

ON AMPLE DIVISORS: II

Lucian BĂDESCU*)

August 1980

*) Department of Mathematics, National Institute for Scientific and Technical Creation, Bucharest 79622, Bd. Păcii 220, Romania

ON AMPLE DIVISORS : II

Lucian Bădescu

This paper is a continuation of our previous one [1], from which we shall borrow in general the terminology and notations. Using the same kind of techniques as in [1] we prove some other results.

§1. Let k be an algebraically closed field and Y a minimal model of rational surfaces over k . First of all we shall determine all smooth projective threefolds X containing Y as an ample divisor. By a well known theorem of Nagata, Y is isomorphic to one of the following surfaces: P^2 , $P^1 \times P^1 = F_0$, or $F_e = P(\mathcal{O}_{P^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{P^1}(-e))$, where $e \geq 2$ and where $P(E)$ denotes the projective bundle associated to any locally free sheaf E .

The problem we want to study is well known for $Y = P^2$ (and in this case X is isomorphic to P^3), while the case $Y = P^1 \times P^1$ is treated in [1], theorem 5. Thus we may assume $Y = F_e$ with $e \geq 2$. Denote by $p: Y = F_e \longrightarrow P^1$ the canonical projection. Then a base for $\text{Pic}(Y)$ is the following: $\mathcal{O}_Y(1) = \mathcal{O}_{P(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(-e))}(1)$ and $p^*\mathcal{O}(1)$, where $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_{P^1}$ and $\mathcal{O}(1) = \mathcal{O}_{P^1}(1)$.

Theorem 1. Assume that $\text{char}(k) = 0$ and that $Y = F_e$, $e \geq 2$, is contained in the smooth projective threefold X as an ample divisor. Then there exists an exact sequence of \mathcal{O}_{P^1} -modules of the form

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{P^1} \longrightarrow E = \mathcal{O}(a) \oplus \mathcal{O}(b) \oplus \mathcal{O}(c) \xrightarrow{\varphi} F = \mathcal{O}(s) \oplus \mathcal{O}(s-e) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $a > 0$, $b > 0$, $c > 0$ are positive integers, such that X is isomorphic to $P(E)$ and $Y \cong P(F)$ is contained in X via surjection φ .

Proof. Since $\mathcal{O}_Y(1)$ and $p^*\mathcal{O}(1)$ form a basis for $\text{Pic}(Y)$, there are two integers α and β such that $\mathcal{O}_X(Y) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y = \mathcal{O}_Y(\beta) \otimes p^*\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$, and since Y is an ample divisor on X , $\beta > 0$ and $\alpha > \beta \cdot e$ (see for instance [3], page 380, 2.18). By proposition 1 in [1] the canonical map $\mathcal{E}: \text{Pic}(X) \longrightarrow \text{Pic}(Y)$ is injective and $\text{Coker}(\mathcal{E})$ is torsion-free. (Here one uses essentially the hypothesis about $\text{char}(k)$!) Recalling that $\text{Pic}(Y)$ is a free group of rank two, we have only one of the following cases:

1) $\text{Pic}(X)$ is a free group of rank one. Choose then an ample generator L of $\text{Pic}(X)$ and write $\mathcal{O}_X(Y) = L^{\otimes r}$, $\omega_X = L^{\otimes t}$ and $L \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y = \mathcal{O}_Y(\gamma) \otimes p^*\mathcal{O}(\delta)$, where $r, t, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{Z}$ and ω_X is the canonical sheaf of X . Since L is ample, $\gamma > 0$ and $\delta > \gamma \cdot e$. The adjunction formula immediately yields

$$(r+t) \cdot \delta = -e-2 \quad \text{and} \quad (r+t) \cdot \gamma = -2.$$

If $\gamma = 1$ then $r+t = -2$ and thus $\delta = 1 + \frac{e}{2}$, contradicting the inequalities $\delta > \gamma \cdot e$ and $e \geq 2$. If $\gamma = 2$ then $r+t = -1$ and thus $\delta = e+2$, contradicting again the inequalities $\delta > \gamma \cdot e$ and $e \geq 2$.

Therefore case 1) is impossible.

2) \mathcal{E} is an isomorphism. Then there are $L, M \in \text{Pic}(X)$ such that $L \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y \cong p^*\mathcal{O}(1)$ and $M \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y \cong \mathcal{O}_Y(1)$. Since $\mathcal{O}_X(Y) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y \cong \mathcal{O}_Y(t) \otimes p^*\mathcal{O}(s)$, with $s > te > 0$, the injectivity of \mathcal{E} yields $\mathcal{O}_X(Y) \cong L^{\otimes s} \otimes M^{\otimes t}$.

Let $\sigma \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X(Y)) \cong \Gamma(L^{\otimes s} \otimes M^{\otimes t})$ be such that $\text{div}_X(\sigma) = Y$, i.e. a global equation for Y . The exact sequence $(u, m \in \mathbb{Z})$

$$(1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow L^{\otimes u} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X((m-1)Y) \xrightarrow{\sigma} L^{\otimes u} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(mY) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y(mt) \otimes p^*\mathcal{O}(ms+u) \longrightarrow 0$$

(where the first map is multiplication by σ) yields the exact sequence

$$(2) \quad H^1(L^{\otimes u} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X((m-1)Y)) \longrightarrow H^1(L^{\otimes u} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(mY)) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathcal{O}_Y(mt) \otimes p^*\mathcal{O}(ms+u)).$$

Since Y is ample on X and X is a smooth projective threefold we have

$$(3) \quad H^1(L^{\otimes u} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X}(mY)) = 0 \text{ for every } m \ll 0 \text{ and } u = 0, 1.$$

I claim that

$$(4) \quad H^1(\mathcal{O}_Y(mt) \otimes_{\mathcal{P}} \mathcal{O}(ms+u)) = 0 \text{ for every } m \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } u = 0, 1.$$

Proof of (4). Consider the Leray spectral sequence

$$E_2^{ij} = H^i(\mathcal{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(ms+u) \otimes_{\mathcal{P}} R^j p_* \mathcal{O}_Y(mt)) \implies H^{i+j}(\mathcal{O}_Y(mt) \otimes_{\mathcal{P}} \mathcal{O}(ms+u)).$$

If $m \gg 0$, $R^j p_* \mathcal{O}_Y(mt) = 0$ for every $j > 0$ and hence this spectral sequence

degenerates. In particular

$$\begin{aligned} H^1(\mathcal{O}_Y(mt) \otimes_{\mathcal{P}} \mathcal{O}(ms+u)) &\cong H^1(\mathcal{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(ms+u) \otimes_{\mathcal{P}} \mathcal{O}_Y(mt)) \cong \\ &\cong H^1(\mathcal{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(ms+u) \otimes S^{mt}(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(-e))) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{mt} H^1(\mathcal{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(ms+u-ie)), \end{aligned}$$

where $S^i(G)$ stands for the i^{th} symmetric power of the $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}^1}$ -module G . But for

$0 \leq u \leq 1$ and $0 \leq i \leq mt$ we have $ms+u-ie > 0$ (recall that $s > te > 0$), and therefore

(4) follows in case $m \gg 0$ from the explicit computation of the cohomology of \mathcal{P}^1 .

Assume now $m < 0$. Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow E_2^{1,0} \longrightarrow H^1 \longrightarrow E_2^{0,1}.$$

For proving (4) in this case it will be sufficient to show that $E_2^{1,0} =$

$$= E_2^{0,1} = 0. \text{ We have}$$

$$E_2^{1,0} = H^1(\mathcal{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(ms+u) \otimes_{\mathcal{P}} \mathcal{O}_Y(mt)) = 0 \text{ since for } m < 0, p_* \mathcal{O}_Y(mt) = 0;$$

$$E_2^{0,1} = H^0(\mathcal{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(ms+u) \otimes R^1 p_* \mathcal{O}_Y(mt)).$$

The relative duality for the morphism p (see [4]) together with the

equality $\omega_{Y/\mathcal{P}}^1 = \mathcal{O}_Y(-2) \otimes_{\mathcal{P}} \mathcal{O}(-e)$ give

$$\begin{aligned} R^1 p_* \mathcal{O}_Y(mt) &\cong \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}^1}}(p_* [\mathcal{O}_Y(-mt-2) \otimes_{\mathcal{P}} \mathcal{O}(-e)], \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}^1}) = \\ &= \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}^1}}(\mathcal{O}(-e) \otimes_{\mathcal{P}} \mathcal{O}_Y(-mt-2), \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}^1}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $m < 0$ and $t > 0$, $-mt-2 \geq -1$. If $-mt-2 = -1$ (i.e. $t = 1$ and $m = -1$)

then $p_* \mathcal{O}_Y(-mt-2) = p_* \mathcal{O}_Y(-1) = 0$, or else $R^1 p_* \mathcal{O}_Y(mt) = 0$, and (4) is proved

if $-mt-2 = -1$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{Assume therefore } -mt-2 \geq 0. \text{ Then } p_* O_Y(-mt-2) \cong S^{-mt-2}(O \oplus O(-e)) = \\
 & \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^{-mt-2} O(-ie), \text{ and therefore } R^1 p_* O_Y(mt) \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^{-mt-2} \mathcal{H}om_{O_{P^1}}(O(-e-ie), O_{P^1}) = \\
 & = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{-mt-2} O((i+1)e). \text{ Thus} \\
 & E_2^{0,1} \cong H^0(P^1, \bigoplus_{i=0}^{-mt-2} O(ms+u+(i+1)e)) = 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

because for $m < 0$, $-mt-2 \geq 0$ and $0 \leq u \leq 1$ we have $ms+u+(i+1)e \leq ms+1+(-mt-1)e = m(s-te) + (1-e) < 0$.

Thus (4) is proved in all cases.

Now from (2), (3) and (4) we get by induction on m that $H^1(L^{\otimes u} \otimes_{O_X}(mY)) = 0$ for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $u = 0, 1$. In particular, (1) induces the exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5_{u,m}) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \Gamma(L^{\otimes u} \otimes_{O_X}((m-1)Y)) \longrightarrow \Gamma(L^{\otimes u} \otimes_{O_X}(mY)) \longrightarrow \\
 \longrightarrow \Gamma(Y, O_Y(mt) \otimes p^* O(ms+u)) \longrightarrow 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $u = 0, 1$.

Then $(5_{1,0})$ shows that the restriction map $\Gamma(L) \longrightarrow \Gamma(Y, p^* O(1))$ is an isomorphism (indeed, we have $\Gamma(L \otimes_{O_X}(mY)) = 0$ for $m \ll 0$ and one applies an easy induction on $m < 0$ using $(5_{1,m})$ in order to get that $\Gamma(L \otimes_{O_X}(-Y)) = 0$). Since $|p^* O(1)| = P^1$, there are two distinct divisors $\Delta, \Delta' \in |L|$ such that $\Delta \cap \Delta' \cap Y = \emptyset$. Since Y is ample on X , $\dim(\Delta \cap \Delta') \leq 0$, and in fact we cannot have $\Delta \cap \Delta' \neq \emptyset$ because otherwise

$$3 = \text{codim}_X(\Delta \cap \Delta') \leq \text{codim}_X(\Delta) + \text{codim}_X(\Delta') = 2.$$

Therefore $\Delta \cap \Delta' = \emptyset$. In particular, the linear system $|L|$ has no base points and hence the corresponding rational map $q = \varphi_L: X \longrightarrow |L| = P^1$ is a surjective morphism. This implies that for every $L' \in \text{Pic}(X)$, $(L^2 \cdot L') = 0$ (see [5] for the intersection theory of line bundles). But the equalities

$$1 = (p^*O(1) \cdot O_Y(1))_Y = (L \cdot M \cdot Y)_X = s(L \cdot^2 \cdot M) + t(L \cdot M \cdot^2) = t(L \cdot M \cdot^2)$$

show that $t = 1$ and $(L \cdot M \cdot^2) = 1$. Therefore $O_X(Y) = L^{\otimes s} \otimes M$ with $s > e$. Let

$\Delta \in |L|$ be an arbitrary member and set $T = O_X(Y) \otimes O_\Delta \cong M \otimes O_\Delta$. Then T is an ample invertible O_Δ -module, $(T \cdot^2)_\Delta = (L \cdot M \cdot^2)_X = 1$, and moreover

$$(6) \quad \dim \Gamma(\Delta, T) \geq 3.$$

Proof of (6). The exact sequence $(5_{o,1})$ together with the fact that $t = 1$ give:

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \Gamma(L^{\otimes s} \otimes M) &= 1 + \dim \Gamma(Y, O_Y(1) \otimes p^*O(s)) = 1 + \dim \Gamma(P^1, O(s) \oplus O(s-e)) = \\ &= 1 + (s+1) + (s-e+1) = 2s - e + 3. \end{aligned}$$

The exact sequence $(5_{1,1})$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \Gamma(L^{\otimes(s+1)} \otimes M) &= \dim \Gamma(L) + \dim \Gamma(Y, O_Y(1) \otimes p^*O(s+1)) = 2 + \\ &+ \dim \Gamma(P^1, O(s+1) \oplus O(s+1-e)) = 2 + (s+2) + (s+2-e) = 2s - e + 6. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow L^{\otimes s} \otimes M \longrightarrow L^{\otimes(s+1)} \otimes M \longrightarrow T \longrightarrow 0$$

(recall that $\Delta \in |L|$ and $T = M \otimes O_\Delta$) yields the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \Gamma(L^{\otimes s} \otimes M) \longrightarrow \Gamma(L^{\otimes(s+1)} \otimes M) \longrightarrow \Gamma(T),$$

and therefore $\dim \Gamma(T) \geq \dim \Gamma(L^{\otimes(s+1)} \otimes M) - \dim \Gamma(L^{\otimes s} \otimes M) = (2s - e + 6) - (2s - e + 3) = 3$, and (6) is proved.

Now a theorem of Kobayashi and Ochiai (see [6], or [1], theorem 3) and the facts that $\overset{T}{\Delta}$ is ample, $(T \cdot^2)_\Delta = 1$ and $\dim \Gamma(\Delta, T) \geq 3$ imply that $\Delta \cong P^2$ and $T \cong O_{P^2}(1)$. This happens for every $\Delta \in |L|$. Hence $O_X(Y)$ induces the tautological invertible sheaf on every $\Delta \cong P^2$. In these circumstances Hironaka has proved that $E = q_* O_X(Y)$ is a locally free O_{P^1} -module of rank 3, that $X \cong P(E)$ and that $O_{P(E)}(1) \cong O_X(Y)$ (see [4], theorem 1.8). Then

the exact sequence $(5_{0,1})$ (with $t = 1$) yields the exact sequence of cohomology

$$0 \longrightarrow q_* \mathcal{O}_X \cong \mathcal{O}_{P^1} \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow p_* [\mathcal{O}_Y(1) \otimes p^* \mathcal{O}(s)] \cong \mathcal{O}(s) \oplus \mathcal{O}(s-e) \longrightarrow R^1 q_* \mathcal{O}_X = 0.$$

By a theorem of Grothendieck E is of the form $E = \mathcal{O}(a) \oplus \mathcal{O}(b) \oplus \mathcal{O}(c)$, where $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since Y is ample on X , $E = q_* \mathcal{O}_X(Y)$ is ample on P^1 , and therefore $a > 0$, $b > 0$ and $c > 0$. Taking degrees we also get $a+b+c = 2s-e$. Q.E.D.

Corollary. Assume that the smooth projective threefold X over the algebraically closed field k of char. zero contains a minimal model of rational surfaces as an ample divisor. Then X is a rational threefold.

The corollary is a consequence of theorem of Nagata quoted above, theorem 1 above and [1], theorem 5 and proposition 2.

Remark. This corollary is no longer true if one drops the assumption about minimality of Y , as it is easily seen by taking for X any smooth cubic hypersurface in P^4 , which is known to be not rational (see [2]). On the other hand, a generic hyperplane section of X is a smooth cubic surface in P^3 , which is a rational surface, but not a minimal model.

A completely similar reasoning as that from theorem 1 proves the following:

Theorem 2. Assume that $Y = P(\mathcal{O}_{P^1}(d_1) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{P^1}(d_n))$, with $d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \dots \geq d_n > 0$ and $n \geq 3$, is an ample divisor in the smooth projective $(n+1)$ -dimensional variety X over the algebraically closed field k of arbitrary char. Then there are: $n+1$ positive integers $a_1 > 0, \dots, a_{n+1} > 0$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $s+d_n > 0$ and the exact sequence of \mathcal{O}_{P^1} -modules

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{P^1} \longrightarrow E = \mathcal{O}(a_1) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{O}(a_{n+1}) \xrightarrow{\varphi} F = \mathcal{O}(s+d_1) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{O}(s+d_n) \longrightarrow 0,$$

such that X is isomorphic to $P(E)$ and $Y \cong P(F)$ is embedded in X via surjection φ .

Remarks. 1) Because theorem 2 holds in arbitrary char., one can ask whether theorem 1 is valid in arbitrary char. as well. The trouble lies in the

fact that if $\text{char}(k) = p > 0$, one knows only that the restriction map $\varepsilon: \text{Pic}(X) \longrightarrow \text{Pic}(Y)$ is injective and $\text{Coker}(\varepsilon)$ has no e -torsion with e prime to p . (In theorem 2 one apply the well known Lefschetz's theorem and deduce that ε is an isomorphism without the restriction about $\text{char}(k)$.) Probably one can prove that $\text{Coker}(\varepsilon)$ has no p -torsion by using crystalline cohomology. Another way (see [1]) would be to verify that X has a lifting to char. zero and then apply lemma 1 in [1]. But in general this is not so easy. It would be sufficient to show that $H^2(X, T_X) = 0$, where T_X is the tangent bundle of X . In [1] we verified this condition if Y is P^2 or $P^1 \times P^1$, and using the same method one can also verify it for $Y = F_2$ (in other words, theorem 1 is valid in arbitrary char. if $Y = F_2$).

2) In the situation of theorems 1 or 2 one can easily see that the divisor Y is in fact very ample on X and that the associated embedding $\varphi_Y: X \hookrightarrow |Y| = P$ has the property that $\varphi_Y(X)$ is a rational scroll, i.e. $q^{-1}(b)$ is a line for every closed point $b \in P^1$ (where $q: X \longrightarrow P^1$ is the canonical projection). Moreover $\deg \varphi_Y(X) = \text{codim}_P(Y) + 1$.

3) Exact sequences as in theorems 1 or 2 do exist (see [1]). In particular, theorem 2 is applicable to $Y = P^1 \times P^t$ with $t \geq 2$; one gets that for every $s \geq 2$ there exists an exact sequence of the form

$$(7) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{P^1} \longrightarrow E = \mathcal{O}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(s-1) \oplus H \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathcal{O}(s) \oplus H = F \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $H = \underbrace{\mathcal{O}(s) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{O}(s)}_{t \text{ times}}$, and $\varphi = \varphi' \oplus \text{id}_H$, with $\varphi': \mathcal{O}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(s-1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(s)$

the surjection given by $\varphi'(u, v) = x_0^{s-1}u + x_1^s v$ (x_0 and x_1 being homogeneous coordinates on P^1). In other words, there is a smooth projective $(t+2)$ -dimensional variety X (namely $X = P(E)$ with E from the exact sequence (7)) supporting $P^1 \times P^t$, $t \geq 2$, as an ample divisor. This shows that theorem 2 in [1]

fails for $Y = P^s \times P^t$ with $s = 1$ and $t \geq 2$, or with $s \geq 2$ and $t = 1$. However, theorem 4 below shows in particular that one can find the same conclusion as in theorem 2 in [1] if one assumes moreover that the normal bundle $N_{Y,X}$ ($Y = P^1 \times P^t$, $t \geq 2$) is of the form $O(a,b)$, where either $a = b = 1$, or else $a \geq 1$ and $b \geq 2$.

§2. In this section k will be also an algebraically closed field. Let $Y \subset P^m$ be a smooth subvariety of P^m of dimension $d \geq 2$ satisfying the following two properties:

- a) Y is arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay in P^m .
- b) $\text{Pic}(Y) = \mathbb{Z} \cdot O_Y(1)$, where $O_Y(1) = O_{P^m}(1) \otimes O_Y$.

Let f_1, \dots, f_n be a system of homogeneous generators of the ideal $I(Y) \subset k[T_0, \dots, T_m]$ of Y in P^m . For every $s > 0$ let us denote by $v_s: Y \hookrightarrow P^{m(s)}$, with $m(s) = \binom{m+s}{m} - 1$, the composition of the s -fold Veronese embedding $P^m \hookrightarrow P^{m(s)}$ with the inclusion $Y \subset P^m$, and by $C(Y, s) \subset P^{m(s)+1}$ the projective cone over $v_s(Y)$.

Theorem 3. Let $Y \subset P^m$ be a smooth subvariety of dimension $d \geq 2$ of P^m satisfying properties a) and b) above. If $d = 2$ one assumes moreover that $\text{char}(k) = 0$. Assume furthermore that Y is contained in the normal projective variety X as an ample Cartier divisor. Let $N_{Y,X} \cong O_Y(s)$ be the normal sheaf of Y in X (necessarily $s > 0$) and assume moreover that

- c) $s \geq \max_{j=1}^n \{ \deg(f_j) + 1 \}$.

In these conditions X is isomorphic to the cone $C(Y, s)$ and Y is contained in X as the intersection of $C(Y, s)$ with the hyperplane at infinity of $P^{m(s)+1}$.

Remarks. 1) Theorem 3 extends theorem 1 in [1].

2) Condition c) in theorem 3 is in general the best possible. Indeed,

take for Y any smooth hypersurface in P^m ($m \geq 4$) of degree $a \geq 2$ (resp. any generic surface in P^3 of degree $a \geq 4$). Then conditions a) and b) are in this case fulfilled (namely, condition b) holds by Lefschetz's theorem if $m \geq 4$ and by Noether's theorem if $m = 3$). In this situation condition c) reads " $s > a$ ". For $s = a$ there are at least two normal projective varieties X_1 and X_2 supporting Y as an ample Cartier divisor and with normal bundles both isomorphic to $O_Y(a)$, namely: $X_1 = P^m$ and $X_2 = C(Y, a)$. And since X_1 is smooth while X_2 is not, these two varieties cannot be isomorphic.

Proof of theorem 3. We shall analyze carefully the proof of theorem 1 in [1]. According to that proof, if U is the smooth locus of X , then there is an $L \in \text{Pic}(U)$ such that $L \otimes O_Y \cong O_Y(1)$; then $O_X(Y)/U \cong L^{\otimes s}$. If $j: U \hookrightarrow X$ is the canonical inclusion, for every $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ put $F^{(a)} = j_* (L^{\otimes a})$. Then $F^{(as)} \cong O_X(aY)$ for every $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $\sigma \in \Gamma(X, F^{(s)}) \cong \Gamma(X, O_X(Y))$ be a global equation for Y .

Exactly as in [1] one can see that for every $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ there is the exact sequence

$$(8) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \Gamma(X, F^{(a-s)}) \xrightarrow{\sigma} \Gamma(X, F^{(a)}) \longrightarrow \Gamma(Y, O_Y(a)) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Set $S = \bigoplus_{a=0}^{\infty} \Gamma(X, F^{(a)}) = \bigoplus_{a=0}^{\infty} \Gamma(U, L^{\otimes a})$. Then S is a graded k -algebra whose homogeneous part of degree a is $S_a = \Gamma(X, F^{(a)})$, so that $\sigma \in S_s$. Then (8)

shows that

$$S/\sigma S = \bigoplus_{a=0}^{\infty} \Gamma(Y, O_Y(a)) \stackrel{\text{by } a}{\cong} k[T_0, \dots, T_m]/I(Y).$$

Denoting by $t_i = T_i \bmod I(Y)$, let $t'_i \in S_1$ be such that $t'_i \bmod \sigma S = t_i$. Then t'_0, \dots, t'_m satisfy the equations:

$$(9) \quad f_j(t'_0, \dots, t'_m) = 0 \text{ for every } j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Indeed, if $f_j(t'_0, \dots, t'_m) \neq 0$ then $f_j(t'_1, \dots, t'_m)$ would be (by condition c)) a homogeneous element of S of degree $< s$, and hence $f_j(t'_0, \dots, t'_m) \notin \sigma S$ because the degree of σ is s . But this is absurd because

$$f_j(t'_0, \dots, t'_m) \bmod \mathcal{G}S = f_j(t_0, \dots, t_m) = 0.$$

Set $S' = S^{(s)} = \bigoplus_{a=0}^{\infty} \Gamma(X, F^{(as)}) = \bigoplus_{a=0}^{\infty} \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X(aY))$. Since Y is ample on X ,

$X \cong \text{Proj}(S')$. Moreover, $\mathcal{G} \in S'_1 = S_s$ and $S'/\mathcal{G}S' = S'' = (k[T_0, \dots, T_m]/I(Y))^{(s)}$.

For every (i_0, \dots, i_m) such that $i_h \geq 0$ and $i_0 + \dots + i_m = s$ denote by

$$\mathcal{G}_{i_0, \dots, i_m} = t_0^{i_0} \dots t_m^{i_m}. \text{ Then } \mathcal{G}_{i_0, \dots, i_m} \bmod \mathcal{G}S' = t_0^{i_0} \dots t_m^{i_m} \in S''_1. \text{ Because}$$

S'' is generated by the monomials $t_0^{i_0} \dots t_m^{i_m}$ (which are homogeneous elements

of degree one in S''), then S' is generated by \mathcal{G} and $\{\mathcal{G}_{i_0, \dots, i_m}\}$, where

(i_0, \dots, i_m) runs over the set of multi-indexes with the above properties.

Then construct the following homomorphism of graded k -algebras

$$\psi: S''[T] \longrightarrow S' \quad (\text{with } T \text{ an indeterminate over } S''),$$

by $\psi(T) = \mathcal{G}$ and $\psi(t_0^{i_0} \dots t_m^{i_m}) = \mathcal{G}_{i_0, \dots, i_m}$. Since $\{\mathcal{G}_{i_0, \dots, i_m}\}$ satisfy

(by their definition) the well known Veronese equations and since t'_0, \dots, t'_m

satisfy equations (9), the definition of ψ is correct. Now it is obvious that

ψ is an isomorphism, whence the conclusion.

Q.E.D.

Corollary. Let $Y \subset P^m$ be a subvariety as in theorem 3, and assume that Y

is an effective Cartier divisor on the normal complete variety X such that

$N_{Y,X} \cong \mathcal{O}_Y(s)$, with s as in condition c) of theorem 3. Then there is a morphism

$f: X \longrightarrow C(Y, s)$ such that $f(Y)$ is the intersection of $C(Y, s)$ with the hyperplane at infinity of $P^{m(s)+1}$ and f is an isomorphism in a neighbourhood of Y .

The proof of this corollary is completely analogous to the proof of corollary 1 of theorem 1 in [1].

Before passing to the last theorem let me remind a notation from [1]: $X_{a,b}^{s,t}$

denotes the cone in P^N , $N = \binom{s+a}{s} \binom{t+b}{t}$, over $i_{a,b}(P^s \times P^t)$, where $i_{a,b}: P^s \times P^t$

$\hookrightarrow P^{N-1}$ is the Segre-Veronese embedding given by forms of bidegree (a, b) .

Theorem 4. a) Assume that $Y = P^1 \times P^t$, $t \geq 2$, is an ample Cartier divisor on the normal projective variety X . If the normal sheaf $N_{Y,X}$ is isomorphic to $O(a,b)$ (necessarily $a > 0$ and $b > 0$) and if one has one of the following situations:

i) $a = b = 1$, or

ii) $a \geq 1$ and $b \geq 2$,

then X is isomorphic to the cone $X_{a,b}^{1,t}$.

b) Assume that $Y = P^1 \times P^1$ is an ample Cartier divisor on the normal projective variety X over k , with $\text{char}(k) = 0$. If $N_{Y,X} \cong O(a,b)$ with $a \neq b$, $a \geq 2$ and $b \geq 2$, then X is isomorphic to the cone $X_{a,b}^{1,1}$.

Proof. According to the proof of theorem 1 in [1] let U be the smooth locus of X . In case a) Lefschetz's theorem implies that the restriction map $\mathcal{E} : \text{Pic}(U) \longrightarrow \text{Pic}(Y)$ is an isomorphism.

In case b) Lefschetz's theory implies that either \mathcal{E} is an isomorphism, or that $\text{Pic}(U) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and $\text{Coker}(\mathcal{E})$ is torsion-free. We show that in case b) this last possibility does not occur. Indeed, if L were an ample generator of $\text{Pic}(U)$, put $L \otimes O_Y = O(\alpha, \beta)$, where $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta > 0$. Moreover, since $\text{Coker}(\mathcal{E})$ is torsion-free, α and β are relatively prime each other. Write

$$O_X(Y)/U \cong L^{\otimes r}, \quad r > 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_U \cong L^{\otimes d}, \quad d \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

The adjunction formula $\omega_U \otimes (O_X(Y)/U) \otimes O_Y \cong \omega_Y = O(-2, -2)$ yields

$$(r+d) \cdot \alpha = -2, \quad (r+d) \cdot \beta = -2,$$

which implies $\alpha = \beta$; recalling that α and β are relatively prime positive integers we get $\alpha = \beta = 1$. This implies $a = \alpha \cdot r = \beta \cdot r = b$, a contradiction.

Therefore in both cases the map \mathcal{E} is an isomorphism. In other words,

there are $L_1, L_2 \in \text{Pic}(U)$ such that $L_1 \otimes_{O_Y} \cong O(1, 0)$ and $L_2 \otimes_{O_Y} \cong O(0, 1)$.

For every integers m, n set $F^{(m, n)} = j_{*}(L_1^{\otimes m} \otimes L_2^{\otimes n})$, where $j: U \hookrightarrow X$ is the canonical inclusion. Then exactly as in the proof of theorem 1 in [1] one proves:

$\alpha)$ $F^{(m, n)}$ is coherent and $\text{depth}_{O_x}((F^{(m, n)})_x) \geq 2$ for every closed point $x \in X$.

$\beta)$ $F^{(ma, mb)} = O_X(mY)$ for every integer m .

$\gamma)$ For every coherent O_X -module G such that $\text{depth}_{O_x}(G_x) \geq 2$ for every closed point $x \in X$, $H^1(G \otimes_{O_X} O_X(mY)) = 0$ for every $m \ll 0$.

$\delta)$ For every $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ there is the exact sequence

$$(1)_{m, n} \quad 0 \longrightarrow F^{(m-a, n-b)} \xrightarrow{\sigma} F^{(m, n)} \longrightarrow O(m, n) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $\sigma \in \Gamma(X, F^{(a, b)}) \cong \Gamma(X, O_X(Y))$ is such that $\text{div}_X(\sigma) = Y$.

From $\delta)$ one gets the exact sequence

$$(11)_{m, n} \quad H^1(F^{(m-a, n-b)}) \longrightarrow H^1(F^{(m, n)}) \longrightarrow H^1(O(m, n)).$$

Then the exact sequences $(11)_{1-ma, -mb}$ and $(11)_{-ma, 1-mb}$ with $m \geq 0$, together with $\gamma)$ and the fact that in our assumptions (of cases a) or b))

$H^1(O(1-ma, -mb)) = H^1(O(-ma, 1-mb)) = 0$, imply (by induction on $m \leq 0$) that

$H^1(F^{(1, 0)}) = H^1(F^{(0, 1)}) = 0$. In particular, we have proved that the restriction maps

$\Gamma(F^{(1, 0)}) \longrightarrow \Gamma(O(1, 0))$ and $\Gamma(F^{(0, 1)}) \longrightarrow \Gamma(O(0, 1))$ are

both surjective. Therefore, if T_0 and T_1 (resp. U_0, \dots, U_t) are homogeneous

coordinates on P^1 (resp. on P^t), there exist $\alpha_0, \alpha_1 \in \Gamma(F^{(1, 0)})$ and

$\beta_0, \dots, \beta_t \in \Gamma(F^{(0, 1)})$ such that $\alpha_i/Y = T_i$ and $\beta_j/Y = U_j$, $i = 0, 1$ and

$j = 0, 1, \dots, t$.

Now $\bigoplus_{(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}} \Gamma(X, F^{(m, n)}) = \bigoplus_{(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}} \Gamma(U, L_1^{\otimes m} \otimes L_2^{\otimes n})$ has a natural structure

of a two-fold graded k -algebra. Therefore it makes sense to consider the

elements $a_{i,j} = a_{i_0, i_1; j_0, \dots, j_t} = \alpha_0^{i_0} \alpha_1^{i_1} \beta_0^{j_0} \dots \beta_t^{j_t} \in \Gamma(F^{(a,b)}) \cong \Gamma(O_X(Y))$,

where $i_h \geq 0$, $j_e \geq 0$, $i_0 + i_1 = a$ and $j_0 + \dots + j_t = b$. Then by construction the

elements $\{a_{i,j}\}$ satisfy the well known Segre-Veronese equations.

Now the exact sequence $(11_{ma,mb})$ together with γ and the fact that $H^1(O(ma,mb)) = 0$ for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ imply that $H^1(F^{(ma,mb)}) = 0$ for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. In particular, for every $m \geq 0$ one gets the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \Gamma(O_X((m-1)Y)) \longrightarrow \Gamma(O_X(mY)) \longrightarrow \Gamma(O(ma,mb)) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Thus, denoting by $S = \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} \Gamma(O_X(mY))$ and by $S' = \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} \Gamma(O(ma,mb))$ we get:

$X = \text{Proj}(S)$ (Y is an ample divisor on X), $\sigma \in S_1$ and $S/\sigma S = S'$. Since we have

also that $a_{i,j} \in S_1$ and that $\{a_{i,j} \bmod \sigma S\}$ generate S' as a graded k -algebra,

σ and $\{a_{i,j}\}$ generate S as a graded k -algebra.

Now construct the homomorphism of graded k -algebras $\psi: S'[T] \longrightarrow S$,

with T an indeterminate over S' , by setting $\psi(T_0^{i_0} T_1^{i_1} U_0^{j_0} \dots U_t^{j_t}) = a_{i,j}$ and

$\psi(T) = \sigma$. Since $a_{i,j}$ satisfy the Segre-Veronese equations, the definition

of ψ is correct. The fact that ψ is an isomorphism is now obvious, comple-

ting the proof of theorem 4.

Q.E.D.

R e f e r e n c e s

1. BĂDESCU, L. - On ample divisors, Preprint Series in Math. INCREST Bucharest, No. 17, April 1980.
2. CLEMENS, C.H. - GRIFFITHS, P. - The intermediate Jacobian of the cubic threefold, Annals of Math. 95 (1972) 281-356.
3. HARTSHORNE, R. - Algebraic Geometry, Graduate Texts in Math., Springer-Verlag, 1977.
4. HARTSHORNE, R. - Residues and Duality, Springer Lect. Notes in Math. No. 20, 1966.
5. HIRONAKA, H. - Smoothing of algebraic cycles of small dimensions, Amer. J. Math. 90 (1968) 1-54.
6. KLEIMAN, S. - Towards a numerical theory of ampleness, Annals of Math. 84 (1966) 293-344.
7. KOBAYASHI, S. - OCHIAI, T. - Characterizations of complex projective spaces and hyperquadrics, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 13-1 (1973) 31-47.

INCREST Bucharest, Dept. of Mathematics,
B-dul Păcii 220, 79622 Bucharest, Romania.