

q -STIRLING RECURRENCES

MIRCEA MERCA

Communicated by Dan Timotin

The q -Stirling numbers have many properties similar to those of the classical Stirling numbers. In this note, we introduce two recurrence relations for the q -Stirling numbers.

AMS 2010 Subject Classification: 11B37, 05E05.

Key words: Stirling numbers, q -Stirling numbers, convolutions.

1. INTRODUCTION

The q -Stirling numbers of the first kind $s_q[n, k]$ and the second kind $S_q[n, k]$ are a natural extension of the classical Stirling numbers. The q -Stirling numbers of the first kind are the coefficients in the expansion

$$(x)_{n,q} = \sum_{k=0}^n s_q[n, k] x^k,$$

where

$$(x)_{n,q} = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (x - [k]_q),$$

with $(x)_{0,q} = 1$. The q -Stirling numbers of the second kind are the coefficients of $(x)_{k,q}$ in the reverse relation

$$x^n = \sum_{k=0}^n S_q[n, k] (x)_{k,q}.$$

For $q \neq 1$ the q -number $[n]_q$ is defined by

$$[n]_q = 1 + q + \cdots + q^{n-1} = \frac{1 - q^n}{1 - q}.$$

It is clear that

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} [n]_q = n.$$

On the other hand, the q -Stirling numbers can be defined by the recurrence relations

$$s_q[n, k] = s_q[n - 1, k - 1] - [n - 1]_q s_q[n - 1, k]$$

and

$$S_q[n, k] = S_q[n - 1, k - 1] + [k]_q S_q[n - 1, k],$$

with boundary conditions

$$s_q[n, 0] = S_q[n, 0] = \delta_{n,0} \quad \text{and} \quad s_q[0, k] = S_q[0, k] = \delta_{0,k},$$

where $\delta_{i,j}$ is the usual Kronecker delta function. There is a long history of studying q -Stirling numbers [1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 9, 10, 11]. New and interesting combinatorial interpretations of these numbers have recently been given by Cai and Readdy [3].

Recall that the q -binomial coefficients are defined by

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = \begin{cases} \frac{[n]_q!}{[k]_q! [n - k]_q!}, & \text{for } k \in \{0, \dots, n\}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where

$$[n]_q! = [n]_q [n - 1]_q \cdots [1]_q,$$

is q -factorial, with $[0]_q! = 1$. In a recent paper [8], the author prove that the q -Stirling numbers of both kinds can be expressed in terms of the q -binomial coefficients,

$$s_q[n + 1, n + 1 - k] = (1 - q)^{-k} \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^{k-j} q^{\binom{j+1}{2}} \binom{n - j}{k - j} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q,$$

$$S_q[n + 1 + k, n + 1] = (1 - q)^{-k} \sum_{j=0}^k (-q)^j \binom{n + k}{k - j} \begin{bmatrix} n + j \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q,$$

and vice versa

$$q^{\binom{k+1}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = \sum_{j=0}^k (1 - q)^j \binom{n - j}{k - j} s_q[n + 1, n + 1 - j],$$

$$q^k \begin{bmatrix} n + k \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = \sum_{j=0}^k (q - 1)^j \binom{n + k}{k - j} S_q[n + 1 + j, n + 1].$$

The last two identities can be seen as recurrence relations for the q -Stirling numbers and can be rewritten as:

$$q^{\binom{n-k+1}{2}} \left[\begin{matrix} n \\ k \end{matrix} \right]_q = \sum_{j=k}^n (1-q)^{n-j} \binom{j}{k} s_q[n+1, j+1],$$

$$q^{n-k} \left[\begin{matrix} n \\ n-k \end{matrix} \right]_q = \sum_{j=k}^n (q-1)^{j-k} \binom{n}{j} S_q[j+1, k+1].$$

The first identity is a horizontal recurrence relation for the q -Stirling numbers of the first kind. The second is a vertical recurrence relation for the q -Stirling numbers of the second kind.

In this paper, motivated by these identities, we shall prove two recurrence relations for the q -Stirling numbers of both kinds. These relations does not involve q -binomial coefficients.

THEOREM 1.1. *Let k and n be non-negative integers such that $k \leq n$. Then*

$$s_q[n+1, k+1] = \sum_{j=k}^n (-1)^{j-k} q^{n-j} \binom{j}{k} s_q[n, j].$$

THEOREM 1.2. *Let k and n be non-negative integers such that $k \leq n$. Then*

$$S_q[n+1, k+1] = \sum_{j=k}^n q^{n-j} \binom{n}{j} S_q[j, k].$$

Two known recurrence relations involving the classical Stirling numbers of both kinds can be easily derived as the limiting case $q \rightarrow 1$ of these results.

COROLLARY 1.3. *Let k and n be non-negative integers such that $k \leq n$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} 1. \quad \left[\begin{matrix} n+1 \\ k+1 \end{matrix} \right] &= \sum_{j=k}^n \binom{j}{k} \left[\begin{matrix} n \\ j \end{matrix} \right] \\ 2. \quad \left\{ \begin{matrix} n+1 \\ k+1 \end{matrix} \right\} &= \sum_{j=k}^n \binom{n}{j} \left\{ \begin{matrix} j \\ k \end{matrix} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Recall that the unsigned Stirling number of the first kind $\left[\begin{matrix} n \\ k \end{matrix} \right]$ is defined as the number of permutations of n elements with k disjoint cycles. The Stirling numbers of the second kind $\left\{ \begin{matrix} n \\ k \end{matrix} \right\}$ count the number of ways to partition a set of n objects into k non-empty subsets.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

In order to prove this theorem, we consider that the q -Stirling numbers of the first kind are specializations of elementary symmetric functions [5], i.e.,

$$s_q[n+1, n+1-k] = (-1)^k e_k([1]_q, [2]_q, \dots, [n]_q).$$

According to Merca [7, Theorem 1], we can write

$$\begin{aligned} e_k([1]_q, [2]_q, \dots, [n]_q) &= e_k(1, 1+q, 1+q+q^2, \dots, 1+q+\dots+q^{n-1}) \\ &= e_k(1, 1+q, 1+q(1+q), \dots, 1+q(1+q+\dots+q^{n-2})) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{n-j}{k-j} e_j(q, q(1+q), \dots, q(1+q+\dots+q^{n-2})) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^k q^j \binom{n-j}{k-j} e_j(1, 1+q, \dots, 1+q+\dots+q^{n-2}) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^k q^j \binom{n-j}{k-j} e_j([1]_q, [2]_q, \dots, [n-1]_q). \end{aligned}$$

In terms of the q -Stirling numbers of the first kind, this relation can be written as

$$s_q[n+1, n+1-k] = \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^{k-j} q^j \binom{n-j}{k-j} s_q[n, n-j].$$

By this identity, with k replaced by $n-k$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} s_q[n+1, k+1] &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} (-1)^{n-k-j} q^j \binom{n-j}{n-k-j} s_q[n, n-j] \\ &= \sum_{j=k}^n (-1)^{n-j} q^{j-k} \binom{n+k-j}{n-j} s_q[n, n+k-j] \\ &= \sum_{j=k}^n (-1)^{j-k} q^{n-j} \binom{j}{k} s_q[n, j]. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

The proof of this theorem is quite similar to the proof of Theorem 1.1. It is well known that the q -Stirling numbers of the second kind are specializations

of complete homogeneous symmetric functions [5], i.e.,

$$S_q[n + k, n] = h_k([1]_q, [2]_q, \dots, [n]_q).$$

Considering [7, Theorem 1], we have

$$\begin{aligned} & h_k([1]_q, [2]_q, \dots, [n + 1]_q) \\ &= h_k(1, 1 + q, 1 + q + q^2, \dots, 1 + q + \dots + q^n) \\ &= h_k(1, 1 + q, 1 + q(1 + q), \dots, 1 + q(1 + q + \dots + q^{n-1})) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{n+k}{k-j} h_j(q, q(1+q), \dots, q(1+q+\dots+q^{n-1})) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^k q^j \binom{n+k}{k-j} h_j(1, 1+q, \dots, 1+q+\dots+q^{n-1}) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^k q^j \binom{n+k}{k-j} h_j([1]_q, [2]_q, \dots, [n]_q). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we deduce that

$$S_q[n + 1 + k, n + 1] = \sum_{j=0}^k q^j \binom{n+k}{k-j} S_q[n + j, n].$$

By this relation, with n replaced by $n - k$, we obtain

$$S_q[n + 1, n - k + 1] = \sum_{j=0}^k q^j \binom{n}{k-j} S_q[n - k + j, n - k].$$

The proof follows easily replacing k by $n - k$ in the last relation.

4. CONCLUDING REMARKS

A q -analogue of some Stirling identities have been discovered and proved in this paper considering that the q -Stirling numbers are specializations of complete and elementary symmetric functions.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Bennett, K. Dempsey, and B. Sagan, *Partition lattice q -analogs related to q -Stirling numbers*. J. Algebraic Combin. **3** (1994), 261–283.
- [2] L. Carlitz, *On abelian fields*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **35** (1933), 122–136.
- [3] Y. Cai and M. Readdy, *q -Stirling numbers: A new view*. Advances in Applied Math. **86** (2017), 50–80.

- [4] R. Ehrenborg and M. Readdy, *Juggling and applications to q -analogues*. Discrete Math. **157** (1996), 107–125
- [5] T. Ernst, *q -Stirling Numbers, an Umbral Approach*. Advances in Dynamical Systems and Applications **3** (2008), 2, 251–282.
- [6] H. W. Gould, *The q -Stirling numbers of the first and second kinds*. Duke Math. J. **28** (1961), 281–289.
- [7] M. Merca, *A note on the r -Whitney numbers of Dowling lattices*. C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **351** (2013), 649–655.
- [8] M. Merca, *A Note on q -Stirling Numbers*. In: G.V. Milanović and M.Th. Rassias. (Eds.), *Analytic Number Theory, Approximation Theory, and Special Functions*, pp. 239–244, Springer, New-York, 2014.
- [9] S. Milne, *A q -analog of restricted growth functions, Dobinski's equality, and Charlier polynomials*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **245** (1978), 89–118.
- [10] S. Park, *P -partitions and q -Stirling numbers*. J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **68** (1994), 33–52.
- [11] M. Wachs and D. White, *p, q -Stirling numbers and set partition statistics*. J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **56** (1991), 27–46.

*University of Craiova
Department of Mathematics
200585 Craiova, Romania*

and

*Academy of Romanian Scientists
Ilfov 3, Sector 5, Bucharest, Romania*

mircea.merca@profinfo.edu.ro