

# SECOND HANKEL DETERMINANT FOR A CLASS OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS ASSOCIATED WITH PRAJAPAT OPERATOR

S.M. EL-DEEB

*Communicated by Mihai Putinar*

In this paper, we obtain the Fekete-Szegő inequalities for the functions of complex order associated with Prajapat operator. Also, find upper bounds of the second Hankel determinant  $|a_2a_4 - a_3^2|$  for functions belonging to the class  $S_\gamma^b(m, \lambda, \ell; A, B)$ .

*AMS 2010 Subject Classification:* 30C45.

*Key words:* Fekete-Szegő inequality, second Hankel determinant, complex order.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  denote the class of analytic functions of the form:

$$(1) \quad f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (z \in \mathbb{U} = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\})$$

and  $\mathcal{S}$  be the subclass of  $\mathcal{A}$ , which are univalent functions. Furthermore, let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the family of functions  $p(z) \in \mathcal{A}$  (class of analytic function in  $\mathbb{U}$ ) satisfying  $p(0) = 1$  and  $\Re(p(z)) > 0$ .

If  $f$  and  $g$  are analytic functions in  $\mathbb{U}$ , we say that  $f$  is subordinate to  $g$ , written  $f \prec g$  if there exists a Schwarz function  $w$ , which is analytic in  $\mathbb{U}$  with  $w(0) = 0$  and  $|w(z)| < 1$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{U}$ , such that  $f(z) = g(w(z))$ . Furthermore, if the function  $g$  is univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$ , then we have the following equivalence (see [6] and [16]):

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \Leftrightarrow f(0) = g(0) \text{ and } f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U}).$$

In [21, with  $p = 1$ ] Prajapat defined a generalized multiplier transformation operator  $J^m(\lambda, \ell) : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ , as follows (see also [25, with  $p = 1$ ]):

$$(2) \quad J^m(\lambda, \ell) f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\ell + 1 + \lambda(k-1)}{1 + \ell} \right)^m a_k z^k$$

$$(\lambda \geq 0; \ell > -1; m \in \mathbb{Z} = \{0, \pm 1, \dots\}; z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

It is readily verified from (2) that (see [21, with  $p = 1$ ])

$$(3) \quad \lambda z (J^m(\lambda, \ell) f(z))' \\ = (\ell + 1) J^{m+1}(\lambda, \ell) f(z) - [\ell + 1 - \lambda] J_{p,n}^m(\lambda, \ell) f(z) \quad (\lambda > 0).$$

By specializing the parameters  $m$ ,  $\lambda$  and  $\ell$ , we obtain the following operators studied by various authors:

- (i)  $J^m(\lambda, \ell) f(z) = I^m(\lambda, \ell) f(z)$  ( $\ell > -1$ ,  $\lambda \geq 0$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ ,  $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ ) (see [7]);
- (ii)  $J^m(1, \ell) f(z) = I_\ell^m f(z)$  ( $\ell \geq 0$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ) (see [8, 9]);
- (iii)  $J^m(\lambda, 0) f(z) = D_\lambda^m f(z)$  ( $\lambda \geq 0$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ) (see [1]);
- (iv)  $J^m(1, 0) f(z) = D^m f(z)$  ( $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ) (see [24]);
- (v)  $J^{-m}(\lambda, 0) f(z) = I_\lambda^{-m} f(z)$  ( $\lambda \geq 0$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ) (see [3, 20]);
- (vi)  $J^{-m}(1, 1) f(z) = I^m f(z)$  ( $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ) (see [11]).

In 1976, Noonan and Thomas [19] discussed the  $q^{th}$  Hankel determinant of a locally univalent analytic function  $f(z)$  for  $q \geq 1$  and  $n \geq 1$  which is defined by

$$H_q(n) = \begin{vmatrix} a_n & a_{n+1} & \dots & a_{n+q-1} \\ a_{n+1} & a_{n+2} & \dots & a_{n+q} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{n+q-1} & a_{n+q} & \dots & a_{n+2q-2} \end{vmatrix}.$$

For our present discussion, we consider the Hankel determinant in the case  $q = 2$  and  $n = 2$ , i.e.  $H_2(2) = a_2 a_4 - a_3^2$ . This is popularly known as the second Hankel determinant of  $f$ .

In this paper, we define the following class  $S_\gamma^b(m, \lambda, \ell; A, B)$  as follows:

**Definition 1.** Let  $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$ ,  $\lambda \geq 0$ ,  $\ell > -1$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . A function  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$  is said to be in the class  $S_\gamma^b(m, \lambda, \ell; A, B)$  if

$$(4) \quad 1 + \frac{1}{b} \left( (1 - \gamma) \frac{J^m(\lambda, \ell) f(z)}{z} + \gamma (J^m(\lambda, \ell) f(z))' - 1 \right) \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}$$

( $b \in \mathbb{C}^*$ ,  $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$ ,  $\lambda \geq 0$ ,  $\ell > -1$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{U}$ ), which is equivalent to say that

$$\left| \frac{(1 - \gamma) \frac{J^m(\lambda, \ell) f(z)}{z} + \gamma (J^m(\lambda, \ell) f(z))' - 1}{[B + (A - B)b] - B \left[ (1 - \gamma) \frac{J^m(\lambda, \ell) f(z)}{z} + \gamma (J^m(\lambda, \ell) f(z))' \right]} \right| < 1.$$

We note that for suitable choices of  $b$ ,  $\gamma$ ,  $A$ ,  $B$ ,  $\lambda$ ,  $\ell$  and  $m$  we obtain the following subclasses:

(i)  $S_\gamma^b(0, 1, 0; A, B) = S_\gamma^b(A, B)$  ( $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{C}^*$ ,  $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$ ) (see Bansal [5]);

(ii)  $S_\gamma^b(m, 1, 0; 1, -1) = G_m(\gamma, b)$  ( $b \in \mathbb{C}^*$ ,  $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ) (see Aouf [2]);

(iii)  $S_\gamma^b(m, 1, 0; A, B) = G_m(\gamma, b, A, B)$  ( $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{C}^*$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$ ) (see Sivasubramanian *et al.* [26]).

Also, we note that:

$$(i) S_\gamma^b(m, \lambda, 0; A, B) = S_\gamma^b(\lambda, m; A, B)$$

$$(5) = \left\{ f(z) \in \mathcal{A} : 1 + \frac{1}{b} \left( (1 - \gamma) \frac{D_\lambda^m f(z)}{z} + \gamma (D_\lambda^m f(z))' - 1 \right) \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}, \right. \\ \left. (b \in \mathbb{C}^*; 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1; m \in \mathbb{N}_0; \lambda \geq 0; -1 \leq B < A \leq 1; z \in \mathbb{U}) \right\};$$

$$(ii) S_\gamma^b(-n, \lambda, 0; A, B) = G_\gamma^b(\lambda, n; A, B)$$

$$(6) = \left\{ f(z) \in \mathcal{A} : 1 + \frac{1}{b} \left( (1 - \gamma) \frac{I_\lambda^n f(z)}{z} + \gamma (I_\lambda^n f(z))' - 1 \right) \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}, \right. \\ \left. (b \in \mathbb{C}^*; 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1; n \in \mathbb{N}_0; \lambda \geq 0; -1 \leq B < A \leq 1; z \in \mathbb{U}) \right\}.$$

$$(iii) S_\gamma^{(1-\rho)\cos\eta e^{-i\eta}}(m, \lambda, \ell; A, B) = S^\gamma[m, \rho, \eta, A, B]$$

$$(7) = \left\{ f(z) \in \mathcal{A} : e^{i\eta} \left[ (1 - \gamma) \frac{J^m(\lambda, \ell) f(z)}{z} + \gamma (J^m(\lambda, \ell) f(z))' \right] \right. \\ \prec (1 - \rho) \cos \eta \cdot \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} + \rho \cos \eta + i \sin \eta, \\ \left. (|\eta| < \frac{\pi}{2}; 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1; 0 \leq \rho < 1; \lambda \geq 0; \ell > -1; m \in \mathbb{Z}; \right. \\ \left. -1 \leq B < A \leq 1; z \in \mathbb{U}) \right\}.$$

In this paper, we obtain the Fekete-Szegő inequalities for the functions in the class  $S_\gamma^b(m, \lambda, \ell; A, B)$ . We also obtain an upper bound to the functional  $H_2(2)$  for  $f(z) \in S_\gamma^b(m, \lambda, \ell; A, B)$ . Earlier Janteng *et al.* [13], Mishra and Gochhayat [17], Mishra and Kund [18], Bansal [4] and many other authors have obtained sharp upper bounds of  $H_2(2)$  for different classes of analytic functions.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

To prove our results, we need the following lemmas.

LEMMA 1 ([23]). *Let*

$$(8) \quad h(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n z^n \prec 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n z^n = H(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

If the function  $H$  is univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$  and  $H(\mathbb{U})$  is a convex set, then

$$(9) \quad |c_n| \leq |C_1|.$$

LEMMA 2 ([10]). Let a function  $p \in \mathcal{P}$  be given by

$$(10) \quad p(z) = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \dots \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

then, we have

$$(11) \quad |c_n| \leq 2 \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

The result is sharp.

LEMMA 3 ([14, 15]). Let  $p \in \mathcal{P}$  be given by the power series (10), then for any complex number  $\nu$ , then

$$(12) \quad |c_2 - \nu c_1^2| \leq 2 \max\{1; |2\nu - 1|\}.$$

The result is sharp for the functions given by

$$p(z) = \frac{1+z^2}{1-z^2} \text{ and } p(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

LEMMA 4 ([12]). Let a function  $p \in \mathcal{P}$  be given by the power series (10), then

$$(13) \quad 2c_2 = c_1^2 + \varkappa(4 - c_1^2)$$

for some  $\varkappa$ ,  $|\varkappa| \leq 1$ , and

$$(14) \quad 4c_3 = c_1^3 + 2(4 - c_1^2)c_1\varkappa - c_1(4 - c_1^2)\varkappa^2 + 2(4 - c_1^2)(1 - |\varkappa|^2)z,$$

for some  $z$ ,  $|z| \leq 1$ .

### 3. MAIN RESULTS

Unless otherwise mentioned, we assume throughout this paper that :  $b \in \mathbb{C}^*$ ,  $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$ ,  $\lambda \geq 0$ ,  $\ell > -1$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$  and  $z \in \mathbb{U}$ .

We give the following result related to the coefficient of  $f(z) \in S_\gamma^b(m, \lambda, \ell; A, B)$ .

THEOREM 1. Let  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$  given by (1) belongs to the class  $S_\gamma^b(m, \lambda, \ell; A, B)$ , then

$$(15) \quad |a_k| \leq \frac{(A - B)(1 + \ell)^m |b|}{[1 + \gamma(k - 1)](1 + \ell + \lambda(k - 1))^m} \quad (k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}).$$

*Proof.* If  $f(z)$  of the form (1) belongs to the class  $S_\gamma^b(m, \lambda, \ell; A, B)$ , then

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left( (1 - \gamma) \frac{J^m(\lambda, \ell) f(z)}{z} + \gamma (J^m(\lambda, \ell) f(z))' - 1 \right) \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} = h(z)$$

where  $h(z)$  is convex univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (16) \quad 1 + \frac{1}{b} \left( (1 - \gamma) \frac{J^m(\lambda, \ell) f(z)}{z} + \gamma (J^m(\lambda, \ell) f(z))' - 1 \right) \\ = 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(1 + (k-1)\gamma)}{b} \left( \frac{1 + \ell + \lambda(k-1)}{1 + \ell} \right)^m a_k z^{k-1} \\ \prec 1 + (A - B)z - B(A - B)z^2 + \dots \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \end{aligned}$$

Now, by applying Lemma 1, we get the desired result.  $\square$

*Remark 1.* Putting  $m = 0$  in Theorem 1, we obtain the result obtained by Bansal [5, Theorem 2.1].

It is easy to derive a sufficient condition for  $f(z)$  to be in the class  $S_\gamma^b(m, \lambda, \ell; A, B)$  using standard techniques (see [22]). Hence we state the following result without proof.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$  given by (1), then a sufficient condition for  $f(z)$  to be in the class  $S_\gamma^b(m, \lambda, \ell; A, B)$  is*

$$(17) \quad \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [1 + \gamma(k-1)] \left( \frac{1 + \ell + \lambda(k-1)}{1 + \ell} \right)^m |a_k| \leq \frac{(A - B)|b|}{1 + B}.$$

*Remark 2.* Putting  $m = 0$  in Theorem 2, we obtain the result obtained by Bansal [5, Theorem 2.2].

In the next two theorems, we obtain the result concerning Fekete-Szegő inequality and upper bound on second Hankel determinant for the class  $S_\gamma^b(m, \lambda, \ell; A, B)$ .

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$  given by (1) belongs to the class  $S_\gamma^b(m, \lambda, \ell; A, B)$ , then*

$$\begin{aligned} (18) \quad |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{(A - B)(1 + \ell)^m |b|}{(1 + 2\gamma)(1 + \ell + 2\lambda)^m} \\ \cdot \max \left\{ 1, \left| B + \frac{\mu b (A - B)(1 + 2\gamma) \left( \frac{1 + \ell + 2\lambda}{1 + \ell} \right)^m}{(1 + \gamma)^2 \left( \frac{1 + \ell + \lambda}{1 + \ell} \right)^{2m}} \right| \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

*This result is sharp.*

*Proof.* Let  $f(z) \in S_\gamma^b(m, \lambda, \ell; A, B)$ , then there is a Schwarz function  $w(z)$  in  $\mathbb{U}$  with  $w(0) = 0$  and  $|w(z)| < 1$  in  $\mathbb{U}$  and such that

$$(19) \quad 1 + \frac{1}{b} \left( (1 - \gamma) \frac{J^m(\lambda, \ell) f(z)}{z} + \gamma (J^m(\lambda, \ell) f(z))' - 1 \right) = \Phi(w(z)) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

where

$$(20) \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi(z) &= \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} = 1 + (A-B)z - B(A-B)z^2 + B^2(A-B)z^3 - \dots \\ &= 1 + B_1z + B_2z^2 + B_3z^3 + \dots (z \in \mathbb{U}). \end{aligned}$$

If the function  $p_1(z)$  is analytic and has positive real part in  $\mathbb{U}$  and  $p_1(0) = 1$ , then

$$(21) \quad p_1(z) = \frac{1+w(z)}{1-w(z)} = 1 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + \dots (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Since  $w(z)$  is a Schwarz function. Define

$$(22) \quad \begin{aligned} p(z) &= 1 + \frac{1}{b} \left( (1 - \gamma) \frac{J^m(\lambda, \ell) f(z)}{z} + \gamma (J^m(\lambda, \ell) f(z))' - 1 \right) \\ &= 1 + d_1z + d_2z^2 + \dots (z \in \mathbb{U}). \end{aligned}$$

In view of the equations (19) and (21), we have

$$p(z) = \Phi \left( \frac{p_1(z) - 1}{p_1(z) + 1} \right).$$

Since

$$(23) \quad \frac{p_1(z) - 1}{p_1(z) + 1} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ c_1z + \left( c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2} \right) z^2 + \left( c_3 + \frac{c_1^3}{4} - c_1c_2 \right) z^3 + \dots \right].$$

Therefore, we have

$$(24) \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi \left( \frac{p_1(z) - 1}{p_1(z) + 1} \right) &= 1 + \frac{1}{2} B_1 c_1 z + \left[ \frac{1}{2} B_1 \left( c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{4} B_2 c_1^2 \right] z^2 + \\ &+ \left[ \frac{B_1}{2} \left( c_3 - c_1 c_2 + \frac{c_1^3}{4} \right) + \frac{B_2 c_1}{2} \left( c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2} \right) + \frac{B_3 c_1^3}{8} \right] z^3 + \dots, \end{aligned}$$

and from this equation and (22), we obtain

$$(25) \quad d_1 = \frac{1}{2} B_1 c_1, \quad d_2 = \frac{1}{2} B_1 \left( c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{4} B_2 c_1^2,$$

and

$$(26) \quad d_3 = \frac{B_1}{2} \left( c_3 - c_1 c_2 + \frac{c_1^3}{4} \right) + \frac{B_2 c_1}{2} \left( c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2} \right) + \frac{B_3 c_1^3}{8}.$$

Then, from (19), we see that

$$(27) \quad d_1 = \frac{(1+\gamma) \left( \frac{1+\ell+\lambda}{1+\ell} \right)^m a_2}{b}, \quad d_2 = \frac{(1+2\gamma) \left( \frac{1+\ell+2\lambda}{1+\ell} \right)^m a_3}{b}$$

$$\text{and } d_3 = \frac{(1+3\gamma) \left( \frac{1+\ell+3\lambda}{1+\ell} \right)^m a_4}{b}.$$

Now from (21), (22) and (27), we have

$$(28) \quad a_2 = \frac{(A-B)bc_1}{2(1+\gamma) \left( \frac{1+\ell+\lambda}{1+\ell} \right)^m}, \quad a_3 = \frac{b(A-B)}{4(1+2\gamma) \left( \frac{1+\ell+2\lambda}{1+\ell} \right)^m} \{2c_2 - c_1^2(1+B)\}$$

and

$$(29) \quad a_4 = \frac{b(A-B)}{8(1+3\gamma) \left( \frac{1+\ell+3\lambda}{1+\ell} \right)^m} \{4c_3 - 4c_1c_2(1+B) + c_1^3(1+B)^2\}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$(30) \quad a_3 - \mu a_2^2 = \frac{b(A-B)}{2(1+2\gamma) \left( \frac{1+\ell+2\lambda}{1+\ell} \right)^m} \{c_2 - \nu c_1^2\},$$

where

$$(31) \quad \nu = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 + B + \frac{\mu b(A-B)(1+2\gamma) \left( \frac{1+\ell+2\lambda}{1+\ell} \right)^m}{(1+\gamma)^2 \left( \frac{1+\ell+\lambda}{1+\ell} \right)^{2m}} \right].$$

Our result now follows by an application of Lemma 3. The result is sharp for the functions

$$(32) \quad 1 + \frac{1}{b} \left( (1-\gamma) \frac{J^m(\lambda, \ell) f(z)}{z} + \gamma (J^m(\lambda, \ell) f(z))' - 1 \right) = \Phi(z^2)$$

and

$$(33) \quad 1 + \frac{1}{b} \left( (1-\gamma) \frac{J^m(\lambda, \ell) f(z)}{z} + \gamma (J^m(\lambda, \ell) f(z))' - 1 \right) = \Phi(z).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.  $\square$

*Remark 3.* Putting  $m = 0$  in Theorem 3, we obtain the result obtained by Bansal [5, Theorem 2.3].

**THEOREM 4.** Let  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$  given by (1) belongs to the class  $S_\gamma^b(m, \lambda, \ell; A, B)$ , then

$$(34) \quad |a_2 a_4 - a_3^2| \leq \frac{(A-B)^2 |b|^2}{(1+2\gamma)^2 \left( \frac{1+\ell+2\lambda}{1+\ell} \right)^{2m}}.$$

*Proof.* Using (28) and (29), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (35) \quad |a_2 a_4 - a_3^2| &= \frac{(A - B)^2 |b|^2}{16(1 + \gamma)(1 + 3\gamma) \left(\frac{1+\ell+\lambda}{1+\ell}\right)^m \left(\frac{1+\ell+3\lambda}{1+\ell}\right)^m} \\
 &\quad \left| 4c_1 c_3 - 4c_1^2 c_2(1 + B) + c_1^4(1 + B)^2 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \frac{(1 + \gamma)(1 + 3\gamma)(1 + \ell + \lambda)^m(1 + \ell + 3\lambda)^m}{(1 + 2\gamma)^2(1 + \ell + 2\lambda)^{2m}} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. [4c_2^2 - 4c_1^2 c_2(1 + B) + c_1^4(1 + B)^2] \right| \\
 &= M \left| 4c_1 c_3 - 4c_1^2 c_2(1 + B) + c_1^4(1 + B)^2 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - N [4c_2^2 - 4c_1^2 c_2(1 + B) + c_1^4(1 + B)^2] \right|,
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 (36) \quad M &= \frac{(A - B)^2 |b|^2}{16(1 + \gamma)(1 + 3\gamma) \left(\frac{1+\ell+\lambda}{1+\ell}\right)^m \left(\frac{1+\ell+3\lambda}{1+\ell}\right)^m} \\
 \text{and } N &= \frac{(1 + \gamma)(1 + 3\gamma)(1 + \ell + \lambda)^m(1 + \ell + 3\lambda)^m}{(1 + 2\gamma)^2(1 + \ell + 2\lambda)^{2m}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The above equation (35) is equivalent to

$$(37) \quad |a_2 a_4 - a_3^2| = M |4c_1 c_3 + d_2 c_1^2 c_2 + d_3 c_2^2 + d_4 c_1^4|,$$

where

$$(38) \quad d_1 = 4, \quad d_2 = -4(1 + B)(1 - N), \quad d_3 = -4N, \quad d_4 = (1 - N)(1 + B)^2.$$

Since the functions  $p(z)$  and  $p(re^{i\theta})$  ( $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ ) are members of the class  $\mathcal{P}$  simultaneously, we assume without loss of generality that  $c_1 > 0$ . For convenience of notation, we take  $c_1 = c$  ( $c \in [0, 2]$ , see (11)). Also, substituting the values of  $c_2$  and  $c_3$ , respectively, from (13) and (14) in (37), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |a_2 a_4 - a_3^2| &= \frac{M}{4} |c^4(d_1 + 2d_2 + d_3 + 4d_4) + 2\kappa c^2(4 - c^2)(d_1 + d_2 + d_3) \\
 &\quad + (4 - c^2)\kappa^2(-d_1 c^2 + d_3(4 - c^2)) + 2d_1 c(4 - c^2) \left(1 - |\kappa|^2 z\right)|.
 \end{aligned}$$

An application of triangle inequality, replacement of  $|\kappa|$  by  $\nu$  and substituting the values of  $d_1$ ,  $d_2$ ,  $d_3$  and  $d_4$  from (38), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (39) \quad |a_2 a_4 - a_3^2| &\leq \frac{M}{4} [4c^4(1 - N)B^2 + 8|B|(1 - N)\nu c^2(4 - c^2) + \\
 &\quad (4 - c^2)\nu^2(4c^2 + 4N(4 - c^2)) + 8c(4 - c^2)(1 - \nu^2)] \\
 &= M [c^4(1 - N)B^2 + 2c(4 - c^2) + 2\nu|B|(1 - N)c^2(4 - c^2)
 \end{aligned}$$



$$(40) = F(c, \nu).$$

Next, we assume that the upper bound for (40) occurs at an interior point of the rectangle  $[0, 2] \times [0, 1]$ . Differentiating  $F(c, \nu)$  in (40) partially with respect to  $\nu$ , we have

$$(41) \quad \frac{\partial F(c, \nu)}{\partial \nu} = M [2|B|(1-N)c^2(4-c^2) + 2\nu(4-c^2)(c^2(1-N) - 2c + 4N)].$$

For  $0 < \nu < 1$  and for any fixed  $c$  with  $0 < c < 2$ , from (41), we observe that  $\frac{\partial F}{\partial \nu} > 0$ . Therefore  $F(c, \nu)$  is an increasing function of  $\nu$ , which contradicts our assumption that the maximum value of  $F(c, \nu)$  occurs at an interior point of the rectangle  $[0, 2] \times [0, 1]$ . Moreover, for fixed  $c \in [0, 2]$ ,

$$(42) \quad \text{Max } F(c, \nu) = F(c, 1) = G(c).$$

Thus

$$(43) \quad G(c) = M [c^4(1-N)(B^2 - 2|B| - 1) + 4c^2(2|B|(1-N) + 1 - 2N) + 16N].$$

Next,

$$(44) \quad \begin{aligned} G'(c) &= 4Mc [c^2(1-N)(B^2 - 2|B| - 1) + 2(2|B|(1-N) + 1 - 2N)] \\ &= 4Mc [c^2(1-N)(B^2 - 2|B| - 1) + 2\{(1-N)(2|B| + 1) - N\}]. \end{aligned}$$

So  $G'(c) < 0$  for  $0 < c < 2$  and has real critical point at  $c = 0$ . Also  $G(c) > G(2)$ . Therefore, maximum of  $G(c)$  occurs at  $c = 0$ . Therefore, the upper bound of  $F(c, \nu)$  corresponds to  $\nu = 1$  and  $c = 0$ . Hence,

$$|a_2a_4 - a_3^2| \leq 16MN = \frac{(A-B)^2|b|^2}{(1+2\gamma)^2 \left(\frac{1+\ell+2\lambda}{1+\ell}\right)^{2m}}.$$

This completes the proof of the Theorem 4.  $\square$

*Remark 4.* Putting  $m = 0$  in Theorem 4, we obtain the result obtained by Bansal [5, Theorem 2.4].

**Acknowledgments.** The author thanks the referees for their valuable suggestions which led to improvement of this paper.

## REFERENCES

- [1] F.M. Al-Ouboudi, *On univalent functions defined by a generalized Salagean operator*. Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. **27** (2004), 1429–1436.

- [2] M.K. Aouf, *Subordination properties for a certain class of analytic functions defined by the Salagean operator*. Appl. Math. Lett. **22** (2009), 1581–1585.
- [3] M.K. Aouf and T.M. Seoudy, *On differential sandwich theorems of analytic functions defined by generalized Salagean integral operator*. Appl. Math. Lett. **24** (2011), 1364–1368.
- [4] D. Bansal, *Upper bound of second Hankel determinant for a new class of analytic functions*. Appl. Math. Lett. **26** (2013), 1, 103–107.
- [5] D. Bansal, *Fekete-Szegő problem and upper bound of second Hankel determinant for a new class of analytic functions*. Kyungpook Math. J. **54** (2014), 443–452.
- [6] T. Bulboacă, *Differential Subordinations and Superordinations. Recent Results*. House of Scientific Book Publ., Cluj-Napoca, 2005.
- [7] A. Catas, *On certain classes of  $p$ -valent functions defined by multiplier transformations*. In: *Proc. Book of the International Symposium on Geometric Function Theory and Applications*. Istanbul, Turkey (August 2007), 241–250.
- [8] N.E. Cho and H. M. Srivastava, *Argument estimates of certain analytic functions defined by a class of multiplier transformations*. Math. Comput. Model. **37** (2003), 1-2, 39–49.
- [9] N.E. Cho and T.H. Kim, *Multiplier transformations and strongly close-to-convex functions*. Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **40** (2003), 3, 399–410.
- [10] P.L. Duren, *Univalent Functions*. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften **259**, Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg, Tokyo, 1983.
- [11] T.M. Flett, *The dual of an identity of Hardy and Littlewood and some related inequalities*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **38** (1972), 746–765.
- [12] U. Grenander and G. Szegő, *Toeplitz Forms and Their Application*. Univ. of California Press, Berkeley and Los Angeles, 1958.
- [13] A. Janteng, S.A. Halim and M. Darus, *Hankel determinant for starlike and convex functions*. Int. J. Math. Anal. **1** (2007), 13, 619–625.
- [14] F.R. Keogh and E.P. Markes, *A coefficient inequality for certain classes of analytic functions*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **20** (1969), 8–12.
- [15] R.J. Libera and E.J. Zlotkewicz, *Coefficient bounds for the inverse of a function with derivative in  $\mathcal{P}$* . Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **87** (1983), 2, 251–257.
- [16] S.S. Miller and P.T. Mocanu, *Differential Subordination: Theory and Applications*. Series on Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics **225**, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York and Basel, 2000.
- [17] A.K. Mishra and P. Gochhayat, *Second Hankel determinant for a class of analytic functions defined by fractional derivative*. Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., 2008, 1–10. Art. ID 153280.
- [18] A.K. Mishra and S.N. Kund, *Second Hankel determinant for a class of functions defined by the Carlson-Shaffer*. Tamkang J. Math. **44** (2013), 1, 73–82.
- [19] J.W. Noonan and D.K. Thomas, *On the second Hankel determinant of areally mean  $p$ -valent functions*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **223** (1976), 2, 337–346.
- [20] J. Patel, *Inclusion relations and convolution properties of certain subclasses of analytic functions defined by generalized Salagean operator*. Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin **15** (2008), 33–47.
- [21] J.K. Prajapat, *Subordination and superordination preserving properties for generalized multiplier transformation operator*. Math. Comput. Model. **55** (2012), 1456–1465.
- [22] R.K. Raina and D. Bansal, *Some properties of a new class of analytic functions defined in terms of a Hadamard product*. J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. **9** (2008), Art. 22, 1–9.

- [23] W. Rogosinski, *On the coefficients of subordinate functions*. Proc. London Math. Soc. **48** (1943), 48–82.
- [24] G.S. Salagean, *Subclasses of univalent functions*. Lecture Notes in Math. **1013** (1983), Springer-Verlag, 362–372.
- [25] P. Sharma, J.K. Prajapat and R.K. Raina, *Certain subordination results involving a generalized multiplier transformation operator*. J. Class. Anal. **2** (2013), 1, 85–106.
- [26] S. Sivasubramanian, A. Mohammed and M. Darus, *Certain subordination properties for subclasses of analytic functions involving complex order*. Abstr. Appl. Anal., 2011, 1–8. ID 375897.

*Received 23 May 2015*

*Damietta University,  
Faculty of Science,  
Department of Mathematics,  
New Damietta 34517, Egypt  
shezaeldeeb@yahoo.com*