

$${}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} a^2, c \\ q^{-2}a/c \end{matrix} \middle| q^2; q^{-1}/c \right] = \left[\begin{matrix} q, a \\ q/c, q^{-2}a^2/c \end{matrix} \middle| q^2 \right]_{\infty} \times \left\{ \theta(a) + \theta(-a) \right\}$$

where $\theta(a) = \frac{(q^{-1}a/c; q)_{\infty}}{2(a; q)_{\infty}} \times \frac{a + qc - qac - q^2c}{q(1 - qc)}$.

Example 9 ($\lambda = 2$ and $\rho = 1$).

$${}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} a^2, c \\ q^4a/c \end{matrix} \middle| q^2; q^5/c \right] = \left[\begin{matrix} q, a \\ q/c, q^4a^2/c \end{matrix} \middle| q^2 \right]_{\infty} \times \left\{ \theta(a) + \theta(-a) \right\}$$

where $\theta(a) = \frac{(q^2a/c; q)_{\infty}}{2(a; q)_{\infty}} \times \frac{qc - c + ac - q^2a}{qa^3c(1 - q^2/c)}$.

Example 10 ($\lambda = 2$ and $\rho = 2$).

$${}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} a^2, c \\ q^6a/c \end{matrix} \middle| q^2; q^5/c \right] = \left[\begin{matrix} q, a \\ q^3/c, q^6a^2/c \end{matrix} \middle| q^2 \right]_{\infty} \times \left\{ \theta(a) + \theta(-a) \right\}$$

where $\theta(a) = \frac{(q^3a/c; q)_{\infty}}{2(a; q)_{\infty}} \times \frac{c(ac + qc - c - q^3a)}{qa^3(q^2 - c)(q^4 - c)}$.

Example 11 ($\lambda = 2$ and $\rho = 0$).

$${}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} a^2, c \\ q^2 a^2/c \end{matrix} \middle| q^2; q^5/c \right] = \left[\begin{matrix} q, a^2 \\ q/c, q^2 a^2/c \end{matrix} \middle| q^2 \right]_{\infty} \times \left\{ \theta(a) + \theta(-a) \right\}$$

where $\theta(a) = \frac{(qa/c; q)_{\infty}}{2(a; q)_{\infty}} \times \frac{a^2 - qa^2 - ac + qa + c - qc}{a^3(1 - qc)}$.

Example 12 ($\lambda = 2$ and $\rho = 3$).

$${}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} a^2, c \\ q^8 a^2/c \end{matrix} \middle| q^2; q^5/c \right] = \left[\begin{matrix} q, a^2 \\ q^5/c, q^8 a^2/c \end{matrix} \middle| q^2 \right]_{\infty} \times \left\{ \theta(a) + \theta(-a) \right\}$$

where $\theta(a) = \frac{(q^4 a/c; q)_{\infty}}{2(a; q)_{\infty}} \times \frac{ac + qc - c - q^3 a^2 - q^4 a + q^4 a^2}{qa^3 c(1 - q^2/c)(1 - q^4/c)(1 - q^6/c)}$.

Example 13 ($\lambda = -1$ and $\rho = 0$).

$${}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} a^2, c \\ q^2 a^2/c \end{matrix} \middle| q^2; q^{-1}/c \right] = \left[\begin{matrix} q, a^2 \\ q/c, q^2 a^2/c \end{matrix} \middle| q^2 \right]_{\infty} \times \left\{ \theta(a) + \theta(-a) \right\}$$

where $\theta(a) = \frac{(qa/c; q)_{\infty}}{2(a; q)_{\infty}} \times \frac{a^2 - qa^2 - ac + qa + c - qc}{1 - qc}$.

Example 14 ($\lambda = -1$ and $\rho = -3$).

$${}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} a^2, c \\ q^{-4} a^2/c \end{matrix} \middle| q^2; q^{-1}/c \right] = \left[\begin{matrix} q, a^2 \\ q/c, q^{-4} a^2/c \end{matrix} \middle| q^2 \right]_{\infty} \times \left\{ \theta(a) + \theta(-a) \right\}$$

where $\theta(a) = \frac{(q^{-1} a/c; q)_{\infty}}{2(a; q)_{\infty}} \times \frac{a^2 + qa - qa^2 + q^3 c - q^4 c - q^3 ac}{q^3(1 - qc)}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] G.E. Andrews and R. Askey, *Enumeration of partitions: the role of Eulerian series and q -orthogonal polynomials*. In: M. Aigner (Ed.), *Higher Combinatorics*. Proc. NATO Advanced Study Inst. **31** (1979), 3–26, D. Reidel Publishing Company, Dordrecht–Holland, 1979.
- [2] W.N. Bailey, *Generalized Hypergeometric Series*. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics and Mathematical Physics **32**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1935.
- [3] W.N. Bailey. *A note on certain q -identities*. Quart. J. Math. (Oxford) **12** (1941), 173–175.
- [4] X. Chen and W. Chu, *Closed formulae for a class of terminating ${}_3F_2(4)$ -series*. Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. **28** (2017), 825–837.
- [5] W. Chu, *Analytical formulae for extended ${}_3F_2$ -series of Watson–Whipple–Dixon with two extra integer parameters*. Math. Comp. **81** (2012), 467–479.

- [6] W. Chu, *Terminating ${}_2F_1(4)$ -series perturbed by two integer parameters*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **145** (2017), 1031–1040.
- [7] J.A. Daum, *The basic analog of Kummer's theorem*. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **48** (1942), 711–713.
- [8] G. Gasper and M. Rahman, *Basic Hypergeometric Series* (Second Edition). Encyclopedia Math. Appl. **96**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2004.
- [9] N.N. Li and W. Chu, *Terminating balanced ${}_4\phi_3$ -series with two integer parameters*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **145** (2017), 4371–4383.

Received April 25, 2021

*Zhoukou Normal University
School of Mathematics and Statistics
Zhoukou 466001 (Henan), China
hypergeometricx@outlook.com*

*University of Salento
Department of Mathematics and Physics
Lecce 73100, Italy
chu.wenchang@unisalento.it*