

INSTITUTUL
DE
MATEMATICA

INSTITUTUL NATIONAL
PENTRU CREATIE
STIINTIFICA SI TEHNICA

H-CONES OF FUNCTIONS ON TOPOLOGICAL GROUPS

by

Martha BĂNULESCU

PREPRINT SERIES IN MATHEMATICS

No. 5/1981

BUCURESTI

Mea 17152

H-CONES OF FUNCTIONS ON TOPOLOGICAL GROUPS

by

Martha BĂNULESCU^{*)}

January 1981

^{*)} Institute of Mathematics, University of Bucharest, Bucharest, Romania.

H-CONES OF FUNCTIONS ON TOPOLOGICAL GROUPS

Martha Bănulescu

Institute of Mathematics, University of Bucharest

The purpose of this paper is to study H-cones of functions on a locally compact non-abelian group G .

In the first section we give two remarkable examples of standard H-cones of functions on G .

The section 2 is devoted to the study of natural and fine topology on G , with respect of the two standard H-cones of functions on G .

1. H-cones of functions on a locally compact group

We shall use in this section the definitions and the notations of [1], [2], [8].

1.1. Definition. A set $\mathcal{S}$ of positive, numerical functions on a set X is called H-cone of functions on X if:

F1) $\mathcal{S}$ endowed with the pointwise algebraic operations and order relation is an H-cone (see [2], section 1), with the convention $0 \cdot \infty = 0$.

F2) If $(s_i)_{i \in I}$ is an increasing net in $\mathcal{S}$ such that there exists its l.u.b. in $\mathcal{S}$, denoted by s , then for every $x \in X$ we have:

$$(1) \quad s(x) = \sup \{ s_i(x) \mid i \in I \}$$

F3) For every $s, t \in \mathcal{S}$ and for every $x \in X$, we have:

$$(2) \quad (s \wedge t)(x) = \inf(s(x), t(x))$$

where $s \wedge t$ is the l.u.b. of s and t in $\mathcal{S}$.

F4) The set $\mathcal{S}$ separates X and contains the positive constant functions.

1.2. Definition. If the H-cone of functions $\mathcal{S}$ on the set X is standard (see [2], section 2), then it is called a standard H-cone of functions on the set X . The set of universally continuous elements of $\mathcal{S}$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{S}_0$.

Throught this paper, G will be a locally compact non-abelian group, λ will be the left invariant Haar measure on G , λ_d will be the right invariant Haar measure on G , $\mathcal{B}$ will be the σ -algebra of Borel sets of G , $\mathcal{F}$ will be the set of positive numerical Borel functions on G , $\mathcal{L}(G)$ will be the

real continuous functions on G , $M(G)$ will be the Radon measures on G , $K(G)$ will be the functions of $\mathcal{C}(G)$ which have compact support and e will be the neutral element of G , and Δ will be the modular function of G , i.e. $\lambda_d(x) = \frac{1}{\Delta(x)} \cdot \lambda_s(x) = \lambda_s(x^{-1})$ for every $x \in G$.

We proved in [1] (corollary 3.8.) the following result:

1.3. Theorem. We assume that:

a) $k \in M^+(G)$ is a potential kernel, $k = \int \mu_t dt$;

b) $(k_\alpha)_{\alpha > 0}$ is the resolvent of measures associated with k (i.e. $k_0 = k$) by:

$$(3) \quad k_\alpha(f) =: \int e^{-\alpha t} \mu_t(f) dt, \text{ for } \alpha > 0 \text{ and } f \in K(G)$$

c) $\mathcal{N} = \{N_\alpha\}_{\alpha > 0}$ is the submarkovian resolvent of operators associated with k by:

$$(4) \quad N_0(g) =: k \# g \quad \text{for every } f \in \mathcal{F}$$

$$(5) \quad N_\alpha(g) =: k_\alpha \# g \quad \text{for every } f \in \mathcal{F} \text{ and } \alpha > 0$$

d) $\mu \in M^+(G)$ is a measure on G such there exists $k \# \mu$ and such the kernel N_0 is basic with respect to μ .

Let us denote:

$$(6) \quad \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{N}) =: \{s \in \mathcal{F} \mid N_\alpha s \leq s \text{ for every } \alpha > 0\}$$

$$(7) \quad \mathcal{I}'(\mathcal{N}) =: \{s \in \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{N}) \mid \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \alpha N_\alpha s = s\}$$

$$(7') \quad \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{N}) =: \{s \in \mathcal{I}'(\mathcal{N}) \mid s < +\infty, \mathcal{N}\text{-a.e.}\}$$

Then we have:

1) k (and hence all $k_\alpha, \alpha > 0$) is absolutely continuous with respect to λ_s (and with respect to λ_d , too), hence there exists $f \in L^1_{loc}(\lambda_s)$ and $f_\alpha \in L^1(\lambda_s)$ for every $\alpha > 0$, such that:

$$(8) \quad k = f \cdot \lambda_s \quad \text{and} \quad k_\alpha = f_\alpha \cdot \lambda_s, \quad \alpha > 0$$

Moreover, we can assume that $f \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{N})$ and $f_\alpha \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{N}_\alpha)$, where $\mathcal{N}_\alpha =: \{N_{\alpha+\beta}\}_{\beta > 0}$

2) $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{N})$ is a standard H-cone if N_0 is propre.

Throughout this paper we shall assume that we have a), b), c), d) of the theorem 1.3. and that N_0 is propre; f and f_α will be fixed given by 1) of the above theorem.

1.6. Remark. For every $g \in K(G)$ and for every $x \in G$ we can write:

$$(9) \quad N_0(g)(x) = (k \# g)(x) = (f \# g)(x) = \int g(y^{-1}x) f(y) d\lambda_s(y) = \int f(xz^{-1}) g(z) \frac{1}{\Delta(z)} d\lambda_s(z) = \int f(xz^{-1}) g(z) d\lambda_d(z)$$

$$(10) \quad N_\alpha(g)(x) = (k_\alpha \# g)(x) = \int g(y^{-1}x) f_\alpha(y) d\lambda_s(y) = \int f_\alpha(xz^{-1}) g(z) d\lambda_d(z).$$

Using the following ~~results~~ notations (for every function h on G and for every measure $\mu \in M^+(G)$):

$$\check{h}(x) =: h(x^{-1}), \quad h^{\sharp}(x) =: (\check{h} \Delta)_{(x)} = h(x^{-1}) \Delta(x^{-1}) \quad \text{for every } x \in G$$

$$\check{\mu}(g) =: \mu(\check{g}) \quad \text{for every } g \in \mathcal{K}(G)$$

(one can see that if $\mu = f \cdot \lambda_g$, then $\check{\mu} = f^{\sharp} \cdot \lambda_g$)

we also can define (in the assumptions of the theorem 1.3.):

$$(9') \quad \check{N}_0(g)_{(x)} =: (\check{k} \sharp g)_{(x)} = (f^{\sharp} \sharp g)_{(x)} = \frac{1}{\Delta(x)} \int f(yx^{-1}) g(y) d\lambda_g(y) = \\ = \int f(yx^{-1}) \Delta(yx^{-1}) g(y) d\lambda_d(y)$$

$$(10') \quad \check{N}_\alpha(g)_{(x)} =: (\check{k}_\alpha \sharp g)_{(x)} = (f_\alpha^{\sharp} \sharp g)_{(x)} = \frac{1}{\Delta(x)} \int f_\alpha(yx^{-1}) g(y) d\lambda_g(y) = \\ = \int f_\alpha(yx^{-1}) \Delta(yx^{-1}) g(y) d\lambda_d(y), \text{ for every } \alpha > 0$$

One can easily see that $\check{\mathcal{N}} =: \{\check{N}_\alpha\}_{\alpha > 0}$ is also ~~a~~ ^{the} resolvent of operators associated with the potential kernel $\check{k} =: \int \mu_t dt$, and that the two resolvents $\mathcal{N}$ and $\check{\mathcal{N}}$ are in duality, i.e. for every $g, h \in \mathcal{K}(G)$ we have:

$$(11) \quad \int g(x) N_\alpha(h)_{(x)} d\lambda_g(x) = \int \check{N}_\alpha(g)_{(x)} h(x) d\lambda_g(x), \text{ for every } \alpha > 0$$

Hence the dual of $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{N})$, which is also a standard H-cone by ~~2~~, coincides with $\mathcal{E}(\check{\mathcal{N}})$ (see [2] for proofs).

We saw in [1], the proof of the theorem 3.10., that $\alpha \int f_\alpha(x) d\lambda_g(x) \leq 1$ for every $\alpha > 0$, hence the resolvent $\check{\mathcal{N}}$ is also sub-markovian, because:

$$\alpha \check{N}_\alpha(1)_{(x)} = \alpha (\check{k}_\alpha \sharp 1)_{(x)} = \frac{\alpha}{\Delta(x)} \int f_\alpha(yx^{-1}) d\lambda_g(y) = \alpha \int f_\alpha(z) d\lambda_g(z) \leq 1, (\forall) \alpha > 0$$

1.7. Remark. For a function h on G we denote by h_a and ${}_a h$ the following functions on G ; and for any $a \in G$

$$h_a(x) =: h(xa) \quad , \quad {}_a h(x) =: h(ax) \quad \text{for } x \in G.$$

One can easily verify that:

$$(12) \quad (N_\alpha g)_a = N_\alpha(g_a) \quad \text{for every } \alpha > 0, g \in \mathcal{F} \text{ and } a \in G$$

$$(13) \quad (\check{N}_\alpha g)_a = \check{N}_\alpha(g_a) \quad \text{for every } \alpha > 0, g \in \mathcal{F} \text{ and } a \in G,$$

using the following relations: $d\lambda_g(ya) = \Delta(a) d\lambda_g(y)$, $d\lambda_d(ay) = \frac{1}{\Delta(a)} d\lambda_d(y)$.

1.8. Lemma. Let $\lambda \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{N})$ be a lower semi-continuous function. Then $\lambda \in \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{N})$

Proof: We have already seen ~~that~~ in [1], section 2, (23), that for every $g \in \mathcal{K}(G)$ we have:

$$(14) \quad \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \alpha N_\alpha(g)_{(x)} = g(x) \quad \text{for every } x \in G$$

Let us consider now a function $g \in \mathcal{K}(G)$ such that $g \leq \lambda$; we can write:

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \alpha N_\alpha \lambda \geq \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \alpha N_\alpha g = g$$

and because g is arbitrary, we obtain: $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \alpha N_\alpha s = s$, i.e. $s \in \mathcal{F}'(\mathcal{M})$.

1.9. Remark. Because $f \geq 0, f \neq 0$, we have for every $x \in G$:

$$0 < N_0(1)(x) = \check{N}_0(1)(x) = \int f(y) d\lambda_g(y) \leq +\infty,$$

hence $N_0(1)$ and $\check{N}_0(1)$ are strictly positive constants. It follows (see [8]) that:

$s \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{M})$ is a weak unit for $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{M}) \iff s(x) > 0$ for every $x \in G$

Because the resolvent $\mathcal{N}$ is sub-markovian, we have that $1 \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M})$; by lemma 1.8. we obtain that $1 \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{M})$. Hence 1 is a weak unit in $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{M})$. Analogous 1 is a weak unit in $\mathcal{E}(\check{\mathcal{M}})$, too.

1.10. Remark. Because $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{M})$ is a standard H-cone, we can assert that:

a) If $(s_i)_{i \in I} \subseteq \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{M})$ is an increasing family, dominated in $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{M})$, then there exists a sequence $\{i_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of elements from I such that:

$$\bigvee_{i \in I} s_i = \bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{N}} s_{i_n}$$

b) $(s_i)_{i \in I} \subseteq \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{M}) \implies$ there exists $\{i_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq I$ such that:

$$\bigwedge_{i \in I} s_i = \bigwedge_{n \in \mathbb{N}} s_{i_n}$$

1.11. Lemma. If $g \in \mathcal{F}$ is bounded, with compact support K , then:

a) $N_0(g)$ is a continuous bounded function on G ;

b) $N_0(g) \in (\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{M}))_0$.

Proof: a) follows from the fact that $N_0(g) = f \# g$, knowing that the convolution between a function $f \in L^1_{loc}(\lambda)$ and a bounded function $g \in \mathcal{F}$ with compact support is real and continuous, and from the fact that the potential kernel k is a shift-bounded measure on G (see [4], 13.10.), i.e.:

$$h \in K(G) \implies N_0(h) =: k \# h \in \mathcal{L}(G), \text{ bounded.}$$

b) By Hunt's theorem, $N_0(g) \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{M})$; let us consider a sequence of functions $\{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ ^{from $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{M})$} which is increasing to $N_0(g)$, a strictly positive real number ε and let $s \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{M})$ be an arbitrary weak unit (hence $s(x) > 0$ for every $x \in G$). Let us denote:

$$\alpha =: \inf \{s(x) \mid x \in K\} > 0.$$

By Dini's theorem, we have:

$$\{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \xrightarrow{u} N_0(g) \text{ on } K,$$

hence there exists $n_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $n \geq n_\varepsilon$ we have:

$$s_n + \varepsilon s \geq s_n + \varepsilon \cdot \alpha \geq N_0(g) \text{ on } K.$$

By [1], proposition 2.6., N_0 satisfies the complete maximum principle, hence we have $s_n + \varepsilon s \geq N_0(g)$ on G . Thus we proved that $N_0(g) \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{N})_0$.

1.12. Lemma. If we denote:

$$(15) \quad \mathcal{A} =: \{h \in \mathcal{A} \mid h \text{ is bounded, with compact support}\}$$

and if we consider a bounded function $g \in \mathcal{F}$ such $N_0(g)$ is bounded, too, then we have:

a) $N_0(g) \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{N})$ (by Hunt's theorem)

b) Moreover, there exists an increasing sequence $\{g_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of functions from $\mathcal{A}$, such that:

$$\{N_0(g_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \uparrow N_0(g)$$

Proof: ¹⁾ Let $\{K_i\}_{i \in I}$ be an increasing net of compact subsets of G , such that $\bigcup_{i \in I} K_i = G$ and let $g_i =: g \chi_{K_i}$. Because $\{N_0(g_i)\}_{i \in I}$ is increasing to $N_0(g)$, we obtain from remark 1.10. the existence of the desired sequence (using the previous lemma, too).

1.13. Proposition. If $s \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{N})$, then there exists a sequence $\{g_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ (where $\mathcal{A}$ was defined in (15)), such that:

$$\{N_0(g_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \uparrow s$$

Proof: By Hunt's theorem, there exists a sequence $\{h_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of positive bounded Borel functions, with $N_0(h_n)$ bounded for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that:

$$\{N_0(h_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \uparrow s.$$

The existence of the desired sequence follows from the previous lemma applied to every h_n .

1.14. Corollary. a) Every $s \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{N})$ is lower semi-continuous on G .

b) Every $s \in (\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{N}))_0$ is continuous and bounded on G .

1.15. Proposition. a) $s \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{N})$, $a \in G \implies s_a \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{N})$

b) $s \in \mathcal{E}(\check{\mathcal{N}})$, $a \in G \implies s_a \in \mathcal{E}(\check{\mathcal{N}})$

Proof: a) Let us consider, by previous proposition, a sequence $\{g_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of functions from $\mathcal{A}$, such that:

(16) $\{N_0(g_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \uparrow s$ (hence we also have $\{(N_0(g_n))_a\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \uparrow s_a$). Because of (12) and by Hunt's theorem, we have that:

$$(N_0(g_n))_a \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{N}), \text{ for every } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

By assumption, $s < +\infty$, $\mathcal{N}$ -a.e., hence $s_a < +\infty$, $\mathcal{N}$ -a.e.; using the second

part of (16) and lemma 1.6., we obtain $s_a \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{N})$. b) can be proved analogous.

1.16. Theorem. a) $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{N})$ is a standard H-cone of functions on G (see definition 1.2.)

b) $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{N})$ is a standard H-cone of functions on G.

Proof: a) We already have axiom F1) from the theorem 1.3. For F4) we ~~already~~ already know that $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{N})$ contains the positive constant functions (see the remark 1.9.); we will prove now that $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{N})$ separates the points of G; by proposition 1.13. it is sufficient to consider $x \in G$ such that:

$$(17) \quad (N_0 g)(x) = (N_0 g)(e) \quad \text{for every } g \in \mathcal{A}$$

and to show that $x=e$. Indeed, because N_α are bounded kernels, from:

$$(18) \quad N_0(g) = N_\alpha(g) + \alpha N_\alpha N_0(g) \quad \text{for every } \alpha > 0$$

we obtain:

$$(19) \quad (N_\alpha g)(x) = (N_\alpha g)(e) \quad \text{for every } g \in \mathcal{K}(G) \text{ and } \alpha > 0$$

Hence, using (14) we have:

$$(20) \quad g(x) = g(e) \quad \text{for every } g \in \mathcal{K}(G)$$

But relation (20) is possible iff $x=e$. Thus we proved F4).

F3) follows from the proposition 1.13. and lemma 1.8.; F2 follows from the corollary 1.14. and from the lemma 1.8., too.

b) can be proved analogous.

Lemma 1.17. If U is an open subset of G, such that $U \neq \emptyset$ and $\bar{U}$ is compact then $N_0(\chi_U) \neq 0$.

Proof: Indeed, otherwise, we would have for every $x \in G$:

$$N_0(\chi_U)(x) = \int f(xy^{-1}) \chi_U(y) d\lambda_d(y) = 0$$

i.e. $f(xy^{-1}) = 0$ λ_d -a.e. $y \in U$. But because f is lower-semicontinuous on

G, we have: $f(xy^{-1}) = 0$ for every $y \in U$;

~~f is zero on U~~; from the last relation we obtain $f \equiv 0$ on G, which is not true. Hence we have the assertion of lemma 1.17.

2. The natural and the fine topologies on G
with respect to $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{N})$ and $\mathcal{E}(\check{\mathcal{N}})$.

Because we have just proved that $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{N})$ and $\mathcal{E}(\check{\mathcal{N}})$ are H-cones of functions on G, we can define on G (see [2], section 3) the natural topology with respect to $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{N})$, (resp. with respect to $\mathcal{E}(\check{\mathcal{N}})$), as being the coarsest topology on G for which the universally continuous elements of $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{N})$, (resp. of $\mathcal{E}(\check{\mathcal{N}})$), are continuous functions on G and it will be denoted by $\mathcal{T}_n$ (resp. $\check{\mathcal{T}}_n$), and the fine topology on G with respect to $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{N})$, (resp. with respect to $\mathcal{E}(\check{\mathcal{N}})$), as being the coarsest topology on G for which all the elements of $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{N})$, (resp. of $\mathcal{E}(\check{\mathcal{N}})$), are continuous functions on G and it will be denoted by $\mathcal{T}_f$ (resp. $\check{\mathcal{T}}_f$); the initial given topology of the group G will be denoted by $\mathcal{T}_G$. G endowed with $\mathcal{T}_n$ (resp. with $\check{\mathcal{T}}_n$) is a metrisable and separable topological space and G endowed with $\mathcal{T}_f$ (resp. with $\check{\mathcal{T}}_f$) is a completely regular topological space (see [2]).

Obviously $\mathcal{T}_n \subseteq \mathcal{T}_f$ and $\check{\mathcal{T}}_n \subseteq \check{\mathcal{T}}_f$.

By lemma 1.11. and proposition 1.13. it follows that $\mathcal{T}_n \subseteq \mathcal{T}_G$.

2.1. Lemma. 1) $V \in \mathcal{T}_f$ (resp. $V \in \check{\mathcal{T}}_f$), $a \in G \Rightarrow V \cdot a \in \mathcal{T}_f$ (resp. $V \cdot a \in \check{\mathcal{T}}_f$)

2) $V \in \mathcal{T}_n$ (resp. $V \in \check{\mathcal{T}}_n$), $a \in G \Rightarrow V \cdot a \in \mathcal{T}_n$ (resp. $V \cdot a \in \check{\mathcal{T}}_n$)

Proof: 1) If we consider the map $f: G \rightarrow G, f(x) = xa^{-1}$, by proposition 1.15. we obtain $s \circ f = s_{a^{-1}} \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{N})$, (resp. $\in \mathcal{E}(\check{\mathcal{N}})$) is finely continuous for every $s \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{N})$, (resp. for every $s \in \mathcal{E}(\check{\mathcal{N}})$), hence (see [6], ch. I, § 2, n° 3, prop. 4) it follows that f is finely continuous, which gives 1); 2) follows from 1).

2.2. Lemma. If $V \in \mathcal{T}_G, V \neq \emptyset$, there exists $x \in V$ such that V is a $\mathcal{T}_n$ -neighbourhood for x.

Proof: Let us consider $U \in \mathcal{T}_G, U \neq \emptyset$, such that $\bar{U}$ is $\mathcal{T}_G$ -compact and $\bar{U} \subseteq V$, and the compactification $\bar{G}$ of G with respect to the following family of bounded $\mathcal{T}_G$ -continuous functions on G (see [9], th. 1.1.):

$$\mathcal{F}_0 = (\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{N}))_0 \cup K(G)$$

We also consider the following cone of continuous functions on the compact space $\bar{G}$ (we'll also denote by s the extension by continuity on $\bar{G}$ of a function $s \in \mathcal{F}_0$):

$$\mathcal{I}_U = \{s + \alpha - N_0(\chi_U) \mid s \in (\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{N}))_0, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+\}$$

By lemma 1.17. $N_0(\chi_U) \neq 0$, hence there exists the Šilov's boundary $\overline{\mathcal{D}G} \neq \emptyset$ of G with respect to $\mathcal{I}_U$. Because N_0 satisfies the complete maximum principle and because every function from $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{N})$ is N_0 -dominating, on G (see [8]), it follows that the closure $\overline{U}$ of U in $\overline{G}$ is a closed boundary set with respect to $\mathcal{I}_U$, i.e.:

$$f \in \mathcal{I}_U, f \geq 0 \text{ on } \overline{U} \Rightarrow f \geq 0 \text{ on } \overline{G}$$

Thus we have $\overline{\mathcal{D}G} \subseteq \overline{U}$

We assert now that:

(21) there exists $s \in \mathcal{I}_U$ such that $s \geq 0$ on C_V and $s(x) < 0$ for some $x \in U$ (where $C_V = \overline{G} - V$). Indeed, otherwise, for every $s \in \mathcal{I}_U$ we would have:

$$(22) s \geq 0 \text{ on } C_V \Rightarrow s \geq 0 \text{ on } U \Rightarrow s \geq 0 \text{ on } \overline{G}$$

and therefore we would obtain that C_V is a closed boundary set with respect to $\mathcal{I}_U$, which would imply that we also have $\overline{\mathcal{D}G} \subseteq C_V$, hence:

$$\emptyset \neq \overline{\mathcal{D}G} \subseteq \overline{U} \cap C_V = \emptyset$$

which is a contradiction. Thus we proved (21).

Let s given by (21) be of the form $s = u + \alpha - N_0(\chi_U) \in \mathcal{I}_U$; if we take:

$$t = N_0(\chi_U) - (u + \alpha) \wedge N_0(\chi_U)$$

which is $\mathcal{I}_n$ -continuous, we have:

$$t \geq 0 \text{ on } \overline{G}, t = 0 \text{ on } C_V, t(x) > 0$$

$$x \in \{y \in G \mid t(x) > 0\} \subseteq V$$

and therefore V is a $\mathcal{I}_n$ -neighbourhood of x .

2.3. Theorem. a) $\mathcal{I}_n = \mathcal{I}_G$; b) $\check{\mathcal{I}}_n = \mathcal{I}_G$.

Proof: 1) Let $V \in \mathcal{I}_G$ and let x be an arbitrary point of V ; we will show that V is a $\mathcal{I}_n$ -neighbourhood of x . Indeed, by the continuity of multiplication in the point $(e, x) \in G \times G$, there exists $U', W' \in \mathcal{I}_G$, such that:

$$(23) e \in U', s \in W', U' \cdot (W' \cdot x) \subseteq V$$

Let now $W \in \mathcal{I}_G$, such that $e \in W, W^{-1} = W$ and $W \subseteq U' \cap W'$. By lemma 2.2., there exists $y \in W \cdot x$ such that $W \cdot x$ is a $\mathcal{I}_n$ -neighbourhood of y , hence, by lemma 2.1. we can take $\Gamma \in \mathcal{I}_n$, such that:

$$e \in \Gamma, \Gamma \cdot y \subseteq W \cdot x$$

We have:

$$(24) x \in \Gamma \cdot x \subseteq (W \cdot xy^{-1}) \cdot x = W \cdot (xy^{-1}) \cdot x \subseteq W \cdot W \cdot x \subseteq V.$$

Hence, using again lemma 2.1., it follows that V is a $\mathcal{T}_n$ -neighbourhood of x .

2) can be proved analogous.

2.4. Corollary.1) $\mathcal{T}_n = \check{\mathcal{T}}_n = \mathcal{T}_G$; 2) $(G, \mathcal{T}_G)$ is a locally compact topological group, whose topology is metrisable and separable.

Bibliography

- [1] ~~N. Boboc~~, M. Bănulescu: Absolutely Continuous Potential Kernels on Locally Compact Groups, Bulletin Mathématique de la Soc. Sci. Math. Roum., 25(73), n°3, 1981.
- [2] N. Boboc, Gh. Bucur, A. Cornea: H-Cones and Potential Theory, Ann. Institut Fourier de l'Université de Grenoble, tome XXV, fasc. 3, et. 4, 1975
- [3] Erik M. Alfsen: Compact Convex Sets and Boundary Integrals. Springer Verlag, 1971.
- [4] C. Berg, G. Forst: Potential Theory on Locally Compact Abelian Groups, Springer Verlag, 1975.
- [5] N. Bourbaki: Topologie Générale, Ch. 1, 2, Hermann, Paris, 1965.
- [6] C. Constantinescu, A. Cornea: Potential Theory on Harmonic Spaces, Springer Verlag, 1972.
- [7] N. Boboc, Gh. Bucur, A. Cornea: Carrier Theory and Negligible Sets on a Standard H-Cone of Functions, Revue Roumaine de mathématiques Pures et appliquées, Tome XXV, n°2, 1980.
- [8] N. Boboc, Gh. Bucur, A. Cornea: Order and Convexity in Potential Theory. Standard H-Cones of functions, Springer Verlag, to appear.
- [9] N. Boboc, Gh. Siretchi: Sur la compactification d'un espace topologique. Bull. Math. de la Soc. Sci. Math. Phys. de la R.P.R., Tome 5(53), n°3-4, 1961.