

INSTITUTUL
DE
MATEMATICĂ

INSTITUTUL NAȚIONAL
PENTRU CREAȚIE
ȘTIINȚIFICĂ ȘI TEHNICĂ

ABSTRACT BEURLING SPACES OF CLASS (M_p)
AND ULTRADISTRIBUTION SEMI – GROUPS

by
IOANA CIORANESCU

PREPRINT SERIES IN MATHEMATICS

No.10/1977



BUCUREȘTI

mat 14684

ABSTRACTS OF THE
AND OUTSTANDING WORKS - GROUP

BY

JOANA CIOABĂ

NO. 10/1977

ABSTRACT BEURLING SPACES OF CLASS (Mp)
AND ULTRADISTRIBUTION SEMI – GROUPS

by

IOANA CIORANESCU*

April 1977

**) National Institute for Scientific and Technical Creation, Calea Victoriei 114, Bucharest 22, Romania.*

Abstract Beurling spaces of class (M_p) and
ultradistribution semi-groups.

by Ioana Cioranescu.

In this work we associate to the generator of a "regular" Beurling ultradistribution semi-group of class (M_p) in a Banach space X a Fréchet space $X^{(M_p)}$ which is dense in X and on which the ultradistribution semi-group coincide with a locally-equicontinuous semi-group of operators in $\mathcal{L}(X^{(M_p)})$. We extend so to ultradistribution semi-groups a well-known result of T.Ushijima [16] concerning distribution semi-groups.

The notion of distribution semi-group was introduced by L.J.Lions in [14] and that of ultradistribution semi-group by J.Chazarain in [3] and [4].

T.Ushijima [16] (see also [7]) established that a distribution semi-group coincide on a dense Fréchet subspace Y of the Banach space X with a locally equicontinuous semi-group of operators in $\mathcal{L}(Y)$, which is in addition infinitely differentiable on $(0, +\infty)$.

The purpose of this work is to extend to ultradistribution semi-groups the above result of T.Ushijima. We define the notion of "regularity" of an ultradistribution semi-group, which is automatically verified by distribution semi-groups and fails in the case of ultradistribution semi-groups because of specific problems arising with ultradistributions with support $\{0\}$. Corresponding to a regular Beurling ultradistribution semi-group of class (M_p) we define a dense subspace of X as a projective limit of some Banach spaces in which the considered ultradistribution semi-group coincides with a locally equicontinuous semi-group of operators satisfying the Beurling type condition. This is the ultradistributional analogue of the mentioned result of T.Ushijima.

On the other hand, R. Beals [1], [2] and Ju. I. Ljubic [12], looked for conditions on operators such that the abstract Cauchy problem is solvable in a dense subspace. In [2] an abstract Gevrey space is constructed, corresponding to the particular case of the sequence $M_p = p^{pd}$, $d > 1$, as an inductive limit of some Banach spaces; in the general case no intrinsic characterisation of the space of "initial conditions" is given.

The ultradistributional point of view and the consideration of abstract Beurling spaces of class (M_p) permit us to precise and generalise results from [1], [2] and [12]. Moreover our abstract Beurling spaces are Fréchet spaces with families of norms implying a certain smoothness property of the solution of the abstract Cauchy problem.

Finally I want to thank Dr. L. Zsidó, who proved Lemma 3.2.

§ 1. Preliminaries.

For ultradistributions we use the notations and results from [8].

Let $\{M_p\}_{p \geq 0}$ be a sequence of positive numbers with the properties:

(M.1) (logarithmic convexity)

$$M_p^2 \leq M_{p-1} M_{p+1} \quad , \quad p=1,2,\dots;$$

(M.2) (stability under ultradifferential operators) There are positive constants A and $H \geq 1$ such that

$$M_p \leq A H^p \min_{0 \leq q \leq p} M_q M_{p-q} \quad , \quad p=0,1,\dots;$$

(M.3) (strong non-quasi-analyticity) There is a positive constant A such that

$$\sum_{q=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{M_{q-1}}{M_q} \leq A p \frac{M_p}{M_{p+1}} \quad , \quad p=1,2,\dots$$

An example of such a sequence is the Gevrey sequence $M_p = p^{pd}$, $d > 1$.

We denote by $m_p = M_p / M_{p-1}$, $p=1,2,\dots$; then (M.1) is equivalent to saying that the sequence $\{m_p\}_{p \geq 1}$ is increasing.

(M.3) implies the non-quasi-analyticity of the sequence $\{M_p\}_{p \geq 0}$, that is

$$(M.3)' \quad \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{M_{p-1}}{M_p} < +\infty$$

or equivalently $\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} 1/m_p < +\infty$.

We shall suppose $M_0 = 1$ and define the associated function on $(0, +\infty)$

$$M(t) = \sup_{p \geq 0} \ln \frac{t^p}{M_p}$$

Then $M(t)$ is increasing, vanishes for sufficiently small $t > 0$ and

$$(1.1) \quad \int_0^{\infty} \frac{M(t)}{t^2} dt < \infty; t^k \leq \text{const.} e^{M(t)} \quad , \quad \frac{M(t)}{t^k} \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \infty} 0 \quad , \quad k \in \mathbb{N}$$

Moreover (M.2) is equivalent to saying that

$$(1.2) \quad e^{2M(t)} \leq A e^{M(Ht)}$$

Inductively, (M.2) implies

$$(1.3) \quad e^{(k+1)M(t)} \leq A^k e^{M(H^k t)} \quad , \quad k \in \mathbb{N}$$

Let $h > 0$ and $K \subset \mathbb{R}$ a compact set; we put

$$\mathcal{D}_K^{\{M_p\},h} = \left\{ \varphi \in C_0^\infty(K); \sup_{\substack{p \geq 0 \\ t \in K}} \frac{h^p |\varphi^{(p)}(t)|}{M_p} < +\infty \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{E}_K^{\{M_p\},h} = \left\{ \varphi \in C^\infty(K); \sup_{\substack{p \geq 0 \\ t \in K}} \frac{h^p |\varphi^{(p)}(t)|}{M_p} < +\infty \right\}$$

For an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}$, we denote by

$$\mathcal{D}_\Omega^{(M_p)} = \varprojlim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \varinjlim_{K \subset \Omega} \mathcal{D}_K^{\{M_p\},h} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{E}_\Omega^{(M_p)} = \varprojlim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \varinjlim_{K \subset \Omega} \mathcal{E}_K^{\{M_p\},h}$$

Then the dual $\mathcal{D}'^{(M_p)}$ of the space $\mathcal{D}_\mathbb{R}^{(M_p)}$ is the space of Beurling ultradistributions of class (M_p) and the dual $\mathcal{E}'^{(M_p)}$ of the space $\mathcal{E}_\mathbb{R}^{(M_p)}$ is the space of Beurling ultradistributions of class (M_p) with compact support (we omit to write Ω when $\Omega = \mathbb{R}$).

Further we put

$$\mathcal{D}_0^{(M_p)} = \mathcal{D}_{(0,\infty)}^{(M_p)} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{D}_-^{(M_p)} = \mathcal{E}^{(M_p)} \cap \mathcal{D}_-$$

where $\mathcal{D}_-$ is the space of infinitely differentiable functions with the support bounded above.

We define the Fourier-Laplace transform for $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^{(M_p)}$ by

$$\tilde{\varphi}(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(t) e^{zt} dt \quad \text{and for } T \in \mathcal{E}'^{(M_p)} \quad \text{by} \quad \tilde{T}(z) = T(e^{zt}), z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Then we have the following Paley-Wiener type theorem [5], [15]:

Theorem 1.1. i) Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_K^{(M_p)}$; then for any $L > 0$ there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$(1.4) \quad |\tilde{\varphi}(z)| \leq C e^{-M(L|z|) + H_K(z)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C},$$

where $H_K(z) = \sup_{t \in K} (t \operatorname{Re} z)$.

ii) Let $T \in \mathcal{E}'^{(M_p)}$, $\operatorname{supp} T \subset [-a, a]$; then there are positive constants L and C such that

$$(1.5) \quad |\tilde{T}(t)| \leq C e^{M(L|t|)}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

and $T(z)$ is of exponential type $\leq a$ on $\mathbb{C}$.

This theorem has also a converse, that is the relations (1.4) and (1.5) completely characterise Fourier-Laplace transforms of elements in $\mathcal{D}^{(M_p)}$ or $\mathcal{E}'^{(M_p)}$ (in the above facts $\{M_p\}$ satisfies (M.1) and (M.3)).

If X is a Banach space, we shall denote by $\mathcal{D}^{(M_p)}(X)$ and $\mathcal{E}^{(M_p)}(X)$ the corresponding X -valued ultradifferentiable function spaces and

we call X -valued ultradistributions of class (M_p) and of Beurling type the elements of the space $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D}^{(M_p)}; X)$, endowed with the topology of the uniform convergence on bounded sets.

Definition 1.2. An operator of the form $P(D) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} a_p D^p$, $a_p \in \mathbb{C}$, $D = d/dt$ whose coefficients satisfy :

there are positive constants l and c such that

$$|a_p| \leq c \cdot l^p / M_p, \quad p=0,1,\dots,$$

is called an ultradifferential operator of class (M_p) .

Every ultradifferential operator is a linear, continuous operator from $\mathcal{D}^{(M_p)}$ in $\mathcal{D}^{(M_p)}$ and from $\mathcal{E}^{(M_p)}$ in $\mathcal{E}^{(M_p)}$, preserving the support, if the sequence $\{M_p\}$ satisfies (M.1), (M.2) and (M.3)'.

Let us denote by

$$\omega(z) = \prod_{p=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{iz}{m_p}\right);$$

this entire function of exponential type zero [8] will play an important role in the present work. In fact, if the sequence $\{M_p\}$ satisfies (M.3), by Proposition 4.6 from [8], the operators

$$\omega(lD) = \prod_{p=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{ilD}{m_p}\right), \quad l \in \mathbb{C}$$

are ultradifferential operators of class (M_p) and their action is given by

$$\widetilde{\omega(lD) \varphi(z)} = \omega(-lz) \widetilde{\varphi(z)}.$$

Moreover (Proposition 4.5, [8]) there are constants $l_0 \geq 1$ and $c_0 > 0$ such that

$$(1.6) \quad |\omega(z)| \leq c_0 e^{M(l_0 |z|)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let us put

$$\omega^n(z) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} a_{n,p} z^p;$$

then by (1.6) and (1.3) we have

$$|\omega^n(z)| \leq c_0 A^{n-1} e^{M(l_0 H^{n-1} |z|)}.$$

Using the Cauchy integral formula to compute the coefficients $a_{n,p}$, we get:

$$(1.7) \quad |a_{n,p}| \leq \text{const.} (l_0 H^{n-1})^p / M_p, \quad p=0,1,\dots$$

So the operators $\omega^n(1D)$, $1 \in \mathbb{C}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, are ultradifferential of class (M_p) , as long as condition (M.3) is fulfilled.

Let further X be a Banach space and A a closed densely defined operator; we denote by $D(A)$ the domain of A and endow it with the graph norm. Then $A \in \mathcal{L}(D(A); X)$. Let us define $D(A^\infty) = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} D(A^n)$ and $\|x\|_n = \sum_{j=0}^n \|A^j x\|$, for $x \in D(A^\infty)$. By the closedness of A , the set $D(A^\infty)$ can be considered as a Fréchet space with the topology determined by the norms $\|\cdot\|_n$. Denote this space by Y and the closure of $D(A^\infty)$ in $\|\cdot\|_n$ by Y_n . Then by [16], Proposition 1.2 and 1.3 we have

Proposition 1.3. If $\mathcal{S}(A) \neq 0$, then :

- i) A^n coincides with the closure of $(A|Y)^n$ in X ;
- ii) $Y_n = D(A^n)$ as topological spaces, if $D(A^n)$ has the graph topology;
- iii) $Y = \varprojlim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Y_n$.

For the closed and densely defined operator A and $x_0 \in X$ the abstract Cauchy problem (ACP) is to find an X -valued function $u(t)$, $t \geq 0$, such that $u(0) = x_0$, $u(t) \in D(A)$ for $t > 0$, $u(t)$ is strongly differentiable for $t > 0$ and verifies : $u'(t) = Au(t)$.

The study of the (ACP) is closely connected with the theory of continuous semi-groups of operators, the theory of distribution semi-groups and ultradistribution semi-groups.

For semi-groups in a locally convex space Z we use the notations and definitions from [9].

So the semi group $\{U_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is called equicontinuous on $[0, s] \subset [0, +\infty)$ if for every continuous semi-norm p on Z , there is a continuous semi-norm q such that

$$p(U_t x) \leq q(x), \quad t \in [0, s], \quad x \in Z.$$

$\{U_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is locally equicontinuous if it is equicontinuous on each interval $[0, s]$, $s > 0$.

We recall that if Z is barrelled, then every continuous semi group $\{U_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ of linear, continuous operators on Z is locally-equicontinuous.

L.J. Lions studied the (ACP) in the space of operator valued distri-

butions defining the notion of distribution semi-group [11].

J. Chazarain in [3] and [4] extended the results of L.J. Lions to ultradistributions. So he introduced the

Definition 1.4. Let A be a closed and densely defined operator in a Banach space X and $\{M_p\}_{p \geq 0}$ a sequence of positive numbers verifying (M.1), (M.2) and (M.3); $A \in C^{(M_p)}$ if there is $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D}_{(M_p)}; \mathcal{L}(X; D(A)))$, $\text{supp } \mathcal{E} \subset [0, +\infty)$ such that

$$(1.8) \quad \left(\frac{d}{dt} - A\right) * \mathcal{E} = \delta_0 \otimes I_X ; \quad \mathcal{E} * \left(\frac{d}{dt} - A\right) = \delta_0 \otimes I_{D(A)} .$$

$\mathcal{E}$ is called the (M_p) -ultradistribution semi-group generated by A .

Let $l > 0$; we say that a region in $\mathbb{C}$ is (M_p) -logarithmic of type 1 if it has the form

$$\Lambda_1 = \{ z; \text{Re } z \geq aM(1 + |\text{Im } z|) + b \}$$

for some $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$.

Then we have the following spectral characterisation of generators of (M_p) -ultradistribution semi-groups (when (M.1), (M.2), (M.3) hold):

Theorem 1.5. [4] $A \in C^{(M_p)}$ if and only if there is a constant $l \gg 1$ and an (M_p) -logarithmic region of type 1 where $R(z; A)$ exists and satisfies for each $\varepsilon > 0$

$$(1.9) \quad \| R(z; A) \| = O(e^{M(1+|z|) + \varepsilon \text{Re } z}) .$$

The relation between $\mathcal{E}$ and $R(z; A)$ is given by

$$(1.10) \quad \mathcal{E}(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_1} \tilde{\varphi}(z) R(z; A) dz, \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}_{(M_p)},$$

where Γ_1 is the boundary of Λ_1 .

If $\mathcal{E}$ is an (M_p) -ultradistribution semi-group and $x \in X$, we denote by $\mathcal{E}_x$ the X -valued ultradistribution defined by

$$\mathcal{E}_x(\varphi) = \mathcal{E}(\varphi)x, \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}_{(M_p)} ;$$

then $\mathcal{E}_x$ verifies :

$$(1.11) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}_x - A \mathcal{E}_x = \delta_0 \otimes x .$$

Let us finally put $\mathcal{E}^*(\varphi) = [\mathcal{E}(\varphi)]^*$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_{(M_p)}$; then if $A \in C^{(M_p)}$, the adjoint operator A^* is the generator of the dual ultradistribution semi-group $\mathcal{E}^*$ (we note that $D(A^*)$ is X^* -dense in X^*)

§ 2. Regular (M_p) -ultradistribution semi-groups.

Let X be a Banach space, $\{M_p\}_{p \geq 0}$ a sequence of positive numbers satisfying (M.1), (M.2) and (M.3)' and A a closed, densely defined operator in $C^{(M_p)}$; if $\mathcal{E}$ is the (M_p) -ultradistribution generated by A , we put

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{E}} = \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{n_j} \mathcal{E}(\varphi_j) x_j ; \varphi_j \in \mathcal{D}_0^{(M_p)}, x_j \in X, n_j \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{E}} = \left\{ x \in X ; \mathcal{E}(\varphi)x = 0, \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}_0^{(M_p)} \right\}$$

Contrary to the case of distribution semi-groups, the relations

$$\overline{\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{E}}} = X \text{ and } \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{E}} = \{0\}$$

aren't evident and therefore we put

Definition 2.1. An (M_p) -ultradistribution semi-group is called regular if $\overline{\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{E}}} = X$ and $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{E}} = \{0\}$.

Let us further define

$$\mathcal{L}_A = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} z \rightarrow R(z; A)x \text{ has an entire extension } z \rightarrow S_z x \\ x \in X; \text{ of exponential type zero such that for } t \in \mathbb{R} \\ \|S_t x\|_{(M_p)} = O(e^{M(L|t|)}), \text{ for some positive constant } L. \end{array} \right\}$$

Lemma 2.2. Let $A \in C^{(M_p)}$ and $\mathcal{E}$ the (M_p) -ultradistribution semi-group generated by A ; then for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$ we have

$$S_z \mathcal{L}_A \subset \mathcal{L}_A \cap D(A) \text{ and } \mathcal{L}_A = \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{E}}.$$

Proof. If $z \in \mathcal{P}(A)$, then it is clear that $S_z \mathcal{L}_A \subset \mathcal{L}_A$. Let $x \in \mathcal{L}_A$ and $x^* \in X^*$; $x^* = 0$ on $\mathcal{L}_A$; then $z \rightarrow \langle x^*, S_z x \rangle$ is an entire function which vanishes on $\mathcal{P}(A)$, so that $\langle x^*, S_z x \rangle \equiv 0$. By a simple consequence of the Hahn-Banach theorem, we obtain the fact that $S_z x \in \mathcal{L}_A$ for each $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

Let further $x \in D(A^*)$; for $z \in \mathcal{P}(A)$, we have

$$(2.1) \quad \langle A^* x^*, S_z x \rangle = \langle x^*, z S_z x - x \rangle$$

and as both functions in this equality are entire, (2.1) holds for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$. But this implies

$$(2.2) \quad S_z x \in D(A^*) = D(A) \text{ and } A S_z x = z S_z x - x, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Thus the first part of the Lemma is proved.

Let now be $x \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{E}}$; then the ultradistribution $\mathcal{E}x$ has the support

$\{0\}$ and by (1.11) it satisfies

$$(z - A) \tilde{\mathcal{E}}x(z) = x, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Hence putting $S_z x = \tilde{\mathcal{E}}x(z)$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$, using Theorem 1.1. we get $x \in \mathcal{L}_A$.

Further let be $x \in \mathcal{L}_A$; by the converse of Theorem 1.1., there is $T_x \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}^{(M_p)}; X)$, $\text{supp } T_x = \{0\}$, such that $T_x(z) = S_z x$. By (2.2) we have

$$(z-A)S_z x = (z-A)T_x(z) = x,$$

so that

$$\left(\frac{d}{dt} - A\right)T_x = x.$$

But $\mathcal{E}x$ is the unique solution of the above equation, so that $\mathcal{E}x = T_x$. This implies $\mathcal{E}(\varphi)z = 0$, $\forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}_c^{(M_p)}$, hence $x \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{E}}$.

q.e.d.

Proposition 2.3. An (M_p) -ultradistribution semi-group is regular if and only if $\mathcal{L}_A = \mathcal{L}_{A^*} = \{0\}$.

Proof. As $\mathcal{E}^*$ is an (M_p) -ultradistribution semi-group too, by the above Lemma $\mathcal{L}_{A^*} = \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{E}^*}$.

The proof is complete if we remark that $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{E}^*} = \{0\}$ if and only if $\overline{\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{E}}} = X$.

q.e.d.

Next we shall give some examples of regular (M_p) -ultradistribution semi-groups.

Proposition 2.4. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{(M_p)}$ such that for $z \in \mathcal{P}(A)$, $R(z; A)$ and $R(z; A)^*$ have no nontrivial invariant subspace on which they are quasinilpotent; then A is the generator of a regular (M_p) -ultradistribution semi-group.

Proof. We shall verify that $\mathcal{L}_A = \mathcal{L}_{A^*} = \{0\}$.

Let us suppose $\mathcal{L}_A \neq \{0\}$ and $x \in \mathcal{L}_A$; from the resolvent equation results

$$S_\lambda x - S_z x = (z - \lambda)S_\lambda S_z x, \quad z, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Let $z \in \mathcal{P}(A)$; S_z^{-1} exists and satisfies on $\mathcal{L}_A$

$$(2.3) \quad S_z^{-1}S_\lambda = I + (z - \lambda)S_\lambda, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}.$$

For $\lambda \neq 0$ we put

$$(\lambda - S_Z)^{-1} = \lambda^{-1} S_Z^{-1} S_Z \lambda^{-1}.$$

Then using (2.3) we get

$$(\lambda - S_Z)^{-1} (\lambda - S_Z) = (\lambda - S_Z) (\lambda - S_Z)^{-1} = I$$

so that $R(z; A) |_{\mathcal{L}_A} = S_Z$ is quasinilpotent, which is impossible.

By a similar argument we obtain $\mathcal{L}_{A^*} = \{0\}$.

q.e.d.

Remark 2.5. The condition

" $R(z; A)^*$ has no nontrivial invariant subspaces on which it is quasinilpotent "

was required in [11] for the density of initial conditions of the (ACP) for a class of operators whose resolvents exist at least in a half plane and satisfy certain estimations.

Another example is furnished by

Proposition 2.6. Let A be a closed densely defined operator such that $R(z; A)$ exists in an (M_p) -logarithmic region of type 1, Λ_ℓ and satisfies

$$(2.4) \quad \|R(z; A)\| \leq \text{const.} (1 + |z|)^N, \quad \text{for an } N \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then A generates a regular (M_p) -ultradistribution semi-group.

Proof. For $x \in D(A^{N+2})$, we have

$$(2.5) \quad R(z; A)x = \sum_{j=0}^{N+1} \frac{A^j x}{z^{j+1}} + \frac{R(z; A)A^{N+2}x}{z^{N+2}}.$$

Let $\{\varphi_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{D}_0^{(M_p)}$, $\varphi_k \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} \delta_0$ in $\mathcal{E}'^{(M_p)}$; then $\tilde{\varphi}_k(z) \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} 1$ uniformly on bounded subsets in $\mathbb{C}$.

We can suppose $0 \notin \Lambda_\ell$. By (1.4) and (2.5), we have

$$(2.6) \quad \mathcal{E}(\varphi_k)x = \sum_{j=0}^{N+1} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_\ell} \frac{\tilde{\varphi}_k(z) A^j x}{z^{j+1}} dz + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_\ell} \frac{\tilde{\varphi}_k(z) R(z; A) A^{N+2} x}{z^{N+2}} dz$$

Using the estimation (1.4) we can easily verify that $\tilde{\varphi}_k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, are holomorphic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Lambda_1$ and $O(|z|^{-2})$ at ∞ ; so applying the Cauchy integral formula, we obtain

$$\int_{\Gamma_\ell} \frac{\tilde{\varphi}_k(z) A^j x}{z^{j+1}} dz = \left(\frac{d}{dz} \right)^j \tilde{\varphi}_k(z) A^j x \Big|_{z=0}, \quad j=0, 1, \dots, N+1.$$

Hence, when $k \rightarrow \infty$ the terms for $1 \leq j \leq N+1$ in (2.6) converge to zero and we get

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}(\varphi_k)x = x + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_e} \frac{R(z; A) \Lambda^{N+2} x}{z^{N+2}} dz.$$

But by (2.4), the integrand which is holomorphic in Λ_1 , is $O(|z|^{-2})$ at ∞ , so that the above integral vanishes.

Finally we obtain

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}(\varphi_k)x = x$$

and hence $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{E}}$ is dense in $D(\Lambda^{N+2})$. But $D(\Lambda^{N+2})$ is dense in X , so we get $\overline{\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{E}}} = X$. With the mention that $D(A^*)$ is X -dense in X^* , the operator A^* verifies similar conditions with A , thus $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{E}^*}$ is X -dense in X^* ; but this implies $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{E}} = \{0\}$.

q.e.d.

Remark 2.6. In [2] R. Beals considered operators for which $R(z; A)$ exist in the region

$$\operatorname{Re} z \geq \text{const.} |\operatorname{Im} z|^\alpha, \text{ for some } \alpha < 1$$

and satisfies there the estimation 2.2.

As for $M_p = p^{pd}$, $d = \alpha^{-1}$, $M(t) = t^\alpha$, it is clear that these operators are generators of regular (p^{pd}) -ultradistribution semi-groups.

We next state the following basic property:

Lemma 2.7. If $\mathcal{E}$ is an (M_p) -ultradistribution semi-group, then $\mathcal{E}(\varphi * \psi) = \mathcal{E}(\varphi) \mathcal{E}(\psi) = \mathcal{E}(\psi) \mathcal{E}(\varphi)$, $\forall \varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{D}_0^{(M_p)}$.

Proof. Using the fact that $\widetilde{\varphi * \psi} = \widetilde{\varphi} \widetilde{\psi}$ and (1.10), we need only to verify

$$2\pi i \int_{\Gamma_e} \widetilde{\varphi}(z) \widetilde{\psi}(z) R(z; A) dz = \int_{\Gamma_e} \widetilde{\varphi}(z) R(z; A) dz \cdot \int_{\Gamma_e} \widetilde{\psi}(\lambda) R(\lambda; A) d\lambda.$$

This verification is a routine exercise in the operational calculus, but since we shall use the argument several more times, we shall sketch it.

Let Γ_1^1 be the curve $\{z+1; z \in \Gamma_1\}$ and Λ_1^1 the region at the right of Γ_1^1 ; then $\Lambda_1^1 \subset \Lambda_1$.

If $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_0^{(M_p)}$, one can easily verify that by (1.4) $\widetilde{\varphi}$ is holomorphic in Λ_1^1 and vanishes rapidly at ∞ in this region. Therefore Γ_1 can be replaced by Γ_1^1 in (1.10) and using the resolvent equation

we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Gamma_e} \tilde{\varphi}(z) R(z; A) dz \cdot \int_{\Gamma'_e} \tilde{\varphi}(\lambda) R(\lambda; A) d\lambda &= \int_{\Gamma_e} \tilde{\varphi}(z) R(z; A) dz \cdot \int_{\Gamma'_e} \tilde{\varphi}(\lambda) R(\lambda; A) d\lambda = \\ &= \int_{\Gamma_e} \int_{\Gamma'_e} \tilde{\varphi}(z) \tilde{\varphi}(\lambda) \cdot \frac{R(z; A) - R(\lambda; A)}{\lambda - z} dz d\lambda = \\ &= \int_{\Gamma_e} \tilde{\varphi}(z) R(z; A) \left[\int_{\Gamma'_e} \frac{\tilde{\varphi}(\lambda)}{\lambda - z} d\lambda \right] dz - \int_{\Gamma'_e} \tilde{\varphi}(\lambda) R(\lambda; A) \left[\int_{\Gamma_e} \frac{\tilde{\varphi}(z)}{\lambda - z} dz \right] d\lambda = \\ &= 2\pi i \int_{\Gamma_e} \tilde{\varphi}(z) \tilde{\varphi}(z) R(z; A) dz \end{aligned}$$

since the first term in brackets is the Cauchy integral formula for $\tilde{\varphi}$ and the second term vanishes by the same theorem for $\tilde{\varphi}$.

q.e.d.

By a sequence of regularisations we understand a sequence

$$\{\varphi_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{D}_0^{(M_p)}, \quad \varphi_k \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} \delta_0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{E}'^{(M_p)}.$$

Definition 2.8. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a regular (M_p) -ultradistribution semi-group and $T \in \mathcal{E}'^{(M_p)}$, $\text{supp} T \subset [0, \infty)$. We define the operator $\mathcal{E}(T)$ by:

$$D(\mathcal{E}(T)) = \left\{ x \in X; \begin{array}{l} \text{there is a sequence of regularisations } \{\varphi_k\} \\ \text{such that } \mathcal{E}(\varphi_k)x \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} x \text{ and } \mathcal{E}(T * \varphi_k)x \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} y \end{array} \right\}$$

and $\mathcal{E}(T)x = y$.

Using Lemma 2.7. and the fact that $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{E}} = \{0\}$ one can easily verify that y in the above definition is independent of the sequence $\{\varphi_k\}$.

In addition $\mathcal{E}(T)$ is densely defined because $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{E}} \subset D(\mathcal{E}(T))$ and it is preclosed.

We denote by $\overline{\mathcal{E}(T)}$ the closure of $\mathcal{E}(T)$ and endow $D(\overline{\mathcal{E}(T)})$ with the graph norm. Then the closure of $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{E}}$ in this norm is $D(\overline{\mathcal{E}(T)})$.

Moreover we have the following properties whose verification is quite simple and similar to that for distribution semi-groups:

- Proposition 2.9. i) $\overline{\mathcal{E}(T)} \mathcal{E}(\varphi)x = \mathcal{E}(T) \mathcal{E}(\varphi)x = \mathcal{E}(T * \varphi)x, x \in X, \varphi \in \mathcal{D}_0^{(M_p)}$.
 ii) $\mathcal{E}(T)|_{\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{E}}} = \overline{\mathcal{E}(T)}$.
 iii) $\mathcal{E}(T)^n \mathcal{E}(\varphi)x = \mathcal{E}(\underbrace{T * T * \dots * T}_n)x, x \in X, \varphi \in \mathcal{D}_0^{(M_p)}, n \in \mathbb{N}$.
 iv) $\mathcal{E}(\delta) = I; \mathcal{E}(-\delta') = A$.

§ 3. Abstract Beurling spaces of class (M_p) .

Let $\{M_p\}_{p \geq 0}$ be a sequence of positive numbers verifying (M.1), (M.2) and (M.3), $\omega(z) = \prod_{p=1}^{\infty} (1 + \frac{iz}{m_p})$ for $m_p = M_p / M_{p-1}$, $p=1, 2, \dots$ and let $\mathcal{E}$ a regular (M_p) -ultradistribution semi-group.

For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\omega^n(-iD)\delta_0 \in \mathcal{E}'^{(M_p)}$ and so we can consider the operators $\mathcal{E}(\omega^n(-iD)\delta_0)$ which is densely defined and closed. Moreover we have the

Proposition 3.1. If $\mathcal{E}$ is a regular (M_p) -ultradistribution semi-group, then for n sufficiently large, the operator $\mathcal{E}(\omega^n(-iD)\delta_0)$ is invertible.

In order to prove this proposition we need the following

Lemma 3.2. Let $l > 0$ and Λ_l an (M_p) -logarithmic region of type $l, m_1 \in \Lambda_l$, then there are positive constants C and $\delta \leq 1$ such that

$$|\omega(iz)| \geq C |\omega(iz)|^\delta, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \Lambda_l.$$

Proof. As $\mathbb{C} \Lambda_l$ is simply connected and $\omega'(iz)/\omega(iz)$ is holomorphic in $\mathbb{C} \Lambda_l$, the function $f(z) = \ln \omega(iz)$ is well defined in $\mathbb{C} \Lambda_l$ and $f'(z) = \omega'(iz)/\omega(iz)$. Moreover

$$(3.1) \quad e^{\operatorname{Re} f(z)} = |\omega(iz)|, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \Lambda_l.$$

Let us verify that in $\mathbb{C} \Lambda_l$

$$(3.2) \quad \lim_{|z| \rightarrow \infty} |f'(z)| = 0.$$

Indeed, $f'(z) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} 1/z - m_p$ and putting $z = |z| e^{i\theta_z}$, we have

$$(3.3) \quad |z - m_p|^2 = (|z| \cos \theta_z - m_p)^2 + |z|^2 \sin^2 \theta_z \geq m_p^2 \sin^2 \theta_z.$$

Further we remark that by (1.1), for $z \in \mathbb{C} \Lambda_l$

$$(3.4) \quad |\tan \theta_z| \rightarrow +\infty, \text{ when } |\operatorname{Im} z| \rightarrow +\infty$$

so that there is a $\theta_0 > 0$ such that $\theta_z \geq \theta_0$ for $z \in \mathbb{C} \Lambda_l$ and $|z|$ large enough.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $p_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{1}{\sin \theta_0} \sum_{p=p_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m_p} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$; then by (3.3) and (3.4) we obtain

$$|f'(z)| \leq \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|z - m_p|} + \frac{1}{\sin \theta_0} \sum_{p=p_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m_p} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon$$

if $z \in \mathcal{L}\Lambda_1$ and $|z|$ is sufficiently large. Hence (3.2) holds.

As for $\operatorname{Re} z \leq 0$, the inequality of the lemma is satisfied for $C = \delta = 1$, let us consider $z \in \mathcal{L}\Lambda_1$ with $\operatorname{Re} z > 0$. We have

$$f(z) = f(i\operatorname{Im} z) + \int_{[i\operatorname{Im} z; z]} f'(\lambda) d\lambda$$

so that

$$|f(i\operatorname{Im} z) - f(z)| \leq \sup_{\lambda \in [i\operatorname{Im} z; z]} |f'(\lambda)| \operatorname{Re} z.$$

Hence

$$\operatorname{Re} f(i\operatorname{Im} z) - \operatorname{Re} f(z) \leq \sup_{\lambda \in [i\operatorname{Im} z; z]} |f'(\lambda)| \cdot (aM(1|\operatorname{Im} z|) + b)$$

(a and b are the positive constants in the definition of the region Λ_1)

By 3.2) we can find a constant C' such that $\sup_{\lambda \in [i\operatorname{Im} z; z]} |f'(\lambda)| \leq C'$ and $1 - aC' > 0$, if $z \in \mathcal{L}\Lambda_1$ and $|z|$ is large.

Using now (3.1) and the evident facts: $|\omega(t)| = |\omega(|t|)|$, $e^{M(t)} \leq |\omega(t)|$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we get

$$\left| \frac{\omega(|\operatorname{Im} z|)}{\omega(iz)} \right| \leq e^{bC'} \cdot \omega(1|z|)^{aC'}$$

But by (3.4) there is a constant $L' > 0$ such that for $z \in \mathcal{L}\Lambda_1$, $|z|$ sufficiently large, holds

$$|\omega(L'|z|)| \leq |\omega(|\operatorname{Im} z|)|$$

so that finally we get

$$|\omega(iz)| \geq e^{-bC'} \cdot |\omega(\gamma|z|)|^{1-aC'}, \quad \gamma = \min(1, L').$$

If $\gamma \geq 1$, it is obvious that

$$|\omega(\gamma|z|)|^{1-aC'} \geq |\omega(|z|)|^{1-aC'},$$

so that we can take $\delta = 1 - aC'$.

If $\gamma < 1$, then a simple computation shows

$$|\omega(\gamma|z|)|^{1-aC'} \geq |\omega(|z|)|^{\gamma^2(1-aC')}$$

so we can take $\delta = \gamma^2(1-aC')$.

q.e.d.

Remark 3.3. From this lemma, by a routine computation follows that the function $z \rightarrow 1/\omega(iz)$ is of exponential type zero in $\mathcal{L}\Lambda_1$.

Moreover this function vanishes rapidly at ∞ in $\mathcal{L}\Lambda_1$.

Proof of Proposition 3.1. As $A \in C^{(M_p)}$, by (1.9) and (1.2), for $z \in \Gamma_1$ and $\varepsilon = 1/2a$, we have

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \|R(z; A)\| &\leq \text{const.} e^{\frac{3}{2}M(1|z|)} \leq \\ &\leq \text{const.} e^{2M(1|z|)} / z^k \leq \text{const.} e^{M(H_1|z|)} / z^k, k \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

We still remark that translating A by a convenient multiple of the identity, we can suppose $0 < b < m_1$ in the definition of Λ_1 .

Let further $\alpha \geq 1$; by (1.6) and the Bernoulli inequality, we get

$$|\omega(\alpha|z|)| \leq \omega(-i\alpha|z|) \leq \omega(-i|z|)^\alpha \leq c_0 e^{\alpha M(1_0|z|)} \leq c_0 |\omega(1_0|z|)|^\alpha$$

so that

$$(3.6) \quad |\omega(|z|)|^\alpha \geq c_0^{-1} |\omega(\alpha 1^{-1}|z|)|, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we put

$$(3.7) \quad D_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_e} \frac{R(z; A)}{\omega^n(iz)} dz;$$

then for $n > H_1 l_0 \delta^{-1}$, $D_n \in \mathcal{L}(X)$. Indeed, as H, l and l_0 are ≥ 1 , $n \delta \geq 1$ and using Lemma 3.2. and the inequalities (3.5) and (3.6), we can estimate the integrand in (3.7) as follows

$$(3.8) \quad \frac{\|R(z; A)\|}{|\omega^n(iz)|} \leq \text{const.} \frac{e^{M(H_1|z|)}}{|z|^2 |\omega^{n\delta}(|z|)|} \leq \text{const.} \frac{\omega(H_1|z|)}{|z|^2 |\omega(n\delta l_0^{-1}|z|)|} \leq \frac{\text{const.}}{|z|^2}$$

Hence if $n > H_1 l_0 \delta^{-1}$, the integral (3.7) converges and defines a bounded operator on X .

Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_0^{(M_p)}$; we have

$$\mathcal{E}(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_e} \tilde{\varphi}(z) R(z; A) dz = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_e} \tilde{\varphi}(z) \cdot \frac{R(z; A)}{\omega^n(iz)} dz,$$

where $\psi = \omega^n(-iD)\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_0^{(M_p)}$.

As the function $z \rightarrow 1/\omega^n(iz)$ is holomorphic and vanishes rapidly at ∞ in $\mathcal{L}\Lambda_1$ (see the Remark 3.3.), using a similar argument as in Lemma 2.7., we can prove that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Gamma_e} \tilde{\varphi}(z) \cdot \frac{R(z; A)}{\omega^n(iz)} dz &= \int_{\Gamma_e} \frac{R(z; A)}{\omega^n(iz)} dz \cdot \int_{\Gamma_e} \tilde{\varphi}(\lambda) \cdot R(\lambda; A) d\lambda = \\ &= \int_{\Gamma_e} \psi(\lambda) \cdot R(\lambda; A) d\lambda \cdot \int_{\Gamma_e} \frac{R(z; A)}{\omega^n(iz)} dz. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get

$$(3.9) \quad \mathcal{E}(\varphi) = D_n \mathcal{E}(\omega^n(-iD)\varphi) = \mathcal{E}(\omega^n(-iD)\varphi) D_n, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{D}_0^{(M_p)}$$

and this implies

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{E}} \subset \text{Im} D_n$$

where by Im we denote the range of an operator.

Analogously it follows that for the operators D_n^* , $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{E}^*} \subset \text{Im} D_n^*$.

Hence D_n is injective and his range is dense in X , that is D_n^{-1} exists and is a closed and densely defined operator.

We shall prove that $D_n^{-1} = \overline{\mathcal{E}(\omega^n(-iD)\delta_0)}$, if $n > H_{1,0} \delta^{-1}$.

Indeed, the first equality of (3.9) gives

$$(3.10) \quad D_n \overline{\mathcal{E}(\omega^n(-iD)\delta_0)} x = x, \quad \forall x \in D(\overline{\mathcal{E}(\omega^n(-iD)\delta_0)}).$$

By the second equality of (3.9), we have

$$\mathcal{E}(\omega^n(-iD)\delta_0) \mathcal{E}(\varphi) D_n = \mathcal{E}(\varphi), \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}_0^{(M_p)},$$

and as we can prove by a routine exercise that $\mathcal{E}(\varphi)$ and D_n commute, we get for $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_0^{(M_p)}$

$$D_n \mathcal{E}(\varphi) X \subset D(\overline{\mathcal{E}(\omega^n(-iD)\delta_0)}) \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\mathcal{E}(\omega^n(-iD)\delta_0)} D_n \mathcal{E}(\varphi) = \mathcal{E}(\varphi)$$

But $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{E}} = X$ and D_n is continuous so that finally we obtain

$$(3.11) \quad \overline{\mathcal{E}(\omega^n(-iD)\delta_0)} D_n = I$$

(3.10) and (3.11) imply

$$(3.12) \quad \overline{\mathcal{E}(\omega^n(-iD)\delta_0)}^{-1} = D_n, \quad n > H_{1,0} \delta^{-1}.$$

q.e.d.

For $n_0 = [H_{1,0} \delta^{-1}] + 1$ we denote by $B = \overline{\mathcal{E}(\omega^{n_0}(-iD)\delta)}$.

Corollary 3.4. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $B^n = \overline{\mathcal{E}(\omega^{n \cdot n_0}(-iD)\delta)}$ and

$$B^{-n} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{R(z; A)}{\omega^{n \cdot n_0}(iz)} dz = \left[\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{R(z; A)}{\omega^{n_0}(iz)} dz \right]^n.$$

Proof. By the above proposition, we have

$$D_{n_0}^{-1} = \overline{\mathcal{E}(\omega^{n_0}(-iD)\delta_0)} \quad \text{and} \quad D_{n_0 n}^{-1} = \overline{\mathcal{E}(\omega^{n \cdot n_0}(-iD)\delta_0)}.$$

But we can prove using similar arguments as in the proof of Lemma

2.7. that $D_{n_0 n} = D_{n_0}^n$, hence $D_{n_0}^{-n} = D_{n_0 n}^{-1}$ and this implies the statement.

q.e.d.

Remark 3.5. If $A \in C^{(M_p)}$ and $R(z;A)$ is majorized by a polynomial, then $\int_{\Gamma} \frac{R(z;A)}{\omega(iz)} dz$ is convergent, so that in this case $n_0 = 1$ and $B = \mathcal{E}(\omega(-iD)\delta)$.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we endow the subspace $D(B^n)$ with the graph topology and we put

$$Y = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} D(B^n)$$

Then Y is a Fréchet space with the topology determined by the system of norms $\left\{ \|x\|_n = \sum_{j=0}^n \|B^j x\| \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Denote by Y_n the closure of Y in the norm $\|x\|_n$; then by Proposition 1.3., we have

i) $Y_{n+1} \subset Y_n$ and $Y = \varprojlim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Y_n$;

ii) $B^n|_Y = B^n$;

iii) $\overline{\mathcal{R}_\mathcal{E}}^Y = Y$ and $Y_n = D(B^n)$.

(where the notation $\overline{\mathcal{R}_\mathcal{E}}^Y$ means the closure of $\mathcal{R}_\mathcal{E}$ in the topology of Y)

The Fréchet space Y will play an important role in the present theory and therefore we are further looking for an intrinsic characterisation for it.

Let A be a closed and densely defined operator in X and $\{M_p\}_{p \geq 0}$ a sequence of positive numbers verifying (M.1), (M.2) and (M.3); for $h > 0$ we denote by

$$X_h^{\{M_p\}} = \left\{ x \in D(A^\infty) ; \|x\|_h^{\{M_p\}} = \sup_{p \geq 0} \frac{h^p \|A^p x\|}{M_p} < +\infty \right\}$$

$X_h^{\{M_p\}}$ is complete in the norm $\|\cdot\|_h^{\{M_p\}}$ and if $0 < h < h' < +\infty$, then

$$X_{h'}^{\{M_p\}} \subset X_h^{\{M_p\}}.$$

Definition 3.6. The space

$$X^{(M_p)} = \varprojlim_{h \rightarrow \infty} X_h^{M_p}$$

endowed with the corresponding projective topology is called the abstract Beurling space of class (M_p) associated to A .

It is clear that if X is the space of continuous functions on an interval $K \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $A = d/dx$, then $X^{(M_p)} = \mathcal{D}_K^{(M_p)}$.

Proposition 3.7. The restriction $A|_{X^{(M_p)}}$ is continuous from $X^{(M_p)}$ in $X^{(M_p)}$.

md 14684

Proof. We shall prove first that for h'/h sufficiently small, holds

$$\{M_p\} \subset X_h \quad .$$

Indeed, (M.2) implies $M_p/M_{p-1} \leq AM_1 H^p$ and thus for $x \in X_h^{\{M_p\}}$, we have

$$\frac{h^{p-1} \|A^{p-1}(Ax)\|_{M_{p-1}}}{h'} = \frac{1}{h'} \cdot \frac{h^p \|A^p x\|_{M_p}}{M_p} \cdot \left(\frac{h'}{h}\right)^p \cdot \frac{M_p}{M_{p-1}} \leq \frac{AM_1}{h'} \cdot \left(\frac{h'}{h}\right)^p \|x\|_h$$

So that if

So that if $h'H/h < 1$, the above inequality implies

$$(3.13) \quad \|Ax\|_h^{\{M_p\}} \leq \text{const.} \|x\|_h^{\{M_p\}}$$

so that $Ax \in X_h^{\{M_p\}}$.

By the definition of the topology on $X^{(M_p)}$ we get from (3.13) the continuity of A restricted to $X^{(M_p)}$.

q.e.d.

For X and A arbitrary it is not clear that $X^{(M_p)} \neq \{0\}$ but if A is the generator of a regular (M_p) -ultradistribution ^{semi-group} then we shall see that the associated abstract Beurling space of class (M_p) is even dense in X.

Theorem 3.8. If A is the generator of a regular (M_p) -ultradistribution semi-group, then

$$X^{(M_p)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D(B^n) .$$

Proof. The assertion results if we prove

$$(3.14) \quad D(B^n) \subset X_h^{\{M_p\}} \quad \text{for } n \geq h/H_1 + 2$$

and

$$(3.15) \quad X_h^{\{M_p\}} \subset D(B^n) \quad \text{for } h \geq 2l_0 H^{n \circ n} .$$

and the inclusion mappings are continuous .

Let $n \geq h/H_1 + 2$ and $y \in D(B^n)$; then $y = B^{-n}x$ for some $x \in X$.

From $AR(z;A) = zR(z;A) - I$ and using Corollary 3.4. we get :

$$AB^{-n} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_e} \frac{zR(z;A)}{\omega^{n \circ n}(iz)} dz - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_e} \frac{dz}{\omega^{n \circ n}(iz)} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_e} \frac{zR(z;A)}{\omega^{n \circ n}(iz)} dz .$$

Inductively we have

$$A^p B^{-n} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_e} \frac{z^p R(z;A)}{\omega^{n \circ n}(iz)} dz , \quad p \in \mathbb{N} .$$

Thus

$$\frac{h^p \|A^p y\|}{M_p} = \frac{h^p \|A^p B^{-n} x\|}{M_p} \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Gamma_e} \frac{h^p |z|^p}{M_p} \cdot \frac{\|R(z; A)\|}{|\omega^{n_0 n}(iz)|} \cdot \|x\| dz.$$

Let us estimate the integrand in the above integral using Lemma 3.2.

(3.6) and (3.8) :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{h^p |z|^p \|R(z; A)\|}{M_p |\omega^{n_0 n}(iz)|} &\leq \frac{e^{M(h|z|)}}{|\omega^{(n-1)n_0}(iz)|} \cdot \frac{\|R(z; A)\|}{|\omega^{n_0}(iz)|} \leq \\ &\leq \frac{\text{const.} \cdot |\omega(h|z|)|}{|z|^2 |\omega((n-1)n_0 l_0^{-1} \delta |z|)|} \leq \frac{\text{const.}}{|z|^2} \end{aligned}$$

since $\frac{h}{(n-1)n_0 l_0^{-1} \delta} = \frac{h}{(n-1)([H l_0 l \delta] + 1) l_0 \delta} \leq \frac{h}{(n-1)H l} \leq 1$

Hence $y \in X_h^{\{M_p\}}$ and moreover

$$\|y\|_h^{\{M_p\}} \leq \text{const.} \|x\| = \text{const.} \|B^n B^{-n} x\| \leq \text{const.} \|y\|_n$$

and so (3.14) is proved.

Let further $h \geq 2l_0 H^{n_0 n}$ and $y \in X_h^{\{M_p\}}$; we are looking for an $x \in X$ such that $y = B^{-n} x$.

Let $\omega^{n_0 n}(iz) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} a_{n,p} z^p$; then, by (1.7) we get

$$|a_{n,p}| \leq \text{const.} \frac{(l_0 H^{n_0 n})^p}{M_p}.$$

so that

$$|a_{n,p}| \|A^p y\| \leq \text{const.} \frac{h^p \|A^p y\|}{M_p} (l_0 H^{n_0 n})^p \leq \text{const.} \|y\|_h^{\{M_p\}} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^p.$$

Putting $x = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} a_{n,p} A^p y$, x is well defined and verifies

$$(3.16) \quad \|x\| \leq \text{const.} \|y\|_h^{\{M_p\}}.$$

Finally

$$\begin{aligned} B^{-2n} x &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} a_{n,p} \int_{\Gamma_e} \frac{R(z; A) A^p y}{\omega^{2n_0 n}(iz)} dz = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} a_{n,p} \int_{\Gamma_e} \frac{z^p R(z; A) y}{\omega^{2n_0 n}(iz)} dz = \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_e} \frac{\omega^{n_0 n}(iz) R(z; A)}{\omega^{2n_0 n}(iz)} dz = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_e} \frac{R(z; A) y}{\omega^{n_0 n}(iz)} dz = B^{-n} y \end{aligned}$$

so that $y = B^n B^{-2n} x = B^{-n} x$.

Now from (3.16) we get

$$\|y\|_n = \|B^n B^{-n} x\| = \|x\| \leq \text{const.} \|y\|_h^{\{M_p\}}.$$

q.e.d.

As $\overline{\mathcal{R}_e^Y} = Y$, it is clear that

Corollary 3.9 $\mathcal{R}_e \subset X^{(M_p)}$, $\overline{\mathcal{R}_e}^{X^{(M_p)}} = X^{(M_p)}$ and $\overline{X^{(M_p)}} = X$.

Ultradistribution semi-groups and the

Abstract Cauchy Problem.

In this paragraph we establish our main result, namely the connection between ultradistribution semi-groups and semi-groups of operators on a Fréchet space.

Theorem 4.1. Let A be the generator of a regular (M_p) -ultradistribution semi-group $\mathcal{E}$; then the restriction of A to the abstract Beurling space $X^{(M_p)}$ generates a locally equi-continuous semi-group $\{U_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ on $X^{(M_p)}$; moreover the function $t \rightarrow U_t x$ is in $\mathcal{E}_{(0, +\infty)}^{(M_p)}(X)$ for every $x \in X$.

Proof. We define $\{U_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ on $\mathcal{R}_\mathcal{E} \subset X^{(M_p)}$ by

$$U_t \mathcal{E}(\varphi)x = \mathcal{E}(\tau_t \varphi)x, \quad x \in X, \varphi \in \mathcal{D}_0^{(M_p)}, t \geq 0,$$
 where $(\tau_t \varphi)(s) = \varphi(s-t)$.

Next we remark that for $t \geq 0$ and $n \geq H^{at-1} + 1$

$$(4.1) \quad E_t^n e^n = \frac{i}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_e} \frac{e^{tz} R(z; A)}{\omega^{n \circ n}(iz)} dz$$

is a bounded operator on X .

Indeed, using Lemma 3.2., (3.6) and (3.8) as in the proof of Proposition 3.1., we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{e^{t \operatorname{Re} z} \|R(z; A)\|}{|\omega^{n \circ n}(iz)|} &\leq \text{const.} \frac{e^{atM(1|z|)+bt}}{|\omega^{(n-1)n \circ}(iz)|} \cdot \frac{\|R(z; A)\|}{|\omega^{n \circ}(iz)|} \\ &\leq e^{bt} \cdot \text{const.} \frac{|\omega(H^{at-1}|z|)|}{|z|^2 |\omega((n-1)n \circ \delta^{-1}|z|)|} \leq \frac{\text{const.}}{|z|^2} e^{bt} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{since } H^{at-1} / (n-1)n \circ \delta^{-1} = H^{at-1} / (n-1)([H \circ \delta^{-1}] + 1) \delta^{-1} \leq H^{at-1} / n-1 \leq 1$$

Let now $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $x \in X$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_0^{(M_p)}$; we have

$$\|U_t \mathcal{E}(\varphi)x\|_m = \|B^m \mathcal{E}(\tau_t \varphi)x\| = \|\mathcal{E}(\omega^{mn \circ}(-iD) \tau_t \varphi)x\|.$$

But for $n \geq H^{at-1} + 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(\omega^{mn \circ}(-iD) \tau_t \varphi)x &= \frac{i}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_e} e^{tz} \omega^{mn \circ}(iz) \tilde{\varphi}(z) R(z; A) dz = \\ &= \frac{i}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_e} e^{tz} \omega^{(m+n) \circ}(iz) \tilde{\varphi}(z) \cdot \frac{R(z; A)x}{\omega^{n \circ}(iz)} dz = \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_e} \frac{e^{tz} R(z; A)}{\omega^{n_0 n}(iz)} dz \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_e} \omega^{(n+m)n_0}(iz) \tilde{\varphi}(z) R(z; A) x dz =$$

$$= E_t^{n_0 n} \mathcal{E}(\omega^{(n+m)n_0}(-iD)\varphi)_x = E_t^{n_0 n} B^{n+m} \mathcal{E}(\varphi)_x$$

so that

$$(4.2) \quad \|U_t \mathcal{E}(\varphi)_x\|_m = \|E_t^{n_0 n} B^{n+m} \mathcal{E}(\varphi)_x\| \leq$$

$$\leq \|E_t^{n_0 n}\| \cdot \|B^{n+m} \mathcal{E}(\varphi)_x\| = \|E_t^{n_0 n}\| \|\mathcal{E}(\varphi)_x\|_{n+m}.$$

Hence U_t is continuous on $\mathcal{R}_\mathcal{E}$ in the topology induced by $X^{(M_p)}$ and by the Corollary 3.9., U_t can be extended continuously to all $X^{(M_p)}$.

The semi-group property of the family of operators $\{U_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ results from the definition and one can easily verify that the generator of $\{U_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is the restriction of A to $X^{(M_p)}$.

Moreover, as $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} E_t^{n_0 n} = B^{-n}$, using (4.2), for $x \in X$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_0^{(M_p)}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we get

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|U_t \mathcal{E}(\varphi)_x - \mathcal{E}(\varphi)_x\|_m = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|E_t^{n_0 n} B^{n+m} \mathcal{E}(\varphi)_x - B^m \mathcal{E}(\varphi)_x\| = 0$$

and this implies the continuity of the function $t \rightarrow U_t x$, $x \in X^{(M_p)}$.

The locally equicontinuity of the semi-group $\{U_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ results from the general result of Komura mentioned in the first section but it also results directly from (4.2) if we remark that for $s > 0$ and $n \geq H^{as-1} + 1$ there is a constant C (independent of $t \leq s$) such that

$$\|E_t^{n_0 n}\| \leq C, \forall t \in [0, s].$$

By Theorem 3.8. the topologies defined by the norms families $\{\|\cdot\|_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{\|\cdot\|_h\}_{h > 0}^{(M_p)}$ are equivalent and therefore the locally equicontinuity of $\{U_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ implies that for every $h > 0$, $x \in X^{(M_p)}$ and $K \subset (0, +\infty)$ we have

$$\sup_{\substack{t \in K \\ p \geq 0}} \frac{h^p \|U_t^{(p)} x\|}{M_p} = \sup_{\substack{t \in K \\ p \geq 0}} \frac{h^p \|A^p U_t x\|}{M_p} \leq \sup_{t \in K} \|U_t x\|_h^{(M_p)} < +\infty$$

so that the function $t \rightarrow U_t x$ is in $\mathcal{C}_{(0, +\infty)}^{(M_p)}$, $\forall x \in X^{(M_p)}$.

q.e.d.

We have the following natural consequence for differential equations:

Corollary 4.2. Let A be the generator of a regular ultradistribution

semi-group, $X^{(M_p)}$ the associated abstract Peurling space, $x_0 \in X^{(M_p)}$,
 $f: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow X^{(M_p)}$ a continuous function; then there is a unique continuous differentiable function $u: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow X^{(M_p)}$ such that

$$u(0) = x_0, \quad u'(t) = Au(t) + f(t)$$

which is given by

$$u(t) = U_t x_0 + \int_0^t U_{t-s} f(s) ds$$

where $\{U_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is the semi-group in $X^{(M_p)}$ generated by the restriction of A to $X^{(M_p)}$.

The proof being standard we omit it (see for details [2] or [7]).

Corollary 4.3. Let A be a closed and densely defined operator in X such that $R(z; A)$ exists for

$$\operatorname{Re} z > c \| \operatorname{Im} z \|^\alpha, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1$$

and satisfies the estimate

$$\| R(z; A) \| \leq \operatorname{const} \cdot (1 + |z|)^N \quad \text{for some } N \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let $d = \alpha^{-1}$ and $X^{(p^{pd})}$ the associated abstract- (p^{pd}) space; then A restricted to $X^{(p^{pd})}$ generates a locally-equicontinuous semi-group in $X^{(p^{pd})}$.

This last result appears in a slight different form in [2], where the associated abstract space is the inductive limit, when $h \rightarrow 0$, of the spaces $X_h^{(p^{pd})}$. So our results are in a certain sense a generalisation of [2].

Remark 4.4. In [1] R. Beals studied the abstract Cauchy problem for the following class of operators:

Let Ψ be the space of all continuous, nonnegative and concave functions ψ on $[0, +\infty)$, such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \psi(t) = +\infty; \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi'(t)}{t} = 0; \quad \int_1^\infty \frac{\psi(t)}{t^2} dt = \infty.$$

Let A be a closed and densely defined operator in X such that

(4.3) $R(z; A)$ exists for $\operatorname{Re} z \geq \psi(\|\operatorname{Im} z\|)$, for some $\psi \in \Psi$ and

$$\| R(z; A) \| \leq \operatorname{const} \cdot (1 + |z|)^N, \quad N \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then R. Beals established that for A the (ACP) has a solution for

every x in a dense subspace of X , but he hasn't obtain an intrinsic characterisation of this subspace.

Let us remark that if $\psi \in \Psi$ then ψ is increasing. Indeed, if $t \leq u \leq s$, we have

$$(4.4) \quad (s-u)\psi(t) + (u-t)\psi(s) \leq (s-t)\psi(u)$$

and dividing (4.4) by s and letting $s \rightarrow \infty$, we get $\psi(t) \leq \psi(u)$.

Further we recall the following result which is an immediate consequence of Roumieu's Lemma 2, Cap. II, §1 [14] (see also [13]) and of Körner's results from [10], §6, Kap. II :

Lemma 4.5. Let $f: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ an increasing function such that

$$\int_1^{+\infty} \frac{\ln f(t)}{t^2} dt < +\infty.$$

There exist a sequence of positive numbers $\{M_p\}_{p \geq 0}$ satisfying (M.1), (M.2) and (M.3)' and a positive constant $h > 0$ such that

$$(4.5) \quad f(t) \leq \text{const.} e^{M(ht)}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Thus if A satisfies the conditions (4.3), by the above Lemma $R(z; A)$ exists in an (M_p) -logarithmic region and is majorised there by a polynomial and then Proposition 2.6. implies that A generates a regular (M_p) -ultradistribution semi-group.

If in addition for the function ψ the sequence $\{M_p\}_{p \geq 0}$ given by Lemma 4.5. satisfies the stronger condition (M.3), then we are in the conditions of Theorem 4.1. and the space on which the (ACP) for A has a unique solution is $X^{(M_p)}$.

Condition (M.3) on the sequence $\{M_p\}_{p \geq 0}$ is necessary as far as we work in the space of Beurling ultradistributions of class (M_p) .

In an other work with L. Zsido we shall study the (ACP) in spaces of ω -ultradistributions ; this frame will permit us to remove the condition (M.3) and also to enlarge the class Ψ of R. Feals.

Bibliography.

1. R. Beals On the abstract Cauchy Problem, J. Funct. Anal.,
vol. 10, no. 3, 1972, p. 281-300.
2. R. Beals Semi-groups and Abstract Gevrey spaces, J. Funct.,
vol. 10, no. 3, 1972, p. 300-309.
3. J. Chazarain, Problèmes de Cauchy abstraits et applications a
quelques problemes mixtes, J. Funct. Anal., vol. 7,
1974, p. 386-446.
4. J. Chazarain, Problemes de Cauchy dans des espaces d'ultradistributions
tions, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, t. 266, 1968, p. 564-566.
4. C.C. Chou La transformation de Fourier complexe et l'équation
de convolution, Lecture Notes, vol. 325, 1973, Springer.
6. I. Cioranescu-L. Zsido, The Theory of ω -ultradistributions,
to appear.
7. J.H. Guillement-Pham The Lai, Sur la caractérisation des distribu-
tions, J. Fac. Sci. Tokyo, vol. 22, no. 3, 1975, p. 299-318.
8. H. Komatsu Ultradistributions, I, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, vol. 20,
no. 1, 1973, p. 25-107.
9. T. Komura Semi-groups of operators in locally convex spaces,
J. Funct. Anal., vol. 2, 1968, p. 258-296.
10. J. Körner Roumieu'sche Ultradistributionen als Randverteilung
holomorpher Funktionen, Doktorarbeit, Univ. Kiel, 1975.
11. L.J. Lions Les semi-groupes distributions, Portugaliae Math.,
19, no. 3, 1960, p. 141-164.
12. Ju.I. Liubic, Conditions for the denseness of the initial value
manifold of an abstract Cauchy problem, Dokl. Akad.
Nauk SSSR, 155, 1964, p. 262-265.
13. O.I. Inozemcev-V.A. Marcenko, On majorants of genus zero, Uspehi
Mat. Nauk., 11, no. 2(68), 1956, p. 173-178.
14. C. Roumieu Sur quelques extensions de la notion de distribution,

Ann.Ecole Norm.sup.,Paris,1960,p.47-121.

15. C.Roumieu Ultradistributions definies sur R^n et sur certaines classes de variétés differentiables,J.Anal.Math., 10,1962-1963,p.153-192.
16. T.Ushijima On the generation and smoothness of semi-groups of linear operators,J.Fac.Sci.Univ.Tokyo,vol.19,no.1, 1972,p.65-128.



I.N.C.R.E.S.T.

Bd.Pacii,220,sector 7,

Bucuresti.

