INSTITUTUL
DE
MATEMATICA

INSTITUTUL NATIONAL PENTRU CREATIE STIINTIFICA SI TEHNICA

ISSN 0250-3836

ON NOETHER'S THEOREM

by

Theodor GHINDEA

PREPRINT SERIES IN MATHEMATICS

No.41/1980

Med 16985

BUCURESTI

ON NOETHER'S THEOREM

by

Theodor GHINDEA*)

August 1980

^{*)} University of Bucharest, Faculty of Mathematics, Academiei 14, ... Bucharest, Romania

On Noether's Theorem

by Theodor Ghinda

Let u1, ..., um be some real-valued functions depending on n variables x',...,x''. We adopt the notation $u_i^{\alpha} = \frac{\partial u^{\alpha}}{\partial x^i}$ and consider the functional $L = L(x^i, u^{\alpha}, u^{\alpha})$ of class C^2 in all its n + m + nm variables. We further note (using the summation convention) :

 $\frac{d}{dx^{i}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}} + u_{i}^{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^{\alpha}} + u_{ji}^{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{i}^{\alpha}} , \qquad [L]_{\alpha} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial u^{\alpha}} - \frac{d}{dx^{i}} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial u^{\alpha}} \right).$

We consider the following form of Noether's theorem:

Theorem. Let u^1, \ldots, u^m be solutions of the equations [L] = 0 $(\alpha = 1, \ldots, m)$ and let $\xi^i = \xi^i(x^i, u^i, u^i)$ $(i = 1, \ldots, m)$ $\eta^{\alpha} = \eta^{\alpha}(x^{i}, u^{\beta}, u^{\beta}_{i}) \quad (\alpha = 1, ..., m), \quad V^{i} = V^{i}(x^{j}, u^{\beta}, u^{\beta}_{i}) \quad (i = 1, ..., m)$

be some real-valued functions which satisfy the relation: $L \frac{d\xi^{i}}{dx^{i}} + \frac{\partial L}{\partial x^{i}} \xi^{i} + \frac{\partial L}{\partial u^{x}} \eta^{x} + \frac{\partial L}{\partial u^{c}} \left(\frac{d\eta^{x}}{dx^{i}} - u^{x}_{l} \frac{d\xi^{l}}{dx^{i}} \right) + \frac{dV^{i}}{dx^{i}} = 0.$ (1)

Then u^1, \dots, u^m also verify the relation: $\frac{d}{dx^i} \left[L \xi^i + \frac{\partial L}{\partial u^{\alpha}_i} \left(\eta^{\alpha} - u^{\alpha}_{\ell} \xi^{\ell} \right) + V^i \right] = 0.$ (2)

The result may be obtained combining the statements given by D.Lovelock and H.Rund [1] and by A.Trautman [2] (see also [3]) and assuming moreover that the functions ξ^i , η^{α} and depend on the partial derivatives up too (the proof remains the same).

The theorem is very useful for mechanics, permitting a relatively easy deduction of the main conservation laws. Usually, one considers that one single function among \$1, ..., \$" η^1, \dots, η^m is different from zero, choses it and v^1, \dots, v^n so that the condition (1) be verified and writes the correspondingconservation law (2). Then one makes another choice, etc. The question that naturally arises is whether the possibilities of the theorem have thus been exhausted:

Proposition. In order to obtain all the conservation laws which can be derived using the previous theorem, it is sufficient to assume that one single function among \$1, ..., \$m, n1, ..., nm is different from zero. If the non-vanishing function is ξ^k (fixed k), then every conservation law given by the general form of the condition (1) can be obtained from the following ξ_1^{κ} , V_1^{\prime} , ---, $V_1^{\prime m}$:

 $V_{4}^{j} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial u_{x}^{\alpha}} u_{x}^{\alpha} \xi_{4}^{k} = V_{j}^{j} + L_{j}^{j} + \frac{\partial L}{\partial u_{x}^{\alpha}} (\eta^{\alpha} - u_{x}^{\alpha} \xi^{\beta})$ (4)

(5) $V_4^{K} = V^{K} + \frac{\partial L}{\partial u_{k}^{\infty}} \left(\eta^{\alpha} - u_{j}^{\alpha} \xi^{j} \right)$

(no sum over K). If the non-vanishing function is η^{γ} (fixed Y), then every conservation law given by the general situation can be obtained from the following $\eta_1^{\gamma}, V_1^{\prime}, \dots, V_n^{\prime m}$:

(6) カナーカナ

$$V_{i}^{i} + \frac{\partial L}{\partial u_{i}^{*}} \eta_{i}^{j} = V^{i} + L \xi^{i} + \frac{\partial L}{\partial u_{i}^{*}} (\eta^{\alpha} - u_{\ell}^{\alpha} \xi^{\ell}). \tag{7}$$

Proof. As in the statement, the indices K and Y will be excluded from the summation convention and the index j will except the value K.

First, we must verify that the functions chosen through the relations (3) - (5) and (6) - (7), respectively, belong to the announced class, i.e. they satisfy the condition (1). For the first choice, we have :

$$\begin{bmatrix}
\frac{d\xi_{A}^{k}}{dx^{k}} + \frac{\partial L}{\partial x^{k}} \xi_{A}^{k} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial u_{i}^{k}} u_{K}^{k} \frac{d\xi_{A}^{k}}{dx^{i}} + \frac{dV_{A}^{i}}{dx^{i}} = \\
= L \frac{d\xi_{A}^{k}}{dx^{k}} + \frac{\partial L}{\partial x^{k}} \xi_{A}^{k} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial u_{i}^{k}} u_{K}^{k} \frac{d\xi_{A}^{k}}{dx^{i}} + \frac{dV_{A}^{k}}{dx^{k}} + \frac{d}{dx^{k}} \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial u_{K}^{k}} (\eta^{k} - u_{A}^{k} \xi^{k}) \right] + \\
+ \frac{dV_{A}^{i}}{dx^{i}} + \frac{d}{dx^{i}} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial u_{i}^{k}} u_{K}^{k} \xi^{k} \right) + \frac{d}{dx^{i}} \left[L \xi^{j} + \frac{\partial L}{\partial u_{K}^{k}} (\eta^{k} - u_{A}^{k} \xi^{k}) \right] = \\
= \frac{d}{dx^{i}} \left[L \xi^{i} + \frac{\partial L}{\partial u_{i}^{k}} (\eta^{k} - u_{A}^{k} \xi^{k}) + V^{i} \right] - \xi^{k} \frac{dL}{dx^{k}} + \frac{d}{dx^{k}} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial u_{K}^{k}} u_{K}^{k} \xi^{k} \right) + \\
+ \frac{\partial L}{\partial x^{k}} \xi^{k} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial u_{i}^{k}} u_{K}^{k} \frac{d\xi^{k}}{dx^{i}} + \frac{d}{dx^{i}} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial u_{A}^{k}} u_{K}^{k} \xi^{k} \right) = \\
= - \xi^{k} \frac{\partial L}{dx^{k}} + \xi^{k} \frac{\partial L}{\partial x^{k}} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial u_{i}^{k}} u_{K}^{k} + \xi^{k} \frac{d}{dx^{i}} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial u_{i}^{k}} u_{K}^{k} \right) = - \xi^{k} u_{K}^{k} \left[L \right]_{\alpha} = 0.$$

For the functions given by (6) and (7), we follow the same way:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial u^{r}} \eta_{i}^{r} + \frac{\partial L}{\partial u_{i}^{r}} \frac{d\eta_{i}^{r}}{dx^{i}} + \frac{dV_{i}^{i}}{dx^{i}} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial u^{r}} \eta^{r} + \frac{\partial L}{\partial u_{i}^{r}} \frac{d\eta_{i}^{r}}{dx^{i}} + \frac{\partial L}{\partial u_{i}^{r}} \left(\eta^{r} - u_{\ell}^{r} \xi^{\ell} \right) + V^{i} \right] - \frac{d}{dx^{i}} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial u_{i}^{r}} \eta^{r} \right) = \\
= \frac{\partial L}{\partial u^{r}} \eta^{r} + \frac{\partial L}{\partial u_{i}^{r}} \frac{d\eta^{r}}{dx^{i}} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial u_{i}^{r}} \frac{d\eta^{r}}{dx^{i}} - \eta^{r} \frac{d}{dx^{i}} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial u_{i}^{r}} \right) = \eta^{r} \left[L \right]_{r} = 0.$$

Now, we have to check that the functions ξ_1^k , V_1^1 , ..., V_1^n V^4 , ---, V^n in the right-hand side of the relations (3) - (5).

We proceed as follows: in the general form

 $\frac{d}{dx^{k}}\left(L_{\xi}^{k} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial u_{k}^{\alpha}} u_{k}^{\alpha} \xi^{k} + V^{k}\right) + \frac{d}{dx^{\delta}}\left(-\frac{\partial L}{\partial u_{\delta}^{\alpha}} u_{k}^{\alpha} \xi^{k} + V^{\delta}\right) = 0$ of the conservation laws based on ξ^{k} , V^{1} , ---, V^{n} we replace these functions by the values ξ_{1}^{k} , V_{1}^{1} , ---, V_{1}^{n} given by (3) - (5); it results just the relation (2). A similar calculation for the functions η_{1}^{γ} , V_{1}^{λ} , ---, V_{1}^{n} in (6) and (7) completes the proof.

The proposition shows that, instead of studying the equation (1) in its general form, it is sufficient to consider that the functions $\xi^1, --, \xi^n, \eta^1, ---, \eta^m$ vanish, except one of them, chosen so that the problem become as simple as possible.

Let us suppose, for example, that we are concerned with the motion of ideal fluids and use the Eulerian description. It can be shown (see [4], [5]) that the equations of motion follow from the variational principle:

 $\delta \int_{t}^{\frac{1}{t_{1}}} \int_{\Sigma} L \, dx \, dt = 0 ,$

where

$$L = g \left(W + \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} + 5 \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} + \infty \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial t} + U + \frac{1}{2} \overrightarrow{\psi}^2 \right)$$
 (8)

and D CR3 is an arbitrary domain. We have used the representation

$$v_{i} = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_{i}} + 5 \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x_{i}} + \alpha \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial x_{i}} \quad (i = 1, 2, 3)$$

W is the specific internal energy, S is the entropy, U is the potential of the exterior forces (with changed sign), & is one of the Lagrangian coordinates and ϕ, η, β are multipliers (see [5]).

The independent variables are x4, x2, x3, t and the dependent variables are $\rho, \phi, S, \eta, \alpha, \beta$.

If we replace L in the condition (1) by the expression (8), we remark that we obtain the most simple equation when all the functions ξ and η^{α} vanish, except η^{ϕ} (corresponding to ϕ). In order to avoid any misunderstanding, the function will be denoted

(9)

is substantial derivative.

Now, the functions vi are v1, v2, v3, vt 6corresponding to the independent variables) and the conservation law following from (9) may be written in the form :

$$\int_{D(t)} \frac{d}{dt} \left(g \eta_1^{\phi} + V_{t}^{1} \right) dx = - \int_{\partial D(t)} \left(g \eta_1^{\phi} v_i + V_{i}^{1} \right) n_i ds, \tag{10}$$

n denoting the exterior normal to the boundary of the domain D(t). We shall show how the classical theorems of ideal fluid mechanics can be deduced starting from (9) and (10).

Having in view the values of the functions ξ' , ---, ξ'' , η' ,... ..., m, V',..., V which usually lead to these theorems (i.e. having the condition (9) automatically fulfiled), according to the proposition), the relations (6), (7) and (10) yield us the following results:

The energy theorem is obtained from :

$$\eta_{1}^{4} = 0$$

$$V_{1}^{4} = V_{1} + pv_{1}a + pv_{1}(W + U + \frac{1}{2}\vec{v}^{2})a$$

$$V_{t}^{4} = V_{t} + \beta(W + U + \frac{1}{2}\vec{v}^{2})a,$$

where v_1 , v_2 , v_3 , v_t are solutions for $\frac{dV_i}{dx_i} + \frac{dV_t}{dt} = -9 \frac{\partial U}{\partial t} a$ (a is an arbitrary constant) and has the form:

$$\frac{D}{Dt} \int_{D(t)} g(W + U + \frac{1}{2} \vec{w}^2) dx = \int_{D(t)} g(W + U + \frac{1}{2} \vec{w}^2) dx = \int_{D(t)} g(W + U + \frac{1}{2} \vec{w}^2) dx = \int_{D(t)} g(W + U + \frac{1}{2} \vec{w}^2) dx$$

The momentum theorem follows from :

$$\eta_{1}^{\phi} = 0$$

$$V_{1}^{1} = V_{1} - pa_{1} - g \log a_{2}$$

$$V_{t}^{1} = V_{t} - g \log a_{2}$$

with $\frac{dV_i}{dx_i} + \frac{dV_t}{dt} = -\beta \frac{\partial U}{\partial x_i} di$ (a are arbitrary constants) and has

the form :

$$\frac{D}{Dt} \int_{D(t)} g \vec{r} dx = \int_{D(t)} g \vec{r} dx - \int_{\partial D(t)} p \vec{n} dx.$$

Putting $(\vec{x} \times \vec{x})_i$ instead of a_i (i=1, 2, 3), we get the angular momentum theorem:

$$\frac{D}{Dt} \int_{D(t)} \vec{x} \times \rho \vec{v} dx = \int_{D(t)} \vec{x} \times \rho \vec{r} dx - \int_{\partial D(t)} \vec{x} \times \rho \vec{m} dx,$$

while for ait instead of ai we obtain the center-of-mass theorem :

$$\frac{D}{Dt} \int_{D(t)} g(\vec{x} - \vec{v}t) dx = -\int_{D(t)} gt \vec{F} dx + \int_{D(t)} pt \vec{n} ds.$$

it follows the conservation-of-mass theorem :

$$\frac{D}{\Delta t} \int_{\Delta(t)} p \, dx = 0.$$

For

$$\eta_{1}^{\phi} = 0$$

$$V_{i}^{1} = \rho \times \nu_{i} a$$

$$V_{t}^{1} = \rho \times a,$$

we are led to the conservation law: $\frac{D}{Dt} \int_{D(t)} g \propto dx = 0$.

Finally, from
$$\eta_{4}^{0} = 0$$

$$V_{i}^{1} = \rho S v_{i} a$$

$$V_{+}^{1} = \rho S a$$

we obtain the entropy conservation law in the form :

 $\frac{D}{Dt}\int_{DH} g \, S \, dx = 0$.

In each case, one can verify directly that the chosen functions are solutions of the equation (9).

References

- 1. D.Lovelock, H.Rund: Tensors, Differential Forms and Variational Principles, John Wiley & Sons, 1975.
- 2. A.Trautman: Noether Equations and Conservation Laws, Com.Math. Phys., 6, 1967.
- 3. L.Dragos: Principille mecanicii analitice, Ed.tehnică, București, 1976.
- 4. I.I.Cristea: Mecanica analitică a fluidelor perfecte barotrope, Studia Univ. Babeș Bolyai, serie math.-phys., fasc 2 1963, p.81-94.
- 5. R.L.Seliger, G.B.Whitham: Variational Principles in Continuum Mechanics, Proc.Roy.Soc. London A, 305, 1968, p.1.