

LINEAR PREDICTOR FOR STATIONARY PROCESSES
IN COMPLETE CORRELATED ACTIONS

by
Ion Suci^{*)} and Ilie Valu^{*)}escu

November 1977

**) Department of Mathematics, National Institute for Scientific
and Technical Creation, Bd. Păcii 220, Bucharest 16, ROMANIA*

LINEAR PREDICTOR FOR STATIONARY PROCESSES

IN COMPLETE CORRELATED ACTIONS

by

ION SUCIU and ILIE VALUŞESCU

1. Introduction

In this paper we shall continue the study of prediction theory of a stationary process, considered as time evolution in a correlated action which was began in [4]. As in the precedent paper, we shall follow the line of Wiener and Masani prediction schema for (finite) multivariate stationary process [7], [8].

The notion of completion of a correlated action, which we shall introduce in section 2, will permit us to give a precise meaning to the predictable part of the process and consequently to formulate more precisely the prediction problems (section 3). Since some results from [4] are used here in a slightly different context, we prefer to outline their proof. In section 4, under the supplementary condition of boundedness on the spectral distribution of the process, similar to Wiener-Masani boundedness condition [8], we shall determine the predictable part of the process by means of a linear (infinite) Wiener filter. The solution of prediction problems are given in terms of Taylor coefficients of maximal outer function which factorizes the spectral distribution of the process (see [3]).

The reader will notice that we permanently used the ideas from the Sz.-Nagy and C.Foiaş model for contraction [6] to give an operator or functional model for prediction based on an operator valued positive definite map (on the integers) which corresponds to an infinite variate (discrete) stationary process.

2. Complete correlated actions

The notion of correlated action was introduced in [4] as the triplet $\{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{H}, \Gamma\}$, where \mathcal{E} is a Hilbert space (the space of the parameters), \mathcal{H} a right $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$ -module (the state space), and $\Gamma: \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$ is an $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$ -valued ^{map} (the correlation) with the properties:

- (i) $\Gamma[h, h] \geq 0$, $\Gamma[h, h] = 0 \Rightarrow h = 0$.
- (ii) $\Gamma[h_1, h_2] = \Gamma[h_2, h_1]^*$.
- (iii) $\Gamma[\sum_i A_i h_i, \sum_j B_j g_j] = \sum_{i,j} A_i^* \Gamma[h_i, g_j] B_j$.

Let now \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{K} be two Hilbert spaces and $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{K})$. Putting for $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$ and $V \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{K})$

$$AV = VA$$

where VA is the usual composition of operators, then \mathcal{H} becomes a right $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$ -module. If we consider Γ defined by

$$(2.1) \quad \Gamma[V_1, V_2] = V_1^* V_2$$

then obvious Γ satisfies the properties (i) and (ii). For (iii) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma[\sum_i A_i V_i, \sum_j B_j W_j] &= (\sum_i V_i A_i)^* (\sum_j W_j B_j) = \\ &= \sum_{i,j} A_i^* V_i^* W_j B_j = \sum_{i,j} A_i^* \Gamma[V_i, W_j] B_j. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{H}, \Gamma\}$ is a correlated action. In fact, as the following Proposition shows, any correlated action can be embedded into one

of this type.

PROPOSITION 1. Let $\{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{H}, \Gamma\}$ be a correlated action. There exist a Hilbert space \mathcal{K} and an algebraic imbedding $h \rightarrow V_h$ of the right $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$ -module \mathcal{H} into the right $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$ -module $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{K})$ with the properties

$$(2.2) \quad \Gamma[h_1, h_2] = V_{h_1}^* V_{h_2} \quad h_1, h_2 \in \mathcal{H}.$$

$$(2.3) \quad \text{The elements of the form } \gamma_{(a, h)}^* = V_h a, \text{ when } a \in \mathcal{E} \text{ and } h \in \mathcal{H} \text{ span a dense subspace in } \mathcal{K}.$$

This imbedding is unique up to a unitary equivalence.

Proof. The proof follows the construction of the Aronszajn reproducing kernel Hilbert space [1], [2]. Let $\Lambda = \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{H}$ and $\gamma_{(a, h)}^*$ be the complex valued function defined on Λ by

$$(2.4) \quad \gamma_{(a, h)}^*(b, g) = (\Gamma[g, h]a, b)_{\mathcal{E}}.$$

On the linear span of these functions we define the form

$$\left\langle \sum_j \gamma_{(a_j, h_j)}^*, \sum_k \gamma_{(a_k, g_k)}^* \right\rangle = \sum_{j, k} (\Gamma[g_k, h_j]a_j, b_k)_{\mathcal{E}}.$$

For $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{E}$, choose $a \in \mathcal{E}$ and $A_j \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$ such that $A_j a = a_j$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \sum_j \gamma_{(a_j, h_j)}^*, \sum_k \gamma_{(a_k, h_k)}^* \right\rangle = \sum_{j, k} (\Gamma[h_k, h_j]a_j, a_k)_{\mathcal{E}} \\ &= \sum_{j, k} (\Gamma[h_k, h_j]A_j a, A_k a)_{\mathcal{E}} = \sum_{j, k} (A_k^* \Gamma[h_k, h_j]A_j a, a)_{\mathcal{E}} \\ &= \left(\Gamma \left[\sum_k A_k h_k, \sum_j A_j h_j \right] a, a \right)_{\mathcal{E}} \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is a sesquilinear semi-positive definite form. The

Hilbert space \mathcal{K} is obtained in the usual way from this form.

For any $h \in \mathcal{H}$ we define

$$(2.5) \quad V_h a = \int_{(a,h)} \quad a \in \mathcal{E}.$$

Using (2.5) and (2.4) we have

$$\|V_h a\|_{\mathcal{K}}^2 = \|\int_{(a,h)}\|_{\mathcal{K}}^2 = (\Gamma[h,h]a, a)_{\mathcal{E}} \leq \|\Gamma[h,h]\| \cdot \|a\|^2$$

therefore $V_h \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{K})$.

For any $h_1, h_2 \in \mathcal{H}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\Gamma[h_1, h_2]a, b)_{\mathcal{E}} &= \langle \int_{(a, h_2)}, \int_{(b, h_1)} \rangle = \\ &= \langle V_{h_2} a, V_{h_1} b \rangle = (V_{h_1}^* V_{h_2} a, b)_{\mathcal{E}} \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\Gamma[h_1, h_2] = V_{h_1}^* V_{h_2}$$

and the property (2.2) is verified. The property (2.3) it results from the construction of the Hilbert space \mathcal{K} .

If $h \rightarrow V'_h$ is an other imbedding of \mathcal{H} into $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{K})$ which verifies (2.2) and (2.3), then setting

$$XV'_h a = V_h a$$

we obtain an unitary operator $X: \mathcal{K}' \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ such that

$$XV'_h = V_h.$$

The proof of the Proposition is finished.

The Hilbert space \mathcal{K} , uniquely attached to the correlated action $\{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{H}, \Gamma\}$ as in Proposition 1, is called the measuring space of the correlated action $\{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{H}, \Gamma\}$.

We say that the correlated action $\{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{H}, \Gamma\}$ is a complete

correlated action, if the map $h \rightarrow V_h$ of \mathcal{H} into $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{K})$ is onto.

Recall that a Γ -stationary (discret) process in the correlated action $\{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{H}, \Gamma\}$ is a sequence $\{f_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty}$ of elements in \mathcal{H} such that $\Gamma[f_n, f_m]$ depends only of the difference $m-n$ and not on m and n separately.

For a Γ -stationary process $\{f_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty}$ we use the following notation

$$\mathcal{H}_n^\Gamma = \{h \in \mathcal{H} \mid h = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} A_i f_i, A_i \in \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{E})\}$$

$$\mathcal{K}_n^\Gamma = \bigvee_{k=-\infty}^n V_{f_k} \mathcal{E}$$

$$\mathcal{K}_\infty^\Gamma = \bigvee_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} V_{f_k} \mathcal{E}.$$

Remark that we also have

$$\mathcal{K}_n^\Gamma = \bigvee_{h \in \mathcal{H}_n^\Gamma} V_h \mathcal{E}.$$

We say that the Γ -stationary processes $\{f_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty}$ and $\{g_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty}$ are stationary cross-correlated if $\Gamma[f_n, g_m]$ depends only of the difference $m-n$.

PROPOSITION 2. For any Γ -stationary process $\{f_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty}$ there exists an unitary operator U_f on $\mathcal{K}_\infty^\Gamma$ such that

$$(2.6) \quad V_{f_n} = U_f^n V_{f_0}.$$

The Γ -stationary process $\{g_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty}$ is stationary cross-correlated with $\{f_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty}$ iff there exists an unitary operator U_{fg} on

$$\mathcal{K}_\infty^{fg} = \mathcal{K}_\infty^\Gamma \vee \mathcal{K}_\infty^g.$$

such that

$$U_f = U_{fg} | \mathcal{K}_\infty^f \quad \text{and} \quad U_g = U_{fg} | \mathcal{K}_\infty^g.$$

Proof. Setting on the generators of \mathcal{K}_∞^f

$$U_f V_{f_n} a = V_{f_{n+1}} a,$$

clearly we obtain an unitary operator on \mathcal{K}_∞^f as in Proposition.

Let $\{f_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ and $\{g_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ be stationary cross-correlated processes, and U_f , U_g be as above. Then if we put

$$(2.7) \quad U_{fg} (V_{f_n} a + V_{g_m} b) = V_{f_{n+1}} a + V_{g_{m+1}} b$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \| U_{fg} (V_{f_n} a + V_{g_m} b) \|^2 &= \| V_{f_{n+1}} a + V_{g_{m+1}} b \|^2 = \| \gamma_{(a, f_{n+1})} + \gamma_{(b, g_{m+1})} \|^2 = \\ &= \langle \gamma_{(a, f_{n+1})} + \gamma_{(b, g_{m+1})}, \gamma_{(a, f_{n+1})} + \gamma_{(b, g_{m+1})} \rangle = \\ &= (\Gamma[f_{n+1}, f_{n+1}] a, a) + (\Gamma[g_{m+1}, g_{m+1}] b, b) + 2 \operatorname{Re} (\Gamma[f_{n+1}, g_{m+1}] b, a) = \\ &= (\Gamma[f_n, f_n] a, a) + (\Gamma[g_m, g_m] b, b) + 2 \operatorname{Re} (\Gamma[f_n, g_m] b, a) = \\ &= \dots = \| V_{f_n} a + V_{g_m} b \|^2. \end{aligned}$$

It results that (2.7) defines an unitary operator U_{fg} on \mathcal{K}_∞^{fg} which extends both U_f and U_g .

The unitary operator U_f is called the shift operator attached to the Γ -stationary process $\{f_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ and U_{fg} the extended shift of the stationary cross-correlated processes $\{f_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ and $\{g_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$.

Let us remark that from (2.6) it results:

$$\mathcal{K}_\infty^f = \sum_{-\infty}^{+\infty} U_f^n V_f \varepsilon$$

where $V_f = V_{f_0}$.

In what follows, we use the following notation:

$$(2.8) \quad \mathcal{K}_+ = \sum_0^{+\infty} U_f^{*n} V_f \varepsilon = \mathcal{K}_0$$

and

$$(2.9) \quad U_+ = U_f^* | \mathcal{K}_+$$

The Γ -stationary process $\{g_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ is called white noise process, provided $\Gamma[g_n, g_m] = 0$ for $n \neq m$.

We say that the process $\{f_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ contains the white noise process $\{g_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ if:

(i) $\{g_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ is stationary cross-correlated with $\{f_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ and

$$\Gamma[f_n, g_m] = 0, \quad m > n.$$

(ii) $V_g \varepsilon \subset \mathcal{K}_+^f$

(iii) $\operatorname{Re} \Gamma[f_n - g_n, g_n] \geq 0$.

The Γ -stationary process $\{f_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ is called deterministic if it contains no non-zero white noise process.

We say that the Γ -stationary process $\{f_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ is called a moving average of a white noise $\{g_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ if $\{f_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ contains $\{g_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ and $\mathcal{K}_\infty^g = \mathcal{K}_\infty^f$.

THEOREM 1. (Wold decomposition in time domain). The Γ -stationary process $\{f_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ admits an unique decomposition of the form

$$(2.10) \quad f_n = u_n + v_n$$

where $\{u_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ is a moving average of a white noise $\{g_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$

contained in $\{f_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$, $\{v_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ is a deterministic process, and $\Gamma[u_n, v_m] = 0$ for any n, m . The white noise $\{g_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ is the maximal white noise process contained in $\{f_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$.

Proof. Using the embedding $h \rightarrow V_h$ of \mathcal{H} into $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{K})$ and (2.6) we can consider

$$f_n = U_f^n V_f.$$

By the Wold decomposition of the isometric operator U_+ on \mathcal{K}_+ we have

$$(2.11) \quad \mathcal{K}_+ = M_+(\mathcal{F}) \oplus \mathcal{R}$$

where

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{K}_+ \ominus U_+ \mathcal{K}_+, \quad M_+(\mathcal{F}) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} U_+^n \mathcal{F} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{R} = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} U_+^n \mathcal{K}_+.$$

Let P be the orthogonal projection of \mathcal{K}_+ onto $M_+(\mathcal{F})$ and $P_{\mathcal{F}}$ be the orthogonal projection of \mathcal{K}_+ on the wandering subspace \mathcal{F} . If we put $u_n = U_f^n P V_f$, $v_n = U_f^n (I-P) V_f$ and $g_n = U_f^n P_{\mathcal{F}} V_f$, then (2.10) is obvious and we have

$$\Gamma[u_n, v_m] = V_f^* P U_f^{m-n} (I-P) V_f = V_f^* U_f^{m-n} P (I-P) V_f = 0.$$

Because

$$\Gamma[g_n, g_m] = V_f^* P_{\mathcal{F}} U_f^{m-n} P_{\mathcal{F}} V_f = 0, \quad n \neq m$$

it results that $\{g_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ is a white noise process. The Γ -stationary white noise process $\{g_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ is contained in $\{u_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$. Indeed we have:

(i) $\{g_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ is stationary cross-correlated with $\{u_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ and

$$\Gamma[u_n, g_m] = V_f^* P U_f^{m-n} P_{\mathcal{F}} V_f = 0$$

for $m > n$.

$$(ii) \quad V_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{E} = P_{\mathcal{F}} V_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{E} \subset P V_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{K}_+^u.$$

$$(iii) \quad \Gamma[u_n, g_m, g_n] = \Gamma[u_n, g_m] - \Gamma[g_m, g_n] =$$

$$= V_f^* P_f P_f V_f - V_f^* P_f V_f = 0.$$

Since we clearly have

$$(2.12) \quad \mathcal{K}_\infty^g = \mathcal{K}_\infty^u = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F})$$

it follows that the process $\{u_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ is a moving average of the white noise process $\{g_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$.

Let us see that the white noise $\{g_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ is also contained in the Γ -stationary process $\{f_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$.

(1) For any $a \in \mathcal{E}$ and $m > n$ we have

$$(\Gamma[f_n, g_m]a, a)_{\mathcal{E}} = (V_f^* U_f^{m-n} P_f V_f a, a)_{\mathcal{E}} = (P_f V_f a, U_f^{m-n} V_f a)_{\mathcal{E}} = 0$$

We have also:

$$(2) \quad V_g \mathcal{E} = P_f V_f \mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{K}_+^f$$

$$(3) \quad \Gamma[f_n - g_n, g_n] = \Gamma[f_n, g_n] - \Gamma[g_n, g_n] = V_f^* P_f V_f - V_f^* P_f^2 V_f = 0$$

Hence the white noise $\{g_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ is contained in $\{f_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$.

Let $\{g'_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ be an other white noise process contained in $\{f_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$.

We shall see that $\{g'_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ is contained in $\{g_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$, too. Firstly we see that

$$(2.14) \quad V_{g'} \mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{F}.$$

Indeed, remarking that the extended shift $U_{fg'} = U_f$, for any

$a, a_n \in \mathcal{E}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (V_{g'} a, U_f^{*n+1} V_f a_n)_{\mathcal{K}} &= (V_f^* U_f^{*n+1} V_{g'} a, a_n)_{\mathcal{E}} = \\ &= (\Gamma[f_0, g'_{n+1}] a, a_n) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

because $\{g'_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ is contained in $\{f_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$. Therefore

$$V_{g'} \mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{M}_+(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{K}_+^f.$$

From (2.14) it results that for $m > n$ we have

$$\Gamma[g_n, g'_n] = V_f^* P_f U_f^{m-n} V_{g'} = 0.$$

Because

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma[g_n - g'_n, g'_n] &= \Gamma[g_n, g'_n] - \Gamma[g'_n, g'_n] = V_f^* P_f V_{g'} - \Gamma[g'_n, g'_n] = \\ &= V_f^* V_{g'} - \Gamma[g'_n, g'_n] = \Gamma[f_n, g'_n] - \Gamma[g'_n, g'_n] = \Gamma[f_n - g'_n, g'_n] \end{aligned}$$

it results (by the fact that $\{g'_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ is contained in $\{f_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$) that $\text{Re } \Gamma[g_n - g'_n, g'_n] \geq 0$. Hence $\{g'_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ is contained in $\{g_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$, i.e. $\{g_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ is the maximal white noise contained in $\{f_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$.

Let $\{l_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ be a white noise contained in $\{v_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$. Then we have

$$\Gamma[u_n, l_m] = V_f^* P U_f^{m-n} V_l = V_f^* P U_f^{m-n} (I - P) V_l = 0.$$

It follows that $\{l_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ and $\{f_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ are cross-correlated and $\Gamma[f_n, l_m] = 0$.

The fact that $V_l \in \mathcal{K}_+^f$ is obvious, and

$$\text{Re } \Gamma[f_n - l_n, l_n] = \text{Re } \Gamma[u_n, l_n] + \text{Re } \Gamma[v_n - l_n, l_n] \geq 0.$$

Therefore the white noise $\{l_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ is contained in $\{f_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$, and by the maximality of $\{g_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ in $\{f_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ it results that $\{l_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ is contained in $\{g_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$. We have then

$$\Gamma[g_n, l_n] = V_f^* P_f V_l = V_f^* P_f (I - P) V_l = 0.$$

Hence

$$\Gamma[l_n, l_n] = \text{Re } \Gamma[g_n, l_n] - \text{Re } \Gamma[g_n - l_n, l_n] \leq 0$$

which implies $l_n = 0$.

If we consider

$$(2.13) \quad f_n = u'_n + v'_n$$

an other decomposition of the form (2.10) and $\{u'_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ is a moving average of the white noise $\{g'_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ contained in $\{f_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$, then, by the maximality of $\{g_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ it follows that $\{g'_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ is contained in $\{g_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$. Hence $V_{g'}\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{K}_+^g = M_+(\mathcal{F})$. Moreover $V_{g'}\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{F}$. Indeed,

$$(V_{g'}a, U_f^{*n+1} V_f a_n)_{\mathcal{K}} = (V_f^* U_f^{n+1} V_{g'}a, a_n)_{\mathcal{E}} = (\Gamma[f_n, g'_{n+1}]a, a_n)_{\mathcal{E}} = 0$$

and $V_{g'}\mathcal{E}$ is orthogonal on $U_+ \mathcal{K}_+^f$, i.e. $V_{g'}\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{F}$.

From (2.13) we have

$$(2.15) \quad V_f = V_{u'} + V_{v'}$$

and

$$(2.16) \quad \mathcal{K}_\infty^f = \mathcal{K}_\infty^{u'} \oplus \mathcal{K}_\infty^{v'}.$$

Let us denote by $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_1 = \mathcal{F} \ominus \overline{V_{g'}\mathcal{E}}$ and $q_n = U_f^n P_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_1} V_f$. Then it is obvious that $\{q_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ is a white noise process contained in $\{f_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ and because:

$$(i) \quad (\Gamma[v'_n, q_n]a, a) = (V_{v'}^* U_f^{n+1} P_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_1} V_f a, a) = (P_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_1} V_f a, U_f^{*n+1} V_{v'} a) = 0,$$

$$(ii) \quad V_{q'}\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{K}_+^{v'} \quad (\text{by the fact that } V_{q'}\mathcal{E} \perp \mathcal{K}_+^{u'} \text{ and (2.16)}),$$

$$(iii) \quad \operatorname{Re} \Gamma[v'_n, q_n] = \operatorname{Re} \Gamma[v'_n, q_n] - \Gamma[q_n, q_n] =$$

$$= V_{v'}^* P_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_1} V_f - V_f^* P_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_1} V_f = V_f^* P_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_1} V_f - V_f^* P_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_1} V_f = 0,$$

it results that the white noise process $\{q_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ is contained in the deterministic process $\{v'_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$, i.e. $q_n = 0$. Therefore $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_1 = \{0\}$ and consequently $\overline{V_{g'}\mathcal{E}} = \mathcal{F}$. Hence we obtain that $\mathcal{K}_\infty^{u'} = \mathcal{K}_\infty^{g'} = M(\mathcal{F})$, $\mathcal{K}_\infty^{v'} = \mathcal{R}$, and by (2.15), (2.16) it follows that $V_{u'} = P V_f$. So we have $u' = u$ and $v' = v$.

The proof of the theorem is finished.

The process $\{g_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ is the innovation part of the process $\{f_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ and it is called the innovation-process associated with $\{f_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$.

3. Prediction problems

Let $\{f_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ be a Γ -stationary process in the complete correlated action $\{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{H}, \Gamma\}$. Denote by

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{H}_0 = \{h \in \mathcal{H} \mid h = \sum_{k \leq 0} A_k f_k, A_k \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})\}$$

where A_k are finitely non-zero operators. Following Wiener and Masani [7], we call \mathcal{H}_0 the present and past of the process $\{f_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ and interpret it as the total information obtained acting on the process up to the present moment ($t = 0$).

To predict the process at the next moment ($t = 1$) means to obtain the best information about f_1 in terms of the elements in \mathcal{H}_0 . The following proposition will precisize this.

PROPOSITION 3. Let $\{f_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ be a Γ -stationary process and $\{g_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ be the maximal white noise contained in it. Setting

$$(3.2) \quad \hat{f}_1 = f_1 - g_1$$

we have $\Gamma[\hat{f}_1, g_1] =$ and

$$(3.3) \quad \Gamma[f_1 - \hat{f}_1, f_1 - \hat{f}_1] = \inf_{h \in \mathcal{H}_0} \Gamma[f_1 - h, f_1 - h]$$

where the infimum is taken in the set of the positive operators in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$.

For any $a \in \mathcal{E}$ we have

$$(3.4) \quad (\Gamma[\hat{f}_1 - \hat{f}_1, \hat{f}_1 - \hat{f}_1]a, a) = \inf_f \sum_{j, k=0}^m (\Gamma[f_j, f_k]a_j, a_k)$$

where the infimum is taken over all finite systems a_1, \dots, a_m in \mathcal{E} and $a_0 = a$.

Proof. (See [4]). For any $a \in \mathcal{E}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\Gamma[\hat{f}_1 - \hat{f}_1, \hat{f}_1 - \hat{f}_1]a, a)_{\mathcal{E}} &= (\Gamma[g_1, g_1]a, a) = (V_f^* P_{\mathcal{F}} V_f a, a) = \|P_{\mathcal{F}} V_f a\|^2 = \\ &= \inf_{h \in U_+ \mathcal{K}_+} \|V_f a - h\|^2 = \inf_{a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathcal{E}} \|V_f a + \sum_{k=1}^m U_f^{*k} V_f a_k\|^2 = \\ &= \inf_f \left\| \sum_{k=0}^m U_f^{*k} V_f a_k \right\|^2 = \inf_f \sum_{k, j=0}^m (V_f^* U_f^{*k-j} V_f a_j, a_k)_{\mathcal{E}} = \\ &= \inf_{\substack{a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathcal{E} \\ a_0 = a}} \sum_{k, j=0}^m (\Gamma[f_j, f_k]a_j, a_k)_{\mathcal{E}} \end{aligned}$$

thus (3.4) is proved.

Let now $h = \sum_{k=0}^m \Lambda_k f_{-k}$ be an arbitrary element in \mathcal{H}_0 . For any $a \in \mathcal{E}$, setting $a_k = -\Lambda_k a$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\Gamma[\hat{f}_1 - h, \hat{f}_1 - h]a, a)_{\mathcal{E}} &= (\Gamma[\hat{f}_1 - \sum_{k=0}^m \Lambda_k f_{-k}, \hat{f}_1 - \sum_{j=0}^m \Lambda_j f_{-j}]a, a)_{\mathcal{E}} = \\ &= \sum_{j, k=-1}^m (\Gamma[f_{-k}, f_{-j}]a_j, a_k)_{\mathcal{E}} = \sum_{j, k=-1}^m (\Gamma[f_j, f_k]a_j, a_k)_{\mathcal{E}} = \sum_{j, k=0}^{m+1} (\Gamma[f_j, f_k]a_j, a_k)_{\mathcal{E}} \end{aligned}$$

From (3.4) it results that

$$\Gamma[\hat{f}_1 - \hat{f}_1, \hat{f}_1 - \hat{f}_1] \leq \Gamma[f_1 - h, f_1 - h]$$

Let A be a positive operator in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$ such that for any $h \in \mathcal{H}_0$

$$A \leq \Gamma[f_1 - h, f_1 - h]$$

For any $a \in \mathcal{E}$ and $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{E}$ we choose $\Lambda_k \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$

such that $A_k a = a_k$. Then we obtain

$$(Aa, a) \leq (\Gamma[f_1 - \sum_{k=1}^m A_k f_{-k}, f_1 - \sum_{j=1}^m A_j f_{-j}]a, a) = \sum_{k,j=0}^m (\Gamma[f_j, f_k]a_j, a_k).$$

Using again (3.4) it results that $A \leq \Gamma[f_1 - \hat{f}_1, f_1 - \hat{f}_1]$.

By this proposition we see that if in some way we can determine \hat{f}_1 , then it contains the best information we can extract acting on the process up to the moment $t=0$, about f_1 . This justifies us to call \hat{f}_1 the predictible part of f_1 and $\Delta[f] = \Gamma[f_1 - \hat{f}_1, f_1 - \hat{f}_1]$ the prediction-error operator.

Now we can formulate more precisely the prediction problems in the following manner:

- (1) To determine a sequence of finite operators $(A_1, \dots, A_m)_{(N)}$ in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$ such that $(\sum_k A_k f_{-k})_{(N)}$ tends strongly in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{H})$ to \hat{f}_1 .
- (2) To compute the prediction-error operator $\Delta[f]$.

As in the Wiener-Kolmogorov theory of prediction what is supposed to be known is the correlation function

$$\Gamma(n) = \Gamma[f_{m+n}, f_n].$$

It is clear that $\Gamma(n)$ is an $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$ -valued positive definite function on the group of integers. Using Naimark dilation theorem, we can represent $\Gamma(n)$ on the form

$$\Gamma(n) = \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-int} dF(t)$$

where F is an $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$ -valued semi-spectral measure on the unidimensional torus, so called spectral distribution of the process $\{f_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$. It is easy to verify that $[\mathcal{H}_\infty^f, V_f, E]$ where E is the spectral measure of the unitary operator U_f^* , is the spectral dilation of F . When no confusion arise we denote it by $[\mathcal{H}, V, E]$. In [3] we attached

to any $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$ -valued semi-spectral measure F an outer L^2 -bounded analytic function $\{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{H}(\lambda)\}$ which is maximal with the property that its semi-spectral measure $F_{\mathcal{H}}$ verifies $F_{\mathcal{H}} \leq F$. (See for details [3]). In [3] and [4] we also proved that

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta[f]a, a) &= \inf_{a_0=a, a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{E}} \sum_{k,j=0}^n \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i(k-j)t} d(F(t)a_k, a_j) = \\ &= \inf_{k,j=0}^n \sum_{k,j=0}^n \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i(k-j)t} d(F_{\mathcal{H}}(t)a_k, a_j) = \\ &= (\mathcal{H}(0)^* \mathcal{H}(0) a, a). \end{aligned}$$

In fact $F_{\mathcal{H}}$ is the spectral distribution of the moving average part $\{u_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ of $\{f_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$. We also have $0 \leq \Delta[f] \leq \Gamma(0)$, $\Delta[f] = 0$ iff $\{f_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ is deterministic, $\Delta[f] = \Gamma(0)$ iff $\{f_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ is white noise process, $\Delta[f] \geq \Delta[g]$ for any white noise process $\{g_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ contained in $\{f_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ and $\Delta[f] = \Delta[g]$ if $\{g_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ is the maximal white noise process contained in $\{f_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$.

Concerning the first part of prediction problems, to determine the predictable part \hat{f}_1 of f_1 , it is rather difficult one. From the formulas $\Gamma[\hat{f}_1, g_1] = 0$, $\Gamma[h, g_1] = 0$ for any $h \in \mathcal{H}_0$ and

$$\Gamma[g_1, g_1] = \inf_{h \in \mathcal{H}_0} \Gamma[f_1 - h, f_1 - h]$$

we can interpret $f_1 = \hat{f}_1 + g_1$ like on orthogonal (in Γ) decomposition of f_1 with respect to \mathcal{H}_0 . From this it results a kind of closeness of \hat{f}_1 to \mathcal{H}_0 , but the problem to describe this closeness by an approximation procedure seems to be very complicate. However, under some supplementary boundedness condition on the spectral density F , similar to that imposed by Wiener and Masani in the matrix valued case [8], we shall determine, in the next section, \hat{f}_1 as a sum (in strong sense) of an infinite series of elements from \mathcal{H}_0 .

4. Linear predictor

The supplementary boundedness condition on F is the following:
there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$(4.1) \quad \frac{1}{2\pi} c dt \leq F \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} c^{-1} dt$$

We shall begin with the following

PROPOSITION 4. Let F be an $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$ -valued semi-spectral measure on \mathbb{T} , $\{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}, \Theta(\lambda)\}$ be its maximal outer function, and $G = \Theta(0)^* \Theta(0)$. Then F verifies the condition (4.1) if and only if $\{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}, \Theta(\lambda)\}$ is a bounded analytic function which has a bounded analytic inverse, $F_0 = F$, $\dim \mathcal{E} = \dim \mathcal{F}$ and there exists an identification of $\{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}, \Theta(\lambda)\}$ with an invertible bounded analytic function $\{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}, \Phi(\lambda)\}$ such that

$$(4.2) \quad \Phi(0) = G^{1/2}$$

Proof. Let $\{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}, \Phi(\lambda)\}$ be an identification for $\{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}, \Theta(\lambda)\}$ as in proposition and $\{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}, \Psi(\lambda)\}$ be its inverse. Then there exist the Fatou limits $\Phi(e^{it})$ and $\Psi(e^{it})$ and

$$(4.3) \quad dF = dF_{\Phi} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \Phi(e^{it})^* \Phi(e^{it}) dt$$

For any trigonometric polynomial p and $a \in \mathcal{E}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2\pi} |p(e^{it})|^2 d(F(t)a, a) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \|\Phi(e^{it}) p(e^{it}) a\|^2 dt \\ &\leq \|\Phi\|^2 \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |p(e^{it})|^2 \|a\|^2 dt \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2\pi} |p(e^{it})|^2 d(F(t)a, a) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \|\Phi(e^{it}) p(e^{it}) a\|^2 dt \geq \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2\pi} \|\Psi\|^{-2} \int_0^{2\pi} \|\Psi(e^{it}) \Phi(e^{it}) p(e^{it}) a\|^2 dt = \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \|\Psi\|^{-2} \int_0^{2\pi} |p(e^{it})|^2 \|a\|^2 dt$$

where Φ and Ψ are the multiplication operators in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$ generated by $\Phi(e^{it})$ respectively $\Psi(e^{it})$. It results that for any positive continuous function φ on \mathbb{T} we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \|\Psi\|^{-2} \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi dt \leq \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi dF \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \|\Phi\|^2 \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi dt$$

i.e. F verifies (4.1).

Conversely, suppose that F verifies (4.1). If $[\mathcal{K}, V, E]$ is the spectral dilation of F and U is the unitary operator corresponding to E , then

$$X\left(\sum_n U^n V a_n\right) = \sum_n e^{int} a_n$$

defines an invertible operator from \mathcal{K}_+ to $H^2(\mathcal{E})$ which intertwines U with the shift operator on $H^2(\mathcal{E})$. Then clearly

$$X\left(\bigcap_{n \geq 0} U^n \mathcal{K}_+\right) = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} U^n X \mathcal{K}_+ = \{0\}.$$

Thus $\bigcap_{n \geq 0} U^n \mathcal{K}_+ = \{0\}$ which implies (by the factorization theorem [3]) that we have $F_\Theta = F$. Obvious (4.1) implies that $\{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}, \Theta(\lambda)\}$ is bounded and the corresponding Θ_+ is a bounded operator with bounded inverse Θ_+^{-1} . The operator Θ_+^{-1} intertwines the shifts, thus it becomes from a bounded analytic function $\{\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E}, \Omega(\lambda)\}$ which is the inverse of $\{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}, \Theta(\lambda)\}$.

Let us consider the operator $X: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ defined by $x = G^{1/2} \Omega(0)$ where $G = \Theta(0)^* \Theta(0)$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Xa\|^2 &= \|G^{1/2} \Omega(0)a\|^2 = (G \Omega(0)a, \Omega(0)a) = \\ &= (\Theta(0)^* \Theta(0) \Omega(0)a, \Omega(0)a) = \|\Theta(0) \Omega(0)a\|^2 = \|a\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence X is a unitary operator from \mathcal{F} onto \mathcal{E} .

If we put

$$\Phi(\lambda) = X \Theta(\lambda) \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{D}$$

then we have

$$\Phi(0) = X \Theta(0) = G^{1/2} \Sigma(0) \Theta(0) = G^{1/2}.$$

Clearly that $\{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}, \Phi(\lambda)\}$ is an other identification of the same function $\{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}, \Theta(\lambda)\}$. The proof is finished.

Let now $\{f_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ be a \mathcal{F} -stationary process whose spectral density F verifies (4.1). Its prediction-error operator $\Delta[f] = G$ is then an invertible operator on \mathcal{E} . Let $\{g_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ be the maximal white noise contained in $\{f_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$. Denote

$$(4.4) \quad h_n = G^{-1/2} g_n.$$

Then $\{h_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ is a white noise process such that

$$\Gamma[h_n, h_n] = I_{\mathcal{E}}$$

The process $\{h_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ is called the normalised innovation process of $\{f_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$.

Let $\{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}, \Theta(\lambda)\}$ be the maximal outer function of F identified as in Proposition 4. Then the geometric model for prediction can be drawn as follows:

$$\mathcal{K} = L^2(\mathcal{E}), \quad \mathcal{K}'_+ = L^2_+(\mathcal{E})$$

$$V = \Theta|_{\mathcal{E}}, \quad (Va)(t) = \Theta(e^{it})a$$

U = the multiplication with e^{it} on $L^2(\mathcal{E})$.

We have also the following identification for our processes as operators from \mathcal{E} into $L^2(\mathcal{E})$:

$$f_n : a \longrightarrow e^{-int} \mathcal{H}(e^{it}) a$$

$$g_n : a \longrightarrow e^{-int} \mathcal{H}(0) a = e^{-int} G^{1/2} a$$

$$h_n : a \longrightarrow e^{-int} a$$

Let us write also the Taylor expansions of the function $\{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{H}(\lambda)\}$ and its inverse $\{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{Q}(\lambda)\}$ as follows:

$$(4.5) \quad \mathcal{H}(\lambda) = G^{1/2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_k \lambda^k$$

$$(4.6) \quad \mathcal{Q}(\lambda) = G^{-1/2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}_k \lambda^k$$

PROPOSITION 5. Let $\{f_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ be a Γ -stationary process whose spectral distribution F verifies the boundedness condition (4.1).

Then we have

$$(4.7) \quad f_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_k h_{n-k}$$

and

$$(4.8) \quad h_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}_k f_{n-k}$$

where the series are supposed to be convergent in the strong topology on $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{K})$.

Proof. Working with the above identifications, for any $a \in \mathcal{E}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_k h_{n-k} a &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{-i(n-k)t} \mathcal{H}_k a = e^{-int} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{ikt} \mathcal{H}_k a = \\ &= e^{-int} \mathcal{H}(e^{it}) a = f_n a \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Omega_k \int_{m-k}^{\rho} a &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{-i(m-k)t} \Theta(e^{it}) \Omega_k a = \\ &= e^{-int} \Theta(e^{it}) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{ikt} \Omega_k a = e^{-int} \Theta(e^{it}) \Omega(e^{it}) a = \\ &= e^{-int} a = h_n a. \end{aligned}$$

The convergence of the series and the commutation of the operators with the summations which appeared above is verifiable in an obvious manner.

THEOREM 2. Let $\{f_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty}$ be a stationary process whose spectral distribution F verifies the boundedness condition (4.1), $\{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}, \Theta(\lambda)\}$ be the attached maximal outer function and $\{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}, \Omega(\lambda)\}$ be its inverse. Then the predictable part \hat{f}_n of f_n is given by

$$(4.9) \quad \hat{f}_n = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} E_j \int_{(n-1)-j}^{\rho}$$

where E_j is

$$(4.10) \quad E_j = \sum_{p=0}^j \Theta_{p+1} \Omega_{j-p}.$$

The prediction-error operator $\Delta[f]$ is

$$\Delta[f] = \Theta(0)^* \Theta(0).$$

Proof. From (4.7) and (4.8) we obtain

$$\hat{f}_n = f_n - g_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Theta_k h_{n-k} = G^{1/2} h_n = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Theta_k h_{n-k} =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \textcircled{H}_k \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \Omega_s f_{m-k-s} = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \textcircled{H}_{p+1} \Omega_s f_{m-p-s} = \\
 &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{p+s=j} \textcircled{H}_{p+1} \Omega_s \right) f_{(m-1)-j} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{p=0}^j \textcircled{H}_{p+1} \Omega_{j-p} \right) f_{(m-1)-j} .
 \end{aligned}$$

The convergence of the series and the commutations involved are easily verifiable.

In such a way we can obtain the predictable part \hat{f}_n of f_n using the linear (infinite) filter E_1, E_2, \dots , so called the linear predictor or Wiener filter for prediction.

REFERENCES

- 1 Aronszajn, N., - Theory of reproducing kernels, Trans.
Amer. Soc. 68 (1950), 337-404.
- 2 Masani, P., - An explicit treatment of dilation theory,
(preprint)
- 3 Suciú, I., and - Factorization of semi-spectral measures,
Valuşescu, I., Rev.Roum.Math. pures et appl. 6 (1976),
773-793.
- 4 Suciú, I., and - Essential parameters in prediction,
Valuşescu, I., Rev.Roum.Math.pures et appl. 10 (1977)
- 5 Suciú, I., and - Fatou and Szegő theorem for operator
Valuşescu, I., valued analytic function, Preprint
INCREST No.27(1977).
- 6 Sz.-Nagy, B, and - Harmonic Analysis of Operators on Hilbert
Foiş, C., space, Acad.Kiadó-Budapest, North-Holland
Publishing Company - Amsterdam - London,
(1970).
- 7 Wiener, N., and - The prediction theory of multivariate
Masani, P., stochastic processes I, Acta Math.98
(1957), 111-150.
- 8 Wiener, N., and - The prediction theory of multivariate
Masani, P., stochastic processes II, Acta Math. 99
(1958), 93-139.