ENCIPHERING-MAPS WITH PSEUDO-INVERSES AND PSEUDO-TABULATIONS

RICHARD GABRIEL

Communicated by Marius Iosifescu

Using a special Pseudo-Inverse, a linear cryptographic method is developed by continuing the paper [8]. Both papers complement each other.

AMS 2010 Subject Classification: 94A60, 15A09.

Key words: cryptography, enciphering-maps, pseudo-inverses, pseudo-tabulations, λ G-matrices, λ -symmetry.

1. THE REGULAR SPECTRAL PSEUDO-INVERSES $C^{(p)}$

Let F be an algebraic field with involution $\lambda : a \to \overline{a}$ For any matrix $C \in F_{nn}$, let

(1)
$$C = T \begin{bmatrix} U & 0 \\ 0 & J \end{bmatrix} T^{-1}, \ \det U \neq 0, \quad J^k = 0$$

be the Jordan-decomposition. Further let

(2)
$$C^{d} = T \begin{bmatrix} U^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} T^{-1}$$

be the Drazin-Inverse and

(3)
$$C^{(p)} = T \begin{bmatrix} U^{-1} & 0\\ 0 & E \end{bmatrix} T^{-1}$$

the regular spectral Pseudo-Inverse introduced in [4–8]. This nomenclature is justified, because of the following relations

$$C^{(p)} = C^{-1}$$
 for det $C \neq 0$;
 $C^{(p)} = E$ for $C^k = 0$;
 $0^{(p)} = E$;
 $(SCS^{-1})^{(p)} = SC^{(p)}S^{-1}$;

REV. ROUMAINE MATH. PURES APPL. 61 (2016), 1, 13-18

$$\begin{bmatrix} C_1 & 0 \\ 0 & C_2 \end{bmatrix}^{(p)} = \begin{bmatrix} C_1^{(p)} & 0 \\ 0 & C_2^{(p)} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Also, the following relations apply:

$$CC^{(p)} = T \begin{bmatrix} E & 0 \\ 0 & J \end{bmatrix} T^{-1} = C^{(p)}C,$$

and therefore

$$[CC^{(p)}]^{(p)} = E = [C^{(p)}C]^{(p)}.$$

In the formulas above 0 describes a fitting square or rectangular zero matrix.

THEOREM 1. For any matrix
$$C \in F_{nn}$$
 we have

$$[C^{(p)}CC^{(p)}]^{(p)}C^{(p)} = E.$$

Proof. This relation applies to the Jordan-Form (1). In addition, the following relations hold true:

$$C^{(p)} = E + C^{d} - CC^{d};$$

$$C^{d} = C^{k} [C^{(p)}]^{k+1};$$

$$[C^{(p)}]^{*} = [C^{*}]^{(p)};$$

$$(C^{T})^{(p)} = [C^{(p)}]^{T}.$$

Because $C^{(p)}$ is regular, it can be used to define enciphering-maps.

In order to get $C^{(p)}$ numerically, we express C with its complete factors:

$$C = G_1 G_2 \dots G_k \Delta^{-1} H_k \dots H_2 H_1.$$

From this we got in [5]

$$C^{(p)} = E + G_1 G_2 \dots G_k (\Delta^{-k-1} - \Delta^{-k}) H_k \dots H_2 H_1,$$

and in [2]

$$C^d = G_1 G_2 \dots G_k \Delta^{-k} H_k \dots H_2 H_1. \quad \Box$$

2. ENCIPHERING-MAPS WITH PSEUDO-INVERSES

We consider the enciphering-map

(4)
$$Y = (X\Sigma X^*)^{(p)}X \quad (X,Y) \in F_{mn}$$

which is recursive (or also involutive), if the relation

(5)
$$X = (Y\Sigma Y^*)^{(p)}Y$$

is satisfied identically. Introducing (4) in (5), it follows

(6)
$$X = \left\{ (X\Sigma X^*)^{(p)} (X\Sigma X^*) \left[(X\Sigma X^*)^{(p)} \right]^* \right\}^{(p)} (X\Sigma X^*)^{(p)} X.$$

If X has a maximal rank at m < n, it follows

(7)
$$E = \left\{ (X\Sigma X^*)^{(p)} (X\Sigma X^*) [(X\Sigma X^*)^{(p)}]^* \right\}^{(p)} (X\Sigma X^*)^{(p)}$$

for all $X \in F_{mn}$. A matrix Σ fulfilling (7) was called a λG -matrix in [8]. The following STATEMENTS were shown to hold true:

- a) A λ -symmetrical matrix Σ is also λG .
- b) A regular λG -matrix is also λ -symmetrical for $F \neq GF(3)$.
- c) For n = 2 a λG -matrix is always λ -symmetrical.

THEOREM 2. If Σ is a λG -matrix, so is $\Sigma_1 = S\Sigma S^*$ for any $S \in F_{kn}$. Proof. We have, with $X_1 = XS$

$$\left\{ (X\Sigma_1 X^*)^{(p)} X\Sigma_1 X^* [(X\Sigma_1 X^*)^{(p)}]^* \right\}^{(p)} (X\Sigma_1 X^*)^{(p)} = \\ \left\{ [(XS)\Sigma(XS)^*]^{(p)} [(XS)\Sigma(XS)^*] \left[[(XS)\Sigma(XS)^*]^{(p)} \right]^* \right\}^{(p)} [(XS)\Sigma(XS)^*]^{(p)} = \\ \left\{ (X_1 \Sigma X_1^*)^{(p)} X_1 \Sigma X_1^* [(X_1 \Sigma X_1^*)^{(p)}]^* \right\}^{(p)} (X_1 \Sigma X_1^*)^{(p)} = E \quad \Box$$

The following theorem was already formulated in [8], but not proven completely. This will be done here.

THEOREM 3. For $F \neq GF(3)$ a λG -matrix Σ is always λ -symmetrical: $\Sigma^* = \Sigma$.

Proof. We consider in Theorem 2

$$S = S(2,n) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} k \\ j \end{bmatrix}$$

Then we obtain:

$$S\Sigma S^* = \left[\begin{array}{cc} \sigma_{kk} & \sigma_{kj} \\ \sigma_{jk} & \sigma_{jj} \end{array} \right],$$

which is λG according to Theorem 2. According to Preposition 3 in [8] this matrix is λ -symmetrical:

$$\overline{\sigma}_{kk} = \sigma_{kk}, \ \overline{\sigma}_{kj} = \sigma_{jk}, \ \overline{\sigma}_{jj} = \sigma_{jj}$$

for all (k, j). This proofs Theorem 3.

3. CIPHERING OF A PSEUDO-TABLE WITH COMBINATORIAL KEYS

Consider two combinatorial keys

$$\alpha = (1 \le \alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \dots < \alpha_r \le m)$$
$$\beta = (1 \le \beta_1 < \beta_2 < \dots < \beta_s \le n).$$

To these keys we associate, respectively, two diagonal matrices

$$D(\alpha) = diag(0 \dots 1 0 \dots 1 0 \dots 1 0 \dots 1 0 \dots 0),$$

$$\beta_1 \qquad \beta_2 \qquad \beta_r$$

$$D(\beta) = diag(0 \dots 1 0 \dots 1 0 \dots 1 0 \dots 0).$$

With them we define the pseudo-table

$$A\left(\begin{array}{c}\alpha\\\beta\end{array}\right) = D(\alpha) \cdot A \cdot D(\beta).$$

The non-zero part of $A\begin{pmatrix} \alpha\\ \beta \end{pmatrix}$ is the intersection of rows $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_r)$ and columns $(\beta_1, \beta_2, ..., \beta_s)$ of the matrix A.

Now, suppose the key matrices

$$D(\alpha) \cdot \Sigma_L \cdot D(\alpha)$$
 and $D(\beta) \cdot \Sigma_R \cdot D(\beta)$

are computed from the parametric matrix repository key (shortly, SMPD)

$$\{\Sigma_L(n,n), A(m,n), \Sigma_R(m,m)\}.$$

If we apply a left ciphering to the pseudo-table $D(\alpha) \cdot A \cdot D(\beta)$, this will be replaced by

$$K \cdot D(\alpha) \cdot A \cdot D(\beta),$$

where

$$K = \{D(\alpha) \cdot A \cdot D(\beta) \cdot \Sigma_L \cdot D(\beta) \cdot [D(\alpha) \cdot A \cdot D(\beta)]^* \}^{(p)}$$
$$= \{D(\alpha) \cdot A \cdot D(\beta) \cdot \Sigma_L \cdot D(\beta) \cdot A^* \cdot D(\alpha) \}^{(p)}.$$

THEOREM 4. The encoding matrix of a pseudo-table has the form

$$\tilde{A}\begin{pmatrix} \alpha\\ \beta \end{pmatrix} = A - D(\alpha) \cdot A \cdot D(\beta) + K \cdot D(\alpha) \cdot A \cdot D(\beta)$$
$$= A + (K - E) \cdot D(\alpha) \cdot A \cdot D(\beta).$$

Decoding can be done with the same formula.

4. FOUR-TABULATIONS

Let us examine pseudo-tabulations which are of practical interest. They must satisfy three criteria:

- 1. They have to cover the matrix A(m, n)
- 2. They have to exhibit a cardinal number which is big enough.
- 3. Coding and decoding have to be done with the same formula.

The first criteria is already met by $four\mathcal{tabulations},$ described by the pattern

B(r,s)	C(r,n-s)
F(m-r,s)	G(m-r,n-s)

Ciphering leads to

$K_1 \cdot B(r,$	s)	$K_2 \cdot C(r, n-s)$
$K_3 \cdot F(m -$	r,s)	$K_4 \cdot G(m-r, n-s)$

where

$$K_1 = (B \cdot \Sigma(r, r) \cdot B^*)^{(p)},$$

$$K_2 = (C \cdot \Sigma(r, r) \cdot C^*)^{(p)},$$

$$K_3 = (F \cdot \Sigma(n - r, n - r) \cdot F^*)^{(p)},$$

$$K_4 = (G \cdot \Sigma(n - r, n - r) \cdot G^*)^{(p)}.$$

Since $\Sigma(r, r)$ and $\Sigma(n-r, n-r)$ are supposed to be λ -symmetrical, coding and decoding work with the same formula.

5. COMBINED CIPHERINGS

The three criteria, that must fulfill a unified ciphering strategy, can be reached in three steps:

- I. An input-ciphering, possible through a fore-ciphering.
- II. A combinatorial ciphering, mediated through one or more combinatorial keys.
- III. An output-ciphering, possible through a fore-ciphering.

Decoding is done with the same formulas as encoding in opposite direction. The cardinal number of such an enciphering can be given as

$$k = m^2 n^2 2^{m+n} \sigma.$$

Here m^2n^2 come from four-tabulations I and III, whereas 2^{m+n} indicates the combinatorial keys in step II. The factor σ indicates the cardinal number of

the key-matrices Σ_L and Σ_R . If *m* and *n* are relatively small, it could be of interest to apply step II q times with q different combinatorial keys and to apply Theorem 4 for each key. Then the cardinal number will be

$$k = m^2 n^2 2^{q(m+n)} \sigma.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] R.E. Cline, An Application of Representation for the Generalized Inverse Of a Matrix. MRC Technical Report, **592**, 1965.
- R.E. Cline, Note on an extension of the Moore-Penrose inverse. Linear Algebra Appl. 40 (1981), 19-23.
- [3] M.P. Drazin, Pseudo-inverses in associative rings and semigroups. Amer. Math. Monthly 65, (1958), 506-514.
- [4] R. Gabriel, Das verallgemeinerte Inverse einer Matrix, deren Elemente einem beliebigen Körper angehören. J. Reine Angew. Math. 234 (1969), 107–122 and 244 (1970), 83–93.
- [5] R. Gabriel, Das verallgemeinerte Inverse einer Matrix über einem beliebigen Körpermit Skelettzerlegungen berechnet. Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. XX (1975), 2, 213-225.
- [6] R. Gabriel, Pseudoinversen mit Schlüssel und ein System der algebraischen Kryptographie. Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. XXII (1977), 8, 1077–1099.
- [7] R. Gabriel, Verschlüsselungsabbildungen mit Pseudo-Inversen, Zufallsgeneratoren und Täfelungen. Kybernetika 18 (1982), 6, 485–504, Academia Praha.
- [8] R. Gabriel, The symmetry of some recursive ciphering maps with pseudoinverse and pseudotabulation. Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. 56 (2011) 3, 185–194.
- [9] R.E. Hartwig, Drazin and Gabriel inverses in cryptography. Preprint, North Carolina State University, 2014.
- [10] L.S. Hill, Cryptography in an algebraic alphabet. Amer. Math. Monthly 36 (1929), 306-312.
- [11] J. Levine and J.V. Brawley, Involutory commutants with some applications to algebraic cryptography. I. J. Reine Angew. Math. 224 (1966), 20-43 and 227 (1967), 1-27.
- [12] R. Penrose, A generalized inverse for matrices. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 51, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1958, pp. 406-413.

Received 16 April 2014

Member of The New York Academy of Sciences Wilhelmshavener Str. 67, D-10551 Berlin Germany