SPACES OF k-MODIFIED HARMONIC POLYNOMIALS

ELEUTHERIUS SYMEONIDIS

Communicated by Lucian Beznea

The polynomials u on \mathbb{R}^d $(d \ge 2)$ that satisfy the equation $x_d \cdot \Delta u + k \cdot \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_d} = 0$ $(k \in \mathbb{R})$ are called *k-modified harmonic*. In this article, we study the dimension of the space of homogeneous such polynomials of a fixed degree.

AMS 2020 Subject Classification: 35C11, 33E30.

Key words: k-modified harmonic function, k-modified harmonic polynomial, kmodified Kelvin transform.

1. INTRODUCTION AND NOTATIONS

In the 1940s and later, Alexander Weinstein studied the partial differential equation

(1)
$$x_d \cdot \Delta u(x) + k \cdot \frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial x_d} = 0$$

for a function u on a domain in \mathbb{R}^d , where $\Delta = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2} + \dots + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_d^2}$ and $k \in \mathbb{R}$ (see [5]). The u solutions that he obtained were called *generalized axially* symmetric potentials. This term is justified by the following observations.

If a function f on a domain in \mathbb{R}^{2+n} is a solution of the Laplace equation

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_2^2} + \dots + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_{2+n}^2} = 0 \qquad (n \ge 1)$$

and if f is axially symmetric about the x_1 -axis, that is,

$$f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2+n}) = \phi(x_1, \sqrt{x_2^2 + \dots + x_{2+n}^2})$$

with a suitable function ϕ , then ϕ satisfies the equation

$$y \cdot \left[\frac{\partial^2 \phi(x,y)}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \phi(x,y)}{\partial y^2}\right] + n \cdot \frac{\partial \phi(x,y)}{\partial y} = 0$$

(and vice versa). More generally, if f is defined on a domain in \mathbb{R}^{d+n} and

$$f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{d+n}) = u(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{d-1}, \sqrt{x_d^2 + x_{d+1}^2 + \dots + x_{d+n}^2})$$

REV. ROUMAINE MATH. PURES APPL. **69** (2024), *3-4*, 575–583 doi: 10.59277/RRMPA.2024.575.583 with a suitable function u, then f is a solution of the Laplace equation if and only if u satisfies (1) with k = n (see [3], where the reader can also find other results related to our present study).

In this article, following Heinz Leutwiler (see, e.g., [2]), we call the solutions of (1) *k*-modified harmonic functions. This term is justified by the fact that for $d \ge 3$ the operator

$$x_d^{2k/(2-d)} \Big(\Delta + \frac{k}{x_d} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x_d} \Big)$$

turns out to be the Laplace–Beltrami operator for $\mathbb{R}^{d-1}\times(0,\infty)$ with the line-element

$$dl^{2} = x_{d}^{2k/(d-2)} \left(dx_{1}^{2} + dx_{2}^{2} + \dots + dx_{d}^{2} \right)$$

Let $\mathcal{H}_n^{(k)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be the real vector space of all k-modified harmonic functions that are homogeneous polynomials of degree n on \mathbb{R}^d . In what follows, we assume that $d \geq 2$. We denote by \mathbb{N} the set of strictly positive integers and write \mathbb{N}_0 for $\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Let $\mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be the real vector space of all homogeneous polynomials of degree n on \mathbb{R}^d . The monomials

$$x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdot x_2^{\alpha_2} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_d^{\alpha_d}$$

for $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_d \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \cdots + \alpha_d = n$ form a basis of $\mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Its elements may be counted as *n*-combinations with repetitions of x_1, \ldots, x_d , so

(2)
$$\dim \mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{R}^d) = \binom{d+n-1}{n}$$

We now fix n and consider the (well-defined) linear map

$$W_n^{(k)}: \mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{R}^d) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{n-1}(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad W_n^{(k)}(u)(x):= x_d \Delta u(x) + k \cdot \frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial x_d}.$$

For its kernel, it obviously holds that ker $W_n^{(k)} = \mathcal{H}_n^{(k)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. So, if $W_n^{(k)}$ is surjective, then

$$\dim \mathcal{H}_n^{(k)}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \dim \mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{R}^d) - \dim \mathcal{P}_{n-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$$
$$= \binom{d+n-1}{n} - \binom{d+n-2}{n-1} = \binom{d+n-2}{n}.$$

In this article, we investigate the issue of surjectivity of $W_n^{(k)}$ (Section 2) and find out the dimension of $\mathcal{H}_n^{(k)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ in all but a finite number of cases (referring to the parameter k). In Section 4, we also present explicit bases in almost all those cases.

2. THE OPERATOR $W_n^{(k)}$

Let $u \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{R}^d)$, which we write in ascending powers of x_d :

$$u(x_1,...,x_d) = \sum_{j=0}^n x_d^j p_j(x_1,...,x_{d-1}),$$

where $p_j \in \mathcal{P}_{n-j}(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})$. Now, we apply the linear map $W_n^{(k)}$ and write the result in the same order:

$$\begin{split} W_{n}^{(k)}(u) &= x_{d}\Delta u + k \cdot \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{d}} = x_{d}\tilde{\Delta}u + x_{d} \cdot \frac{\partial^{2}u}{\partial x_{d}^{2}} + k \cdot \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{d}} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n} x_{d}^{j+1}\tilde{\Delta}p_{j} + x_{d}\sum_{j=0}^{n} j(j-1)x_{d}^{j-2}p_{j} + k\sum_{j=0}^{n} jx_{d}^{j-1}p_{j} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} x_{d}^{j+1}\tilde{\Delta}p_{j} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} j(j-1+k)x_{d}^{j-1}p_{j} \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^{n} x_{d}^{l}\tilde{\Delta}p_{l-1} + \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} (l+1)(l+k)x_{d}^{l}p_{l+1} \\ &= kp_{1} + \underbrace{x_{d}^{n}\tilde{\Delta}p_{n-1}}_{=0} + \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} x_{d}^{l} \cdot \left[\tilde{\Delta}p_{l-1} + (l+1)(l+k)p_{l+1}\right]. \end{split}$$

 $(\tilde{\Delta} \text{ denotes the Laplacian in the first } d-1 \text{ coordinates.})$

To check if $W_n^{(k)}$ is surjective, we consider several special cases.

Case I.
$$W_n^{(k)}(u)(x) = q(x_1, \dots, x_{d-1}) \in \mathcal{P}_{n-1}(\mathbb{R}^{d-1}), q \neq 0.$$

Firstly, this equation requires $p_1(x_1, \ldots, x_{d-1}) = \frac{q(x_1, \ldots, x_{d-1})}{k}$, which is only possible if $k \neq 0$. Secondly, it is purposive to take $p_m = 0$ for even m, while p_1 determines the rest of the coefficient polynomials step by step:

$$p_{3} = \frac{-\tilde{\Delta}p_{1}}{3(2+k)}, \quad p_{5} = \frac{-\tilde{\Delta}p_{3}}{5(4+k)}, \dots, \quad p_{n} = \frac{-\tilde{\Delta}p_{n-2}}{n(n-1+k)} \text{ if } n \in 2\mathbb{N}_{0} + 1,$$
$$p_{n-1} = \frac{-\tilde{\Delta}p_{n-3}}{(n-1)(n-2+k)} \quad \text{ if } n \in 2\mathbb{N}.$$

Obviously, this is only possible if $k \notin \{-2, -4, \dots, -2\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor\}$.

Case II. $W_n^{(k)}(u)(x) = x_d^{n-1}.$

a. Let n be even.

Here, it is purposive to take $p_m = 0$ for odd m, whereas for the rest, for example $p_n = 0$, $p_{n-2} = \frac{x_1^2}{2}$, and

$$p_{n-2m}(x) = \frac{(n-2)\cdots(n-2m+2)\cdot(k+n-3)\cdots(k+n-2m+1)}{(-1)^{m-1}(2m)!}x_1^{2m}$$

for $2 \le m \le \frac{n}{2}$.

b. Let n be odd.

Since

$$W_n^{(k)}(ax_d^n) = x_d \cdot an(n-1)x_d^{n-2} + k \cdot anx_d^{n-1} = an(n-1+k)x_d^{n-1},$$

we just have to set $a = \frac{1}{n(n-1+k)}$ if $k \neq 1-n$. If k = 1-n, it is purposive to take $p_m = 0$ for even m, while $p_1 = 0$ is obviously necessary (unless n = 1, in which case, we have k = 0, violating the restriction in Case I). But then, step by step, we have to take $p_3 = 0, \ldots, p_{n-2} = 0$, and then the coefficient of x_d^{n-1} in $W_n^{(k)}(u)$ vanishes too. Therefore, this case is only possible if $k \neq 1-n$.

Case III. $W_n^{(k)}(u)(x) = x_d^l q(x_1, \dots, x_{d-1}) \neq 0, \ q \in \mathcal{P}_{n-1-l}(\mathbb{R}^{d-1}), \text{ for } 1 \leq l \leq n-2.$

a. Let l be odd.

Here, it is purposive to take $p_m = 0$ for odd m as well as for all $m \ge l+1$, p_{l-1} such that $\tilde{\Delta}p_{l-1} = q$, and inductively, p_{l-2j-1} such that

$$\tilde{\Delta}p_{l-2j-1} = -(l-2j+1)(l-2j+k)p_{l-2j+1}, \quad 1 \le j \le \frac{l-1}{2}$$

This is possible, because the so-called Poisson equation with a polynomial right side has a polynomial solution (see [1]).

b. Let l be even.

Here, it is purposive to take $p_m = 0$ for even m as well as for all $m \leq l-1$,

$$p_{l+1} = \frac{q}{(l+1)(l+k)},$$

and the rest of p_m with odd m appropriately. Obviously, this is only possible if $k \neq -m$ for any even $m, l \leq m \leq n-1$.

The investigation of these three special cases has proven the following.

THEOREM 2.1. The operator $W_n^{(k)} : \mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{R}^d) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{n-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $W_n^{(k)}(u)(x) = x_d \cdot \Delta u(x) + k \cdot \frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial x_d},$

is surjective if and only if $-k \notin 2\mathbb{N}_0 \cap [0, n-1]$. In this case,

$$\dim \mathcal{H}_n^{(k)}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \binom{d+n-2}{n}$$

3. THE EXCEPTIONAL VALUE k = 0

If k = 0, Case III in the previous section remains possible, likewise Case II unless n = 1 (then it coincides with Case I), but Case I does not. So, the image of $W_n^{(0)}$ is the space of those homogeneous polynomials in $\mathcal{P}_{n-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ that are multiples of x_d . This space is clearly isomorphic to $\mathcal{P}_{n-2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, so

$$\dim \mathcal{H}_{n}^{(0)}(\mathbb{R}^{d}) = \binom{d+n-1}{n} - \binom{d+n-3}{n-2} = \binom{d+n-2}{n} + \binom{d+n-3}{n-1}.$$

4. A BASIS OF $\mathcal{H}_n^{(k)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$

In this section, we determine a basis of the space $\mathcal{H}_n^{(k)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, as defined in the introduction, for all but a finite number of values of k.

If u is a k-modified harmonic function, then the functions $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1}, \ldots, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{d-1}}$ are obviously k-modified harmonic too. Furthermore, if u is k-modified harmonic, then so is its k-modified Kelvin transform,

$$K[u](x_1,\ldots,x_d) := r^{2-k-d} \cdot u\left(\frac{x_1}{r^2},\ldots,\frac{x_d}{r^2}\right),$$

where $r := \sqrt{x_1^2 + \dots + x_d^2}$. This can be verified by an elementary, but lengthy computation.

Now, since $u(x_1, \ldots, x_d) := r^{2-k-d}$ is k-modified harmonic (being the k-modified Kelvin transform of 1), so are its partial derivatives

$$u_{\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_{d-1}} := \frac{\partial^n u}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1}\cdots\partial x_{d-1}^{\alpha_{d-1}}}$$

for $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{d-1} \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{d-1} = n$, as well as their k-modified Kelvin transforms

(3)
$$v_{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{d-1}}(x_1, \dots, x_d) := K[u_{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{d-1}}](x_1, \dots, x_d)$$
$$= r^{2-k-d} u_{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{d-1}}\left(\frac{x_1}{r^2}, \dots, \frac{x_d}{r^2}\right) = r^{2n+d+k-2} u_{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{d-1}}(x_1, \dots, x_d),$$

since $u_{\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_{d-1}}$ is homogeneous of degree 2-k-d-n (in fact, r^{2-k-d} is homogeneous of degree 2-k-d, and every partial derivative reduces the degree of homogeneity by 1). Inductively, it follows that $u_{\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_{d-1}}$ has the form $r^{2-k-d-2n} \cdot P$ with a polynomial P, so the functions $v_{\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_{d-1}}$ are polynomials, in fact homogeneous polynomials of degree $n: v_{\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_{d-1}} \in \mathcal{H}_n^{(k)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

The number of the tuples $(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{d-1}) \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{d-1} = n$ is $\binom{d+n-2}{n}$ (cf. (2)). Considering the last equation in the introduction, the question arises whether the $\binom{d+n-2}{n}$ polynomials $v_{\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_{d-1}} \in \mathcal{H}_n^{(k)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ form a basis of $\mathcal{H}_n^{(k)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. According to Theorem 2.1, a necessary condition for this is $-k \notin 2\mathbb{N}_0 \cap [0, n-1]$.

Before we take a closer look at the polynomials $v_{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{d-1}}$, we give a list of them for $\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{d-1} \leq 3$, from which it already can be seen that by no means they form a basis in every case.

 $v_{0\dots010\dots0} = (2-k-d)x_i$ (the index 1 is at the *i*-th position)

 $v_{0...020...0} = (2-k-d)[r^2-(k+d)x_i^2]$ (the index 2 is at the *i*-th position) $v_{0...010...010...0} = (k+d-2)(k+d)x_ix_j$ (the indices 1 are at the positions *i* and *j*)

 $v_{0...030...0} = (k+d-2)(k+d)x_i[3r^2 - (k+d+2)x_i^2]$ (the index 3 is at the *i*-th position)

 $v_{0\dots 020\dots 010\dots 0} = (k+d-2)(k+d)x_j[r^2-(k+d+2)x_i^2]$ (2 is at the *i*-th, 1 at the *j*-th position)

 $v_{0\dots 010\dots 010\dots 010\dots 0} = (2-k-d)(k+d)(k+d+2)x_ix_jx_l$ (the indices 1 are at the positions i, j, l).

At this point, we introduce a notation, which facilitates the further study of the polynomials $v_{\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_{d-1}}$. We set $X_1 := x_1^2, \ldots, X_d := x_d^2$ and relate every function $f(X_1, \ldots, X_d)$ to the function

$$g(x_1, \dots, x_d) := f(x_1^2, \dots, x_d^2) = f(X_1, \dots, X_d) \big|_{X_1 = x_1^2, \dots, X_d = x_d^2}$$

Here and in the sequel, we assume that $x_1, \ldots, x_d \ge 0$. This correspondence leads to relations between the partial derivatives of f and g:

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i}(x_1, \dots, x_d) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial X_i}(X_1, \dots, X_d) \Big|_{X_1 = x_1^2, \dots, X_d = x_d^2} \cdot 2x_i$$
$$= \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial X_i}(X_1, \dots, X_d) \cdot 2\sqrt{X_i}\right] \Big|_{X_1 = x_1^2, \dots, X_d = x_d^2}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq d$, which we express in the shorter form

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i} = 2\sqrt{X_i} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial X_i} \,.$$

 $v_{0...0} = 1$

Under this convention, it further holds for $i, j \in \{1, ..., d\}$:

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x_i^2} &= 2 \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial X_i} + 4X_i \cdot \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial X_i^2} , \qquad \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} = 4\sqrt{X_i X_j} \cdot \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial X_i \partial X_j} , \\ &\qquad \qquad \frac{\partial^3 g}{\partial x_i^3} = 12\sqrt{X_i} \cdot \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial X_i^2} + 8X_i \sqrt{X_i} \cdot \frac{\partial^3 f}{\partial X_i^3} , \\ &\qquad \qquad \frac{\partial^4 g}{\partial x_i^4} = 12 \cdot \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial X_i^2} + 48X_i \cdot \frac{\partial^3 f}{\partial X_i^3} + 16X_i^2 \cdot \frac{\partial^4 f}{\partial X_i^4} , \\ &\qquad \qquad \frac{\partial^5 g}{\partial x_i^5} = 120X_i^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \frac{\partial^3 f}{\partial X_i^3} + 160X_i^{\frac{3}{2}} \cdot \frac{\partial^4 f}{\partial X_i^4} + 32X_i^{\frac{5}{2}} \cdot \frac{\partial^5 f}{\partial X_i^5} , \quad \text{etc.} \end{split}$$

The next three lemmas are crucial.

LEMMA 4.1. 1. For $\alpha \in 2\mathbb{N}_0$ and $i \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$ it holds:

$$\frac{\partial^{\alpha}g}{\partial x_{i}^{\alpha}} = \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} c_{i,\alpha,j} X_{i}^{j} \cdot \frac{\partial^{\frac{\alpha}{2}+j}f}{\partial X_{i}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}+j}}$$

with certain $c_{i,\alpha,j} \in \mathbb{N}$ (not null).

2. For $\alpha \in 2\mathbb{N}_0 + 1$ and $i \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$ it holds:

$$\frac{\partial^{\alpha}g}{\partial x_{i}^{\alpha}} = \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}} c_{i,\alpha,j+\frac{1}{2}} X_{i}^{j+\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \frac{\partial^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}+j}f}{\partial X_{i}^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}+j}}$$

with certain $c_{i,\alpha,j+\frac{1}{2}} \in \mathbb{N}$ (not null).

For a proof, the reader is referred to [4].

LEMMA 4.2. The functions $X_1^{i_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot X_{d-1}^{i_{d-1}}$, where i_1, \ldots, i_{d-1} run through $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, are linearly independent.

Proof. After the substitution $X_1 = x_1^2, \ldots, X_{d-1} = x_{d-1}^2$, these functions become the monomials $x_1^{2i_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{d-1}^{2i_{d-1}}$, which are linearly independent. \Box

LEMMA 4.3. For a function of the form $f(X_1, \ldots, X_d) = \frac{1}{(X_1 + \cdots + X_d)^{\tau}}$ it holds:

$$\frac{\partial^l f}{\partial X_1^l} = \dots = \frac{\partial^l f}{\partial X_d^l} = \frac{(-1)^l \cdot (\tau)_l}{(X_1 + \dots + X_d)^{\tau+l}},$$

where $(\tau)_l := \tau(\tau+1) \dots (\tau+l-1)$ is the Pochhammer symbol.

Proof. The claim follows easily by induction. \Box

For the function in the last lemma, it follows that

(4)
$$\frac{\partial^{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_{d-1}} f(X_1, \dots, X_d)}{\partial X_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \partial X_{d-1}^{\alpha_{d-1}}} = \frac{(-1)^{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_{d-1}} (\tau)_{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_{d-1}}}{(X_1 + \dots + X_d)^{\tau + \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_{d-1}}}.$$

To resume the study of the polynomials $v_{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{d-1}}$ we set $\tau = \frac{k+d}{2} - 1$. Since $f(X_1, \ldots, X_d)|_{X_1 = x_1^2, \ldots, X_d = x_d^2} = r^{-2\tau} = r^{2-k-d}$ for $r = \sqrt{x_1^2 + \cdots + x_d^2}$, the question is whether the functions

$$\frac{\partial^n}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1}\cdots \partial x_{d-1}^{\alpha_{d-1}}} \Big[f(X_1,\ldots,X_d)|_{X_1=x_1^2,\ldots,X_d=x_d^2} \Big] = \frac{v_{\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_{d-1}}(x_1,\ldots,x_d)}{r^{2n+d+k-2}}$$

for $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{d-1} \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{d-1} = n$ (see (3)), are linearly independent.

The following reasoning is similar with that in the last part of [4], there formulated for the case k = d - 2.

By reductio ad absurdum, we assume that there exists a linear combination

$$\sum_{\substack{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_{d-1} = n \\ \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{d-1} \ge 0}} C_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{d-1}} \cdot \frac{\partial^n}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \partial x_{d-1}^{\alpha_{d-1}}} \Big[f(X_1, \dots, X_d) |_{X_1 = x_1^2, \dots, X_d = x_d^2} \Big] = 0,$$

where not all $C_{\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_{d-1}}$ vanish.

Let $\widehat{\alpha_1}$ be the highest value of α_1 such that $C_{\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_{d-1}} \neq 0$ for certain $\alpha_2,\dots,\alpha_{d-1}$. Let $\widehat{\alpha_2}$ be the highest value of α_2 such that $C_{\widehat{\alpha_1},\alpha_2,\alpha_3,\dots,\alpha_{d-1}} \neq 0$ for certain $\alpha_3,\dots,\alpha_{d-1}$. Continuing inductively, let eventually $\widehat{\alpha_{d-2}}$ be the highest value of α_{d-2} for which $C_{\widehat{\alpha_1},\widehat{\alpha_2},\dots,\widehat{\alpha_{d-3}},\alpha_{d-2},\alpha_{d-1}} \neq 0$ for a certain α_{d-1} . Obviously, there is only one such value of α_{d-1} , namely

$$\widehat{\alpha_{d-1}} := n - \widehat{\alpha_1} - \widehat{\alpha_2} - \dots - \widehat{\alpha_{d-2}}^1.$$

According to Lemma 4.1, the term of the highest order monomial $X_1^{j_1} \cdots X_{d-1}^{j_{d-1}}$ in $\frac{\partial^n}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \partial x_{d-1}^{\alpha_{d-1}}} [f(X_1, \dots, X_d)|_{X_1 = x_1^2, \dots, X_d = x_d^2}]$ is

$$c_{1,\alpha_1,\frac{\alpha_1}{2}}\cdot\ldots\cdot c_{d-1,\alpha_{d-1},\frac{\alpha_{d-1}}{2}}\cdot X_1^{\frac{\alpha_1}{2}}\cdots X_{d-1}^{\frac{\alpha_{d-1}}{2}}\cdot \frac{\partial^{\alpha_1+\cdots+\alpha_{d-1}}f(X_1,\ldots,X_d)}{\partial X_1^{\alpha_1}\cdots\partial X_{d-1}^{\alpha_{d-1}}}$$

Therefore, after setting $X_d = 1 - X_1 - \dots - X_{d-1}$, the product $X_1^{\frac{\widehat{\alpha_1}}{2}} \cdots X_{d-1}^{\frac{\widehat{\alpha_{d-1}}}{2}}$ appears only once in (5), and its coefficient is, according to (4),

$$C_{\widehat{\alpha_1},\ldots,\widehat{\alpha_{d-1}}} \cdot c_{1,\widehat{\alpha_1},\frac{\widehat{\alpha_1}}{2}} \cdot \ldots \cdot c_{d-1,\widehat{\alpha_{d-1}},\frac{\widehat{\alpha_{d-1}}}{2}} \cdot (-1)^n (\tau)_n,$$

¹In fact, $C_{\widehat{\alpha_1},\ldots,\widehat{\alpha_{d-1}}}$ is the last non-null coefficient with respect to the following lexicographic ordering of (d-1)-tuples (considered in ascending order): $(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_{d-1}) < (\alpha'_1,\ldots,\alpha'_{d-1})$ if and only if there exists $l \in \{1,\ldots,d-1\}$ such that $\alpha_i = \alpha'_i$ for i < l, and $\alpha_l < \alpha'_l$.

which does not vanish unless $-\tau \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cap [0, n-1]$, a fact that would contradict Lemma 4.2.

At this point, we have established the following fact.

THEOREM 4.4. If $-k \notin d-2+2(\mathbb{N}_0 \cap [0, n-1])$, the polynomials $v_{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{d-1}}$, $\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{d-1} = n$ are linearly independent. If additionally $-k \notin 2\mathbb{N}_0 \cap [0, n-1]$, they form a basis of $\mathcal{H}_n^{(k)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

The treatment in the case of the exceptional values of k seems to be a difficult issue.

REFERENCES

- O. Algazin, Polynomial solutions of the boundary value problems for the Poisson equation in a layer. Preprint, 2017, arXiv:1710.05716v1.
- [2] H. Leutwiler, More on modified spherical harmonics. Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebr. 29 (2019), 4, article no. 70.
- [3] H. Leutwiler, Contributions to modified spherical harmonics in four dimensions. Complex Anal. Oper. Theory 14 (2020), 7, article no. 67.
- [4] E. Symeonidis, On the space of homogeneous modified harmonic polynomials in higher dimensions. Math. Rep. (Bucur.) 26(76) (2024), 2, 115–120.
- [5] A. Weinstein, Generalized axially symmetric potential theory. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 59 (1953), 20–38.

Katholische Universität Eichstätt-Ingolstadt, Mathematisch-Geographische Fakultät, 85071 Eichstätt, Germany e.symeonidis@ku.de