

AN ALTERNATIVE PROOF OF THE DIFFERENTIABILITY OF THE VOLUME WITH RESPECT TO THE L_p -SUM OF CONVEX BODIES

ANTONIO ROBERTO MARTÍNEZ FERNÁNDEZ

Communicated by Vasile Brînzănescu

One of the most useful facts when dealing with one-parameter functionals of the family of (p) -parallel bodies is the differentiability of the volume. In this paper, we provide an alternative proof for this differentiability at the origin in a restricted range of values of p .

AMS 2010 Subject Classification: 52A20, 52A39, 52A40.

Key words: p -difference, p -inner parallel body, Minkowski difference, volume.

1. PRELIMINARIES

Let \mathcal{K}^n be the set of all convex bodies, *i.e.*, non-empty compact convex sets in the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n endowed with the standard scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, and let \mathcal{K}_0^n be the subset of \mathcal{K}^n consisting of all convex bodies containing the origin.

We will denote by $h(K, u) = \max\{\langle x, u \rangle : x \in K\}$ the *support function* of $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$ in the direction u of the $(n - 1)$ -dimensional unit sphere \mathbb{S}^{n-1} in \mathbb{R}^n . For a set $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, we write $\text{int } M$ and $\text{vol}(M)$ to denote, respectively, its interior and its volume, that is, its n -dimensional Lebesgue measure (if M is measurable).

The vectorial or *Minkowski addition* of two non-empty sets $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is defined as

$$A + B = \{a + b : a \in A, b \in B\},$$

and we write $A + x := A + \{x\}$, for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Moreover, $\lambda A = \{\lambda x : x \in A\}$, for $\lambda \geq 0$. We refer the reader to the books [7, 14] for a detailed study of this.

The so-called Minkowski difference can be regarded as the substraction counterpart of the Minkowski addition: for two non-empty sets $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, the *Minkowski difference* of A and B is defined by

$$A \sim B = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : B + x \subseteq A\},$$

that is, $A \sim B$ is the largest set such that $(A \sim B) + B \subseteq A$. Minkowski's difference gives rise to the notion of inner parallel bodies, a notion which has many applications in the geometry of convex bodies. We refer the reader to [14, Note 2 for Section 7.5] for further applications of inner parallel bodies.

In 1962 Firey introduced the following generalization of the classical Minkowski addition (see [5]). For $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $K, E \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$ the p -sum (or L_p -sum) of K and E is the convex body $K +_p E \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$ whose support function is given by

$$h(K +_p E, u) = (h(K, u)^p + h(E, u)^p)^{1/p},$$

for all $u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. The p -sum of convex bodies was the starting point of the nowadays known as the L_p -Brunn-Minkowski (or Firey-Brunn-Minkowski) theory.

In [12] the following analogous to the Minkowski difference in the framework of Firey-Brunn-Minkowski theory was introduced: for $K, E \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$, $E \subseteq K$, and $1 \leq p < \infty$, the p -difference of K and E is defined as

$$K \sim_p E = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle x, u \rangle \leq (h(K, u)^p - h(E, u)^p)^{1/p}, u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}\}.$$

When $p = 1$, in both cases above the usual Minkowski sum and difference are obtained; *i.e.*, $+_1 = +$ and $\sim_1 = \sim$ are the Minkowski addition and difference, respectively.

In order to develop a structured and systematic study of the p -difference, it is useful to work with the following subfamily of convex sets where also the trivial cases are avoided (see [12] for further details):

$$\mathcal{K}_{00}^n(E) = \{K \in \mathcal{K}_0^n : 0 \in K \sim r(K; E)E\},$$

where $r(K; E) = \max\{r \geq 0 : x + rE \subseteq K \text{ for some } x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$ is the *relative inradius* of K with respect to E .

For convex bodies $K_1, \dots, K_m \in \mathcal{K}^n$ and real numbers $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m \geq 0$, the volume of the linear combination $\lambda_1 K_1 + \dots + \lambda_m K_m$ is expressed as a polynomial of degree at most n in the variables $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$,

$$\text{vol}(\lambda_1 K_1 + \dots + \lambda_m K_m) = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n=1}^m V(K_{i_1}, \dots, K_{i_n}) \lambda_{i_1} \cdots \lambda_{i_n},$$

whose coefficients $V(K_{i_1}, \dots, K_{i_n})$ are the *mixed volumes* of K_1, \dots, K_m . Notice that such a polynomial expression is not possible for the sum $+_p$ when $p > 1$ (see *e.g.* [6]). Further, it is well-known that there exist finite Borel measures on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} , the *mixed area measures* $S(K_2, \dots, K_n, \cdot)$, such that

$$V(K_1, \dots, K_n) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h(K_1, u) dS(K_2, \dots, K_n, u).$$

We refer to [14, Chapter 5] for an extensive study of mixed volumes and mixed area measures. If only two convex bodies $K, E \in \mathcal{K}^n$ are involved in the above

sum, the arising mixed volumes $V(K[n-i], E[i]) =: W_i(K; E)$ are called the *quermassintegrals* of K (relative to E); $[i]$ to the right of a convex body indicates that it appears i times. In particular, we have $W_0(K; E) = \text{vol}(K)$, $W_n(K; E) = \text{vol}(E)$ and $S(K) := nW_1(K; B_n)$ is the *surface area* of K . We notice that

$$W_i(K; E) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} h(K, u) \, dS(K[n-i-1], E[i], u).$$

Let $E \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$ and $K \in \mathcal{K}_{00}^n(E)$. The *full system of p -parallel bodies* of K relative to E , $1 \leq p < \infty$, is defined as follows [12].

Definition 1.1. Let $E \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$ and $K \in \mathcal{K}_{00}^n(E)$. For $1 \leq p < \infty$,

$$K_\lambda^p = \begin{cases} K \sim_p |\lambda|E & \text{if } -r(K; E) \leq \lambda \leq 0, \\ K +_p \lambda E & \text{if } 0 \leq \lambda < \infty. \end{cases}$$

K_λ^p is the *p -inner* (respectively, *p -outer*) parallel body of K at distance $|\lambda|$ relative to E .

Differentiability properties of functions that depend on one-parameter families of convex bodies play an important role in some proofs in Convex Geometry, see *e.g.* [14, Theorem 7.6.19 and Notes to Section 7.6]. In particular, for $E \in \mathcal{K}^n$ with interior points and $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$, the differentiability of functions depending on the full system of 1-parallel bodies was already addressed by Bol [1] and Hadwiger [8]. In this case ($p = 1$), the considered functions are the (relative) quermassintegrals $W_i(K_\lambda^1; E)$, $i = 0, \dots, n-1$.

One of the most useful classical tools in this context is the differentiability of the function $\text{vol}(K_\lambda^1)$ on $-r(K; E) \leq \lambda \leq 0$. Further results and applications of the differentiability of quermassintegrals with respect to the one-parameter family of 1-parallel bodies can be found in [10] and the references therein.

In [9] Hernández Cifre, Martínez Fernández and Saorín Gómez proved, among other related results, the differentiability of the quermassintegrals $W_i(K_\lambda^p; E)$, $i = 0, \dots, n-1$, on the range $(0, \infty)$. Moreover, as in the classical case ($p = 1$), the differentiability of the volume functional $\text{vol}(K_\lambda^p)$ was also established, based on bounds of left and right derivatives of quermassintegrals.

The aim of this work is to provide a different proof, under the spirit of looking for a Matheron-type lemma, of the differentiability of the volume functional $\text{vol}(K_\lambda^p)$ at $\lambda = 0$ for the range $1 < p \leq n$. We think that our technique could be employed to obtain similar results in the Firey-Brunn-Minkowski theory.

2. DIFFERENTIABILITY OF $\text{vol}(K_\lambda^p)$ AT THE ORIGIN (for $1 < p \leq n$)

The aim of this section is to prove the differentiability of the function $\lambda \mapsto \text{vol}(K_\lambda^p)$ at the origin for $1 < p \leq n$. In order to do that, we need some previous results. In [13] Matheron proved the following *Convexity Lemma*:

LEMMA 2.1 ([13, Convexity Lemma]). *Let $K, E \in \mathcal{K}^n$ with $E \subseteq K$. Then, for all $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq r(K; E)$, it holds*

$$\text{vol}(K) - \text{vol}(K \sim \varepsilon E) \leq \text{vol}(K + \varepsilon E) - \text{vol}(K).$$

Our first step is to show that the Convexity Lemma remains true for $1 \leq p \leq n$ if the convex bodies K and E are the same. Before doing that, we will need a technical inequality:

LEMMA 2.2. *Let $1 \leq p \leq n$ and $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1$. Then,*

$$(2.1) \quad 1 - (1 - \varepsilon^p)^{n/p} \leq (1 + \varepsilon^p)^{n/p} - 1.$$

Proof. If $p = n$, then (2.1) holds trivially. Suppose that $1 \leq p < n$, and let us consider the function $\varphi : [0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $\varphi(\varepsilon) := (1 + \varepsilon^p)^{n/p} + (1 - \varepsilon^p)^{n/p} - 2$. The function φ is differentiable on $(0, 1)$, with derivative

$$\varphi'(\varepsilon) = n\varepsilon^{p-1} \left[(1 + \varepsilon^p)^{(n-p)/p} - (1 - \varepsilon^p)^{(n-p)/p} \right].$$

Since $1 \leq p < n$, the function $t \mapsto t^{(n-p)/n}$ is strictly increasing in $(0, \infty)$, which implies that $\varphi'(\varepsilon) > 0$ for all $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. Then, $\varphi(\varepsilon) \geq \varphi(0) = 0$, for all $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1$, and (2.1) is proved. \square

LEMMA 2.3. *Let $Q \in \mathcal{K}^n$ with $0 \in \text{int } Q$ and let $1 \leq p \leq n$. Then, for all $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1$, it holds*

$$(2.2) \quad \text{vol}(Q) - \text{vol}(Q \sim_p \varepsilon Q) \leq \text{vol}(Q +_p \varepsilon Q) - \text{vol}(Q).$$

Proof. Firstly, we notice that for all $u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ we have that

$$h(Q +_p \varepsilon Q, u)^p = h(Q, u)^p + \varepsilon^p h(Q, u)^p = h((1 + \varepsilon^p)^{1/p} Q, u)^p,$$

from where $Q +_p \varepsilon Q = (1 + \varepsilon^p)^{1/p} Q$. On the other hand, it is easy to check that $Q \sim_p \varepsilon Q = (1 - \varepsilon^p)^{1/p} Q$ (see [12, Lemma 2.2 (vi)]). Just by replacing these expressions, we immediately get that (2.2) is equivalent to

$$(2.3) \quad \text{vol}(Q) - \text{vol}\left((1 - \varepsilon^p)^{1/p} Q\right) \leq \text{vol}\left((1 + \varepsilon^p)^{1/p} Q\right) - \text{vol}(Q).$$

Taking into consideration that the volume functional is homogeneous of degree n and that $\text{vol}(Q) > 0$ (because Q has interior points), we deduce that (2.3) is equivalent to (2.1) and we finish the proof. \square

Remark 2.1. Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3 show that a general Convexity Lemma does not exist for $p > n$, since (2.1) does not hold for $p > n$.

For $K, L \in \mathcal{K}^n$ we write $R_0(K; L) := \inf\{t > 0 : K \subseteq tL\}$ to denote the *relative circumradius at the origin* of K with respect to L .

LEMMA 2.4. *Let $E \in \mathcal{K}^n$ with $0 \in \text{int } E$, $Q \in \mathcal{K}_{00}^n(E)$ and let $1 < p \leq n$. Then, for all $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1/R_0(E; Q)$, we have that*

$$\text{vol}(Q) - \text{vol}(Q \sim_p \varepsilon E) \leq \text{vol}(Q +_p \varepsilon \alpha_{QE} E) - \text{vol}(Q),$$

with $\alpha_{QE} := R_0(Q; E)R_0(E; Q)$.

Proof. Since $E \subseteq R_0(E; Q)Q$ we have that $Q \sim_p \varepsilon E \supseteq Q \sim_p \varepsilon R_0(E; Q)Q$, and thus $\text{vol}(Q \sim_p \varepsilon E) \geq \text{vol}(Q \sim_p \varepsilon R_0(E; Q)Q)$. On the other hand, $Q \subseteq R_0(Q; E)E$, which yields $Q +_p \varepsilon R_0(E; Q)Q \subseteq Q +_p \varepsilon \alpha_{QE} E$. Since $0 \leq \varepsilon R_0(E; Q) \leq 1$, we have by Lemma 2.3 that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{vol}(Q) - \text{vol}(Q \sim_p \varepsilon E) &\leq \text{vol}(Q) - \text{vol}(Q \sim_p \varepsilon R_0(E; Q)Q) \\ &\leq \text{vol}(Q +_p \varepsilon R_0(E; Q)Q) - \text{vol}(Q) \\ &\leq \text{vol}(Q +_p \varepsilon \alpha_{QE} E) - \text{vol}(Q). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 2.4 we deduce that, for all $1 < p \leq n$,

$$\text{vol}(Q) - \text{vol}(Q \sim_p \varepsilon E) \leq \text{vol}(Q +_p \varepsilon E) - \text{vol}(Q) + F(\varepsilon),$$

for all $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1/R_0(E; Q)$, with

$$(2.4) \quad F(\varepsilon) := \text{vol}(Q +_p \varepsilon \alpha_{QE} E) - \text{vol}(Q +_p \varepsilon E) \geq 0,$$

because

$$(2.5) \quad \alpha_{QE} = R_0(Q; E)R_0(E; Q) \geq R(Q; E)R(E; Q) = \frac{R(Q; E)}{r(Q; E)} \geq 1,$$

where $R(K; L) := \inf\{t > 0 : \text{there exists } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ with } x + tL \supseteq K\}$ is the *relative circumradius* of K with respect to L .

LEMMA 2.5. *Let $E \in \mathcal{K}^n$ with $0 \in \text{int } E$, $Q \in \mathcal{K}_{00}^n(E)$, $1 < p \leq n$, and let $F(\varepsilon)$ as in (2.4), with $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1/R_0(E; Q)$. Then, there exists a constant $C > 0$ (which depends on Q and E) such that $F(\varepsilon) \leq C\varepsilon^p$, for all $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1/\alpha_{QE}$.*

Proof. We write, for brevity, $\alpha = \alpha_{QE}$. See Lemma 2.4 and (2.5). If $\alpha = 1$, then $F(\varepsilon) \equiv 0$ and the result becomes true. Suppose that $\alpha > 1$, and let us consider the function $k : [1, \alpha] \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ given by

$$k(t, u) := h(Q +_p t\varepsilon E, u).$$

By the continuity of support functions and the p -sum of convex bodies, the function k is continuous in each variable. The following claim is a technical step, which is proved with standard arguments. Nevertheless, we will include here a detailed proof for the sake of completeness.

CLAIM 2.1.

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{k(t+s, u) - k(t, u)}{s} = \frac{\partial k(t, u)}{\partial t} = \varepsilon^p t^{p-1} h(E, u)^p h(Q +_p t\varepsilon E, u)^{1-p}$$

uniformly on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} , for all $t \in (1, \alpha)$.

Proof of Claim 2.1. Notice first that $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1/\alpha$ is a fixed number. Let $t \in (1, \alpha)$ and $\eta > 0$. We are going to prove that there exists some $\delta > 0$ such that

$$|s| < \delta \implies \left| \frac{k(t+s, u) - k(t, u)}{s} - \frac{\partial k(t, u)}{\partial t} \right| < \eta, \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}.$$

As a consequence of the mean value theorem applied to the function $t^{1/p}$, $p \geq 1$, we have that for $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$, there exists some γ between α and β such that

$$(2.6) \quad \alpha^{1/p} - \beta^{1/p} = \frac{1}{p}(\alpha - \beta)\gamma^{(1-p)/p},$$

and similarly

$$(2.7) \quad \alpha^{p-1} - \beta^{p-1} = (p-1)(\alpha - \beta)\gamma^{p-2}.$$

Taking $\alpha = h(Q, u)^p + (t+s)^p \varepsilon^p h(E, u)^p$ and $\beta = h(Q, u)^p + t^p \varepsilon^p h(E, u)^p$ in (2.6) we deduce that there exists some $t + \theta$ between $t+s$ and t such that

$$\begin{aligned} k(t+s, u) - k(t, u) - s \frac{\partial k(t, u)}{\partial t} &= \\ &= h(Q +_p (t+s)\varepsilon E, u) - h(Q +_p t\varepsilon E, u) - s \frac{\partial k(t, u)}{\partial t} \\ &= \alpha^{1/p} - \beta^{1/p} - s \frac{\partial k(t, u)}{\partial t} \\ &= \frac{1}{p} \varepsilon^p h(E, u)^p [(t+s)^p - t^p] h(Q +_p (t+\theta)\varepsilon E, u)^{1-p} - s \frac{\partial k(t, u)}{\partial t}, \end{aligned}$$

because $h(Q, u)^p + (t+\theta)^p \varepsilon^p h(E, u)^p = h(Q +_p (t+\theta)\varepsilon E, u)^p$. Again by the mean value theorem, we have that $(t+s)^p - t^p = sp(t+w)^{p-1}$, with $t+w$ between $t+s$ and t . Notice that $|\theta|, |w| \leq |s|$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} k(t+s, u) - k(t, u) - s \frac{\partial k(t, u)}{\partial t} &= \\ &= s \varepsilon^p h(E, u)^p \left[\left(\frac{t+w}{h(Q +_p (t+\theta)\varepsilon E, u)} \right)^{p-1} - \left(\frac{t}{h(Q +_p t\varepsilon E, u)} \right)^{p-1} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

In the following, we will use the *inradius of Q at the origin*, $r_0(Q) := \max\{\delta > 0 : \delta B_n \subseteq Q\} > 0$, and we will write $R_0(K) := R_0(K; B_n)$ to denote the *circumradius of K at the origin*.

By applying (2.7) with $\alpha = (t + w)h(Q +_p t\varepsilon E, u)$ and $\beta = th(Q +_p (t + \theta)\varepsilon E, u)$ we have that there exists some $\Gamma_{u,w,\theta}^{p-2} > 0$ between α and β (this number is bounded so that $\Gamma_{u,w,\theta}^{p-2} \leq C'$ for all $u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$) such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.8) \quad & \left| \frac{k(t + s, u) - k(t, u)}{s} - \frac{\partial k(t, u)}{\partial t} \right| = \\
 & = \frac{\varepsilon^p h(E, u)^p}{[h(Q +_p \varepsilon E, u)h(Q +_p (t + \theta)\varepsilon E, u)]^{p-1}} \times \\
 & \quad \times \left| [(t + w)h(Q +_p t\varepsilon E, u)]^{p-1} - [th(Q +_p (t + \theta)\varepsilon E, u)]^{p-1} \right| \\
 & \leq \frac{(\varepsilon R_0(E))^p}{r_0(Q)^{2(p-1)}} (p-1) \Gamma_{u,w,\theta}^{p-2} |(t + w)h(Q +_p t\varepsilon E, u) - th(Q +_p (t + \theta)\varepsilon E, u)| \\
 & \leq \tilde{C} (t|h(Q +_p (t + \theta)\varepsilon E, u) - h(Q +_p t\varepsilon E, u)| + |w|R_0(Q +_p E)),
 \end{aligned}$$

where we have used that $t\varepsilon \leq \alpha\varepsilon \leq 1$ implies $h(Q +_p t\varepsilon E, u) \leq h(Q +_p E, u) \leq R_0(Q +_p E)$ and we have denoted

$$\tilde{C} := (p-1)C' \frac{(\varepsilon R_0(E))^p}{r_0(Q)^{2(p-1)}}.$$

Again by the mean value theorem we have that there exists some ξ between t and $t + \theta$ such that

$$(2.9) \quad (t + \theta)^p - t^p = \theta p \xi^{p-1}.$$

It is important to observe that if $|s|$ (and so $|\theta|$) is small enough, then we will have that $|\xi| \leq \frac{3t}{2}$. Now (2.9) together with (2.6) with $\alpha = h(Q, u)^p + (t + \theta)^p \varepsilon^p h(E, u)^p$ and $\beta = h(Q, u)^p + t^p \varepsilon^p h(E, u)^p$ allows to deduce the existence of some $t + \Delta$ between $t + \theta$ and t (with $|\Delta| \leq |\theta| \leq |s|$) such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & h(Q +_p (t + \theta)\varepsilon E, u) - h(Q +_p t\varepsilon E, u) = \alpha^{1/p} - \beta^{1/p} \\
 & = [(t + \theta)^p - t^p] \varepsilon^p h(E, u)^p \frac{1}{p} (h(Q, u)^p + (t + \Delta)^p \varepsilon^p h(E, u)^p)^{\frac{1-p}{p}} \\
 & = \theta \xi^{p-1} \varepsilon^p h(E, u)^p h(Q +_p (t + \Delta)\varepsilon E, u)^{1-p}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Going over (2.8) again and using the above inequalities we finally get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \frac{k(t + s, u) - k(t, u)}{s} - \frac{\partial k(t, u)}{\partial t} \right| = \\
 & \leq \tilde{C} \left(t|\theta| \left(\frac{3t}{2} \right)^{p-1} (\varepsilon R_0(E))^p \frac{1}{r_0(Q)^{p-1}} + |w|R_0(Q +_p E) \right) \\
 & \leq \hat{C}|s| < \eta, \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}
 \end{aligned}$$

whenever $|s| < \delta := \min \left\{ \frac{\eta}{C^*}, \frac{t}{2} \right\}$, where

$$C^* := \tilde{C} \left((\varepsilon t R_0(E))^p \left(\frac{3}{2r_0(Q)} \right)^{p-1} + R_0(Q +_p E) \right).$$

We have proved thus that

$$(2.10) \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{k(t+s, u) - k(t, u)}{s} = \frac{\partial k(t, u)}{\partial t}$$

uniformly on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} . It remains to see that the right-hand side of (2.10) equals to $\varepsilon^p t^{p-1} h(E, u)^p h(Q +_p t\varepsilon E, u)^{1-p}$. But this is a straightforward verification. In fact, since $k(t, u) = (h(Q, u)^p + t^p \varepsilon^p h(E, u)^p)^{1/p}$ we get by the chain rule that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial k(t, u)}{\partial t} &= \frac{1}{p} (h(Q, u)^p + t^p \varepsilon^p h(E, u)^p)^{\frac{1}{p}-1} \cdot p t^{p-1} \varepsilon^p h(E, u)^p \\ &= \varepsilon^p t^{p-1} h(E, u)^p h(Q +_p t\varepsilon E, u)^{1-p}, \end{aligned}$$

and we finish the proof of Claim 2.1. \square

Now we need a result proved by Böröczky, Lutwak, Yang and Zang:

LEMMA 2.6 ([3, Lemma 2.1]). *Let $k : I \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be a continuous function, where I is an open interval of \mathbb{R} . Suppose that*

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{k(t+s, u) - k(t, u)}{s} = \frac{\partial k(t, u)}{\partial t}$$

uniformly on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} . If $\{K_t\}_{t \in I}$ is the family of Wulff-shapes associated with k_t (i.e., $K_t = \bigcap_{u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle x, u \rangle \leq k_t(u)\}$), then

$$\frac{d\text{vol}(K_t)}{dt} = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \frac{\partial k(t, u)}{\partial t} dS_{K_t}(u),$$

where $S_{K_t}(u) := S(K_t[n-1], u)$.

Claim 2.1 together with Lemma 2.6 yields then

$$\frac{d\text{vol}(Q_t)}{dt} = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \frac{\partial k(t, u)}{\partial t} dS_{Q_t}(u),$$

where $Q_t := Q +_p t\varepsilon E$.

Since $p > 1$, we have that $h(Q_t, u)^{1-p} \leq r_0(Q)^{1-p}$ for all $u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. On the other hand, $h(E, u)^p \leq R_0(E)^p$ for all $u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. Moreover, since $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1/\alpha$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} dS_{Q_t}(u) &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h(B_n, u) dS(Q_t[n-1], u) \\ &= nV(B_n, Q_t[n-1]) = S(Q_t) \\ &\leq S(Q_\alpha) = S(Q +_p \varepsilon \alpha E) \\ &\leq S(Q +_p E). \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
 F(\varepsilon) &= \text{vol}(Q_\alpha) - \text{vol}(Q_1) = \int_1^\alpha \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \frac{\partial k(t, u)}{\partial t} dS_{Q_t}(u) \right) dt \\
 &= \varepsilon^p \int_1^\alpha t^{p-1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h(Q_t, u)^{1-p} h(E, u)^p dS_{Q_t}(u) \right) dt \\
 &\leq \frac{R_0(E)^p}{r_0(Q)^{p-1}} \varepsilon^p \int_1^\alpha t^{p-1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} dS_{Q_t}(u) \right) dt \\
 &\leq C \varepsilon^p,
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$C := \frac{R_0(E)^p}{r_0(Q)^{p-1}} S(Q +_p E) \frac{\alpha^p - 1}{p} > 0. \quad \square$$

THEOREM 2.1. *Let $E \in \mathcal{K}^n$ with $0 \in \text{int } E$, $K \in \mathcal{K}_{00}^n(E)$ and let $1 < p \leq n$. Then, the function $\lambda \mapsto \text{vol}(K_\lambda^p)$ is differentiable at the origin, with*

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda} \Big|_{\lambda=0} \text{vol}(K_\lambda^p) = 0.$$

Proof. For $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough we have that $K_0^p = K$ and

$$K_{0-\varepsilon}^p = K_{-\varepsilon}^p = K \sim_p \varepsilon E, \quad K_{0+\varepsilon}^p = K_\varepsilon^p = K +_p \varepsilon E.$$

Then, by Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{d^-}{d\lambda} \Big|_{\lambda=0} \text{vol}(K_\lambda^p) &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\text{vol}(K) - \text{vol}(K \sim_p \varepsilon E)}{\varepsilon} \\
 &\leq \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\text{vol}(K +_p \varepsilon E) - \text{vol}(K)}{\varepsilon} + C \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \varepsilon^{p-1} \\
 &= \frac{d^+}{d\lambda} \Big|_{\lambda=0} \text{vol}(K_\lambda^p).
 \end{aligned}$$

The reverse inequality follows from [9, Proposition 2]. Finally, from [9, Theorem 3] we conclude that there exists

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda} \Big|_{\lambda=0} \text{vol}(K_\lambda^p) = \frac{d^+}{d\lambda} \Big|_{\lambda=0} \text{vol}(K_\lambda^p) = 0. \quad \square$$

Acknowledgments. The author would like to sincerely thank the anonymous referee and everyone who read earlier drafts.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Bol, *Beweis einer Vermutung von H. Minkowski*. Abh. Math. Semin. Univ. Hambg. **15** (1943), 37–56.

- [2] T. Bonnesen and W. Fenchel, *Theorie der konvexen Körper*. Springer, Berlin, 1934, 1974. English translation: *Theory of convex bodies*. Edited by L. Boron, C. Christenson and B. Smith. BCS Associates, Moscow, ID, 1987.
- [3] K.J. Böröczky, E. Lutwak, D. Yang and G. Zang, *The log-Brunn-Minkowski inequality*. Adv. Math. **231** (2012), 1974–1997.
- [4] H.G. Eggleston, *Convexity*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1958.
- [5] W.J. Firey, *p-means of convex bodies*. Math. Scand. **10** (1962), 17–24.
- [6] R. Gardner, D. Hug and W. Weil, *Operations between sets in geometry*. J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **15** (2013), 2297–2352.
- [7] P.M. Gruber, *Convex and Discrete Geometry*. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, 2007.
- [8] H. Hadwiger, *Altes und Neues über konvexe Körper*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel und Stuttgart, 1955.
- [9] M.A. Hernández Cifre, A.R. Martínez Fernández and E. Saorín Gómez, *Differentiability properties of the family of p-parallel bodies*. Appl. Anal. Discrete Math. **10** (2016), 186–207.
- [10] M.A. Hernández Cifre and E. Saorín Gómez, *Differentiability of quermassintegrals: a classification of convex bodies*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **366** (2014), 591–609.
- [11] E. Lutwak, *The Brunn-Minkowski-Firey theory, I*. J. Differential Geom. **38** (1993), 1, 131–150.
- [12] A.R. Martínez Fernández, E. Saorín Gómez and J. Yepes Nicolás, *p-difference: a counterpart of Minkowski difference in the framework of the Firey-Brunn-Minkowski theory*. Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat. Ser. A Math. RACSAM **110** (2016), 2, 613–631.
- [13] G. Matheron, *La formule de Steiner pour les érosions*. J. Appl. Probab. **15** (1978), 1, 126–135.
- [14] R. Schneider, *Convex Bodies: The Brunn-Minkowski Theory*. Second expanded edition. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2014.

Received 16 January 2017

Universidad de Murcia,
Campus de Espinardo,
Departamento de Matemáticas,
30100-Murcia,
Spain
antonioroberto.martinez@um.es