

INSTITUTUL
DE
MATEMATICA

INSTITUTUL NATIONAL
PENTRU CREATIE
STIINTIFICA SI TEHNICA

ISSN 0250-3638

ON DISTRIBUTION SEMI-GROUPS OF SUBNORMAL
OPERATORS

by

Ioana CIORĂNESCU

PREPRINT SERIES IN MATHEMATICS

No.1/1980



Med 16475

BUCURESTI

ON DISTRIBUTION SEMI-GROUPS OF SUBNORMAL
OPERATORS

by
Ioana CIORĂNESCU*)

January 1980

*) Department of Mathematics, National Institute for Scientific
and Technical Creation, Bdul Păcii 220, 77538 Bucharest, Romania

On distribution semi-groups of subnormal operators.

Ioana Ciorănescu.

Abstract.

We shall prove that every distribution semi-group of subnormal operators in a Hilbert space may be extended to a distribution semi-group of normal operators in a larger Hilbert space; as a corollary we get that each exponential distribution semi-group of subnormal operators is an usual continuous semi-group of subnormal operators.

§ 1. Introduction.

Let X be a Banach space and A a closed, densely defined operator in X with domain $D(A)$; an $\mathcal{L}(X)$ -valued distribution \mathcal{E} with the support contained in $[0, +\infty)$ is said to be a regular distribution semi-group (R.D.S.G., in short) of generator A if \mathcal{E} and A satisfy the equations :

$$(1.1) \quad (A - \frac{d}{dt}) * \mathcal{E} = \delta \otimes I_X \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{E} * (A - \frac{d}{dt}) = \delta \otimes I_{D(A)}.$$

An R.D.S.G. \mathcal{E} is said to be an exponential distribution semi-group ^{of type $\leq \omega$} (E.D.S.G., in short) if \mathcal{E} satisfies the following condition: there exists a real ω such that $e^{-\xi t} \mathcal{E}$ is an $\mathcal{L}(X)$ -valued tempered distribution, for any $\xi > \omega$.

Distribution semi-groups were defined and studied by J.L. Lions in [7].

Let us denote $Y = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} D(A^n)$ and endow Y with the Fréchet topology determined by the norms $\|x\|_n = \sum_{j=0}^n \|A^j x\|$.

Then the following conditions on the operator A are equivalent:

(i) A is the generator of a R.D.S.G.;

(ii) the resolvent $R(\lambda; A)$ exists in a logarithmic region of the form

$$\Lambda = \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C}; \operatorname{Re} \lambda > \alpha \log |\operatorname{Im} \lambda| + \beta, \operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq \gamma \}$$

where $\alpha, \beta \geq 0, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ are some given constants, and satisfies

$$\|R(\lambda; A)\| \leq p(|\lambda|), \quad \lambda \in \Lambda$$

$p(\lambda)$ being a polynomial with positive coefficients.

(iii) the resolvent set $\rho(A)$ is not empty and the restriction of A to Y , A_Y , is the generator of a locally-equicontinuous semi-group $\{U_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ of class (C_0) in Y .

The equivalence (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) was proved by J. Chazarain in [2] and the equivalence (i) \Leftrightarrow (iii) was obtained by T. Ushijima in [11].

The R.D.S.G. \mathcal{E} and the semi-group $\{U_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ generated by A_Y may be expressed in terms of the resolvent $R(\lambda; A)$ as follows:

$$(1.2) \quad \mathcal{E}(\varphi) = \int_{\partial \Lambda} \tilde{\varphi}(\lambda) R(\lambda; A) d\lambda \quad \text{for each } \varphi \in \mathcal{D}$$

where \mathcal{D} is the space of all indefinitely differentiable functions on the real line with compact support, $\tilde{\varphi}(\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{\lambda t} \varphi(t) dt$, and $\partial \Lambda$ is the boundary of Λ ;

$$(1.3) \quad U_t x = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0_+} (I - hA)^{-\lceil t/h \rceil} x \quad \text{for each } x \in Y,$$

where the convergence is uniform with respect to t in every finite interval in $[0, +\infty)$ (see [8] and [9]).

Moreover, we recall that holds:

$$(1.4) \quad \mathcal{E}(\varphi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(t) U_t x dt \quad \text{for each } x \in Y, \varphi \in \mathcal{D}$$

(see [11]) and that the semi-group property is given by $\mathcal{E}(\varphi * \psi) = \mathcal{E}(\varphi) \mathcal{E}(\psi)$ for $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{D}_0 = \{\varphi \in \mathcal{D}, \operatorname{supp} \varphi \subset [0, +\infty)\}$

Further, the following conditions on the operator A are equivalent:

(i') A is the generator of an E.D.S.G. of type $\leq \omega$;

(ii') the resolvent $R(\lambda; A)$ exists for $\operatorname{Re} \lambda > \omega$ and satisfies

$$\|R(\lambda; A)\| \leq p(|\lambda|), \quad \operatorname{Re} \lambda > \omega$$

for a polynom $p(\lambda)$;

(iii') the resolvent set $\rho(A)$ is not void and A_Y is the generator of an equicontinuous semi-group of class (C_0) in Y .

J.L.Lions did prove [7] that $(i') \Leftrightarrow (ii')$ and D.Fujiwara [4] get that $(i') \Leftrightarrow (iii')$.

Finally we recall that in [3] C.Foias studied distribution semi-groups of normal operators and obtained the following result :

if \mathcal{E} is an E.D.S.G. of normal operators in a Hilbert space, then \mathcal{E} is an usual continuous semi-group of normal operators.

After these preliminaries on distribution semi-groups, we shall now give some elementary facts on subnormal operators.

Let \mathcal{X} be a Hilbert space; a linear operator T with domain $D(T)$ is called subnormal if there exists a larger Hilbert space H containing \mathcal{X} and a normal operator N in H which extends T (one says also that H reduces T). This definition is due to P.R.Halmos [5]. J.Bram [1] did show that a bounded operator on \mathcal{X} is subnormal if and only if for every finite sequence of vectors x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n in \mathcal{X} holds :

$$\sum_{i,j=0}^n \langle T^i x_j, T^j x_i \rangle \geq 0.$$

He also proved that if N is a minimal normal extension of the ^{bounded} subnormal operator T , then $\|T\| = \|N\|$ and $\sigma(N) \subset \sigma(T)$ ($\sigma(N)$ denotes the spectrum).

Let $\{T_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ be a continuous semi-group of bounded subnormal operators in \mathcal{X} ; then there exists a Hilbert space $H \supset \mathcal{X}$ and a continuous semi-group of normal operators in H extending $\{T_t\}_{t \geq 0}$.

This theorem was first proved by T.Ito [6]; recently a short, different proof was obtained by E.Nussbaum [10].

Adapting conveniently the method from [10], we shall extend in this note the above theorem to distribution semi-groups of subnormal operators and as a corollary we shall get a generalization

of Foias' result to E.D.S.G. of subnormal operators in a Hilbert space.

§ 2. Main result.

In all this paragraph X will be a Hilbert space.

Proposition. Let $\{T_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ be a continuous semi-group of bounded subnormal operators in X ; then for each $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$, the operator

$$\mathcal{E}(\varphi) = \int_0^{+\infty} \varphi(t) T_t dt$$

is a subnormal operator.

Proof. By a result from [10], Proposition 2, for each $a > 0$ and each function $f: [0, a] \rightarrow X$, we have:

$$\int_0^a \int_0^a \langle T_t f(s), T_s f(t) \rangle dt ds \geq 0.$$

On the other hand, using the semi-group property, it is easy to prove

that $\mathcal{E}(\varphi \otimes \psi) = \mathcal{E}(\varphi) \mathcal{E}(\psi)$, for each $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{D}$, where $\varphi \otimes \psi(t) =$

Then, x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n being arbitrary $n+1$ vectors in X , holds: $\int_0^t \varphi(s) \psi(t-s) ds$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i,j=0}^n \langle \mathcal{E}^i(\varphi) x_j, \mathcal{E}^j(\varphi) x_i \rangle = \\ &= \sum_{i,j=0}^n \langle \mathcal{E}(\varphi_i) x_j, \mathcal{E}(\varphi_j) x_i \rangle = \\ &= \int_0^{na} \int_0^{na} \sum_{i,j=0}^n \langle \varphi_i(t) T_t x_j, \varphi_j(s) T_s x_i \rangle dt ds = \\ &= \int_0^{na} \int_0^{na} \langle T_t f(s), T_s f(t) \rangle dt ds \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

where $\varphi_i = \underbrace{\varphi \otimes \varphi \otimes \dots \otimes \varphi}_i$, $f(t) = \sum_{i=0}^n \varphi_i(t) x_i$ and a is such that $\text{supp } \varphi \subset [-a, a]$.

q.e.d.

We shall say that the R.D.S.G. \mathcal{E} is a distribution semi-group of subnormal operators on X if for every $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$, $\mathcal{E}(\varphi)$ is a

subnormal operator on X .

By the above proposition, it is quite natural generalization of the notion of continuous semi-group of bounded subnormal operators.

Then we have the following

Lemma. The generator A of a R.D.S.G. of subnormal operators is a subnormal operator.

Proof. We start by using some arguments from [2] to get a convenient form of the resolvent $R(\lambda; A)$.

Let $0 < a < a'$ and $\theta \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $\theta(t) \equiv 1$ for $t \in [0, a]$ and $\theta(t) \equiv 0$ for $t \notin [-1, a']$. Denote $\theta_\lambda(t) = e^{-\lambda t} \theta(t)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Then, using the first equation from (1.1), we get:

$$(A - \lambda) \mathcal{E}(\theta_\lambda) = I - \mathcal{E}(e^{-\lambda t} \theta'(t)).$$

Put $\psi_\lambda(t) = e^{-\lambda t} \theta'(t)$; then in [2] it is proved that for λ belonging to some logarithmic region Λ , $\|\mathcal{E}(\psi_\lambda)\| \leq 1/2$, that is

$$\begin{aligned} [I - \mathcal{E}(\psi_\lambda)]^{-1} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{E}^n(\psi_\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{E}^n(\psi_\lambda^+) = \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{E}(\psi_\lambda^+ * \dots * \psi_\lambda^+) = \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}(\varphi_{\lambda, k}) \end{aligned}$$

where $\varphi_{\lambda, k} = \sum_{n=0}^k \psi_\lambda^+ * \dots * \psi_\lambda^+ \in \mathcal{D}_0$, $\psi_\lambda^+ = \psi_\lambda|_{[0, +\infty)}$

Finally we get using in the same way the second equation from (1.1) that $R(\lambda; A)$ exists in a logarithmic region Λ and is given by

$$\begin{aligned} R(\lambda; A) &= \mathcal{E}(\theta_\lambda) [I - \mathcal{E}(\psi_\lambda)]^{-1} = \\ &= \mathcal{E}(\theta_\lambda) \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}(\varphi_{\lambda, k}) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}(\theta_\lambda^+) \cdot \mathcal{E}(\varphi_{\lambda, k}) \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}(\phi_{\lambda, k}) \end{aligned}$$

where $\phi_{\lambda, k} = \theta_\lambda^+ * \varphi_{\lambda, k} \in \mathcal{D}_0$.

(we used the fact proved in [7], that putting for $\psi \in \mathcal{D}$, $\mathcal{E}(\psi^+) \mathcal{E}(\varphi) = \mathcal{E}(\psi^+ * \varphi)$, we get a closed densely defined operator such that $\mathcal{E}(\psi^+) = \mathcal{E}(\psi)$)

As for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the operator $\mathcal{E}(\Phi_{\lambda,k})$ is subnormal, it is clear that $R(\lambda; A)$ is a subnormal operator on X .

Let $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$ be fixed and let N_{λ_0} be a minimal normal extension of $R(\lambda_0; A)$ acting on a Hilbert space H . Then $N_{\lambda_0}^{-1}$ exists, by an argument given in [10], Proposition 3 and we give it for completeness.

If $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0}^\perp = \mathcal{N}(N_{\lambda_0})$ is the null space of N_{λ_0} , then $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0} = \mathcal{N}(N_{\lambda_0}^*)$ and $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0} = \overline{\mathcal{R}(N_{\lambda_0})} \supset \mathcal{R}(N_{\lambda_0}) = X$ (\mathcal{R} denotes the range). Since $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0}^\perp$ reduces N_{λ_0} and N_{λ_0} is a minimal normal extension of $R(\lambda_0; A)$, $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0} = H$ and therefore $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0} = \{0\}$. Hence $N_{\lambda_0}^{-1}$ exists, is closed and densely defined and is a minimal normal extension of $\lambda_0 - A$. Hence $N = \lambda_0 - N_{\lambda_0}^{-1}$ is a minimal normal extension of A .

q.e.d.

We can now give the

Theorem. Let \mathcal{E} be a R.D.S.G. of bounded subnormal operators in a Hilbert space X ; then there exists a Hilbert space H containing X and a R.D.S.G. $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ of normal operators in H such that $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\varphi)_X = \mathcal{E}(\varphi)$, for each $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$.

Proof. Let N be a minimal normal extension of A , associated as in the Lemma to a fixed $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$, acting in a Hilbert space $H \supset X$. Then

$\sigma((\lambda_0 - N)^{-1}) \subset \sigma((\lambda_0 - A)^{-1})$ and by the spectral mapping theorem, it results that $\sigma_e(N) \subset \sigma_e(A)$ (σ_e is the extended spectrum). Hence $\sigma(N) \subset \sigma(A)$, whence $\mathcal{S}(A) \subset \mathcal{S}(N)$. So $\mathcal{S}(N)$ contains the logarithmic region Λ and for $\lambda \in \Lambda$ holds:

$$\|R(\lambda; N)\| = \|R(\lambda; A)\| \leq p(|\lambda|).$$

Therefore, by the equivalence (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii), N is the generator of a R.D.S.G. of normal operators in H , given by (1.2):

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\varphi) = \int_{\partial\Lambda} \tilde{\varphi}(\lambda) R(\lambda; N) d\lambda, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{D},$$

where by the Lemma, $R(\lambda; N)$ is normal. It is clear that each $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\varphi)$ extends $\mathcal{E}(\varphi)$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$.

q.e.d.

Corollary. Let \mathcal{E} be an E.D.S.G. of subnormal operators in a Hilbert space X ; then \mathcal{E} is given by an usual continuous semi-group of bounded subnormal operators in X .

Proof. Let N be a minimal normal extension of the generator A of acting on the Hilbert space $H \supset X$. Then by a similar argument as in the above theorem, it results that $R(\lambda; N)$ exists for $\operatorname{Re} \lambda > \omega$, where ω is the type of \mathcal{E} and $R(\lambda; N)$ is majorized by a polynomial.

Hence, by the equivalence (i') \Leftrightarrow (ii'), N is the generator of an E.D.S.G. of normal operators in H , $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$. By the result of C. Foias, $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ is given by an usual continuous semi-group $\{T_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ of normal operators in H .

Let $x \in Y = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} D(A^n)$ and $\{U_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ the equicontinuous semi-group of class (C_0) generated by A_Y in Y (see (iii')); then by (1.3) we have :

$$\begin{aligned} U_t x &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0_+} (I - hA)^{-[t/h]} x = \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0_+} (I - hN)^{-[t/h]} x = T_t x. \end{aligned}$$

As Y is dense in X , it is clear that $\{U_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a continuous semi-group of subnormal operators on X which by (1.4), coincide, in the distributional sense with \mathcal{E} .

q.e.d.

References.

1. Bram, J., Subnormal operators, Duke Math. J., 22 (1955), 75-94.
2. Chazarain, J., Problèmes de Cauchy abstraits et applications à quelques problèmes mixtes, J. Funct. Anal., 7 (1972), 386-446.
3. Foias, C., Remarques sur les semi-groupes distributions d'opérateurs normaux, Portugal. Math., 19, (1960), 227-242.
4. Fujiwara, D., A characterisation of exponential distribution semi-groups, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 18, (1966), 267-274.
5. Halmos, P. R., Normal dilations and extensions of operators, Summa Brasil. Math., 2, (1950), 125-134.
6. Ito, T., On the commutative family of subnormal operators, J. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Univ. Ser. I, 14, (1958), 1-15.
7. Lions, J. L., Les semi-groupes distributions, Portugal. Math., 19, (1960), 141-164.
8. Oharu, S., Semi-groups of linear operators in a Banach space, Publ. R. I. M. S., Kyoto Univ., 7 (1971-72), 205-260.
9. Oharu, S., Eine Bemerkung zur Charakterisierung der Distributionenhalbgruppen, Math. Ann., 204, (1973), 189-198.
10. Nussbaum, E., Semi-groups of subnormal operators, J. London Math. Soc. (2), 14, (1976), 340-344.
11. Ushijima, T., On the generation and smoothness of semi-groups of linear operators, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, 19, (1972) 65-127.

