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## 1 Introduction

In a previous paper [23], a way to extend the study of finite multivariate prediction problem to infinite-variate case was presented, also the way how the difficulties to formulate the prediction problems in infinite-variate case was circumvented (see [10]). For stationary processes a complete analysis was done and a Wiener filter for prediction was given, based on a factorization theorem which extends the classical Lowdenslager-Sz.-Nagy-Foias factorization theorem. Also a generalized Wold decomposition was used. The main tool which permited an operatorial setting of the problem was an algebraic embedding of an arbitrary right $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$-module $\mathcal{H}$, which is the state space, into $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{K})$, where, as usually by $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$ was denoted the $C^{*}$-algebra of linear bounded operators on the complex separable Hilbert space $\mathcal{E}$, and by $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{K})$ the set of all linear bounded operators between the Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{K}$. This paper continues the presentation, extended for some nonstationary cases, especially for the periodically corelated case.

For the beginning, let us remember some necessary preliminaries. By an action of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$ on $\mathcal{H}$ we mean the map $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}) \times \mathcal{H}$ into $\mathcal{H}$ given by $A h:=h A$ in the sense of the right $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$-module $\mathcal{H}$. We are writting $A h$ instead of $h A$ to respect the classical notations from the scalar case. A correlation of the action of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$ on $\mathcal{H}$ is a map $\Gamma$ from $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$ into $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$ having the properties:
(i) $\Gamma[h, h] \geq 0$, and $\Gamma[h, h]=0$ implies $h=0$;
(ii) $\Gamma[h, g]^{*}=\Gamma[g, h]$;
(iii) $\Gamma[h, A g]=\Gamma[h, g] A$.

In many proofs it is very useful the formula

$$
\Gamma\left[\sum_{i} A_{i} h_{i}, \sum_{j} B_{j} g_{j}\right]=\sum_{i, j} A_{i}^{*} \Gamma\left[h_{i}, g_{j}\right] B_{j}
$$

obtained by (ii) and (iii) for finite sums of actions of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$ on $\mathcal{H}$.
A triplet $\{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{H}, \Gamma\}$ defined as above was called a correlated action of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$ on $\mathcal{H}$.
By the fact that generally in $\mathcal{H}$ we have no topology, the prediction subsets, such as past and present, future, etc., can not be seen as closed subspaces, therefore the powerful tool of the usual orthogonal projection can not be directly used.

[^0]An example of correlated action can be constructed as follows. Take as the right $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$ module $\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{K})$ - the space of the linear bounded operators from $\mathcal{E}$ into $\mathcal{K}$, where $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{K}$ are Hilbert spaces. An action of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$ on $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{K})$ is given if we consider $A V:=V A$ for each $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$ and $V \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{K})$. It is easy to see that $\Gamma\left[V_{1}, V_{2}\right]=V_{1}^{*} V_{2}$ is a correlation of the action of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$ on $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{K})$, and the triplet $\{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{K}), \Gamma\}$ is a correlated action (the operator model). It was proved [14] that any abstract correlated action $\{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{H}, \Gamma\}$ can be embedded into the operator model. Namely, there exists an algebraic embedding $h \rightarrow V_{h}$ of $\mathcal{H}$ into $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{K})$, where $\mathcal{K}$ is obtained as the Aronsjain reproducing kernel Hilbert space given by a positive definite kernel obtained from the correlation $\Gamma$. The generators of $\mathcal{K}$ are elements of the form $\gamma_{(a, h)}: \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, where $\gamma_{(a, h)}(b, g)=\langle\Gamma[g, h] a, b\rangle_{\mathcal{E}}$ and the embedding $h \rightarrow V_{h}$ is given by $V_{h} a=\gamma_{(a, b)}$.

Due to such an embedding of any correlated action $\{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{H}, \Gamma\}$ into the operator model, prediction problems can be formulated and solved using operator techniques. In the particular case when the embedding $h \rightarrow V_{h}$ is onto, the correlated action $\{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{H}, \Gamma\}$ is caled a complete correlated action.

In the following the Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}$ uniquely attached to the correlated action $\{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{H}, \Gamma\}$ will be called the measuring space of the correlated action. The name is justified by the fact that having a state $h$ in the state space $\mathcal{H}$, what we can measure is the element $V_{h} a$ from the Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}$. In prediction problems we are inerested in measuring the closeness between two states, and this fact is not possible to be directely made in the state space $\mathcal{H}$ which is only a right $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$-module, but it is possible to be done in the measuring space $\mathcal{K}$, and must be interpreted in $\mathcal{H}$. So, we need to have the possibility to "interpret" each element from $\mathcal{K}$ in terms of the state space $\mathcal{H}$. This fact implies a completeness condition imposed to the algebraic imbedding of $\mathcal{H}$ into $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{K})$. In this paper most of properties are analysed in the complete correlated case.

## 2 Periodically $\Gamma$-correlated processes

In the previous part of this study stationary processes was considered. Here the non-stationary case of a periodically $\Gamma$-correlated process is presented and a linear filter for prediction is obtained. To do this, some usefull tools from the stationary prediction, as the imbedding in an operatorial model, or an appropriate "orthogonal" projection, must be extended to the $T$-variate case.

A process $\left(f_{t}\right)$ from the right $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$-module $\mathcal{H}$ is periodically $\Gamma$-correlated if there exists a positive $T$ such that $\Gamma\left[f_{s+T}, f_{t+T}\right]=\Gamma\left[f_{s}, f_{t}\right]$. In order to make a study of such a process, firstly the cartesian product of T copies of the right $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$-module $\mathcal{H}$ is considered

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}^{T}=\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{H} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

An element X of $\mathcal{H}^{T}$ will be seen as a line vector $\left(h_{1}, \ldots, h_{T}\right)$. On $\mathcal{H}^{T}$ it is possible to have the action of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$ on the components, with the same operator $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$, or on each component with a different $A_{i} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$. Also we can consider the action of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})^{T \times T}$ on $\mathcal{H}^{T}$, taking for each matrix $A=\left(A_{i j}\right)_{i, j=1}^{T}$ from $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})^{T \times T}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left(h_{1}, \ldots, h_{T}\right):=\left(h_{1}, \ldots, h_{T}\right) A \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the sense of the right module. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{H}^{T}$ is an $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})^{T \times T}$-right module and the action of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$ on $\mathcal{H}^{T}$ is a particular case of the action of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})^{T \times T}$ on $\mathcal{H}^{T}$, taking the particular case of diagonal matrices with the same operator, or different operators on the diagonal.

Having the action of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})^{T \times T}$ on $\mathcal{H}^{T}$, various correlations of this action can be constructed. For our goal we are interested in the following two operatorial correlations on $\mathcal{H}^{T}$, namely:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{1}[X, Y]=\sum_{k=0}^{T-1} \Gamma\left[x_{k}, y_{k}\right] \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X=\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots x_{T-1}\right), \quad Y=\left(y_{0}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{T-1}\right)$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{T}[X, Y]=\left(\Gamma\left[x_{i}, y_{j}\right]\right)_{i, j \in\{0,1, \ldots, T-1\}} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking account of (2.2) it is easy to verify the properties (i)-(iii) of a correlation of the action of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$, respectively of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})^{T \times T}$, for $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{T}$.

So, starting with the correlated action $\{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{H}, \Gamma\}$ of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$ on $\mathcal{H}$, we obtain the correlated actions $\left\{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{H}^{T}, \Gamma_{1}\right\}$ and $\left\{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{H}^{T}, \Gamma_{T}\right\}$ of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$, respectively $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})^{T \times T}$, on $\mathcal{H}^{T}$. As a remark, the correlation $\Gamma_{1}$ is the trace of the matrix given by the correlation $\Gamma_{T}$.

Another $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})^{T \times T}$-right module which will be considered in the study of periodically correlated processes will be $\left(\mathcal{H}^{T}\right)^{T}$ with an appropriate correlation of the action of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})^{T \times T}$.

If we consider an arbitrary process $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ in $\mathcal{H}^{T}$, the attached prediction submodules have the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{n}^{X}=\left\{\sum_{k} A_{k} X_{k} ; \quad A_{k} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})^{T \times T}, \quad k \leq n\right\} \quad \text { ( the past), } \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{H}_{n}^{X}=\left\{\sum_{k} A_{k} X_{k} ; \quad A_{k} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})^{T \times T}, \quad k>n\right\} \quad \text { (the future) } \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{\infty}^{X}=\left\{\sum_{k} A_{k} X_{k} ; \quad A_{k} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})^{T \times T}\right\} \quad \text { (space of the process) } \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

To a periodically $\Gamma$-correlated process $\left\{f_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ from $\mathcal{H}$ we can attach at least two types of stationary processes in $\mathcal{H}^{T}$ as follows:

1) taking sequences of consecutive T terms starting with $f_{n}$, namely the line vector

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{n}=\left(f_{n}, f_{n+1}, \ldots, f_{n+T-1}\right) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

or
2) taking consecutive blocks of length T

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{n}^{T}=\left(f_{n T}, f_{n T+1}, \ldots, f_{n T+T-1}\right) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see that $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{X_{n}^{T}\right\}$ are respectively $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{T}$ stationary processes in $\mathcal{H}^{T}$. From prediction point of view and the study of the periodically $\Gamma$-correlated process $\left\{f_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ from $\mathcal{H}$, the $\Gamma_{1}$-correlation of $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ and $\Gamma_{T}$-correlation of $\left\{X_{n}^{T}\right\}$ are equivalent, as can be seen from the following

Proposition 2.1. Let $\left\{f_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ from $\mathcal{H}$, an integer $T \geq 2$ and $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$, $\left\{X_{n}^{T}\right\}$ defined by (2.9) and (2.10). The following are equivalent:
(i) $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ is periodically $\Gamma$-correlated in $\mathcal{H}$, with the period $T$.
(ii) $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ is stationary $\Gamma_{1}$-correlated in $\mathcal{H}^{T}$.
(iii) $\left\{X_{n}^{T}\right\}$ is stationary $\Gamma_{T}$-correlated in $\mathcal{H}^{T}$.

Proof. $(i) \Rightarrow$ (ii). Having $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ periodically $\Gamma$-correlated, i.e., $\Gamma\left[f_{n}, f_{m}\right]=\Gamma\left[f_{n+T}, f_{m+T}\right]$, it follows that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Gamma_{1}\left[X_{n}, X_{m}\right]=\sum_{k=0}^{T-1} \Gamma\left[f_{n+k}, f_{m+k}\right]=\Gamma\left[f_{n}, f_{m}\right]+\sum_{k=1}^{T-1} \Gamma\left[f_{n+k}, f_{m+k}\right]= \\
=\Gamma\left[f_{n+T}, f_{m+T}\right]+\sum_{k=1}^{T-1} \Gamma\left[f_{n+k}, f_{m+k}\right]=\sum_{k=1}^{T} \Gamma\left[f_{n+k}, f_{m+k}\right]= \\
=\sum_{j=0}^{T-1} \Gamma\left[f_{(n+1)+j}, f_{(m+1)+j}\right]=\Gamma_{1}\left[X_{n+1}, X_{m+1}\right] .
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is stationary $\Gamma_{1}$-correlated in $\mathcal{H}^{T}$.
Conversely, $(i i) \Rightarrow(i)$. The process $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ being stationary $\Gamma_{1}$-correlated in $\mathcal{H}^{T}$ we have succesively:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Gamma_{1}\left[X_{n+1}, X_{m+1}\right]=\Gamma_{1}\left[f_{n}, f_{m}\right] \\
& \sum_{k=0}^{T-1} \Gamma\left[f_{n+1+k}, f_{m+1+k}\right]=\sum_{k=0}^{T-1} \Gamma\left[f_{n+k}, f_{m+k}\right] \\
& \sum_{j=1}^{T} \Gamma\left[f_{n+j}, f_{m+j}\right]=\sum_{k=0}^{T-1} \Gamma\left[f_{n+k}, f_{m+k}\right] \\
& \sum_{j=1}^{T-1} \Gamma\left[f_{n+j}, f_{m+j}\right]+\Gamma\left[f_{n+T}, f_{m+T}\right]=\Gamma\left[f_{n}, f_{m}\right]+\sum_{k=1}^{T-1} \Gamma\left[f_{n+k}, f_{m+k}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that

$$
\Gamma\left[f_{n+T}, f_{m+T}\right]=\Gamma\left[f_{n}, f_{m}\right]
$$

i.e., $\left\{f_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is periodically $\Gamma$-correlated in $\mathcal{H}$.
$(i) \Rightarrow(i i i)$. Taking account that $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ from $\mathcal{H}$ is periodically $\Gamma$-correlated with the period T , we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Gamma_{T}\left[X_{n}^{T}, X_{m}^{T}\right]=\left(\Gamma\left[f_{n T+i}, f_{m T+j}\right]\right)_{i, j \in\{0,1, \ldots, T-1\}}= \\
& =\left(\Gamma\left[f_{n T+i+T}, f_{m T+j+T}\right]\right)_{i, j}=\left(\Gamma\left[f_{(n+1) T+i}, f_{(m+1) T+j}\right]\right)_{i, j}= \\
& =\Gamma_{T}\left[X_{n+1}^{T}, X_{m+1}^{T}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\left\{X_{n}^{T}\right\}$ is stationary $\Gamma_{T}$-correlated in $\mathcal{H}^{T}$.
(iii) $\Rightarrow(i)$. If $\left\{X_{n}^{T}\right\}$ is stationary $\Gamma_{T}$-correlated in $\mathcal{H}^{T}$, then for each $n, m$ in $\mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$
\Gamma_{T}\left[X_{n}^{T}, X_{m}^{T}\right]=\Gamma_{T}\left[X_{n+1}^{T}, X_{m+1}^{T}\right]
$$

i.e., the matrix equality

$$
\left(\Gamma\left[f_{n T+i}, f_{m T+j}\right]\right)_{0 \leq i, j \leq T-1}=\left(\Gamma\left[f_{(n+1) T+i}, f_{(m+1) T+j}\right]\right)_{0 \leq i, j \leq T-1} .
$$

It follows that for each $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $0 \leq i, j \leq T-1$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma\left[f_{n T+i}, f_{m T+j}\right]=\Gamma\left[f_{n T+i+T}, f_{m T+j+T}\right] \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking first $n=m=0$ in (2.11) obtain that for $0 \leq i, j \leq T-1$

$$
\Gamma\left[f_{i}, f_{j}\right]=\Gamma\left[f_{i+T}, f_{j+T}\right]
$$

Then for various other combinations of n and m , denotting $n T+i=p \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $m T+j=q \in \mathbb{Z}$, it follows that for each $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$
\Gamma\left[f_{i}, f_{j}\right]=\Gamma\left[f_{i+T}, f_{j+T}\right]
$$

i.e., the process $\left\{f_{n}\right\} \in \mathcal{H}$ is periodically $\Gamma$-correlated.

For the study of the attached line vectors stationary processes from $\mathcal{H}^{T}$, the corresponding operator model is necessary.

Proposition 2.2. There exists a unique (up to a unitary equivalence) imbedding $X \rightarrow W_{X}$ of $\mathcal{H}^{T}$ into $\mathcal{L}\left(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{K}^{T}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{1}[X, Y]=W_{X}^{*} W_{Y}=\sum_{i=1}^{T} V_{x_{i}}^{*} V_{y_{i}} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{T}\right), Y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{T}\right)$.
The subset $\left\{W_{X} a ; X \in \mathcal{H}^{T}, a \in \mathcal{E}\right\}$ is dense in $\mathcal{K}^{T}$.
Proof. Taking account of the imbedding $h \rightarrow V_{h}$ of $\mathcal{H}$ into $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{K})$ given by $V_{h} a=\gamma(a, h)$ and $\Gamma\left[h_{1}, h_{2}\right]=V_{h_{1}}^{*} V h_{2}$, if we take

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{X}=\left(V_{x_{1}}, V_{x_{2}}, \ldots, V_{x_{T}}\right) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

then for $a, b \in \mathcal{E}$ we have $W_{X} a=\left(\gamma_{\left(a, x_{1}\right)}, \ldots, \gamma_{\left(a, x_{T}\right)}\right)$ and

$$
\left(\Gamma_{1}[X, Y] a, b\right)_{\varepsilon}=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{T} \Gamma\left[x_{i}, y_{i}\right] a, b\right)_{\varepsilon}=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{T} V_{x_{i}}^{*} V_{y_{i}} a, b\right)_{\varepsilon}
$$

By the fact that the usual scalar product on $\mathcal{K}^{T}$ is the sum of scalar products on components, it follows that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(W_{X}^{*} W_{Y} a, b\right)_{\varepsilon}=\left(W_{Y} a, W_{X} b\right)_{\mathcal{K}^{T}}=\sum_{i=1}^{T}\left(\gamma_{\left(a, y_{i}\right)}, \gamma_{\left(b, x_{i}\right)}\right)_{\mathcal{K}}= \\
=\sum_{i=1}^{T}\left(V_{y_{i}} a, V_{x_{i}} b\right)_{\mathcal{K}}=\sum_{i=1}^{T}\left(V_{x_{i}}^{*} V_{y_{i}} a, b\right)_{\varepsilon}
\end{gathered}
$$

and (2.12) is proved. Also,

$$
\left\|W_{X} a\right\|_{\mathcal{K}^{T}}^{2}=\left(W_{X} a, W_{X} a\right)_{\mathscr{K}^{T}}=\sum_{i=1}^{T}\left(V_{x_{i}}^{*} V_{x_{i}} a, a\right)_{\varepsilon} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{T}\left\|V_{x_{i}}\right\|^{2} \cdot\|a\|,
$$

and $W_{X}$ is a linear bounded operator from $\mathcal{E}$ into $\mathcal{K}^{T}$.
If we consider another imbedding $W^{\prime}$ of $\mathcal{H}^{T}$ into $\mathcal{L}\left(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{K}^{T}\right)$ having the property (2.12), then, if we take $\Phi: \mathcal{K}^{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^{T}$ given by

$$
\Phi W_{X}^{\prime} a=W_{X} a,
$$

we have

$$
\left\|\Phi W_{X}^{\prime}\right\|_{\mathcal{K}^{T}}^{2}=\left\|W_{X} a\right\|_{\mathcal{K}^{T}}^{2}=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{T} V_{x_{i}}^{*} V_{x_{i}} a, a\right)_{\varepsilon}=\left\|W_{X}^{\prime} a\right\|^{2}
$$

then for $a, b \in \mathcal{E}, W_{X} a=\left(\gamma_{\left(a, x_{1}\right)}, \ldots, \gamma_{\left(a, x_{T}\right)}\right)$ and

$$
\left(\Gamma_{1}[X, Y] a, b\right)_{\varepsilon}=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{T} \Gamma\left[x_{i}, y_{i}\right] a, b\right)_{\varepsilon}=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{T} V_{x_{i}}^{*} V_{y_{i}} a, b\right)_{\varepsilon}
$$

Also,

$$
\left\|W_{X} a\right\|_{\mathcal{K}^{T}}^{2}=\left(W_{X} a, W_{X} a\right)_{\mathscr{K}^{T}},
$$

i.e., $\Phi$ is a unitary operator on $\mathcal{K}^{T}$. So, the imbedding $X \rightarrow W_{X}$ of $\mathcal{H}^{T}$ into $\mathcal{L}\left(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{K}^{T}\right)$ is unique (up to a unitary equivalence).

Now we are able to introduce an appropriate shift for the periodically $\Gamma_{T}$-correlated process $\left\{X_{n}^{T}\right\}$. As we have seen, to each periodically correlated process $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ from $\mathcal{H}$ we can attach its T-shift, a unitary operator $U_{f}$ on $\mathcal{K}_{\infty}^{f}$ such that $U_{f} V_{f_{n}}=V_{f_{n+T}}$, where $h \rightarrow V_{h}$ is the usual imbedding of $\mathcal{H}$ into $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{K})$. Then it is easy to see that the unitary operator on $\left(\mathcal{K}_{\infty}^{f}\right)^{T}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{T}\left(V_{f_{1}}, V_{f_{2}}, \ldots, V_{f_{T}}\right)=\left(U_{f} V_{f_{1}}, U_{f} V_{f_{2}}, \ldots, U_{f} V_{f_{T}}\right) \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the shift operator attached to the statoinary $\Gamma_{T}$-correlated process $\left\{X_{n}^{T}\right\}$ defined by (2.10). Indeed,

$$
\begin{gathered}
U_{T} W_{X_{n}^{T}}=U_{T}\left(V_{f_{n T}}, V_{f_{n T+1}}, \ldots, V_{f_{n T+T-1}}\right)= \\
=\left(U_{f} V_{f_{n T}}, U_{f} V_{f_{n T+1}}, \ldots, U_{f} V_{f_{n T+T-1}}\right)= \\
=\left(V_{f_{n T+T}}, V_{f_{n T+1+T}}, \ldots, V_{f_{n T+T-1+T}}\right)= \\
=\left(V_{f_{(n+1) T}}, V_{f_{(n+1) T+1}}, \ldots, V_{f_{(n+1) T+T-1}}\right)=W_{X_{n+1}^{T}} .
\end{gathered}
$$

It follows that

$$
W_{X_{n}^{T}}=U^{n} W_{X_{0}^{T}} .
$$

For prediction purposes, we are interested to find the best estimation of an element from $\mathcal{H}^{T}$ with elements from a subset $\mathcal{M}=\mathcal{H}_{1} \times \mathcal{H}_{2} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{H}_{T} \subset \mathcal{H}^{T}$. To do this, we need the following Proposition.

Proposition 2.3. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a subset of $\mathcal{H}^{T}$. If we take

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{K}_{1}^{T}=\bigvee_{Z \in \mathcal{M}} W_{Z} \mathcal{E} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

then for each $X \in \mathcal{H}^{T}$ there exists a unique element $X^{\prime}$ in $\mathcal{H}^{T}$ such that for each $a \in \mathcal{E}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{X^{\prime}} a \in \mathcal{K}_{1}^{T} \text { and } W_{X-X^{\prime}} a \in\left(\mathcal{K}_{1}^{T}\right)^{\perp} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{1}\left[X-X^{\prime}, X-X^{\prime}\right]=\inf _{Z \in \mathcal{M}} \Gamma_{1}[X-Z, X-Z] \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the infimum is taken in the set of all positive operators from $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$.
Proof. Let $W_{X^{\prime}}=P_{\mathscr{K}_{1}^{T}} W_{X}$ where $P_{\mathcal{K}_{1}^{T}}$ is the orthogonal projection of $\mathcal{K}^{T}$ on its closed subset $\mathcal{K}_{1}^{T}$. For each $a \in \mathcal{E}$ we have $W_{X^{\prime}} a \in \mathcal{K}_{1}^{T}$ and

$$
\begin{gathered}
W_{X-X^{\prime}} a=\left(\gamma_{\left(a, x_{1}-x_{1}^{\prime}\right)}, \ldots, \gamma_{\left(a, x_{T}-x_{T}^{\prime}\right)}\right)=\left(\gamma_{\left(a, x_{1}\right)}-\gamma_{\left(a, x_{1}^{\prime}\right)}, \ldots, \gamma_{\left(a, x_{T}\right)}-\gamma_{\left(a, x_{T}^{\prime}\right)}\right) \\
=W_{X} a-W_{X^{\prime}} a=W_{X} a-P_{\mathscr{K}_{1}^{T}} W_{X} a=\left(I-P_{\mathscr{K}_{1}^{T}}\right) W_{X} a \in\left(\mathcal{K}_{1}^{T}\right)^{\perp}
\end{gathered}
$$

If there exists $X^{\prime \prime}$ with the property (2.16), then for each $a \in \mathcal{E}$ we have $W_{X} a=W_{X^{\prime \prime}} a+$ $W_{X-X^{\prime \prime}} a$. It follows that $W_{X^{\prime \prime}} a=P_{\mathcal{X}_{1}^{T}} W_{X} a=W_{h^{\prime}} a$, i.e., $X^{\prime \prime}=X^{\prime}$.

Moreover,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\quad\left(\Gamma_{1}\left[X-X^{\prime}, X-X^{\prime}\right] a, a\right)=\left(W_{X-X^{\prime}}^{*} W_{X-X^{\prime}} a, a\right)=\left\|W_{X-X^{\prime}} a\right\|^{2}= \\
=\left\|\left(I-P_{\mathcal{K}_{1}^{T}}\right) W_{X} a\right\|^{2}=\inf _{K \in \mathcal{K}_{1}^{T}}\left\|W_{X} a-K\right\|^{2}=\inf _{\sum_{1}^{n} W_{X_{j}} a_{j}}\left\|W_{X} a-\sum_{i=1}^{n} W_{X_{j}} a_{j}\right\|^{2}= \\
=\inf _{\sum_{1}^{n} W_{X_{j}} a_{j}}\left\|W_{X} a-W_{\sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{j}} a_{j}\right\|^{2}=\inf \left(\Gamma_{1}\left[X-\sum_{j=1}^{n} A_{j} X_{j}, X-\sum_{j=1}^{n} A_{j} X_{j}\right] a, a\right)=
\end{gathered}
$$

$=\inf _{Z \in \mathcal{M}}\left(\Gamma_{1}[X-Z, X-Z] a, a\right)$,
where for each finite systems $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}$ of elements from $\mathcal{E}$ we choose $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$ such that $A_{j} a=a_{j}, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, n$.

If we denote by $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}$ the endomorphism of $\mathcal{H}^{T}$ defined by $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}} X=X^{\prime}$, then we have

$$
W_{\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{M}}^{2} X}=W_{\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}} X^{\prime}}=P_{\mathscr{K}_{1}^{T}} W_{X^{\prime}}=P_{\mathscr{K}_{1}^{T}}^{2} W_{X}=P_{\mathscr{K}_{1}^{T}} W_{X}=W_{\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}} X}
$$

and also,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Gamma_{1}\left[\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}} X, Y\right]=W_{\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}} X}^{*} W_{Y}=\left(P_{\mathcal{K}_{1}^{T}} W_{X}\right)^{*} W_{Y}= \\
& =W_{X}^{*} P_{\mathfrak{K}_{1}^{T}} W_{Y}=W_{X}^{*} W_{\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}} Y}=\Gamma_{1}\left[X, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}} Y\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}^{2} X=\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}} X
$$

and

$$
\Gamma_{1}\left[\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}} X, Y\right]=\Gamma_{1}\left[X, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}} Y\right]
$$

Therefore we can interpret $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}$ as an "orthogonal" projection on $\mathcal{M}$, and this will be called the $\Gamma_{1}$-orthogonal projection of $\mathcal{H}^{T}$ on $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{H}^{T}$.

On the other part, let us remark that we can identify $\mathcal{H}$ as the subset $\mathcal{N}=\mathcal{H} \times\{0\} \times \cdots \times\{0\}$ in $\mathcal{H}^{T}$. From (2.13) it follows that $W_{(h, 0, \ldots, 0)}=\left(V_{h}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)$ and the corresponding subspace from $\mathcal{K}^{T}$ for $\mathcal{N}$ will be

$$
\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{N}}^{T}=\bigvee_{Z \in \mathcal{M}} W_{Z} \mathcal{E}=\mathcal{K} \times\{0\} \times \cdots \times\{0\} \subset \mathcal{K}^{T}
$$

Considering the stationary $\Gamma_{1}$-correlated process $\left\{X_{n}\right\} \subset \mathcal{H}^{T}$ given by (2.9) we have

$$
P_{X_{\mathcal{N}}^{T}} W_{X_{n}}=P_{\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{N}}^{T}}\left(V_{f_{n}}, \ldots, V_{f_{n+T-1}}\right)=V_{f_{n}}
$$

and follows that $f_{n}$ can be identified with $f_{n}=\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} X_{n}$, i.e. the periodically $\Gamma$-correlated process from $\mathcal{H}$ admits a stationary $\Gamma_{1}$-correlated dilation $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ in $\mathcal{H}^{T}$.

Using the imbedding $X \rightarrow W_{X}$ of $\mathcal{H}^{T}$ into $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{K})^{T}$, the corresponding subspaces of the process from $\mathcal{K}^{T}$ have the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{n}^{X}=\bigvee_{k \leq n} W_{X_{k}} \mathcal{E} \quad \text { (past and present) } \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{K}_{n}^{X}=\bigvee_{k>n} W_{X_{k}} \varepsilon \quad \text { (future) } \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{-\infty}^{X}=\bigcap_{n} K_{n}^{X} \quad \text { (remote past) } \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\infty}^{X}=\bigvee_{-\infty}^{\infty} W_{X_{n}} \mathcal{\varepsilon} \quad \text { (the space of the process). } \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the following the right $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})^{T \times T}$-module $\left[\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{K})^{T}\right]^{T}$ will be considered, whose elements will be written with capital bold face characters, to avoid the confussion with the elements from $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{K})^{T}$ which are only capital letters.

An element

$$
\mathbf{Z}=\left(W_{1}, \ldots, W_{T}\right)
$$

is a line vector with $W_{k} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{K})^{T}$, and

$$
W_{k}=\left(V_{k}^{1}, V_{k}^{2}, \ldots, V_{k}^{T}\right)
$$

with $V_{k}^{j} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{K})$.
A correlation of the action of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})^{T \times T}$ on $\left[\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{K})^{T}\right]^{T}$ will be done by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{T}\left[\mathbf{Z}_{1}, \mathbf{Z}_{2}\right]=\left(\Gamma_{1}\left[W_{1 j}, W_{2 k}\right]\right)_{j, k=1}^{T} \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
W_{1 j}=\left(V_{1 j}^{1}, \ldots, V_{1 j}^{T}\right)
$$

and

$$
W_{2 k}=\left(V_{2 k}^{1}, \ldots, V_{2 k}^{T}\right)
$$

are from $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{K})^{T}$.
Let $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ be an arbitrary process in $\mathcal{H}$ and $E$ be the operator of multiplying by $e^{-2 \pi i / T}$. Taking account of the construction of the measuring space $\mathcal{K}$ and the action of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$ on $\mathcal{H}($ respectively $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{K})$ ) in the meaning of the right $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$-module, to each $\left\{f_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ from $\mathcal{H}$ we can attach $T$ sequences in $\mathcal{H}^{T}$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{n}^{k}=\left(E^{k n} f_{n}, E^{k(n+1)} f_{n+1}, \ldots, E^{k(n+T-1)} f_{n+T-1}\right) \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k \in\{0,1, \ldots, T-1\}$.
Using the imbeddings $h \longrightarrow V_{h}$ and $X \longrightarrow W_{X}$ of $\mathcal{H}$ into $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{K})$, respectively $\mathcal{H}^{T}$ into $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{K})^{T}$, we obtain $T$ sequences in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{K})^{T}$ taking

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{n}^{k}=W_{X_{n}^{k}} \quad ; \quad k \in\{0,1, \ldots, T-1\} \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and a sequence in $\left[\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{K})^{T}\right]^{T}$, if we take

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{Z}_{n}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}\left(Z_{n}^{0}, Z_{n}^{1}, \ldots, Z_{n}^{T-1}\right) \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Based on Gladyshev's Theorem, in the following a linear predictor for periodically $\Gamma$ correlated processes in complete correlated actions will be obtained, generalizing the scalar case [9]. To do this, firstly we will see that the process attached by (2.25) to a periodically $\Gamma$-correlated process $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ from $\mathcal{H}$ is an explicit form of an attached stationary process.
Theorem 2.4. The process $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ from $\mathcal{H}$ is periodically $\Gamma$-correlated with period $T$ if and only if $\left\{\boldsymbol{Z}_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ from $\left[\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{K})^{T}\right]^{T}$ attached by (2.25) is a stationary $\Gamma_{T}$-correlated process.
Proof. If $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ from $\mathcal{H}$ is periodically $\Gamma$-correlated with period T , then in each element of the matrix

$$
\Gamma_{T}\left[\mathbf{Z}_{m}, \mathbf{Z}_{n}\right]=\left(\frac{1}{T} \Gamma_{1}\left[Z_{m}^{j}, Z_{n}^{k}\right]\right)_{j, k=0}^{T-1}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Gamma_{1}\left[Z_{m}^{j}, Z_{n}^{k}\right]=\Gamma_{1}\left[W_{X_{m}^{j}}, W_{X_{n}^{k}}\right]= \\
=\sum_{p=0}^{T-1} \Gamma\left[E^{j(m+p)} V_{f_{m+p}}, E^{k(n+p)} V_{f_{n+p}}\right]= \\
=\sum_{p=0}^{T-1} V_{f_{m+p}}^{*} V_{f_{n+p}} E^{-j(m+p)+k(n+p)}= \\
=V_{f_{m}}^{*} V_{f_{n}} E^{-j m+k n}+\sum_{p=1}^{T-1} V_{f_{m+p}}^{*} V_{f_{n+p}} E^{-j(m+p)+k(n+p)}= \\
=\Gamma\left[V_{f_{m}}, V_{f_{n}}\right] E^{-j m+k n}+\sum_{p=1}^{T-1} \Gamma\left[V_{f_{m+p}}, V_{f_{n+p}}\right] E^{-j(m+p)+k(n+p)}=
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
=\Gamma\left[V_{f_{m+T}}, V_{f_{n+T}}\right] E^{-j(m+T)+k(n+T)}+\sum_{p=1}^{T-1} \Gamma\left[V_{f_{m+p}}, V_{f_{n+p}}\right] E^{-j(m+p)+k(n+p)}= \\
=\sum_{p=1}^{T} \Gamma\left[V_{f_{m+p}}, V_{f_{n+p}}\right] E^{-j(m+p)+k(n+p)}= \\
=\sum_{s=0}^{T-1} \Gamma\left[V_{f_{m+s+1}}, V_{f_{n+s+1}}\right] E^{-j(m+s+1)+k(n+s+1)}= \\
=\sum_{s=0}^{T-1} \Gamma\left[E^{j(m+1+s)} V_{f_{m+1+s}}, E^{k(n+1+s)} V_{f_{n+1+s}}\right]= \\
=\Gamma_{1}\left[W_{X_{m+1}^{j}}, W_{X_{n+1}^{k}}\right]=\Gamma_{1}\left[Z_{m+1}^{j}, Z_{n+1}^{k}\right] .
\end{gathered}
$$

This implies that

$$
\Gamma_{T}\left[\mathbf{Z}_{m}, \mathbf{Z}_{n}\right]=\Gamma_{T}\left[\mathbf{Z}_{m+1}, \mathbf{Z}_{n+1}\right]
$$

i.e., the process $\left\{\mathbf{Z}_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is stationary $\Gamma_{T}$-correlated in $\left[\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{K})^{T}\right]^{T}$.

Conversely, if $\left\{\mathbf{Z}_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is stationary $\Gamma_{T}$-correlated, then each element of the $\Gamma_{T}$-correlation matrix verifies the relation

$$
\frac{1}{T} \Gamma_{1}\left[Z_{m}^{j}, Z_{n}^{k}\right]=\frac{1}{T} \Gamma_{1}\left[Z_{m+1}^{j}, Z_{n+1}^{k}\right] .
$$

Taking the element corresponding to $j=k=0$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{1}\left[W_{X_{m}^{0}}, W_{X_{n}^{0}}\right] & =\Gamma_{1}\left[W_{X_{m+1}^{0}}, W_{X_{n+1}^{0}}\right], \\
\sum_{p=0}^{T-1} \Gamma\left[V_{f_{m+p}}, V_{f_{n+p}}\right] & =\sum_{s=0}^{T-1} \Gamma\left[V_{f_{m+1+s}}, V_{f_{n+1+s}}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

and follows (reducing the similar terms) that

$$
\Gamma\left[V_{f_{m}}, V_{f_{n}}\right]=\Gamma\left[V_{f_{m+T}}, V_{f_{n+T}}\right],
$$

i.e., the process $\left\{f_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is periodically $\Gamma$-correlated with the period $T$.

In the following we will see that for a periodically $\Gamma$-correlated process $\left\{f_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, the stationary $\Gamma_{T}$-correlated process $\left\{\mathbf{Z}_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ defined by (2.25) verifies the conditions from Gladyshev's Theorem. Indeed, taking account by the coefficients of the $\Gamma_{T}$-correlation matrix function, have the form

$$
\begin{gathered}
B_{j k}(t)=\frac{1}{T} \Gamma_{1}\left[Z_{t}^{j}, Z_{0}^{k}\right]=\frac{1}{T} \Gamma_{1}\left[W_{X_{t}^{j}}, W_{X_{0}^{k}}\right]= \\
=\frac{1}{T} \sum_{p=0}^{T-1} \Gamma\left[V_{f_{t+p}}, V_{f_{p}}\right] E^{-j(t+p)+k p}=\frac{1}{T} \sum_{p=0}^{T-1} \Gamma(t+p, p) E^{-j(t+p)+k p}= \\
=E^{-j t} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{p=0}^{T-1} B(p, t) E^{p(k-j)}=E^{-j t} B_{k-j}(t) .
\end{gathered}
$$

So,

$$
B_{j k}(t)=B_{k-j}(t) \exp (2 \pi i j t / T)
$$

and the condition of Gladyshev's Theorem is verified.
According with the definition of the past and present given in the previous section, the past and present of the process $\left\{\mathbf{Z}_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ from $\left[\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{K})^{T}\right]^{T}$ will be a subspace from $\left(\mathcal{K}^{T}\right)^{T}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{n}^{\mathbf{Z}}=\bigvee_{k \leq n} \mathbf{Z}_{k} \varepsilon \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the elements are of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{Z}=\sum_{k \leq n} A_{k} \mathbf{Z}_{k} a_{k} \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

while the action of $A_{k} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})^{T \times T}$ being understand in the sense of the right $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})^{T \times T}$-module $\left[\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{K})^{T}\right]^{T}$.

Also for the process $\left\{\mathbf{Z}_{n}\right\}$ from $\left[\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{K})^{T}\right]^{T}$ another "past and present" denoted by $\mathcal{K}_{n}^{\mathbf{Z}}$ can be considered in $\mathcal{K}^{T}$ as the linear span of the finite sums of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k \leq n} A_{k} Z_{k}^{j} a_{k} \quad ; \quad 0 \leq j \leq T-1 \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

or:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{K}_{n}^{\mathbf{Z}}=\bigvee_{k \leq n} Z_{k}^{j} \mathcal{E} \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Due to the particular form of the stationary $\Gamma_{T}$-correlated process attached to a periodically $\Gamma$-correlated process, the geometry of the past and present spaces is given as in the following theorem.

Theorem 2.5. The past and present of $\left\{\boldsymbol{Z}_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ has the following structure

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{n}^{Z}=\left(\mathcal{K}_{n}^{Z}\right)^{T} \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{K}_{n}^{Z}=\mathcal{K}_{n}^{f} \times \mathcal{K}_{n+1}^{f} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{K}_{n+T-1}^{f} \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. . For each finite linear combination from $K_{n}^{\mathbf{Z}}$ we have in $\left(\mathcal{K}^{T}\right)^{T}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{k \leq n} A_{k} \mathbf{Z}_{k} a_{k}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \sum_{k \leq n} A_{k}\left(Z_{k}^{0}, Z_{k}^{1}, \ldots, Z_{k}^{T-1}\right) a_{k}= \\
=\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \sum_{k \leq n}\left(A_{k}^{i j}\right)_{i, j=0}^{T-1}\left(Z_{k}^{0}, Z_{k}^{1}, \ldots, Z_{k}^{T-1}\right) a_{k}= \\
=\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \sum_{k \leq n}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{T-1} Z_{k}^{j} A_{k}^{j 0} a_{k}, \sum_{j=0}^{T-1} Z_{k}^{j} A_{k}^{j 1} a_{k}, \ldots, \sum_{j=0}^{T-1} Z_{k}^{j} A_{k}^{j, T-1} a_{k}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

It follows that each component of the considered linear combination is of the form $\sum_{k \leq n} \sum_{j=0}^{T-1} A_{k}^{j i} Z_{k}^{j} a_{k}$, i.e., belongs to $\mathcal{K}_{n}^{\mathrm{Z}}$. Therefore

$$
K_{n}^{\mathbf{Z}} \subset\left(\mathcal{K}_{n}^{\mathbf{Z}}\right)^{T}
$$

Conversely, each linear combination from $\left(\mathcal{K}_{n}^{\mathbf{Z}}\right)^{T}$ has the form

$$
\mathbf{Z}=\left(Z^{0}, Z^{1}, \ldots, Z^{T-1}\right)
$$

where

$$
Z^{i}=\sum_{k \leq n} \sum_{j=0}^{T-1} A_{k}^{j i} Z_{k}^{j} a_{k},
$$

and follows that

$$
\mathbf{Z}=\sum_{k \leq n} A_{k} \mathbf{Z}_{k} a_{k} \in K_{n}^{\mathbf{Z}}
$$

Therefore

$$
\left(\mathcal{K}_{n}^{\mathbf{Z}}\right)^{T} \subset K_{n}^{\mathbf{Z}}
$$

and the equality (2.30) is proved.
To prove (2.31), let see that from the definition (2.24) of a component $Z_{m}^{k}$, where $m \leq n$, we have

$$
Z_{m}^{k} a=W_{X_{m}^{k}} a=\left(E^{k m} V_{f_{m}} a, E^{k(m+1)} V_{f_{m+1}} a, \ldots, E^{k(m+T-1)} V_{f_{m+T-1}} a\right)
$$

as elements from $\mathcal{K}_{n}^{f} \times \mathcal{K}_{n+1}^{f} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{K}_{n+T-1}^{f}$. Therefore

$$
\mathcal{K}_{n}^{\mathbf{Z}} \subset \mathcal{K}_{n}^{f} \times \mathcal{K}_{n+1}^{f} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{K}_{n+T-1}^{f} \subset \mathcal{K}^{T} .
$$

Conversely, the linear combination of the form

$$
Z=\sum_{j=0}^{T-1} E^{-j(m+k)} Z_{m}^{j} a
$$

where $Z_{m}^{j}$ are the components of $\mathbf{Z}_{m}$, for each $m \leq n$ and $0 \leq k \leq T-1$ has the form

$$
\begin{gathered}
Z=\sum_{j=0}^{T-1} W_{X_{m}^{j}} E^{-j(m+k)} a=\sum_{j=0}^{T-1}\left(V_{f_{m+l}} E^{j(m+l-j(m+k)} a\right)_{l=0}^{T-1}= \\
=\sum_{j=0}^{T-1}\left(V_{f_{m+l}} E^{j(l-k)} a\right)_{l=0}^{T-1}=\left(V_{f m+l} a \sum_{j=0}^{T-1} E^{j(l-k)}\right)_{l=0}^{T-1}=\left(V_{f m+l} a \cdot T \delta_{l k}\right)_{l=0}^{T-1} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore for each $0 \leq k \leq T-1$ we have that

$$
\{0\} \times \cdots \times\{0\} \times \mathscr{K}_{n+k}^{f} \times\{0\} \times \cdots \times\{0\} \subset \mathcal{K}_{n}^{\mathbf{Z}}
$$

and consequently

$$
\mathcal{K}_{n}^{f} \times \mathcal{K}_{n+1}^{f} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{K}_{n+T-1}^{f} \subset \mathcal{K}_{n}^{\mathbf{Z}}
$$

This complete the proof.

In the following we suppose that the $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})^{T \times T}$-valued semispectral measure attached to the $\Gamma_{T}$-correlation function of the process $\left\{\mathbf{Z}_{n}\right\}$ satisfies a Harnack type boundedness condition i.e., $c^{-1} \mathrm{~d} t \leq F \leq c \mathrm{~d} t$. Then the inversable maximal function matrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta(\lambda)=\left(\Theta_{i j}(\lambda)\right)_{i, j=0}^{T-1} \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

has a bounded inverse

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega(\lambda)=\left(\Omega_{i j}(\lambda)\right)_{i, j=0}^{T-1} \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the predictable part of $\mathbf{Z}_{n+1}$ can be obtained as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_{n+1}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_{k} \mathbf{Z}_{n-k} \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the Wiener filter for prediction

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{k}=\left(A_{k}^{i j}\right)_{i, j=0}^{T-1} \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

is given in terms of the coefficients of its maximal function in a similar way as in the discrete one-parameter case.

THEOREM 2.6. If $\left\{f_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a periodically $\Gamma$-correlated process and the predictible part of the attached stationary $\Gamma_{T}$-correlated process $\left\{\boldsymbol{Z}_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is given by (2.34) and (2.35), then the predictible part of $\left\{f_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ can be found as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{f}_{n+1}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_{k} f_{n-k}, \quad \text { where } C_{k}=\sum_{j=0}^{T-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} A_{k}^{j 0} E^{j(n-k)} \tag{2.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let consider the predictible part

$$
\begin{gathered}
\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_{n+1}=\left(\hat{Z}_{n+1}^{0}, \hat{Z}_{n+1}^{1}, \ldots, \hat{Z}_{n+1}^{T-1}\right)= \\
=P_{K_{n}^{\mathbf{Z}}} \mathbf{Z}_{n+1}=P_{K_{n}^{\mathbf{Z}}}\left(Z_{n+1}^{0}, Z_{n+1}^{1}, \ldots, Z_{n+1}^{T-1}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Taking the zero component of $\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_{n+1}$ we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\hat{Z}_{n+1}^{0}=P_{\mathscr{K}_{n}^{Z}} Z_{n+1}^{0}=P_{\mathscr{K}_{n}^{Z}}\left(V_{f_{n+1}}, V_{f_{n+2}}, \ldots, V_{f_{n+T}}\right)= \\
=\left(P_{X_{n}^{f}} V_{f_{n+1}}, \ldots, P_{\mathscr{K}_{n+T-1}^{f}} V_{f_{n+T}}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

On the other way, using (2.34)

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_{n+1}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_{k} \mathbf{Z}_{n-k}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_{k}^{i j} \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}\left(Z_{n-k}^{0}, Z_{n-k}^{1}, \ldots, Z_{n-k}^{T-1}\right)= \\
=\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{T-1} Z_{n-k}^{j} A_{k}^{j 0}, \sum_{j=0}^{T-1} Z_{n-k}^{j} A_{k}^{j 1}, \ldots, \sum_{j=0}^{T-1} Z_{n-k}^{j} A_{k}^{j, T-1}\right)=
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
=\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{T-1} Z_{n-k}^{j} A_{k}^{j 0}, \ldots, \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{T-1} Z_{n-k}^{j} A_{k}^{j, T-1}\right)= \\
=\left(\hat{Z}_{n+1}^{0}, \hat{Z}_{n+1}^{1}, \ldots, \hat{Z}_{n+1}^{T-1}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\hat{Z}_{n+1}^{0}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{T-1} Z_{n-k}^{j} A_{k}^{j 0}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{T-1} A_{k}^{j 0} Z_{n-k}^{j}= \\
=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{T-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} A_{k}^{j 0} W_{X_{n-k}^{j}}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{T-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} A_{k}^{j 0}\left(E^{j(n-k+s} V_{f_{n-k+s}}\right)_{s=0}^{T-1}= \\
=\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{T-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} A_{k}^{j 0} E^{j(n-k+s} V_{f_{n-k+s}}\right)_{s=0}^{T-1}
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore

$$
V_{\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{H}_{n}^{f}} f_{n+1}}=P_{\mathcal{K}_{n}^{f}} V_{f_{n+1}}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{T-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} A_{k}^{j 0} E^{j(n-k} V_{f_{n-k}},
$$

where $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{H}_{n}^{f}}$ is the " $\Gamma$-orthogonal projection" on the submodule $\mathcal{H}_{n}^{f}$ of $\mathcal{H}$.
So, the corresponding operatorial Wiener filter for the prediction of a periodically $\Gamma$-correlated process from $\mathcal{H}$ is given by (2.36).

As a remark, in the periodic case the prediction error

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(n)=\Gamma\left[f_{n+1}-\hat{f}_{n+1}, f_{n+1}-\hat{f}_{n+1}\right] \tag{2.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

will be a periodic function, not an operator like in the stationary case. Therefore we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(n)=\sum_{k=0}^{T-1} \Delta_{k} \exp (2 \pi i j k / T) \tag{2.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

and conversely, the coefficients $\Delta_{k}$ can be obtained by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{k}=\frac{1}{T} \sum_{j=0}^{T-1} \Delta(j) \exp (-2 \pi i j k / T) \tag{2.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following theorem gives a characterization of the prediction error for a periodically $\Gamma$-correlated process $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ in terms of the coefficients of the maximal function of the attached $\Gamma_{T}$-correlated process $\left\{\mathbf{Z}_{n}\right\}$.

ThEOREM 2.7. The prediction error $\Delta(n)$ of a periodically $\Gamma$-correlated process $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(n)=\sum_{k=0}^{T-1} D_{k} E^{-k(n+1)} \tag{2.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the operator coefficients $D_{k} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$ are the elements from the zero line of the prediction error matrix of the attached stationary process $\left\{\boldsymbol{Z}_{n}\right\}$, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{k}=\sum_{s=0}^{T-1} \Theta_{s 0}^{*} \Theta_{s k} \tag{2.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Theta_{i j}=\Theta_{i j}(0)$ from the maximal function (2.32) of the process $\left\{\boldsymbol{Z}_{n}\right\}$.
Proof. Let $\Delta$ be the prediction error matrix of the stationary $\Gamma_{T}$-correlated process $\left\{\mathbf{Z}_{n}\right\}$ attached to $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ by (2.25). Then for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$
\Delta=\Gamma_{T}\left[\mathbf{Z}_{n+1}-\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_{n+1}, \mathbf{Z}_{n+1}-\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_{n+1}\right]=\left(\Delta_{i j}\right)_{i, j=0}^{T-1}
$$

where the operators $\Delta_{i j}$ are given by

$$
\Delta_{i j}=\frac{1}{T} \Gamma_{1}\left[Z_{n+1}^{i}-\hat{Z}_{n+1}^{i}, Z_{n+1}^{j}-\hat{Z}_{n+1}^{j}\right] .
$$

As we know, if $\Theta(\lambda)$ is the maximal function of a stationary process, then the prediction error is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta=\Theta^{*}(0) \Theta(0) \tag{2.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

and from (2.32), putting $\Theta_{i j}=\Theta_{i j}(0)$ we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta i j=\sum_{s=0}^{T-1} \Theta_{s i}^{*} \Theta_{s j} \tag{2.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other way, from (2.39) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta_{k}=\frac{1}{T} \sum_{j=0}^{T-1} \Delta(j) E^{k j}=\frac{1}{T} \sum_{j=0}^{T-1} \Gamma\left[f_{j+1}-\hat{f}_{j+1}, f_{j+1}-\hat{f}_{j+1}\right] E^{k j}= \\
& =\frac{1}{T} \sum_{j=0}^{T-1} V_{f_{j+1}-\hat{f}_{j+1}}^{*} V_{f_{j+1}-\hat{f}_{j+1}} E^{k j}=\frac{1}{T} E^{-k} \sum_{j=0}^{T-1} V_{f_{j+1}-\hat{f}_{j+1}}^{*} V_{f_{j+1}-\hat{f}_{j+1}} E^{k(j+1)}= \\
& =\frac{1}{T} E^{-k} \sum_{j=0}^{T-1} \Gamma\left[V_{f_{j+1}-\hat{f}_{j+1}}, E^{k(j+1)} V_{f_{j+1}-\hat{f}_{j+1}}\right]= \\
& =E^{-k} \frac{1}{T} \Gamma_{1}\left[Z_{1}^{0}-\hat{Z}_{1}^{0}, Z_{1}^{k}-\hat{Z}_{1}^{k}\right]=E^{-k} \Delta_{0 k}=E^{-k} \sum_{s=0}^{T-1} \Theta_{s 0}^{*} \Theta_{s k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from (2.38) that

$$
\Delta(n)=\sum_{k=0}^{T-1} E^{-k} \sum_{s=0}^{T-1} \Theta_{s 0}^{*} \Theta_{s k} E^{-k n}=\sum_{k=0}^{T-1} D_{k} E^{-k(n+1)},
$$

where

$$
D_{k}=\sum_{s=0}^{T-1} \Theta_{s 0}^{*} \Theta_{s k}
$$

and the proof is finished.

## 3 Some more remarks

In the remaining of this paper, some geometrical aspects are analysed, especially about the angle between the past and the future of a $\Gamma$-correlated process. Actually, the study of the angle between the past and the future of a process is a major problem of the prediction theory. Starting with the studies of Helson and Szegő [4] and Helson and Sarason [6], the results was generalized in various contexts, helping in the characterization of stationary and some nonstationary processes. Here a generalization in the stationary $\Gamma$-correlated case as in [24] is given, and some results for periodically case are analysed.

The notions of the angles between two subspaces of a Hilbert space arise in [2] and [1], starting from the general definition of the scalar product of two vectors into the form $\langle h, g\rangle=$ $\|h\|\|g\| \cdot \cos \alpha$. The angle (sometimes called the Dixmier angle) between two subspaces $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ of a Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}$ is given by its cosine

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N}):=\sup \left\{|\langle h, g\rangle| ; h \in \mathcal{M} \cap B_{\mathcal{K}}, g \in \mathcal{N} \cap B_{\mathcal{K}}\right\} . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{\mathcal{K}}$ is the unit ball of $\mathcal{K}$.
In the context of a complete correlated action $\{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{H}, \Gamma\}$ the cosine between the submodules $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ of the right $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$-module $\mathcal{H}$ is given by

$$
\rho(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})=\sup \{|\langle\Gamma[g, h] a, b\rangle| ;\|\Gamma[h, h] a\| \leq 1,\|\Gamma[g, g] b\| \leq 1\},
$$

where $h \in \mathcal{M}, g \in \mathcal{N}, a, b \in \mathcal{E}$.
We say that $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ have a positive angle if $\rho(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N})<1$, or equivalently, if there exists $\rho<1$ such that for any $h \in \mathcal{M}, g \in \mathcal{N}, a, b \in \mathcal{E}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\langle\Gamma[g, h] a, b\rangle_{\varepsilon}\right| \leq \rho\left\|V_{h} a\right\|\left\|V_{g} b\right\| . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the study of prediction problems we are interested in the case when the angle between past and future is positive, i.e., when $\rho(n)=\rho\left(\mathcal{H}_{n}^{f}, \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{n}^{f}\right)<1$, which will give the possibility of finding the predictor.

A nice geometrical aspect of stationary $\Gamma$-correlated process is the fact that the angle between the past and future is constant.

Generalizing to stationary $\Gamma$-correlated case a result of [4] we have
Proposition 3.1. Let $\left(f_{n}\right)$ be a stationary $\Gamma$-correlated process in $\mathcal{H}$. The angle between past and future of $\left(f_{n}\right)$ is positive if and only if there exists a finite constant $C$ which depends only by $\left(f_{n}\right)$ such that for each element of the form $\sum V_{f_{n}} a_{n}$ from the time domain $\mathcal{K}_{\infty}^{f}$ and for each $-\infty \leq n_{1} \leq n_{2}<\infty$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sum_{k=n_{1}}^{n_{2}} V_{f_{k}} a_{k}\right\| \leq C\left\|\sum V_{f_{k}} a_{k}\right\|, \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where in the second term the sum has finitely many non-zero elements.
Proof. It is known [4] that for two subspaces $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ from a Hilbert space we have $\rho(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})<1$ if and only if there exists a finite constant $C$ such that $\|x\| \leq C\|x+y\|$ for $x$ and $y$ generators in $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$, respectively. Therefore for any sum of the form $\sum V_{f_{n}} a_{n}$ from the time domain
$\mathcal{K}_{\infty}^{f}$, taking into account that $\rho\left(\mathcal{H}_{n}^{f}, \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{n}^{f}\right)<1$, we have

$$
\left\|\sum_{k \leq n} V_{f_{k}} a_{k}\right\| \leq C\left\|\sum_{k \leq n} V_{f_{k}} a_{k}+\sum_{k>n} V_{f_{k}} a_{k}\right\|=C\left\|\sum V_{f_{k}} a_{k}\right\|,
$$

where $\sum V_{f_{k}} a_{k}$ has finitely many non-zero elements. Since $\left(f_{n}\right)$ is stationary $\Gamma$-correlated, for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|\sum_{k \leq m} V_{f_{k}} a_{k}\right\|_{\mathcal{K}}^{2}=\left\langle\sum_{k \leq m} V_{f_{k}} a_{k}, \sum_{p \leq m} V_{f_{p}} a_{p}\right\rangle=\sum_{k, p \leq m}\left\langle V_{f_{p}}^{*} V_{f_{k}} a_{k}, a_{p}\right\rangle_{\varepsilon}= \\
=\sum_{k, p \leq m}\left\langle\Gamma\left[f_{p}, f_{k}\right] a_{k}, a_{p}\right\rangle=\sum_{k, p \leq m}\left\langle\Gamma\left[f_{p-(m-n)}, f_{k-(m-n)}\right] a_{k}, a_{p}\right\rangle= \\
=\sum_{i, j \leq n}\left\langle\Gamma\left[f_{j}, f_{i}\right] a_{i}, a_{j}\right\rangle=\left\|\sum_{k \leq n} V_{f_{k}} a_{k}\right\|_{\mathcal{K}}^{2} \leq C^{2}\left\|\sum V_{f_{k}} a_{k}\right\|_{\mathcal{K}}^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\sum_{k=n_{1}}^{n_{2}} V_{f_{k}} a_{k}\right\|=\left\|\sum_{k \leq n_{2}} V_{f_{k}} a_{k}-\sum_{k<n_{1}} V_{f_{k}} a_{k}\right\| \leq \\
\leq & \left\|\sum_{k \leq n_{2}} V_{f_{k}} a_{k}\right\|+\left\|\sum_{k \leq n_{1}} V_{f_{k}} a_{k}\right\| \leq 2 C\left\|\sum V_{f_{k}} a_{k}\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

and (3.3) is proved.
Based on the previous result, the property of representing the elements from the time domain of a process as a series (Schauder basis) was generalized for $\Gamma$-correlated processes [24] as follows

Proposition 3.2. The angle between past and future of a stationary $\Gamma$-correlated process $\left(f_{n}\right)$ is positive if and only if each element $k$ from the time domain $\mathcal{K}_{\infty}^{f}$ can be uniquely represented in the form $k=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} k_{n}$ where $k_{n}$ are elements from $\overline{V_{f_{n}} \mathcal{E}}$.

We have seen that each periodically $\Gamma$-correlated process $\left(f_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ from $\mathcal{H}$ has a stationary $\Gamma_{1}$-correlated dilation ( $X_{n}$ ) in $\mathcal{H}^{T}$ and an explicit stationary dilation can be constructed to help in obtaining the Wiener filter for prediction and the prediction-error operator function for a periodically $\Gamma$-correlated process, in terms of the operator coefficients of its attached maximal function. This stationary dilation preserves the positivity of the angle between the past and the future of the considered periodically $\Gamma$-correlated process.

Proposition 3.3. If $\left(f_{n}\right)$ from $\mathcal{H}$ is a periodically $\Gamma$-correlated process with a positive angle between its past and future, then the angle between the past and the future of its stationary $\Gamma_{1}$-correlated dilation $\left(X_{n}\right)$ from $\mathcal{H}^{T}$ it is also positive.

Proof. If $\left(f_{n}\right)$ from $\mathcal{H}$ is a periodically $\Gamma$-correlated process having a positive angle between its past and future, then at each time $t=n$ there exists $\rho(n)<1$ such that

$$
\left|\langle\Gamma[g, h] a, b\rangle_{\varepsilon}\right| \leq \rho(n)\left\|V_{h} a\right\|\left\|V_{g} b\right\|
$$

for each $h \in \mathcal{H}_{n}^{f}$ and $g \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{n}^{f}$. For each element $X=\sum_{k \leq n} A_{k} X_{k}$ from the past $H_{n}^{X}$ and $Y=\sum_{p>n} B_{p} X_{p}$ from the future $\tilde{H}_{n}^{X}$ of the $\Gamma_{1}$-correlated process $\left(X_{n}\right)$ given by (2.9), and for any $a, b \in \mathcal{E}$ we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\left\langle\Gamma_{1}[X, Y] a, b\right\rangle_{\varepsilon}\right|=\left|\left\langle\Gamma_{1}\left[\sum_{p>n} B_{p} X_{p}, \sum_{k \leq n} A_{k} X_{k}\right] a, b\right\rangle_{\varepsilon}\right|= \\
=\left|\sum_{p>n} \sum_{k \leq n}\left\langle\Gamma_{1}\left[B_{p} X_{p}, A_{k} X_{k}\right] a, b\right\rangle_{\varepsilon}\right|= \\
=\left|\sum_{p>n} \sum_{k \leq n} \sum_{i=0}^{T-1}\left\langle\Gamma\left[B_{p} f_{p+i}, A_{k} f_{k+i}\right] a, b\right\rangle_{\varepsilon}\right|= \\
=\left|\sum_{p>n} \sum_{k \leq n} \sum_{i=0}^{T-1}\left\langle B_{p}^{*} \Gamma\left[f_{p+i}, f_{k+i}\right] A_{k} a, b\right\rangle_{\varepsilon}\right|= \\
=\left|\sum_{i=0}^{T-1}\left\langle\Gamma\left[\sum_{p>n} B_{p} f_{p+i}, \sum_{k \leq n} A_{k} f_{k+i}\right] a, b\right\rangle\right| \leq \\
\leq \sum_{i=0}^{T-1} \rho_{i}(n)\left\|\sum_{k \leq n} A_{k} f_{k+i} a\right\|\left\|\sum_{p>n} B_{p} f_{p+i} b\right\| \leq \\
\leq \rho(n) \sum_{i=0}^{T-1}\left\|\sum_{k \leq n} A_{k} f_{k+i} a\right\|\left\|\sum_{p>n} B_{p} f_{p+i} b\right\| \leq \\
\leq \rho(n)\left(\sum_{i=0}^{T-1}\left\|\sum_{k \leq n} A_{k} f_{k+i} a\right\|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{T-1}\left\|\sum_{p>n} B_{p} f_{p+i} b\right\|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}= \\
=\rho\left\|\sum_{k \leq n} A_{k} W_{X_{k}} a\right\|\left\|\sum_{p>n} B_{p} W_{X_{p}} b\right\|=\rho\left\|W_{X} a\right\|\left\|W_{Y} b\right\|,
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\rho(n)$ is the maximum of $\rho_{i}(n)<1 ; i=0,1, \ldots, T-1$, and we used the embedding $X \rightarrow W_{X}$ of $\mathcal{H}^{T}$ into $\mathcal{L}\left(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{K}^{T}\right)$ and the fact that $\rho(n)=\rho$ for stationary $\Gamma_{1}$-correlated proces $\left(X_{n}\right)$. Therefore $\left|\left\langle\Gamma_{1}[X, Y] a, b\right\rangle_{\varepsilon}\right| \leq \rho\left\|W_{X} a\right\|\left\|W_{Y} b\right\|$ for each $X \in H_{n}^{X}, Y \in \tilde{H}_{n}^{X}$, and the angle between the past and the future of the stationary $\Gamma_{1}$-correlated dilation $\left(X_{n}\right)$ is positive.

Another angle between two subspaces $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ of a Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}$ is the Friedrichs angle [2] defined to be the angle in $[0, \pi / 2]$ whose cosine is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
c\left(M_{1}, M_{2}\right):=\sup \left\{\left|\left\langle k_{1}, k_{2}\right\rangle\right| ; k_{i} \in M_{i} \cap M^{\perp} \cap B_{\mathcal{K}}, i \in\{1,2\}\right\}, \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M=M_{1} \cap M_{2}$ and $B_{\mathcal{K}}$ is the unit ball of $\mathcal{K}$.
By (3.1) and (3.4) it follows that $c\left(M_{1}, M_{2}\right) \leq \rho\left(M_{1}, M_{2}\right)$. Obviously we have $c\left(M_{1}, M_{2}\right)=$ $\rho\left(M_{1} \cap M^{\perp}, M_{2} \cap M^{\perp}\right)$, and of course $c\left(M_{1}, M_{2}\right)=c\left(M_{1}^{\perp}, M_{2}^{\perp}\right)$. More geometrical aspects and generalizations of the angles between the past and the present of periodically $\Gamma$-correlated processes can be found in [24].
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