INSTITUTUL DE MATEMATICĂ INSTITUTUL NAȚIONAL PENTRU CREAȚIE STIINȚIFICĂ ȘI TEHNICĂ

TENSOR PRODUCTS AND TAYLOR'S JOINT SPECTRUM

by

ZOIA CEAUSESCU AND F.-H.VASILESCU

PREPRINT SERIES IN MATHEMATICS
No. 5/1976



BUCUREȘTI

Med 13816

TENSOR PRODUCTS AND TAYLOR'S JOINT SPECTRUM

by

ZOIA CEAUSESCU x) and F.-H. VASILESCU xx)

April 1976



- x) National Institute for Scientifical and Technical Creation,
 Calea Victoriei 114, Bucharest 22
- Theoretical Physics Laboratory, Institute of Atomic Physics,
 P.O. Box 5206, Bucharest

TENSOR PRODUCTS AND TAYLOR'S

JOINT SPECTRUM

by

Zoia Ceaușescu and F.-H. Vasilescu

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X_1,\ldots,X_n be complex Banach spaces and \mathscr{L} a uniform cross norm [6] on their tensor product $X_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes X_n$. Denote by X the completion of $X_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes X_n$ with respect to the norm \mathscr{L} . Suppose that \mathscr{L}_j is a linear continuous operator on X_j and set $\widetilde{a}_j = 1 \otimes \ldots \otimes 1 \otimes a_j \otimes 1 \otimes \ldots \otimes 1$ $(j = 1, \ldots, n)$. If A is the bicommutant of the commuting system $(\widetilde{a}_1, \ldots, \widetilde{a}_n)$ in the algebra L (X) of all linear bounded operators on X then A. T. Dash and M. Schecter [3] have shown that the following equality holds:

 $(1.1) \qquad \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{A}}(\widetilde{a_1},\ldots,\widetilde{a_n}) = \mathcal{O}(a_1,X_1) \text{ x...x } \mathcal{O}(a_n,X_n), \text{ where}$ $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{A}}(\widetilde{a_1},\ldots,\widetilde{a_n}) \text{ denotes the joint spectrum of } (\widetilde{a_1},\ldots,\widetilde{a_n}) \text{ in A and } \mathcal{O}(a_j,X_j) \text{ is}$ the usual spectrum of a_j as an operator on X_j .

D. Voicule scu has raised within the seminar of Operator Theory, Institute of Mathematics, Bucharest, 1976, the following problem: Does (1.1) still hold if we take instead of $\mathcal{T}_A(\widetilde{a}_1,\ldots,\widetilde{a}_n)$ the more refined joint spectrum of (a_1,\ldots,a_n) on X in the sense of J.L. Taylor's [7]?

It turns out that the answer of this question is positive in the case of Hilbert spaces, and the proof of this assertion is the purpose of the present work (see also [11] for n = 2).

From now on H will denote a complex Hilbert space and $a=(a_1,\ldots,a_n)\subset \mathcal{L}(H)$ a commuting system of operators on H. For the convenience of the reader, let us recall some definitions and results from [7], [8] and [10].

Let $s = (s_1, \ldots, s_n)$ be a set of indeterminates and denote by $\bigwedge [s]$ the exterior algebra, over the complex field, with the generators s_1, \ldots, s_n . $\bigwedge^p [s]$ will stand for the space of elements of degree p in $\bigwedge [s]$ ($p = 0,1,\ldots,n$). Then we denote by $\bigwedge [s,H]$ ($\bigwedge^p [s,H]$) the tensor product $H \otimes \bigwedge [s]$ ($H \otimes \bigwedge^p [s]$, respectively). An element $x \otimes s_{\mathcal{D}_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge s_{\mathcal{D}_p} \in \mathcal{C} \wedge^p [s,H]$ will be written simply $x \otimes s_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge s_{\mathcal{D}_p}$. The space $\bigwedge^p [s,H]$ can be endowed with a natural structure of Hilbert space, defining for any pair

$$\mathcal{F} = \sum_{1 \leq \mathcal{V}_1 < \dots < \mathcal{V}_p \leq n} x_{\mathcal{V}_1 \cdots \mathcal{V}_p} s_{\mathcal{V}_1} \wedge \dots \wedge s_{\mathcal{V}_p}$$

and

$$7 = \sum_{1 \leq \mathcal{V}_1 < \dots < \mathcal{V}_p \leq n} \quad y_{\mathcal{V}_1 \cdots \mathcal{V}_p} \quad s_{\mathcal{V}_1} \wedge \dots \wedge s_{\mathcal{V}_p}$$

from \bigwedge p [s, H] their scalar product

$$\langle \gamma, \gamma \rangle = \sum_{1 \leq \nu_1 < \dots < \nu_p \leq n} \langle x_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_p}, y_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_p} \rangle$$

We have also

Let us define the operator $\delta_{2}^{p}: \bigwedge^{p}[s,H] \longrightarrow \bigwedge^{p+1}[s,H]$ by the equality

$$\int_a^p (x \, s \, y_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge s \, y_p) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \, x \, s_j \wedge s \, y_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge s \, y_p .$$

1

Let also $\int_a : \bigwedge[s,H] \longrightarrow \bigwedge[s,H]$ be the operator $\bigoplus_{p=0}^p \int_a^p (where \int_a^n = 0 : \bigwedge^n [s,H] \longrightarrow \bigwedge^o [s,H]).$ Clearly $\int_a^{p+1} \cdot \int_a^p = 0$, hence $\int_a \cdot \int_a^p = 0$.

A basic notion in the definition of the joint spectrum of the system $a=(a_1,\ldots,a_n)$ on H is that of nonsingularity [7]. Shortly, a is nonsingular on H iff Ker a=0 and a=0 It is obvious that this definition makes good sense in Banach spaces [7]. However, as it is pointed out in [10], there is a characterization of the nonsingularity in Hilbert spaces which makes this notion easier to be handled. Namely, the system a is nonsingular on H if and only if the operator a=0 and a=0 is invertible on a=0.

The complement of the set of all $z=(z_1,\ldots,z_n)\in C^n$ with the property that $z-a=(z_1-a_1,\ldots,z_n-a_n)$ is nonsingular on H is called the (joint) spectrum of a on H [7]; this set will be denoted by \mathcal{O} (a, H) in the sequel.

The spectrum $\mathcal{O}(a,H)$ of a on H is compact, nonempty and it is contained in the cortesian product $\mathcal{O}(a_1,H) \times \ldots \times \mathcal{O}(a_n,H)$ as well as in the joint spectrum of (a_1,\ldots,a_n) in any commutative Panach algebra containing (a_1,\ldots,a_n) . Moreover, as in the case of Banach algebras [2], one can construct a functional calculus with analytic functions, defined in neighbourhoods of $\mathcal{O}(a,H)$; for this functional calculus a spectral mapping theorem does also hold (for details see [8]).

2. THE MAIN RESULT

If H_1, \ldots, Hn are complex Hilbert spaces we denote by $H_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes Hn$ their tensor product, complete for the canonical Hilbert structure.

Let us state the main result of this paper.

2.1. THEOREM. Assume that H_1, \ldots, H_n are complex Hilbert spaces, $H = H_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes H_n , a_j \in \mathcal{L}(H_j), \quad \widetilde{a}_j = 1 \otimes \ldots \otimes 1 \otimes a_j \otimes 1 \otimes \ldots \otimes 1$ $(j = 1, \ldots, n) \text{ and } \widetilde{a} = (\widetilde{a}_1, \ldots, \widetilde{a}_n) \subset \mathcal{L}(H). \text{ Then we have the equality}$

(2.1)
$$G(\tilde{a}, H) = (a_1, H_1) \times ... \times (a_n, H_n).$$

In order to prove Theorem 2.1 we need an auxiliary result.

2.2. LEMMA. Let H and K be Hilbert spaces, $a = (a_1, ..., a_n) \subset \mathcal{L}(H)$ a commuting system, $a'_j = a_j \otimes 1 \subset \mathcal{L}(H \times K)$ (j = 1, ..., n) and $a' = (a'_1, ..., a'_n)$. Then the operators $\mathcal{L}(a') \in \mathcal{L}(\Lambda f_s, H \otimes K)$ and $\mathcal{L}(a) \otimes 1 \in \mathcal{L}(\Lambda f_s, H) \otimes K$ are unitarily equivalent.

Proof. Let us remark that we can define a unitary map

$$u_p: \bigwedge^p [s, H \otimes K] \longrightarrow \bigwedge^p [s, H] \otimes K$$

by the relation

Moreover we have

$$u_{p+1} \begin{cases} \sum_{a=1}^{p} (x \otimes y \otimes_{y_{1}} \wedge \dots \wedge \otimes_{y_{p}}) = \\ u_{p+1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j} \times \otimes y \otimes_{j} \wedge \otimes_{y_{1}} \wedge \dots \wedge \otimes_{y_{p}} \right) = \\ 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j \times s_j \wedge s_{\nu_1} \wedge \dots \wedge s_{\nu_p}\right) \otimes y =$$

$$(\delta_a^p \otimes 1) \stackrel{u}{=} (x \otimes y s_{\nu_1} \wedge \dots \wedge s_{\nu_p}),$$

hence $u \, \delta_a$, = $(\delta_a \otimes 1) \, u$. From here we obtain $\mathcal{L}(a) \otimes 1 = \delta_a \otimes 1 + \delta_a^* \otimes 1 = u \, (\delta_a, + \delta_a^*) \, u^* = u \, \mathcal{L}(a') \, u$,

hence \ll (a) \otimes 1 and \ll (a') are unitarily equivalent.

2.3. COROLLARY. With the conditions of Lemma 2.2 , if $K \neq 0$ we have the equality

$$\mathcal{O}(a', H \otimes K) = \mathcal{O}(a, H)$$

<u>Proof</u> Indeed, by Lemma 2.2, $\mathscr{L}(a')$ is invertible if and only if $\mathscr{L}(a) \otimes 1$ is invertible, hence if and only if $\mathscr{L}(a)$ is invertible (see, for example, [5, Lemma 4.1]).

Proof of Theorem 2.1. We may write $\sigma(\tilde{a}, H) \subset \sigma(\tilde{a}_1, H) \times ... \times \sigma(\tilde{a}_n, H) = \sigma(a_1, H_1) \times ... \times \sigma(a_n, H_n),$

the last equality being true by virtue of [5, Lemma 4.1], therefore we have one inclusion in (2.1).

The reverse inclusion will be proved by induction with respect to n. For n=1 the relation (2.1) is trivial. Assume that the property is valid for n and let us get it for n+1. Let H_{n+1} be an arbitrary Hilbert space and take $a_{n+1} \in \mathcal{L}(H_{n+1})$. Denote by H' the space $H_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes H_n \otimes H_{n+1} = H \otimes H_{n+1}$ and by s' the system of indeterminates $(s_1, \ldots, s_n, s_{n+1}) = s \cup (s_{n+1})$. Consider the systems of operators $\widetilde{a}' = (\widetilde{a}'_1, \ldots, \widetilde{a}'_n)$ and $\widetilde{b} = (\widetilde{a}'_1, \ldots, \widetilde{a}'_n, \widetilde{a}'_{n+1})$, with $\widetilde{a}'_j \in \mathcal{L}(H')$, where $\widetilde{a}'_j = 1 \otimes \ldots \otimes 1 \otimes a_j \otimes 1 \otimes \ldots \otimes 1$ $(j=1,\ldots,n+1)$.

Notice that we have the decomposition

$$(2.2) \quad \bigwedge \left[s', H' \right] = \bigwedge \left[s, H \right] + \widetilde{s}_{n+1} \bigwedge \left[s, H' \right] ,$$
 where $\widetilde{s}_{n+1} \in \mathcal{C} \left(\bigwedge \left[s', H' \right] \right)$ is given by
$$\widetilde{s}_{n+1} \left(\mathcal{F} \right) = s_{n+1} \wedge \mathcal{F} , \qquad \mathcal{F} \in \bigwedge \left[s', H' \right] .$$

Then the matrix of swith respect to the decomposition (2.2) has the form

$$\int_{\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}} = \left(\int_{\widetilde{\mathbf{a}}}, \quad 0 \right)$$

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{a}}_{n+1}, \widetilde{\mathbf{s}}_{n+1}, \quad \widetilde{\mathbf{a}}_{\widetilde{\mathbf{a}}}, \quad \overline{\mathbf{a}}_{n+1}$$

therefore

$$(2.3) \quad \cancel{\mathcal{L}}(\hat{b}) = \begin{pmatrix} \cancel{\mathcal{L}}(\hat{a}') & & & & & & \\ & \cancel{\mathcal{L}}(\hat{a}') & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & \\ & & & \\$$

According to the proof of Lemma 2.2, the space \bigwedge [s, H'] can be identified with \bigwedge [s, H] \bigotimes H_{n+1} and the operator \swarrow (\widetilde{a}) with \swarrow (\widetilde{a}) \bigotimes 1.

Analogously, the operator \tilde{a}'_{n+1} \tilde{s}'_{n+1} can be identified with \tilde{s}'_{n+1} \otimes a_{n+1} , therefore the matrix (2.3) is unitarily equivalent to the matrix

$$(2.4) \qquad \begin{pmatrix} \swarrow(\widetilde{a}) \otimes 1 & \widetilde{s}_{n+1}^* \otimes a_{n+1}^* \\ \widetilde{s}_{n+1} \otimes a_{n+1} & \swarrow(\widetilde{a}) \otimes 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

defined on the space (\bigwedge [s, H] \bigotimes H_{n+1}) \bigoplus (\widetilde{s}_{n+1} \bigwedge [s, H] \bigotimes H_{n+1}). In this way, if b is nonsingular on H' then both (2.4) and

$$(2.5) \qquad \left(\begin{array}{cccc} \swarrow(\widetilde{a}) \otimes 1 & & \widetilde{s}_{n+1}^* \otimes a_{n+1} \\ & & & \\ \widetilde{s}_{n+1} \otimes a_{n+1}^* & & \swarrow(\widetilde{a}) \otimes 1 \end{array}\right)$$

are invertible, where (2.5) is (2.4) written for b, which is also nonsingular.

In order to prove the inclusion

$$\mathcal{O}(a_1, H_1) \times \ldots \times \mathcal{O}(a_n, H_n) \times \mathcal{O}(a_{n+1}, H_{n+1}) \subset \mathcal{O}(b, H^1),$$

it will be enough to show that if

 $(0,\dots,0)\ \in\ \mathcal{O}(a_1^{},H_1^{})\ x\ \dots\ x\ \mathcal{O}\ (a_n^{},H_n^{})\ x\ \mathcal{O}\ (a_{n+1}^{},\ H_{n+1}^{})$ then $(0,\dots,0)\ \in\ \mathcal{O}\ (b,H')$. Since we have, in particular, that $(0,\dots,0)\ \in\ \mathcal{O}\ (a_1^{},H_1^{})\ x\ \dots\ x\ \mathcal{O}\ (a_n^{},H_n^{})$, we obtain,by the induction hypothesis, that $(0,\dots,0)\ \in\ \mathcal{O}\ (a_n^{},H_n^{})$. At the same time, we have also $0\ \in\ \mathcal{O}\ (a_{n+1}^{},\ H_{n+1}^{})$.

Suppose, however, that \hat{b} is nonsingular, hence $\not\sim$ (\hat{b}) is invertible, and let us show that this assumption leads to a contradiction. We have to discuss some cases.

i) If
$$a$$
 (a) A [s , H] = A [s , H] and
$$a_{n+1} H_{n+1} = H_{n+1} \quad \text{then there are two sequences } \{ f_k \}_k \subset A [s, H] ,$$

$$// f_k // = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \{ \gamma_k \}_k \subset H_{n+1} , // \gamma_k // = 1, \text{ such that } \mathcal{L}(a) f_k \to 0$$
 and $a_{n+1} \gamma_k \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$ Then the sequence

has the properties

$$//(f_k \otimes \gamma_k) \oplus 0 // = //f_k \otimes \gamma_k // = //f_k // // \gamma_k // = 1$$
 and

and the s is not possible because (2.4) is invertible.

ii) If $(a) \wedge (a) \wedge (a) \wedge (a) = (a) \wedge (a) \wedge (a) \wedge (a) = (a) \wedge (a) \wedge (a) \wedge (a) \wedge (a) = (a) \wedge (a)$

 $(\smallint_k \otimes \gamma) \oplus 0 \in (\Lambda [s,H] \otimes H_{n+1}) \oplus (\mathring{s_{n+1}} \wedge [s,H] \otimes H_{n+1})$ has the properties $/\!/(\smallint_k \otimes \gamma) \oplus 0 /\!/ = 1$ and

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}(\widetilde{a}) \otimes 1 & \widetilde{s}_{n+1}^* \otimes a_{n+1} \\ \\ \widetilde{s}_{n+1} \otimes a_{n+1}^* & \mathcal{A}(\widetilde{a}) \otimes 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \xi_k \otimes 7 \\ \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow 0 \quad (k \to \infty),$$

which is a contradiction since (2.5) is invertible.

iii) If Ker $\not \sim$ (a) * = Ker $\not \sim$ (a) \neq 0 and $\overline{a_{n+1}} \stackrel{H}{}_{n+1} = \stackrel{H}{}_{n+1}$ then there is a vector $\not \in \bigwedge [s,H]$, $\not |f| = 1$, such that $\not \sim$ (a) $\not = 0$ and a sequence $\{\gamma_k\}_k \stackrel{H}{}_{n+1}$ as at the case (i). A similar argument with that used at the case (i) shows that this situation is again impossible.

iv) If Ker \ll (a) \neq 0 and Ker $a_{n+1}^* \neq$ 0 then \ll (a) f = 0 and $a_{n+1}^* ? = 0$ for some $f \in \Lambda$ [s, H] and $f \in H_n$ with $\|f\| = \|f\| = 1$ and then the vector ($f \in \mathcal{F}_n ? ?) \oplus 0 \neq 0$ is an eigenvalue of the matrix (2.5), which contradicts the nonsingularity of \tilde{b} .

In this manner we have proved that the non-invertibility of both \measuredangle (\widetilde{a}) and a_{n+1} implies the non-invertibility of \measuredangle (\widetilde{b}) and this finishes the proof of our theorem.

2.4. COROLLARY. With the conditions of Theorem 2.1, if f(z) is any analytic function in an open neighbourhood G of $\sigma(\bar{a}, H)$ in C^n then there is a system of open sets (G_1, \ldots, G_n) in C such that

$$\sigma(\widetilde{a}, H) \subset G_1 \times ... \times G_n \subset G,$$

and a system of finite families of Jordan curves $(\Gamma_1,\ldots,\Gamma_n),\ \Gamma_j$ surrounding

$$\mathcal{O}(a_{j}, H_{j}), \quad \int_{j}^{r} \subset G_{j} \quad (j=1, \ldots, n) \quad \underline{\text{with the property}} \\
(2.6) \quad f(\widetilde{a}_{1}, \ldots, \widetilde{a}_{n}) = \frac{1}{(2 \mathcal{N}_{i})^{n}} \quad \int_{f_{i}}^{r} \ldots \int_{f_{n}}^{r} f(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}) \quad x \\
x \quad (z_{1}-a_{1})^{-1} \quad \underline{(x)} \quad (z_{2}-a_{2})^{-1} \quad \underline{(x)} \quad \ldots \quad \underline{(x_{n}-a_{n})^{-1}} \quad dz_{1} \ldots dz_{n},$$

where the left term of (2.6) is calculated by any functional calculus with analytic functions [8].

<u>Proof.</u> The existence of the sets G_1, \ldots, G_n and of the curves I_1, \ldots, I_n follows from the equality (2.1).

It is clear that the right term of (2.6) defines a functional calculus with analytic functions in the open set $G_1 \times \ldots \times G_n \supset \sigma$ (a, H). Since $G_1 \times \ldots \times G_n$ is a holomorphy domain, it follows from a result of J.L. Taylor [9, Prop. 4.8]

that the functional calculus is uniquely determined, hence (2.6) holds (see also [4] for some connections).

2.5. COROLLARY. With the conditions of Corollary 2.4 we have the formula

(2.7)
$$\sigma(f(\widetilde{a_1},\ldots,\widetilde{a_n}) = f(\sigma(a_1,H_1),\ldots,\sigma(a_n,H_n)).$$

Proof. The formula (2.7) follows from the spectral mapping theorem [8, Th.4.8].

2.6. COROLLARY. If $a_j \in \mathcal{L}(H_j)$ are arbitrary (j = 1, ..., n) and $H = H_1 \otimes ... \otimes H_n$ then

(2.8)
$$\mathcal{O}(a_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes a_n, H) = \mathcal{O}(a_1, H_1) \times \ldots \mathcal{O}(a_n, H_n)$$
 [1]

<u>Proof.</u> The formula (2.8) is a particular case of (2.7) with $f(z_1, \ldots, z_n) = z_1 \cdots z_n$, by noticing that $a_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes a_n = \widetilde{a}_1 \ldots \widetilde{a}_n$.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Brown and C. Pearcy, Spectra of tensor products of operators,

 Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 17 (1966), 162 166.
- [2] N. Bourbaki, Théories spectrales, Hermann, Paris, 1967.
- [3] A.T. Dash and M. Schechter, <u>Tensor products and joint spectra</u>, Israel J. Math , 8(1970), 191 193.
- [4] M.R. Embry and M.Rosenblum, Spectra, tensor products, and linear operator equations, Pacific J. Math., 53 (1974), 95 107.
- [5] T. Ichinope, On the spectra of tensor products of linear operators in

 Banach spaces, J. Reine Angew. Math., 244 (1970), 119 153.
- [6] R. Schatten, A theory of cross spaces, Princetown, 1950.
- [7] J. L. Taylor, A joint spectrum for several commuting operators,

 J. Funct. Analysis, 6 (1970), 172 191.
- [8] , The analytic functional calculus for several commuting operators, Acta math., 125 (1970), 1 38.
- [9] , A general framework for a multi-operator functional calculus, Advances in Math., 9 (1972), 183 252.
- [10] F.-H. Vasilescu, A characterization of the joint spectrum in Hilbert spaces, Rev. Roum. Math. Pures Appl. (to appear).
- [11] , On pairs of commuting operators (to appear).

