

ESTIMATE FOR HIGHER MOMENTS OF CUSP FORM COEFFICIENTS OVER SUM OF TWO SQUARES

GUODONG HUA

Communicated by Lucian Beznea

Let f and g be two distinct primitive holomorphic cusp forms of even integral weights k_1 and k_2 for the full modular group $\Gamma = SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$, respectively. Denote by $\lambda_f(n)$ and $\lambda_g(n)$ the n th normalized Fourier coefficients of f and g , respectively. In this paper, we consider the summatory function

$$\sum_{n=a^2+b^2 \leq x} \lambda_f(n)^i \lambda_g(n)^j,$$

for $x \geq 2$, where $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $i, j \geq 1$ are positive integers.

AMS 2020 Subject Classification: 11F11, 11F30, 11F66.

Key words: Fourier coefficients, holomorphic cusp form, automorphic L -functions.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Fourier coefficients of modular forms are interesting and important number-theoretic functions. Let H_k be the set of normalized primitive holomorphic cusp forms of even integral weight k for the full modular group $\Gamma = SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$, which consists of the eigenfunctions for the all Hecke operators T_n . The Fourier coefficients of $f \in H_k$ at the cusp infinity admits the Fourier expansion

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_f(n) n^{\frac{k-1}{2}} e(nz), \quad \Im(z) > 0,$$

where $e(z) = e^{2\pi iz}$, and we normalize $\lambda_f(1) = 1$ and $\lambda_f(n) \in \mathbb{R}$ is the n th normalized Fourier coefficient (Hecke eigenvalue) of f . It is well-known that the Hecke eigenvalues $\lambda_f(n)$ satisfies the Hecke relation

$$(1) \quad \lambda_f(n)\lambda_f(m) = \sum_{d|(m,n)} \lambda_f\left(\frac{mn}{d^2}\right)$$

This work was financially supported in part by The National Key Research and Development Program of China (Grant No. 2021YFA1000700), Natural Science Basic Research Program of Shaanxi (Program Nos. 2023-JC-QN-0024, 2023-JC-YB-077), Foundation of Shaanxi Educational Committee (2023-JC-YB-013) and Shaanxi Fundamental Science Research Project for Mathematics and Physics (Grant No. 22JSQ010).

for all integers $m, n \geq 1$. In 1974, Deligne [5] proved the celebrated Ramanujan-Petersson conjecture which asserts that

$$(2) \quad |\lambda_f(n)| \leq d(n),$$

where $d(n)$ denotes the classical divisor function.

Then the result (2) implies that for any prime number p , there exist two complex numbers $\alpha_f(p), \beta_f(p)$ such that

$$(3) \quad \lambda_f(p) = \alpha_f(p) + \beta_f(p), \quad |\alpha_f(p)| = |\beta_f(p)| = \alpha_f(p)\beta_f(p) = 1.$$

The distribution of the Fourier coefficients of cusp forms are interesting and has been investigated by lots of authors. In 1927, Hecke [9] proved that

$$S(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} \lambda_f(n) \ll_f x^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Subsequent improvements on $S(x)$ were made by a number of authors (see e.g., [5, 10, 27, 32]).

In the 1930's, Rankin [26] and Selberg [29] independently proved that

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \lambda_f^2(n) = c_f x + O_f(x^{\frac{3}{5}}),$$

where c_f is some suitable constant depending on f . Later, other authors considered the higher moments of the Fourier coefficients of cusp forms (see c.f., [18, 7, 22]). In particular, Lau and Lü [19] established a general formula for the summatory function

$$U_l(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} \lambda_f(n)^l, \quad l \geq 3$$

for the normalized Fourier coefficients of both holomorphic cusp forms and Maass cusp forms under suitable conditions.

Let $f \in H_{k_1}$ and $g \in H_{k_2}$ be Hecke eigenforms, and denote by $\lambda_f(n)$ and $\lambda_g(n)$ the n th normalized Fourier coefficients of f and g , respectively. Based on the work of Gelbart and Jacquet [8], we know that the automorphy of symmetric power lifting $\text{sym}^j \pi_f$ attached to f is proved for $j = 2$, and similarly for g . Fomenko [7] considered the average behaviour of second moment of normalized Fourier coefficients involving two distinct cusp forms. More precisely, he proved that

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \lambda_f^2(n) \lambda_g(n) \ll_{f,g,\varepsilon} x^{\frac{5}{6} + \varepsilon}$$

and

$$(4) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} \lambda_f^2(n) \lambda_g^2(n) = c_{f,g} x + O_{f,g,\varepsilon}(x^{\frac{9}{10} + \varepsilon})$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$, here $c_{f,g} > 0$ is some positive constant depending on f, g . The result in (4) require the condition that $\text{sym}^2 \pi_f \not\cong \text{sym}^2 \pi_g$.

In 2013, Lü [20] established the following formula

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \lambda_f^5(n) \lambda_g(n) \ll_{f,g,\varepsilon} x^{\frac{31}{32} + \varepsilon},$$

which can be regarded that the sequences $\{\lambda_f(n)^5\}$ and $\{\lambda_g(n)\}$ are asymptotically orthogonal as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Later, Lü and Sankaranarayanan [21] further proved that

$$(5) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} \lambda_f^5(n) \lambda_g^2(n) \ll_{f,g,\varepsilon} x^{\frac{184}{187} + \varepsilon}, \quad \sum_{n \leq x} \lambda_f(n) \lambda_g^6(n) \ll_{f,g,\varepsilon} x^{\frac{63}{64} + \varepsilon}.$$

They also established some other similar formulae analogue to (5).

On the other hand, Zhai [33] considered the asymptotic behaviour of the following sum

$$(6) \quad S_l(f; x) := \sum_{a^2 + b^2 \leq x} \lambda_f(a^2 + b^2)^l,$$

where $2 \leq l \leq 8$ and $a, b, l \in \mathbb{Z}$. In fact, he established the asymptotics for (6) by showing that

$$S_l(f; x) = x P_l(\log x) + O_{f,\varepsilon}(x^{\theta_l + \varepsilon}),$$

where the $P_2(t), P_4(t), P_6(t), P_8(t)$ are polynomials of degree 0, 1, 4, 13, respectively. And $\deg P_j \equiv 0$ for $l = 3, 5, 7$. Here

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_2 &= \frac{8}{11}, & \theta_3 &= \frac{17}{20}, & \theta_4 &= \frac{43}{46}, & \theta_5 &= \frac{83}{86}, \\ \theta_6 &= \frac{184}{187}, & \theta_7 &= \frac{355}{358}, & \theta_8 &= \frac{752}{755}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $f \in H_{k_1}$ and $g \in H_{k_2}$ be two distinct Hecke eigenforms. Inspired by the above results, in this paper, we are interested in the asymptotic behaviour of the following sum

$$S_{i,j}(f, g; x) := \sum_{n=a^2+b^2 \leq x} \lambda_f(n)^i \lambda_g(n)^j$$

where $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $i, j \geq 1$ are positive integers. More precisely, we will prove the following theorems.

THEOREM 1.1. *Let $f \in H_{k_1}$ and $g \in H_{k_2}$ be two distinct Hecke eigenforms. Set $i_1, j_1 \geq 1$ be positive integers. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ we have*

$$S_{2i_1, 2j_1}(f, g; x) = x P_{A_{i_1} A_{j_1} - 1}(\log x) + O_{f,g,\varepsilon} \left(x^{1 - \frac{42}{2^{2i_1+2j_1+1} \cdot 2^{1-8A_{i_1} A_{j_1} + 29} + \varepsilon}} \right),$$

where $P_j(t)$ is a polynomial of t with degree j , and A_j is defined by

$$A_j = \frac{(2j)!}{j!(j+1)!}.$$

THEOREM 1.2. *Let $f \in H_{k_1}$ and $g \in H_{k_2}$ be two distinct Hecke eigenforms. Let $i_2, j_2 \geq 1$ be positive integers with at least one of them odd. Then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have*

$$S_{i_2, j_2}(f, g; x) \ll_{f, g, \varepsilon} x^{1 - \frac{1}{2^{i_2 + j_2}} + \varepsilon}.$$

2. AUXILIARY RESULTS

In this section, we review some relevant facts about the automorphic L -functions and collect some important lemmas which play an important role in the proof of the main results in this paper.

Let $f \in H_{k_1}$ and $g \in H_{k_2}$ be two distinct Hecke eigenforms. We can define the j th symmetric power L -function attached to f by

$$(7) \quad L(\text{sym}^j f, s) := \prod_p \prod_{m=0}^j \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_f(p)^{j-m} \beta_f(p)^m}{p^s} \right)^{-1}$$

for $\Re(s) > 1$. We can rewrite it as a Dirichlet series

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} L(\text{sym}^j f, s) &= \prod_p \left(1 + \frac{\lambda_{\text{sym}^j f}(p)}{p^s} + \dots + \frac{\lambda_{\text{sym}^{jk} f}(p)}{p^{ks}} + \dots \right) \\ &:= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{\text{sym}^j f}(n)}{n^s}, \quad \Re(s) > 1. \end{aligned}$$

It is well-known that $\lambda_{\text{sym}^j f}(n)$ is a real multiplicative function. In particular, $L(\text{sym}^0 f, s)$ and $L(\text{sym}^1 f, s)$ correspond to the Riemann zeta-function $\zeta(s)$ and the Hecke L -function $L(f, s)$. And from (3), (7), (8) and the theory of Hecke operators, we have

$$(9) \quad \lambda_f(p^j) = \sum_{m=0}^j \alpha_f(p)^{j-2m} = \lambda_{\text{sym}^j f}(p), \quad j \geq 1.$$

The Rankin-Selberg L -function attached to $\text{sym}^i f$ and $\text{sym}^j g$ is defined by

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} &L(\text{sym}^i f \times \text{sym}^j g, s) \\ &:= \prod_p \prod_{m=0}^i \prod_{m'=0}^j (1 - \alpha_f(p)^{i-2m} \alpha_g(p)^{j-2m'} p^{-s})^{-1}, \quad \Re(s) > 1. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for $\Re(s) > 1$, we have

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} & L(\text{sym}^i f \times \text{sym}^j g, s) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{\text{sym}^i f \times \text{sym}^j g}(n)}{n^s} = \prod_p \left(1 + \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{\lambda_{\text{sym}^i f \times \text{sym}^j g}(p^k)}{p^{ks}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda_{\text{sym}^i f \times \text{sym}^j g}(n)$ is real and multiplicative. Similarly, by (9)-(11), for $i, j \geq 1$, we have

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda_{\text{sym}^i f \times \text{sym}^j g}(p) &= \sum_{m=0}^i \sum_{m'=0}^j \alpha_f(p)^{i-2m} \alpha_g(p)^{j-2m'}(p) \\ &= \lambda_{\text{sym}^i f}(p) \lambda_{\text{sym}^j g}(p). \end{aligned}$$

Let π_f be a automorphic cuspidal automorphic representation of $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$. It is well-known that an automorphic cuspidal representation π of $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ is associated to a primitive form f , hence an automorphic function $L(\pi_f, s)$ which coincides with $L(f, s)$. Denote by $\text{sym}^j \pi_f$ the j th symmetric power lift of π_f . For $2 \leq j \leq 8$, the automorphy of $\text{sym}^j \pi_f$ were proved by a series of important works of Gelbart and Jacquet [8], Kim and Shahidi [14, 15, 16], Dieulefait [6], and Clozel and Thorne [2, 3, 4]. Very recently, Newton and Thorne [23, 24] showed that there exists a cuspidal automorphy representation of $GL_{j+1}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ whose L -function equals $L(\text{sym}^j f, s)$ for all $j \geq 1$. Hence for $j \geq 1$, the L -function $L(\text{sym}^j f, s)$ can be extended to the whole complex plane as an entire function and satisfies a functional equation of degree $j + 1$. Furthermore, based on the works of Jacquet-Shalika [12, 13], Shahidi [30, 31], Rudnick-Sarnak [28], Lau-Wu [17], the L -function $L(\text{sym}^i f \times \text{sym}^j g, s)$ can be analytically continued to the whole complex plane as an entire function and satisfies a certain functional equation of degree $(i + 1)(j + 1)$.

We state some basic definitions and analytic properties of general L -functions. Let $L(\phi, s)$ be a Dirichlet series (associated with the object ϕ) that admits an Euler product of degree $m \geq 1$, namely

$$L(\phi, s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{\phi}(n)}{n^s} = \prod_{p < \infty} \prod_{j=1}^m \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_{\phi}(p, j)}{p^s} \right)^{-1},$$

where $\alpha_{\phi}(p, j), j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ are the local parameters of $L(\phi, s)$ at a finite prime p . Suppose that this series and its Euler product are absolutely convergent for $\Re(s) > 1$. We denote the gamma factor by

$$L_{\infty}(\phi, s) = \prod_{j=1}^m \pi^{-\frac{s + \mu_{\phi}(j)}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{s + \mu_{\phi}(j)}{2}\right)$$

with local parameters $\mu_\phi(j), j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ of $L(\phi, s)$ at ∞ . The complete L -function $\Lambda(\phi, s)$ is defined by

$$\Lambda(\phi, s) = q(\phi)^{\frac{s}{2}} L_\infty(\phi, s) L(\phi, s),$$

where $q(\phi)$ is the conductor of $L(\phi, s)$. We assume that $\Lambda(\phi, s)$ admits an analytic continuation to the the whole complex plane \mathbb{C} and is holomorphic everywhere except for possible poles of finite order at $s = 0, 1$. Furthermore, it satisfies a functional equation of the type

$$\Lambda(\phi, s) = \epsilon_\phi \Lambda(\tilde{\phi}, 1 - s)$$

where ϵ_ϕ is the root number with $|\epsilon_\phi| = 1$ and $\tilde{\phi}$ is dual of ϕ such that $\lambda_{\tilde{\phi}}(n) = \overline{\lambda_\phi(n)}$, $L_\infty(\tilde{\phi}, s) = L_\infty(\phi, s)$ and $q(\tilde{\phi}) = q(\phi)$. We call $\phi \in S_e^\#$ if it satisfies the above conditions. We say the L -function $L(\phi, s)$ satisfies the Ramanujan conjecture if $\lambda_\phi(n) \ll n^\varepsilon$ for any ε .

Here, we state a very general theorem due to Lau and Lü [19].

LEMMA 2.1 ([19]). *Let $L(f, s)$ is a product of two L -functions $L_1, L_2 \in S_e^\#$ with both $\deg L_i \geq 2, i = 1, 2$ and $L(f, s)$ satisfies the Ramanujan conjecture. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have*

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \lambda_f(n) = M(x) + O(x^{1 - \frac{2}{m} + \varepsilon}),$$

where $M(x) = \text{Res}_{s=1} \{L(f, s)x^s/s\}$ and $m = \deg L$.

We define

$$r_2(n) = \#\{(n_1, n_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid n = n_1^2 + n_2^2\}.$$

It is well-known that $r_2(n)$ is a multiplicative function and satisfies the relation

$$r_2(n) = 4 \sum_{d|n} \chi_4(d),$$

where χ_4 is the non-trivial Dirichlet character modulo 4. We denote $r(n) := \sum_{d|n} \chi_4(d)$ and $\chi := \chi_4$. In particular, one has

$$r(p) = \sum_{d|p} \chi(d) = 1 + \chi(p).$$

It is not difficult to find that

$$\begin{aligned} S_{i,j}(f, g; x) &= \sum_{n \leq x} \lambda_f(n)^i \lambda_g(n)^j \sum_{n=a^2+b^2} 1 \\ &= 4 \sum_{n \leq x} \lambda_f(n)^i \lambda_g(n)^j r(n), \end{aligned}$$

where $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ are integers.

Define

$$L_{i,j}(f, g; s) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_f(n)^i \lambda_g(n)^j r(n)}{n^s}$$

for $\Re(s) > 1$, where $i, j \geq 1$ are positive integers.

Now, we establish some lemmas concerning the decomposition of the L -function $L_{i,j}(f, g; s)$.

LEMMA 2.2. *Let $f \in H_{k_1}$ and $g \in H_{k_2}$ be distinct Hecke eigenforms. Let $i_1, j_1 \geq 1$ be positive integers. Then, we have*

$$L_{2i_1, 2j_1}(f, g; s) = \zeta(s)^{A_{i_1} A_{j_1}} H_{i_1, j_1}(f, g; s, \chi) U_{i_1, j_1}(f, g; s),$$

where the constant A_j is given by

$$(13) \quad A_j = \frac{(2j)!}{j!(j+1)!},$$

here $H_{i_1, j_1}(f, g; s)$ is an L -function which can be represented as the product of some automorphic L -functions $L(\text{sym}^{l_1} f, s)$, $L(\text{sym}^{r_1} g, s)$ and $L(\text{sym}^{l_2} f \times \text{sym}^{r_2} g, s)$ with $l_1, r_1, l_2, r_2 \geq 1$ and its twisted L -functions, and the function $U_{i_1, j_1}(f, g; s)$ for which the associated Dirichlet series converges uniformly and absolutely in the half-plane $\Re(s) \geq \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$.

Proof. In view of (1) and the multiplicative property of $r(n)$, we know that $\lambda_f(n)^i \lambda_g(n)^j r(n)$ is multiplicative and satisfies the trivial bound $O(n^\varepsilon)$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$. Hence, we can write $L_{2i_1, 2j_1}(f, g; s)$ as

$$(14) \quad L_{2i_1, 2j_1}(f, g; s) = \prod_p \left(1 + \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{\lambda_f(p^k)^{2i_1} \lambda_g(p^k)^{2j_1} r(p^k)}{p^{ks}} \right)$$

for $\Re(s) > 1$. In the half-plane $\Re(s) > \frac{1}{2}$, the corresponding coefficients of p^{-s} determine the analytic properties of $L_{2i_1, 2j_1}(f, g; s)$.

By the result of Lau and Lü [19, Lemma 7.1], then we know that

$$\lambda_f(p)^{2j} = A_j + \sum_{1 \leq r \leq j-1} C_j(r) \lambda_{\text{sym}^{2r} f}(p) + \lambda_{\text{sym}^{2j} f}(p),$$

where A_j is defined as in (13), and $C_j(r)$ are some suitable constants. By relation (12), we can rewrite (14) in the following

$$\begin{aligned} & L_{2i_1, 2j_1}(f, g; s) \\ &= \zeta(s)^{A_{i_1} A_{j_1}} \prod_{l, r} L(\text{sym}^l f, s)^{d_l} L(\text{sym}^r g, s)^{e_r} L(\text{sym}^l f \times \text{sym}^r g, s)^{f_l} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \times L(\text{sym}^l f \times \chi, s)^{d_1} L(\text{sym}^r g \times \chi, s)^{e_1} L(\text{sym}^l f \times \text{sym}^r g \times \chi, s)^{f_1} \\ & \times U_{i_1, j_1}(f, g; s), \end{aligned}$$

where $1 \leq l \leq 2i_1, l \leq r \leq 2j_1$ are some suitable constants, and d_1, e_1, f_1 are some constants which need not be specified in this occurrence, the function $U_{i_1, j_1}(f, g; s)$ is some Dirichlet series for which converges uniformly and absolutely for $\Re(s) > \frac{1}{2}$. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.2. \square

LEMMA 2.3. *Let $f \in H_{k_1}$ and $g \in H_{k_2}$ be distinct Hecke eigenforms. Let $i_2, j_2 \geq 1$ be positive integers with at least one of them odd. Then, we have*

$$L_{i_2, j_2}(f, g; s) = H_{i_2, j_2}(f, g; s, \chi) U_{i_2, j_2}(f, g; s),$$

where $H_{i_2, j_2}(f, g; s)$ is an L -function which can be represented as the product of some automorphic L -functions $L(\text{sym}^{l'_1} f, s), L(\text{sym}^{r'_1} g, s)$ and $L(\text{sym}^{l'_2} f \times \text{sym}^{r'_2} g, s)$ with $l'_1, r'_1, l'_2, r'_2 \geq 1$ and its twisted L -functions, and the function $U_{i_2, j_2}(f, g; s)$ for which the associated Dirichlet series converges uniformly and absolutely in the half-plane $\Re(s) \geq \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$.

Proof. The proof follows essentially the same argument as Lemma 2.2.

\square

LEMMA 2.4. *We have*

$$(15) \quad \int_1^T \left| \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right) \right|^2 dt \ll T^{1+\varepsilon}$$

uniformly for $T \geq 2$. Furthermore,

$$(16) \quad \zeta(\sigma + it) \ll (1 + |t|)^{\max\{\frac{13}{42}(1-\sigma), 0\} + \varepsilon}$$

uniformly for $\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon \leq \sigma \leq 2$ and $|t| \geq 1$.

Proof. The first result (15) is a classical result, and second one (16) is the new breakthrough of Bourgain [1]. \square

From the above, we observe that $L(\text{sym}^j f, s), L(\text{sym}^i f \times \text{sym}^j g, s)$ and its twisted L -functions for all $i, j \geq 1$ are general L -functions in the sense of Perelli [25]. For the general functions, we have the following averaged or individual convexity bounds.

LEMMA 2.5. *Suppose that $\mathfrak{L}(s)$ is a general function of degree m . Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have*

$$(17) \quad \int_1^T |\mathfrak{L}(\sigma + it)|^2 dt \ll T^{m(1-\sigma)+\varepsilon},$$

uniformly for $\frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma \leq 1$ and $T \geq 1$, and

$$(18) \quad \mathfrak{L}(\sigma + it) \ll (1 + |t|)^{\max\{\frac{m}{2}(1-\sigma), 0\} + \varepsilon}$$

uniformly for $\frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma \leq 1 + \varepsilon$ and $|t| \geq 1$.

Proof. This follows the results of Perelli's mean value theorem and convexity bound for general L -function in [25]. \square

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Recalling Lemma 2.2, and then applying Perron's formula (see [11, Proposition 5.54]) to the generating function $L_{2i_1, 2j_1}(f, g; s)$, then we can obtain

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \lambda_f(n)^{2i_1} \lambda_g(n)^{2j_1} r(n) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\eta-iT}^{\eta+iT} L_{2i_1, 2j_1}(f, g; s) \frac{x^s}{s} ds + O\left(\frac{x^{1+\varepsilon}}{T}\right),$$

where $\eta = 1 + \varepsilon$ and $1 \leq T \leq x$ is a parameter to be chosen later.

By shifting the line of integration to the parallel segment with $\Re(s) = \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon$ and invoking Cauchy's residue theorem, then we have

$$(19) := \sum_{n \leq x} \lambda_f(n)^{2i_1} \lambda_g(n)^{2j_1} r(n) \\ = \operatorname{Res}_{s=1} \left\{ L_{2i_1, 2j_1}(f, g; s) \frac{x^s}{s} \right\} \\ + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left\{ \int_{\kappa-iT}^{\kappa+iT} + \int_{\kappa+iT}^{\eta+iT} + \int_{\eta-iT}^{\kappa-iT} \right\} L_{2i_1, 2j_1}(f, g; s) \frac{x^s}{s} ds + O\left(\frac{x^{1+\varepsilon}}{T}\right) \\ := x P_{A_{i_1}, A_{j_1}-1}(\log x) + J_1 + J_2 + J_3 + O\left(\frac{x^{1+\varepsilon}}{T}\right),$$

where $\kappa := \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon$ and $P_j(t)$ is a polynomial of t with degree j .

Now, we begin to handle the three terms J_1, J_2 and J_3 . For J_1 , using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, and Lemma 2.4 for $\zeta(s)$ along with (17), we have

$$J_1 \ll x^\kappa \max_{1 \leq T_1 \leq T} T_1^{-1} \max_{T_1 \leq t \leq 2T_1} |\zeta(\kappa + it)|^{A_{i_1} A_{j_1} - 1} \\ \times \left(\int_{T_1}^{2T_1} |\zeta(\kappa + it)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{T_1}^{2T_1} |H_{i_1, j_1}(f, g; \kappa + it)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(20) \quad & \ll x^\kappa T^{\frac{13}{84}(A_{i_1}A_{j_1}-1)-1} T^{\frac{1}{2}} T^{\frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2} \times (2^{2i_1+2j_1+1}-A_{i_1}A_{j_1})+\varepsilon} \\
& \ll x^\kappa T^{2^{2i_1+2j_1-1}-\frac{2}{21}A_{i_1}A_{j_1}-\frac{55}{84}+\varepsilon}.
\end{aligned}$$

The estimates for the integrals over the horizontal segments are similar. From (16) and (18), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(21) \quad J_2 + J_3 & \ll \int_{\kappa}^{\eta} x^\sigma |\zeta(\sigma + it)^{A_{i_1}A_{j_1}} H_{i_i, j_1}(f, g; \sigma + it)| T^{-1} d\sigma \\
& \ll \max_{\kappa \leq \sigma \leq \eta} x^\sigma T^{\{\frac{13}{42}A_{i_1}A_{j_1} + \frac{2^{2i_1+2j_1+1}-A_{i_1}A_{j_1}}{2}\}(1-\sigma)+\varepsilon} T^{-1} \\
& \ll \frac{x^{1+\varepsilon}}{T} + x^\kappa T^{2^{2i_1+2j_1-1}-\frac{2}{21}A_{i_1}A_{j_1}-1+\varepsilon}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from (19), (20) and (21), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(22) \quad & \sum_{n \leq x} \lambda_f(n)^{2i_1} \lambda_g(n)^{2j_1} r(n) = x P_{A_{i_1}A_{j_1}-1}(\log x) \\
& + O\left(\frac{x^{1+\varepsilon}}{T}\right) + O\left(x^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} T^{2^{2i_1+2j_1-1}-\frac{2}{21}A_{i_1}A_{j_1}-\frac{55}{84}+\varepsilon}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

On taking $T = x^{\frac{42}{2^{2i_1+2j_1+1} \cdot 21 - 8A_{i_1}A_{j_1} + 29}}$ in (22), we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n \leq x} \lambda_f(n)^{2i_1} \lambda_g(n)^{2j_1} r(n) \\
& = x P_{A_{i_1}A_{j_1}-1}(\log x) + O\left(x^{1-\frac{42}{2^{2i_1+2j_1+1} \cdot 21 - 8A_{i_1}A_{j_1} + 29} + \varepsilon}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

As we know, $L_{i_2, j_2}(f, g; s)$ is a general L -function of degree $2^{i_2+j_2+1}$ in the sense of Lemma 2.1 by noting Lemma 2.3. From Lemma 2.3 and the assumption on i_2, j_2 , we can derive that $L_{i_2, j_2}(f, g; s)$ can be analytically continued to the half-plane $\Re(s) > \frac{1}{2}$ without any poles, thus, by Lemma 2.1, we can obtain

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \lambda_f(n)^{i_2} \lambda_g(n)^{j_2} r(n) \ll_{f, g, \varepsilon} x^{1-\frac{1}{2^{i_2+j_2}}+\varepsilon}.$$

Acknowledgments. The author would like to express his gratitude to Professors Guangshi Lü, Bin Chen, Bingrong Huang, Yujiao Jiang, and Research fellow Zhiwei Wang for their constant encouragement and valuable suggestions. The author is extremely grateful to the anonymous referees for their meticulous checking, for thoroughly reporting countless typos and inaccuracies, as well as for their valuable comments. These corrections and additions have made the manuscript clearer and more readable.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Bourgain, *Decoupling, exponential sums and the Riemann zeta function*. J. Amer. Math. Soc. **30** (2017), 1, 205–224.
- [2] L. Clozel and I.A. Thorne, *Level-raising and symmetric power functoriality. I*. Compos. Math. **150** (2014), 5, 729–748.
- [3] L. Clozel and I.A. Thorne, *Level-raising and symmetric power functoriality. II*. Ann. of Math. (2) **181** (2015), 1, 3030–359.
- [4] L. Clozel and I.A. Thorne, *Level-raising and symmetric power functoriality. III*. Duke Math. J. **166** (2017), 2, 325–402.
- [5] P. Deligne, *La conjecture de Weil. I*. Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. **43** (1974), 273–307.
- [6] L. Dieulefait, *Automorphy of $\text{Symm}^5(\text{GL}(2))$ and base change*. J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) **104** (2015), 4, 619–656.
- [7] O.M. Fomenko, *Fourier coefficients of parabolic forms and automorphic L -functions*. J. Math. Sci. (N.Y.) **95** (1999), 3, 2295–2316.
- [8] S. Gelbart and H. Jacquet, *A relation between automorphic representations of $\text{GL}(2)$ and $\text{GL}(3)$* . Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Super. **11** (1978), 4, 471–542.
- [9] E. Hecke, *Theorie der Eisensteinschen Reihen höherer Stufe und ihre Anwendung auf Funktionentheorie und Arithmetik*. Abh. Math. Semin. Univ. Hambg. **5** (1927), 1, 199–224.
- [10] J.L. Hafner and A. Ivić, *On sums of Fourier coefficients of cusp forms*. Enseign. Math. **35** (1989), 3-4, 375–382.
- [11] H. Iwaniec and E. Kowalski, *Analytic Number Theory*. Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquium Publ. **53**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004.
- [12] H. Jacquet and J.A. Shalika, *On Euler products and the classification of automorphic representations. I*. Amer. J. Math. **103** (1981), 3, 499–558.
- [13] H. Jacquet and J.A. Shalika, *On Euler products and the classification of automorphic representations. II*. Amer. J. Math. **103** (1981), 4, 777–815.
- [14] H.H. Kim, *Functoriality for the exterior square of GL_4 and the symmetric fourth of GL_2* , (Appendix 1 by D. Ramakrishnan and Appendix 2 by H. Kim and P. Sarnak). J. Amer. Math. Soc. **16** (2003), 139–183.
- [15] H.H. Kim and F. Shahidi, *Cuspidality of symmetric powers with applications*. Duke Math. J. **112** (2002), 1, 177–197.
- [16] H.H. Kim and F. Shahidi, *Functorial products for $\text{GL}_2 \times \text{GL}_3$ and functorial symmetric cube for GL_2* . Ann. of Math. (2) **155** (2002), 837–893.
- [17] Y.-K. Lau and J. Wu, *A density theorem on automorphic L -functions and some applications*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **358** (2005), 1, 441–472.
- [18] G.S. Lü, *On higher moments of Fourier coefficients of holomorphic cusp forms*. Canad. J. Math. **63** (2011), 3, 634–647.
- [19] Y.-K. Lau and G.S. Lü, *Sums of Fourier coefficients of cusp forms*. Quart. J. Math. **62** (2011), 687–716.
- [20] G.S. Lü, *On higher moments of Fourier coefficients of holomorphic cusp forms. II*. Monatsh. Math. **169** (2013), 3-4, 409–422.

- [21] G.S. Lü and A. Sankaranarayanan, *Higher moments of Fourier coefficients of cusp forms*. *Canad. Math. Bull.* **58** (2015), 3, 548–560.
- [22] C.J. Moreno and F. Shahidi, *The fourth moment of the Ramanujan τ -function*. *Math. Ann.* **266** (1983), 2, 233–239.
- [23] J. Newton and J.A. Thorne, *Symmetric power functoriality for holomorphic modular forms*. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* **134** (2021), 1–116.
- [24] J. Newton and J.A. Thorne, *Symmetric power functoriality for holomorphic modular forms. II*. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* **134** (2021), 117–152.
- [25] A. Perelli, *General L -function*. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* **130** (1982), 287–306.
- [26] R.A. Rankin, *Contributions to the theory of Ramanujan's function $\tau(n)$ and similar arithmetical functions. II. The order of the Fourier coefficients of the integral modular forms*. *Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.* **35** (1939), 357–372.
- [27] R.A. Rankin, *Sums of cusp form coefficients*. In: *Automorphic forms and analytic number theory* (Montreal, PQ, 1989), Univ. Montréal, Montreal, QC, 1990, pp. 115–121.
- [28] Z. Rudnick and P. Sarnak, *Zeros of principal L -functions and random matrix theory*. *Duke Math. J.* **81** (1996), 2, 269–322.
- [29] A. Selberg, *Bemerkungen über eine Dirichletsche Reihe, die mit der Theorie der Modulformen nahe verbunden ist*. *Arch. Math. Naturvid.* **43** (1940), 47–50.
- [30] F. Shahidi, *On certain L -functions*. *Amer. J. Math.* **103** (1981), 2, 297–355.
- [31] F. Shahidi, *Third symmetric power L -functions for $GL(2)$* . *Compos. Math.* **70** (1989), 3, 245–273.
- [32] J. Wu, *Power sums of Hecke eigenvalues and applications*. *Acta Arith.* **137** (2009), 4, 333–444.
- [33] S. Zhai, *Average behavior of Fourier coefficients of cusp forms over sum of two squares*. *J. Number Theory* **133** (2013), 3862–3876.

Received 26 March 2019

Weinan Normal University
School of Mathematics and Statistics
Shaanxi, Weinan 714099, China
and
Shandong University
School of Mathematics
Shandong, Jinan 250100, China
gdhuanumb@yeah.net