INSTITUTUL DE MATEMATICA

INSTITUTUL NATIONAL PENTRU CREATIE STIINTIFICA SI TEHNICA

ISSN 0250 3638

AN ENUMERATION OF ALL SMOOTH PROJECTIVE VARIETIES OF DEGREE 5 AND 6 by

Paltin IONESCU

PREPRINT SERIES IN MATHEMATICS

Nr.74/1981

Med 17863

BUCURESTI

MASTER DE LA MATERIALE. SELVATERIO SEL PERRAPO SELVATERIO SEL PERRAPO

COM SMANANA Est Thankanasa

\$E\$E 自己生 對金書

AND AND THE PART OF ALL STOOMS SHOULD SEE THE STOOMS OF A STOOM AS A STOOM OF THE STOOMS OF THE STOO

Paltin Tows 70

BELLEW AND RELEASE OF THE SECTION OF

FRAPARE

AN ENUMERATION OF ALL SMOOTH PROJECTIVE VARIETIES OF DEGREE 5 AND 6

by

Paltin IONESCU

Augusi 1981

*)
University of Bucharest, Department of Mathematics
Str. Academiei 14, Bucharest, Romania

ON ENGREPARTION OF ALL SHOOTH PROJECTIVE VARIETIES OF DECREE 5 AND 6

vd

Patein Innecu

1891 380000

University of Sacharest, Department of Unthemazies Santaker, Santaker

AN ENUMERATION OF ALL SMOOTH, PROJECTIVE VARIETIES OF DEGREE 5 AND 6 *)

Paltin Ionescu

Introduction

As the title announces, the main purpose of this paper is to give a list of all smooth, projective varieties defined over C, of degree 5 and 6. We tried to obtain much of the abstract structure and their embedded properties (Hilbert polynomial, number and degrees of generators of their ideals, Hilbert schemes, ::) Cur results are summarized in the table below. The main technical tool of our investigation is the adjunction mapping, studied in modern literature by Sommese 27 and Van de Ven [29]. It allowed us to gave modern proofs of two theorems originally due to Castelnuovo [4], [5], from which one deduces the list of surfaces of degree \le 6 (see Semple-Roth [26] p.218). We must emphasize that to complete the list of (smooth) varieties of degree 5 and 6, one needs the difficul classification of Del Pezzo varieties, recently obtained by Fujita [9], [10] (the case of 3-folds was previously done by Iskovskih [17]). For such varieties the adjuntion mapping reduces to the constant map, so one needs completely different techniques. We recall that one knows all (not necessarily smooth) varieties of degree \le 4, see the anonymous note [30] and Swinnerton-Dyer [28]. For reader's convenience we gave at the end of our paper a uniform way of obtaining the smooth

^{*)} Partial results of this work were the subject of a conference at the "Week of Algebraic Geometry" held in Bucharest, 1981, June 22-28.

^{**)} See Hartshorne [15] for a survey article concerning the classification problem for varieties of small degree.

ones, which we have also included in the table. We are aware that many of our statements were familiar to classical algebraic geometers. However we included proofs for those results for which we couldn't find an adequate reference. We also mentioned several known facts for the sake of completeness.

It is my pleasure to thank L. Badescu, A. Buium, N. Buruiana and P. Francia for helpful conversation. I am also indebted to Prof. T. Fujita who kindly sent me his preprint [10].

§ O. Preliminaries

We shall work over the field of complex numbers C. The word variety will mean projective, smooth and connected (if not otherwise stated) algebraic variety.

A curve (resp. surface) is a variety of dimension 1 (resp. 2).

We shall denote by G_{P_1,\dots,P_k} : $X' \longrightarrow X$ the blowing-up morphism between surfaces X', X, with center P_1,\dots,P_k , E; the exceptional divisors. For a complete Linear system |D| on X we shall write $|D-a_1P_1-\dots-a_kP_k|$ for the complete linear system $|G_{P_1,\dots,P_k}(D)-a_1E_1-\dots-a_kE_k|$ on X', where a; are positive integers (see [16] p. 394). By a geometrically ruled surface we mean a surface X isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}(E)$ for some rank-2 locally free sheaf on a curve C. For everything concerning such surfaces we refer the reader to [16] ch. V_2 In particular if $\pi:X \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ denotes the natural projection, we shall frequently use the normalisation of E giving a section C_0 of π such that : $\mathrm{Pic}(X) \cong \mathbb{P}(V_0) \cong \mathbb{P}(V_0)$, where $\mathbb{P}(V_0) \cong \mathbb{P}(V_0) \cong \mathbb{P}(V_0)$ where $\mathbb{P}(V_0) \cong \mathbb{P}(V_0)$ is a fibre of $\mathbb{P}(V_0) \cong \mathbb{P}(V_0)$ where $\mathbb{P}(V_0) \cong \mathbb{P}(V_0)$ is a scroll we mean a variety $\mathbb{P}(E)$, where $\mathbb{P}(V_0) \cong \mathbb{P}(V_0)$ is a scroll we mean a variety $\mathbb{P}(E)$, where $\mathbb{P}(V_0) \cong \mathbb{P}(V_0)$ is a scroll we mean a variety $\mathbb{P}(E)$. Where $\mathbb{P}(V_0) \cong \mathbb{P}(V_0)$ is a scroll we mean a variety $\mathbb{P}(E)$. A rational (resp. elliptic) scroll is a scroll over \mathbb{P}^A (resp. over an elliptic curve).

We shall freely use intersection theory (see [18]), the adjunction formula (see [16] p. 243) and the Riemann-Roch theorem (R-R for short) for curves, surfaces and 3-folds ([16] p. 295, 362, 437). We also need Kodaira's vanishing theorem, (see for instance [12] p. 154). For a study of "linkage" in codimension 2 see [25]. For the rest notation and terminology are standard.

We need the following results:

o.l. Lamma Let $(X, O_X(H))$ be a (smooth) projective variety of dimension $\gtrsim 2$ and \angle an invertible sheaf on X. Suppose for any smooth hyperplane section H of X, $\angle H$ is generated by its global sections and $H^1(X, \angle \otimes O_X(-H)) = 0$. Then \angle is generated by global sections.

For a proof apply Bertini's theorem and the standard exact sequence: $0 - 1 \otimes 0$ (-H) $- 1 \otimes 0$ $\times 1 \otimes 0$

o.2. Lemma Let XCP be a (smooth) projective variety of dimension $\gtrsim 2$. Suppose its generic hyperplane section $H=X\cap P^{n-1}$ is arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay (resp. a complete intersection of type d_1,\ldots,d_5) in P^{n-1} . Then the same holds for X in P^n . If X is arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay and the homogenous ideal of H in P^{n-1} is generated by forms of degree $\leq k$, the same is true for the ideal of X in P^n .

The proof is standard and we omit it.

The following result is Exercise 2.12 (b) in [16]Ch. V; §2.

o.3. Lemma Let X be a geometrically ruled surface with invariant e over an elliptic curve C and b an integer. Let $H \equiv C_0 + bF$. Then |H| is very ample if and only if $b \geqslant e+3$.

For any nondegenerated variety XCP of dimension r and degree d, one has the following elementary inequality (for instance [17], lemma 2.1):

0.4. d>n-r + 1

If r = 1, recall Castelnuovo's bound for the genus of X (see [12] p. 252) 0.5. $g \leq \left[\frac{d-2}{n-1}\right] \left(d-m-\left[\frac{d-m-1}{m-1}\right] \left(\frac{m-1}{2}\right)\right)$, where [] denotes least integer

function

o.6. Rational scrolls. If $(X, O_X(H))$ is a rational scroll of degree d and dimension r we can write $X \neq P(O_{P^1}(a_1) \oplus \dots \oplus O_{P^1}(a_n))$, with $a_i > 1$, $i = 1, \dots, r$, $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i = d$ and $O_X(H)$ is the tautological sheaf (see [7] th. 3.8). If $a_i = 1$, $i = 1, \dots, r$, this is just the Segre embedding of $P^1 \times P^{d-1}$ in P^{d-1} ; any other rational scroll of degree d is a linear section of this Segre embedding. Indeed, if say a_i is >1 we can constuct an exact sequence of the form:

The following table presents the list of all nondegenerated, linearly normal; smooth varieties of degree \(\lambde 6 \) and dimension r, embedded in P . We let s=n-r.

Except for the elliptic scrolls and some curves of genus 3 and degree 6, all the variaties in the table are arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay.

* -See Fujita [le] for various abstract descriptions of such varieties. They are projectively equivalent if they have the same dimension.

* * - f_4^3 , f_2^3 , f_3^3 , f_4^3 if the curve is not on a quadric.

toval affe contract befor vilnoral

the section with (b) the decision with the

but it shows were it is near the part that it were the

of has a normalist to the violate balances

Mes and the same and all the

of east x to summer and not below the

		Hyperg	Hyperquadric a	in the	
		H. J F. S. Salaran Catalan	DEGREE 3	3	C. D.)
~	<u></u>	Abstract structure	H (O _X (H1)	Nomber and degrees of Coef. of the Hilbert pol. generators of $I(X)$ in the base $\left\{ \left(\frac{2+i-1}{i} \right) \right\}_{i=0}^{i=0}$	of the Hilbert pol. Base {(=+i-1)} Hilbert scheme
2	2 2	$\mathbb{F}_{1} = \mathbb{P}\left(\mathbb{G}_{\mathbb{P}_{1}}(3) \oplus \mathbb{G}_{\mathbb{P}_{1}}(2)\right) \qquad \text{to}$	Gr. C3) tautological sheaf	52, 52, 52	(3,1,1)
	10	正XP2 = P(Cp,(いのCp,(いのOp,(い)	- "		(3, 4, 4, 4)
4	121			£3 (3, c	(3,0,1,,1) P(174)-1
		*			0, 4, 4)
			DEGREE 4		
	7	101	Q2, C4)	5, 5, 5,, fe	(4,1)
	~?	$-\mathbb{F}_{0}^{2} = \mathbb{P}(Q_{p_{1}}(2) \oplus Q_{p_{1}}(2)); \mathbb{F}_{2} = \mathbb{P}(Q_{p_{1}}(n) \oplus Q_{p_{1}}(3)) $ tautological sheef	utologial chaf	2 2	(4, 4, 1)
	20	T (Op, (1) & Op, (1) & Op, (2)) to	tautological sheaf		(4, 4, 4, 1)
	7	P'xP3 = P(Gp(1) & Gp(1) & Gp(1))	٠ ،	4 - (4,	(4, 1, 1, 4, 4)
2	127		(e)	fr, fr (4,0)	(4,0,1,,1) irred., smooth,
4-1	484			4, (4, -2	$(4,-2,2,4,\ldots,4)$ $(7,45)-1$

Projective space Pr

DEGREE 2

	7	P 4	Gr.(5)	5, 52, , 5,2	(5,1)	100 mg
4	2 .	$\mathbb{P}(\Theta_{\mathcal{L}}(a_1) \oplus \Theta_{\mathcal{L}}(a_2) \oplus \ldots \oplus \Theta_{\mathcal{L}}(a_r))$, tautological sheaf $\sum_{i=1}^{r} a_i = 5$, $a_i \geqslant 1$, $i = 1, \ldots, r$	tautslogical sheaf		(5,1,,1)	
	4 %	G. X - P (Del Pezo surface)	31-2,2	52 52, 52	(5,0)	smooth, dim. 25
. 1	η.			7 -	(5,0,4,4)	
n	7 V	*	*		(5,0,4,1,1)	
i i	0 0	G(1,4) - Gracommon variota of	*	- p -	(5,0,4,4,4)	
		6 9	Plucker embedding	7	(5,0,4,4,4,4,4)	
	4 ~	-Gin, P. X - P. (Castelnuove surfaces)	4L-2Po-PyPz	£ £ £ £	(5,-1,1)	ived, smooth, dim 20
2		- Elliptic scrolls, e=-1	元 = C+2+	~	(2,0,0)	smooth, dim 25
	2	$\ell_1 = 0$, $\ell_2 = 2$, $\ell_3 = 6$; $\text{Pic}(X) = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ with lase \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{Q} ; $(\mathcal{H})^3 = 5$, $(\mathcal{H}^2\mathcal{Q}) = 2$, $(\mathcal{H}_1\mathcal{Q}^2) = (\mathcal{Q}^3) = 0$.	A Same ac	42 53 33 43 43 43 43	(5,-4,4,4)	irred, smooth, dim. 46
٦,	1/2	11		£ 5,	(5,-5,5,0,4,,1) TP (x+6)-1	(rte)-1
-				٠		

			DCGKCE O			
	7	14	(e)	62,52,, 5,5	(6,1)	
70	0 1	$\mathbb{P}\left(\Theta_{p}(a_{0}) \oplus \Theta_{p}(a_{k}) \oplus \cdots \oplus \Theta_{p}(a_{k})\right),$ $\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i}^{i} = 6, \alpha_{i} \geqslant 1, i = 1, \dots, r$	tautological sheaf		(6,1,, 1)	
	4 8	G. X. X. Del Pezu surface)	37-8,-8-83	fr, fr,, fg	(6,0)	smooth, dim. 36
7.	М	- P'XP'XP' - P'XP'XP' - Try tangent sheef to P'	pt prosptogical sheet		(6,0,4,1) (6,0,4,1)	
	44	P2XP2		1 5	(6,0,1,1,1)	
	ন	57 11		fr. fr. fr. fr	(6,-1)	smooth, dim 29
	2	-Charlemore surfaces)	41-2Po-PyPe	1	(6,-1,1)	~-
70	manuskaki, amustorek, jakonso sitki kolus	- Elliptic scrolls, e=0	H= C+37	٠,٠	(0,0,0)	smooth, din 36
	W	f: X — P'xP2 double covering, ramified (2,2); L=0, L2=2, L3=4 Pic (X) = ZxZ mith Lake H, Q; (H3=6, H;R)=2, (H,Q)=(Q3)=0 (Fano vaniets)	\$ (\$ * Q(4) & \$ Q(4)) \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	\$ 52, 52, 52, 52	(6,-1,1,1)	,∼·
		00 N		*	(6,-2)	irred., smooth, dim. 24
F-2	e.3	-Chinga surfaces)	4L-9,P20	5, 52, 53, 54	(6,-2,4)	96 36

	N)	X= P(E), E stable rank-2 boally free sheaf on P2, with	tautological cheaf (51,52,53,54	53,53,53,54	(6,-2,4,1)	irred., smooth, din. 48
e S	.(0)			35 h	¥.	. 03
		0 - Opr - E - 3/4) - 0, 7 = {P4,, P40}		ć.		ined smoth dim.
	124	Confidence of Confidence on Spaces	11.19.09.01.44	f., f2	(6,-3,2,1,-1) ((1,+3)(r+4)(r+8)-(r+5)	-(v+3)x+4)(v+8) - (v+5)
4	1/21	17 m		t'e	(6,-9,11,-4,2,1,1) (1,++)-1	T(1+2)-1
		かる 十年十年 中の子 へいり回	and I would take		(4,0,0,0)	
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
			- POS-	Ť	(+ 0.0)	
					(30 /a) .	Francis Sound
		THE THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER	Basile Suspendation		(1,,13)	
			(3)			

1. Remarks on the adjunction mapping

Let X be a (smooth, connected, projective) algebraic variety defined over $\mathfrak C$ of dimension $r \geqslant 2$, and $\mathcal L = 0$ (H) a very ample invertible sheaf on it. We shall always denote by H a smooth hyperplane section of X. Let P = P (z) = $\sum_{i=0}^{r} \chi_i \left(\begin{array}{c} \mathbb Z + i - 1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbb Z \end{array} \right)$ be the Hilbert polynomial of the pair (X, $\mathcal L$) and denote by $\mathcal S = \mathcal S(X,\mathcal L) = \chi_{r-2} - \chi_{r-1}$ and $g = g(X,\mathcal L) = 1 - \chi_{r-1}$ the sectional genus. Let $q = q(X) = h^{-1}(X,Q)$ be the irregularity and $\mathcal S = \mathcal S(X,\mathcal L) = g - q$.

The exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow 0$ (H) $\longrightarrow 0$ and Kodaira vanishing give a). To prove b) we can assume r=2; δ to by the same exact sequence and $\delta = \times 0$ -1+g= -1+g= $\delta + p_g$, where $p_g = p_g(X)$ is the geometric genus.

Denote by A_r any of the following pairs (X,\mathcal{L}) : \mathbb{P}^{r} , $\mathbb{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{r}}(1)$; \mathbb{Q}^{r} , $\mathbb{O}_{\mathbb{Q}^{r}}(1)$ - smooth hyperquadric; \mathbb{P}^{2} , $\mathbb{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}(2)$; a scroll.

Now, the <u>adjunction mapping</u> is the rational map associated to the complete linear system $|K_{X}^{+}(r-1)H|$. We have the following result generalising the Sommese-Van de Ven theorem ([27] prop. 1.5., [29] th. II.) to arbitrary dimensions

Theorem 1.1. The following are equivalent:

ii)
$$\delta = 0$$

iii)
$$\delta' = 0$$

iv)
$$h^{\circ}(X, \circ_{X}(K_{+}(r-1)H))=0$$

$$v) \times O_{X}(K_{X}+(r-1)H))=0$$

<u>Proof.</u> The equivalence of iv) and v) follows from Kodaira vanishing. iv) \Rightarrow vi) is trivial and ii) \Rightarrow iii) follows from remark l.l. iii) \Leftrightarrow vi) is proved in

[27] prop. 4.1. We shall show vi) \Rightarrow i), i) \Rightarrow ii) and i) \Rightarrow iv).

vi) \Longrightarrow i) is by induction on r, the case r=2 being the key result of [27] and [29]. But by [1] th 5. and [2] ths 1,2,3, we have that for r 3, $(X,X) \in A_r$ \Longrightarrow $(H,X_H) \in A_{r-1}$; on the other hand, by duality and Kodaira vanishing, $H^{-1}(X,0_{X}(K_{X}+(r-2)H))\cong H^{-1}(X,0_{X}((2-r)H))=0$, so by o.1. $0_{X}(K_{X}+(r-1)H)$ is generated by global sections if $0_{X}(K_{X}+(r-2)H)$ is (we used the adjunction formula and induction).

i) \Rightarrow ii) We can assume r=2 so $\delta = \times 0$ -1+g= g-q+p =0 since g=q and p =0.

i) \Rightarrow iv) is by induction on r. If r=2 we have by Kodaira vanishing, R-R and the adjunction formula: $h'(X,O_{X}(K_{+}H))=X(O_{X}(K_{+}H))=XO_{X}+g-1=0$ since p=0 and g=q. For r > 3, by adjunction formula $h'(H,O_{H}(K_{+}+(r-2)H))=0$ implies $h'(X,O_{X}(K_{+}+(r-1)H))=0$ since |H| is very ample. q.e.d.

Remark 1.2. We understood that Sommese recently obtained the same theorem by a different method.

As a consequence we have, for instance, the following classical result, due to Enriques-Del Pezzo (see [7] ths. 2.1,2.2,3.8, for a direct proof):

Corollary 1.1. Let g=0. Then (X, \mathcal{L}) is one of the following: $\mathbb{P}^{r}, \mathbb{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{r}}(1)$; $\mathbb{Q}^{r}, \mathbb{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{r}}(1)$; $\mathbb{P}^{2}, \mathbb{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}(2)$; a rational scroll,

Corollary 1.2. (Compare with [9], th. 1.9) The following are equivalent:

i) g=1, q=0

ii) & =1

iii) $h^{\circ}(X, O_{X}(K_{x}+(r-1)H))=1$

 $iv) \approx 0 (K + (r-1)H) = 1$

 $v) -K_{X} = (r-1)H$

Remark 1.3. The pairs (X, \mathcal{L}) satisfaying v) are known as Del Pezzo varieties and were recently completely classified by T. Fujita [9], [10] (see [17] for the case of 3-folds).

Proof. i) \Longrightarrow ii) By adjuction, supposing r=2, we have: $(H^2)+(H\cdot K_{\underline{X}})=0$ so p=0 and we are done, since $\delta=\delta'+p_q$.

 $v) \Longrightarrow$ iii) is trivial and iii) \Longrightarrow v) follows from the theorem.

To prove $v) \Longrightarrow i)$ suppose r=2; then p=0 and by adjunction g=1, so that $l=h^0(X,0_X(X+H))=g-q$ and q=0. It remains to see that ii) $\Longrightarrow v$). For r=2 we have $l=\int_X^0 h^0(X,0_X(X+H))$ so the theorem applies. But for $r \nearrow 3$ the restriction map $Pic(X) \Longrightarrow Pic(H)$ is injective by Lefschetz's theorem, so adjunction formula and induction yields the result.

We think the following result is also due to Enriques:

Corollary 1.3. Let g=1. Then we have one of the following:

- i) q=0 and (X, \mathcal{L}) is a Del Pezzo variety
- ii) q=1 and (X, \mathcal{L}) is an elliptic scroll.

Proof. If q=o apply cor. 1.2, if q=l, o =o and we are done by the theorem.

Corollary 1.4. Let g=2. Then we have one of the following:

- i) \underline{q} =0 and the adjunction mapping φ is a morphism to \mathbb{P}^4 , such that except for a finite number of points of \mathbb{P}^4 , the fibers of φ , together with the restriction of \mathbb{Z} are smooth hyperquadrics.
 - ii) q=2 and (X, \mathcal{L}) is a scroll over a curve of genus 2.

Proof. If q = c, suppose first r=2. The adjunction formula gives $(H^2)+(H\cdot K_X)=2$, so p=0 and $h^c(X,0_X(K_X+H))=2$. We have $o=(H+K_X)=(H\cdot H+K_X)+(K\cdot H+K_X)$ which gives $(K\cdot H+K_X)=-2$. By adjunction we obtain $p_a(H+K_X)=0$ so $|H+K_X|$ is a pencil of conics. For $r\geqslant 3$, as in the proof of the theorem i) \implies iv), we have inductively $h^c(X,0_X(K_X+(r-2)H))=0$. Kodaira vanishing and the exact sequence: $o\longrightarrow 0$ $(K_X+(r-2)H)\longrightarrow 0$ $(K_X+(r-2)H)\longrightarrow 0$ $(K_X+(r-2)H)\longrightarrow 0$

give h $(X,0)(K+(r-1)H)=h^c(H,0)(K+(r-2)H)$. q=1 is impossible. Indeed, we can assume r=2 and since p =0, we obtain δ =1 a contradiction to cor. 1.2. If q=2, we have δ =0 and the theorem applies. q.e.d.

ーイんー

Now consider the following:

<u>Problem.</u> (Castelnuovo) Enumerate all varieties with $g \leqslant 3$. The problem of enumerating varieties of degree $\leqslant 6$ is a special case of this. More generally, to know all varieties with $d \leqslant d_0$ it is enough to know all varieties with $g \leqslant f(d_0)$, where $f(d_0)$ is the Castelnuovo bound for the genus of a curve degree d_0 in \mathbb{P}^3 (see [16] p. 351).

§2. Two theorems of Castelnuovo

Our first objective is to know all surfaces of degree ≤ 6 (see [26] p. 218). As we have already remarked it is sufficient to know all surfaces with $g \leq 3$. The cases g=0, lare well known (see [23]) and can be quickly derived by the same method (cor.1.1 and 1.2)

Theorem 2.1. (Castelnuovo [4], see also [27], lemma 2.2.2) A surface $(X, O_X(H))$ with g=2 is either a scroll over a curve of genus 2 or one of the following rational surfaces: $(F_i, H=2C_0+(3+i)F$, i=0,1,2 or a blowing-up of $(P^2)^2$ with center (P_0, P_1, \ldots, P_k) , (P_1, \ldots, P_k) , where L is a line in $(P^2)^2$. They have (P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_k) have (P_2, P_1, \ldots, P_k) where L is a line in $(P^2)^2$.

Proof. By cor. 1.4 it is enough to study the morphism $\varphi = \varphi_{[X_X + H]} \times \mathbb{R}^4$. As it is well-known, [3] p. 36, such a map cannot: have multiple fibres. So the nonsmooth fibers of φ consist of 2 lines intersecting in one point, and any such line is an exceptional curve. Denote by $\varphi: X \longrightarrow X'$ the contraction of one of the lines from each reducible fiber of φ . Then there is a morphism $\varphi': X' \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^4$ making X' a geometrically ruled rational surface and such that $\varphi' \circ \varphi = \varphi$. Put $H' = \int_X (H) = aC_0 + bF$ for some A, $b \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $(H \cdot F) = 2$ it follows A = 2. But if A = 2 is a reducible fiber of A = 3, which is smooth and so A = 3. Adjunction on A = 3 it is smooth and so A = 3. Adjunction on A = 3 is a reducible fiber of A = 3.

 $2=(2C_0+bF)^2+(2C_0+bF-2C_0+(-2-e)F)=2b-2e-4$ so b=e+3. But since H' is ample on

X', b>2e (see [16] cor 2.18 p. 38o) so $0 \le e < 3$ and we obtain e=0, b=3; e=1, b=4; e=2, b=5. On the other side $(H^2)_+(H\cdot K_X)_-=2$ and since $(H+K_X)_-^2=0$, $(K_X)_+(H\cdot K_X)_-=2$ which implies: $8 \ge (K_X)_-=(H^2)_-=4 \ge 1$, since a curve of genus 2 has degree ≥ 5 . So we see that f is the blowing-up of at most 7 points lying on different fibres of f. The plane representation follows by considering elementary transformations (see [23]) from f and f to f (note that f is the blowing-up of f with center f to f (note that f is the blowing-up of f with center f to f (note that f is the blowing-up of f with center f is the blowing-up of f with f is the blowing-up of f with f is the blowing-up of f is the blowing-up

Theorem 2.2. (essentially due to Castelnuovo [5]) A surface $(X, O_X(H))$ with g=3 is one of the following:

- a) A surface of degree 4 in P³
- b) A scroll over a curve of genus 3
- c)A geometrically ruled elliptic surface with e=-1, H=2Co+F so (H)=
- d) F_i , $H_i = 2C_0 + (4+i)F$, i = 0,1,2,3 or a surface obtained by blowing-up one of these geometrically ruled surfaces in k points P_1, \dots, P_k , lying on different fibers, $1 \le k \le 9$. If $H_i = 2C_0 + (4+i)F$ on F_i , $0 \le i \le 3$, we have $H = H_i P_1 \dots P_k$. They all have $7 \le (H^2) \le 16$
- e) \mathbb{P}^2 , H=4L or a blowing-up of it with center P_1, \dots, P_k , $1 \le k \le 16$ and $H=4L-P_1-\dots-P_k$. They have $6 \le (\mathbb{H}^2) \le 16$.
- f) The Del Pezzo double plane which is the blowing-up of \mathbb{P}^2 with center P_1, P_2, \dots, P_7 , $H=6L-2P_1-\dots-2P_7$, so $(H^2)=8$, or the blowing-up of the Del Pezzo double plane in one point P_0 , with $\widetilde{H}=H-P_c$, so $(\widetilde{H})^2=7$.

Proof. A curve of genus 3 has degree $\ 4$ with equality if and only if it is a plane curve. This last case leads to a). Suppose $(H^2) > 5$. We have 0 < q < 3; if q=3, d=0 so this is case b) by th. 1.1. We show q=2 is impossible. Indeed, $(H^2) > 5$ and adjunction formula gives $(H^2) + (H \cdot K_K) = 4$ so p=0 and d=0 + p=1 a contradiction to cor. 1.2. Suppose q=1. Since p=0, h(K, 0, (H+K)) = 2 so we have f=0. It follows f=0 the first f=0 and f=0 and f=0 and f=0 the first f=0 in particular f=0. It follows f=0 the first f=0 and f=0 the first f=0 in particular f=0. It follows f=0 the first f=0 and f=0 the first f=0 in particular f=0. It follows f=0 the first f=0 and f=0 the first f=0 to f=0 the first f=0 to f=0 the first f=0 to f=0 the first f=0 the first f=0 to f=0 the first f=0 to f=0 the first f=0

by adjunction $p(H+K_X)=-1$. So a generic $D\in H+K_X$ consists of 2 nonintersecting smooth conics. Let $\varphi': X \longrightarrow C$ be the Stein factorisation of φ , so that C is a (smooth) curve which is a degree 2 covering of \mathbb{P}^{A} . We have g(C)=q=1. As in the proof of th. 2;1, let \chi:X - X' be the contraction of one of the 2 lines of each reducible fiber of φ' . We again have a morphism $\varphi'':X'\longrightarrow C$ making X' a geometrically ruled elliptic surface, such that $\varphi' \circ \rho = \varphi'$. Let $H' = \varphi_*(H) \equiv aC_0 + bF$ for some a, $b \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $(H \cdot F) = 2$, a=2 and as above H' is smooth, so g(H') = 3. By the genus formula we obtain b-e=2. But H' is ample so by [16] props. 2.20, 2.21, p.382 e=-1 , b > -1 or e > 0 , b > 2e , so we have the following possibilities: e=-1 , b=1; e=o, b=2; e=1, b=3. Let $\int_0^{\infty} C_0 = C_0 + E_1 + \dots + E_p$, r > 0, where C_0 is the proper transform of C_c and E_c the exceptional divisors. We have: (*) $(\overset{\sim}{C_o} \cdot H)_{+r} =$ $= (\tilde{C}_{c} + \overset{\leftarrow}{E}_{+} + \dots + \overset{\leftarrow}{E}_{r} \cdot \vec{H}) = (\overset{\leftarrow}{C}_{c} \cdot \vec{H}) = (\overset{\leftarrow}{C}$ But \widetilde{C}_0 is an elliptic curve, so $(\widetilde{C}_0 \cdot H) \geqslant 3$ and we must have e=-1 , b=1 . In particular 9 must be an isomorphism (otherwise we would obtain at least 2- values of e, corresponding to the contraction of each of the 2 lines). So we are in case c).

Let now q=0, so $h^0(X, O_X(H+K_X))=3$ and we have $\varphi: X \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$.

Case I: $\varphi(X)$ is a (possibly singular) curve C. Then we have: $(H+K_X)^2=0$; $(H+K_X K_X)=-4$, so $p_a(H+K)=-1$. As above let $\gamma': X \longrightarrow C'$ be the Stein factorisation of $\varphi: X \longrightarrow C$ and note that g(C')=q=0 so $C' \cong P^1$ and the fibers of φ' are conics. Let $S:X \longrightarrow X'$ be as before and exactly as in the proof of th. 2.1 we find that X' is one of F, i=0,1,2,3 and $H_c = 2C_0 + (4+i)F$. From $(H^2) + (H \cdot K) = 4$ and $(K_X^2) + (H \cdot K) = -4$ we obtain $8 \times (K) = (H) - 8 \times -2$ (a nonplanar curve of genus 3 has degree $\frac{1}{2}$ 6). But the case $(H^2)=6$, $(K_X^2)=-2$ would give X embedded in \mathbb{P}^4 , which is impossible by the formula in [16] p. 434 . So we have $7 \le (H^2) \le 16$ and X is the blowing-up of one of F_i , i=0,1,2,3 with center k points belonging to distinct fibers, $0 \le k \le 9$. This is case d).

Case II: $\varphi: X \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ is surjective. We have $2p_{\alpha}(H+K)-2=(H+K) + (H+K\cdot K) = \frac{\chi^2}{\chi^2}$

=4+2(H+K·K) so that P_{α} (H+K)=3+(H+K·K). By Bertini's theorem, a generic De | H+K | is smooth and connected, so that P_{α} (D)>0; since (H-H+K)=4 we can have g(D)=0,1,3. α) g(D)=0 so (H+K·K)=-3, (H+K)=1 and α is birational. We obtain g(D)=0,1,3. α) g(D)=0 so (H+K·K)=-3, (H+K)=1 and α is birational. We obtain g(D)=0,1,3. α) g(D)=0 so g(D)=0 so g(D)=0 so that g(D)=0 so the number of blown-up points is g(D)=0. If E is an (effective) divisor on X contracted by α , we have: g(D)=0 so g(D)=0 so But α is a composition of blowings-up (with center a point) so we must have g(D)=0. This implies g(D)=0 and g(D)=0 so E is an exceptional curve. This shows that the blown-up points are ordinary. Finally, if we put g(D)=0 since g(D)=0 so We have g(D)=0 so We have g(D)=0 so We have g(D)=0 so We have g(D)=0 since g(D)=0 so g(D)=0 since g(D)=0 since g(D)=0 so g(D)=0 since g(D)=0 since g(D)=0 so g(D)=0 since g(D

o --- O_X(-D) --- O_X --- O_X

Since D is smooth, connected and H $^{1}(Q)$ =0 we are done. We obtain by R-R: h $^{0}(Q(2K+H))=\chi(Q(2K+H))=1+1/2(2K+H\cdot K+H)=1$. Now we have again 2 possibilities:

1) H=-2K, so X is the Del Pezzo double plane or

2) h (O(-H-2K))=o.

In the second case, first remark that $h = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -K \end{pmatrix} = h \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 0$, so we obtain by R-R:

(3) $h(0(-K)) \ge X \cdot 0(-K) = (K)^2 + 1$. The exact sequence:

 $0 \longrightarrow 0 (-H-2K) \longrightarrow 0 (-K) \longrightarrow 0 (-K) \longrightarrow 0 \text{ gives:}$ $0 \longrightarrow H (0(-H-2K)) \longrightarrow H (0(-K)) \longrightarrow H (0,0_{-K}). \text{ Since } H (0(-H-2K))=0,$ $0 \longrightarrow H (0(-K)) \longrightarrow H (0(-K))=0 \text{ Holden } H (0(-K))=0,$ $0 \longrightarrow H (0(-K)) \longrightarrow H (0(-K))=0,$ $0 \longrightarrow H (0(-K)) \longrightarrow H (0,0_{-K}). \text{ Since } H (0(-H-2K))=0,$ $0 \longrightarrow H (0(-K)) \longrightarrow H (0,0_{-K}). \text{ Since } H (0(-H-2K))=0,$ $0 \longrightarrow H (0(-K)) \longrightarrow H (0,0_{-K}). \text{ Since } H (0(-H-2K))=0,$ $0 \longrightarrow H (0(-K)) \longrightarrow H (0,0_{-K}). \text{ Since } H (0(-H-2K))=0,$ $0 \longrightarrow H (0(-K)) \longrightarrow H (0,0_{-K}). \text{ Since } H (0(-H-2K))=0,$ $0 \longrightarrow H (0,0_{-K}). \text{ Since } H (0(-H-2K))=0,$ $0 \longrightarrow H (0,0_{-K}). \text{ Since } H (0(-H-2K))=0,$ $0 \longrightarrow H (0,0_{-K}). \text{ Since } H (0(-H-2K))=0,$ $0 \longrightarrow H (0,0_{-K}). \text{ Since } H (0,0_{-K})=0,$ $0 \longrightarrow H (0,0_{-K}). \text{ Since } H (0,0_{-K})=0,$ $0 \longrightarrow H (0,0_{-K}). \text{ Since } H (0,0_{-K})=0,$ $0 \longrightarrow H (0,0_{-K}). \text{ Since } H (0,0_{-K})=0,$ $0 \longrightarrow H (0,0_{-K}). \text{ Since } H (0,0_{-K})=0,$ $0 \longrightarrow H (0,0_{-K}). \text{ Since } H (0,0_{-K})=0,$ $0 \longrightarrow H (0,0_{-K}). \text{ Since } H (0,0_{-K})=0,$ $0 \longrightarrow H (0,0_{-K}). \text{ Since } H (0,0_{-K})=0,$ $0 \longrightarrow H (0,0_{-K}). \text{ Since } H (0,0_{-K})=0,$ $0 \longrightarrow H (0,0_{-K}). \text{ Since } H (0,0_{-K})=0,$ $0 \longrightarrow H (0,0_{-K}). \text{ Since } H (0,0_{-K})=0,$ $0 \longrightarrow H (0,0_{-K}). \text{ Since } H (0,0_{-K})=0,$ $0 \longrightarrow H (0,0_{-K}). \text{ Since } H (0,0_{-K})=0,$ $0 \longrightarrow H (0,0_{-K}). \text{ Since } H (0,0_{-K})=0,$ $0 \longrightarrow H (0,0_{-K}). \text{ Since } H (0,0_{-K})=0,$ $0 \longrightarrow H (0,0_{-K}). \text{ Since } H (0,0_{-K})=0,$ $0 \longrightarrow H (0,0_{-K}). \text{ Since } H (0,0_{-K})=0,$ $0 \longrightarrow H (0,0_{-K}). \text{ Since } H (0,0_{-K})=0,$ $0 \longrightarrow H (0,0_{-K}). \text{ Since } H (0,0_{-K})=0,$ $0 \longrightarrow H (0,0_{-K}). \text{ Since } H (0,0_{-K})=0,$ $0 \longrightarrow H (0,0_{-K}). \text{ Since } H (0,0_{-K})=0,$ $0 \longrightarrow H (0,0_{-K}). \text{ Since } H (0,0_{-K})=0,$ $0 \longrightarrow H (0,0_{-K}). \text{ Since } H (0,0_{-K})=0,$ $0 \longrightarrow H (0,0_{-K}). \text{ Since } H (0,0_{-K})=0,$ $0 \longrightarrow H (0,0_{-K}). \text{ Since } H (0,0_{-K})=0,$ $0 \longrightarrow H (0,0_{-K}). \text{ Since } H (0,0_{-K})=0,$ $0 \longrightarrow H (0,0_{-K}). \text{ Since } H (0,0_{-K})=0,$ $0 \longrightarrow H (0,0_{-K}). \text{ Since } H (0,0_{-K})=0,$ $0 \longrightarrow H (0,0_{-K}). \text{ Since } H (0,0_{-K})=0,$ $0 \longrightarrow H (0,0_{-K}). \text{ Since } H (0,0_$

The graph of the connected of the completely proved. The theorem is completely proved.

§ 3. Varieties of degree 5

Let $X \subset P$ be a <u>nondegenerated</u>, <u>linearly normal</u> variety of degree 5. Denote by s=n-r the codimension of X. We shall discuss the possible values of s. We have by 0.4 $s \leq 4$.

I. If $\underline{s=4}$, by 0.5 $\underline{g=0}$ and by cor.1.1 X is the Veronese embedding $v_5(p^4)$ or a rational scroll $X = P(0(a_4) \oplus ... \oplus 0(a_r))$ with $\sum_{i=1}^r a_i = 5$, a_i , i=1,...r and O(H) is the tautological sheaf, 0.6. In particular $2 \le r \le 5$ and for r=5 we have the Segre embedding of $P \times P^4$ in P^9 ; any other is a linear section of this, 0.6. By lemma 0.2 any such variety is arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay and its homogenous ideal is generated by lo hyperquadrics.

II. 3=3. By 0.5 g=0,1. Since we have supposed X linearly normal it is enough to consider g=1. First of all we have elliptic curves. By [21] they are arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay, and their ideal is generated by 5 hyperquadrics. If $C \subseteq \mathbb{P}^4$ is such a curve, the standard exact sequences:

(1)
$$\circ \longrightarrow T_{C} \longrightarrow T_{R^{4}|C} \longrightarrow N_{C}|R^{4} \longrightarrow \circ$$
 and

$$(2) \circ \longrightarrow \circ_{\mathbb{C}} \longrightarrow \circ_{\mathbb{C}} 1) \longrightarrow \mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{R}^4 \mid \mathbb{C}} \longrightarrow \circ$$

give: $h^{\circ}(N_{C/P})=25$ and $h^{\circ}(N_{C/P})=0$, so by deformation theory [14], the Hilbert scheme parametrising such curves is smooth, of dimension 25.

If $r \geqslant 2$, by cor. 1.3 X is either a Del Pezzo variety or an elliptic scroll. But the Del Pezzo varieties were recently completely classified by Fujita [9], [10] ([17] for 3-folds). In our case we obtain that any such X is a linear section of the Grassmahn variety of lines in \mathbb{P}^4 with the Plücker embedding in \mathbb{P}^9 . Any two such varieties of the same dimension are projectively equivalent. For

- r=2 we have the well-known Del Pezzo surface, obtained by blowing-up \mathbb{P}^2 in 4 points, $H=3L-P_4-P_2-P_3-P_4$. If $r\geqslant 3$ one can find various abstract descriptions of such varieties in [lo]. Again by lemma 0.2 any such variety is arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay and its homogenous ideal is generated by 5 hyperquadrics.

As we shall see below, the elliptic scrolls do not occur in this case .

III. $\underline{s=2}$ By 0.5 we can have g=0, 1, 2. For $\underline{g=1}$ only elliptic scrolls have to be considered. For r=2, if $H\equiv C_0+bF$, we have by 0.3: $5=(C_0+bF)=-e+2b$, $b\geqslant e+3$, so $e\leqslant -1$. But for any geometrically ruled elliptic surface $e\geqslant -1$ ([16] th.2.15 p.377) so e=-1, b=2, $b\geqslant (0_X(H))=5$ (by R-R) and they really exist in P^4 ([16] th.2.15, p. 377). Let $X\cong P(E) \xrightarrow{\pi} C$ with C elliptic. The standard exact sequences (1), (2) and:

$$(3) \quad \circ \quad \xrightarrow{\mathsf{T}}_{\mathsf{X}} \subset \xrightarrow{\mathsf{T}}_{\mathsf{X}} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{T}}_{\mathsf{C}} \longrightarrow \circ$$

$$(4) \quad \circ \quad \longrightarrow 0_{\mathbb{X}} \longrightarrow (\pi^{*}\mathbb{E})(1) \longrightarrow \mathbb{T}_{X/C} \longrightarrow 0$$

give h $(N_{X/P^4})=25$ and h $(N_{X/P^4})=0$, so the corresponding Hilbert scheme is smooth, of dimension 25. It should be remarked that these scrolls are not arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay, since their hyperplane section is an elliptic curve of degree 5 in \mathbb{P}^3 , hence not linearly normal. This suggests the following useful

Lemma 3.1. Let C be an elliptic curve and Y = P(E) with E a locally free sheaf on C, of rank $r \ge 2$. Suppose Y embedded as a linearly normal scroll in P^m .

Then there is no smooth (nondegenerated) X embedded in P^m such that Y is a hyperplane section of X.

Proof. In the context of the proof of th. 3 in [2], suppose the lemma is not true and consider the exact sequence:

$$(1) \quad 0 \quad \longrightarrow \quad 0 \quad \longrightarrow \quad 0(Y) \quad \longrightarrow \quad 0(Y) \quad \longrightarrow \quad 0$$

It follows that X itself has the structure of a P -bundle over C, say q:X -- C

Apply q to the sequence (1) to obtain:

with F a locally free sheaf on C which is ample and $E'=E\otimes L'$ for some $L'\in Pic(C)$. Passing to global sections we have:

o — C — $H^{0}(F)$ — $H^{0}(E')$ — C — $H^{1}(F)$ But by duality, $H^{1}(F)\cong H^{0}(F)=0$ since F is ample. Now, we obtained: $h^{1}(F)\cong H^{0}(F)=h^$

So, for g=l only surfaces can occur.

Consider g=2. For such curves we have the following classical result (for instance [26] p. 93).

Lemma 3.2. Any curve C of genus 2 and degree 5 in p³ is "linked" to a line by 2 surfaces of degrees 2 and 3 respectively.

<u>Proof.</u> By R-R it follows that C is contained in exactly one quadric Q (necessarily irreducible). Again by R-R, the family of cubic surfaces containing C has dimension $\geqslant 5$ so C lies on an irreducible cubic S. So there is a line L such that Q \cap S=CUL. q.e.d.

In particular C is arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay, [25] prop.1.2. (this follows also from general results in [21] or [8]). By [25] p.281, we can obtain a resolution for O from a resolution of O, namely:

$$(*)$$
 $0 \rightarrow 0_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-4) \oplus 0_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-4) \longrightarrow 0_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2) \oplus 0_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-3) \oplus 0_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-3) \longrightarrow 0_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow 0_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-3) \longrightarrow 0_{\mathbb{$

By [6] the Hilbert scheme of such curves is irreducible, smooth, of dimension 20.

Consider now r=2. By th. 2.1. X can be a blowing-up of P with center Po,

P1,...,P7, H=4L-2Po-P1-..-P7 (which we shall call <u>Castelnuovo surfaces</u>) or a scroll over a curve of genus 2. The scrolls do not occur in virtue of the following:

Lemma 3.3. A scroll over a curve C of genus 2 has degree >8.

<u>Proof.</u> Since a curve of genus 2 must have degree $\geqslant 5$, we obtain $(C_0 \cdot H) = (C_0 \cdot C_0 + bF) = -e + b \geqslant 5$, so $b \geqslant 5 + e$ and $(H^2) = (C_0 + bF)^2 = -e + 2b \geqslant e + 1o$. By a theorem of Nagata [24] th.1, we have $e \geqslant -2$ so we obtain $(H^2) \geqslant 8$. q.e.d.

The Castelnuovo surfaces are easily seen (as in lemma 3.2) to be linked to a

plane by a hyperquadric and a hyper cubic. They have the resolution (k) (\mathbb{P}^4 instead of \mathbb{P}^3) and are arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay. Such surfaces do exist by [25]th.6.2 (or simply taking $P_0, \dots, P_{\mathcal{T}} \in \mathbb{P}^2$ to be "in general position" and showing that $4L-2P_0-P_1-\dots-P_{\mathcal{T}}$ is very ample – see [3]ex. 17 p. 73). By [6] their Hilbert scheme is irreducible, smooth, of dimension 32. Let r=3. As above we have the resolution (k) (\mathbb{P}^5 instead of \mathbb{P}^3). These are arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay and by [25] th.6.2. they do exist. The Hilbert scheme is irreducible, smooth, of dimension 46 by [6]. It would be nice to have a description of such varieties in terms of some known 3-folds. For the moment we have:

Lemma 3.4. A (linearly normal, nondegenerated) 3-fold X of degree 5 in \mathbb{P}^5 has Betti numbers $b_1=0$, $b_2=2$, $b_3=6$. The classes of H and 2H+K=Q form a base of Pic(X) with $(H^3)=5$, $(H^2+Q)=2$, $(H+Q^2)=(Q^3)=0$.

Proof. The adjunction mapping $\Upsilon = \Upsilon_{12H+K_{\parallel}}$ gives a morphism to P^4 whose general fiber Q is a smooth quadric (cor.l.4). We show that any fiber of Υ is integral, so any nonsmooth fiber of Υ is an ordinary cone. Suppose F is a nonintegral fiber of Υ . Since $(H \cdot H \cdot F) = 2$, we can only have F = H' + H'' or F = 2H', where H', H'' are planes. But remember (proof of th. 2.1) that for H the adjunction mapping gives a morphism to F^4 such that any fiber is either a smooth conic or 2 lines intersecting in a point. It follows that F = 2H' is ruled out and if F = H' + H'', H' must be an exceptional plane, so it can be contracted to a point. This is absurd, since in this case the curve $H' \cap H''$ would be contracted to a point. Now return to the proof of 3.4. We shall show below that Υ has exactly 8 singular fibers. Let F be one of them. Since it is a cone, the restriction of H to F generates Pic(F). So, for any divisor class D on X we have $D \mid_{F} = bH\mid_{F}$ for some $b \in \mathbb{Z}$. It follows that for any D there are $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that D = aQ + bH, and the intersection numbers are given by: $(H^3) = 5$, $(H^2, Q) = 2$, $(H \cdot Q^2) = (Q^3) = 0$.

Next, we want to compute the topological Eulor-Poincaré characteristic.

e by a larger water are stable would a vid a

Using the map φ , the formula in [3] lemma VI.4 p. 95 gives: (0) $\chi_{top}(X)=8-n$, where n is the number of singular fibers of φ . We shall compute $\chi_{top}(X)=c_3$ by R-R, using the method in [16] ex. 4.1.3. p. 433. If N= N χ_{top} denotes the normal bundle of XCP⁵, from the standard exact sequences:

- (1) $c_4(N) = 6H + K$,
- (2) $c_2(N)=15(H^2)+K(6H+K)-c_2$
- (3) $(-K \cdot c_2(N)) + (c_2 \cdot c_1(N)) + c_3 = 20(H^3)$

But we have by the self-intersection formula (Lascu-Mumford-Scott, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 78(1975), 117-123.):

- (4) $(c_2(N) \cdot H) = (X \cdot X \cdot H) = 25$. To compute intersection numbers write K=Q-2H. We obtain from (2),(4):
- (5) $(c_2^*H)=14$. Substituting (1),(2) in (3) and taking into account (5) we have: (6) $c_3=-2(c_2^*K)-48$. By R-R we have:

 $(7) \times 0 = -1/24 (c_2 \cdot K)$. But H'(0) = 0, i = 1, 2, 3. Indeed, H'(0) = H'(0) = 0, since K is arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay and H'(0) = H'(0) = 0. So (7) = 0 gives $(c_2 \cdot K) = -24$ and from (6) = 0. Thus in (0) we have n = 8 as claimed. Since we have seen that $b_1 = 0$, $b_2 = 2$ and $x_{top} = 0$, by Poincaré duality $b_3 = 6$. q.e.d.

r \geqslant 4 is not possible.Indeed, by lemma 0.2 such varieties must be arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay in \mathbb{P}^m , with $n \geqslant 6$, so by a result originally due to Hartshorne (see [25] th.5.1) these must be complete intersections, which is not our case.

IV. s=1. These are just hypersurfaces of degree 5.

Let XCP^n be a <u>linearly normal</u>, <u>nondegenerated</u> variety of degree 6. As before, we discuss the possible values of the codimension s=n-r. By 0.4 we have $s \le 5$.

I. If s=5, g=0 so as above X is either the Veronese embedding $v_6(\mathbb{P}^A)$ or a ra-

tional scroll $X = P(0_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_1) \oplus \ldots \oplus 0_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_{\Gamma}))$, $\sum_{i=1}^{r} a_i = 6$, $a_i \neq 1$, $i = 1, \ldots, r$ and $0 \in \mathbb{N}$ is the tautological sheaf. We have $2 \le r \le 6$ and for r = 6 X is the Segre embedding of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^5$ in \mathbb{P}^1 . Any other is a linear section of this one; they are arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay and their homogenous ideal is generated by 15 hyperquadrics (0.6, 0.2).

II. s=4. As above, for g=1 we first have elliptic curves. By [21] they are arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay and their homogenous ideal is generated by 9 hyper-quadrics. The corresponding Hilbert scheme is smooth, of dimension 36.

If $r \geqslant 2$, by cor. 1.3, X is either a Del Pezzo variety or an elliptic scroll. By the work of Fujita [9] (Iskovskih [17] for 3-folds) the Del Pezzo varieties of degree 6 are: - the Del Pezzo surface, which is the blowing-up of \mathbb{P}^2 with center 3 points, $H=3L-\mathbb{P}_1-\mathbb{P}_2-\mathbb{P}_3$; - the Segre embedding of $\mathbb{P}^4\times\mathbb{P}^4\times\mathbb{P}^4$ in \mathbb{P}^7 ; - $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{P}^2})$ projectivised tangent sheaf to \mathbb{P}^2 , 0 (H) being the tautological sheaf; - $\mathbb{P}^2\times\mathbb{P}^2$ embedded Segre in \mathbb{P}^3 . Again by 0.2 any such variety is arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay and the corresponding homogenous ideal is generated by 9 hyperquadrics. As we shall see in a moment, elliptic scrolls do not occur here.

III. s=3. In virtue of 0.5 we can have g=0,1,2. For g=1 only elliptic scrolls are in question. For r=2, we have by 0.3:

 $6=(C_0+bF)=-e+2b$ and $b\geqslant e+3$ so $e\leqslant o.$ [16]th.2.15 p.377, gives $e\geqslant -1$; but e=-1 implies 2b=5 which is absurd, so e=o, b=3. R-R gives h O(0)=6 and they do exist by [16] loc, cit. As in the case of degree 5, we obtain h O(0)=6 and h O(0)=6 and they do exist by [16] Hilbert scheme is smooth, of dimension 36. Again they are not arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay. By lemma 3.1, for $r\geqslant 3$ such scrolls do not exist.

Consider g=2. We first have curves of genus 2. By [8] cor.1.11,1.14, they are arith metically Cohen-Macaulay and their homogenous ideal is generated by 4 hyperquadrics. As above, the corresponding Hilbert scheme is smooth, of dimension 29.

Let now r=2 and apply th. 2.1. It follows that X is either a blowing-up of \mathbb{P}^2 with center P_0, \dots, P_0 H=4L-2 P_0 - P_4 -...- P_6 (which we shall also call <u>Castelnuovo</u>

ーんと

surfaces) or a scroll over a curve of genus 2. By lemma 3.3 scrolls do not occur. The Castelnuovo surfaces are arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay and their homogenous ideal is generated by 4 hyperquadrics.

Let r= 3. We have:

Lemma 4.1. A 3-fold of degree 6 with g=2 is a double covering $f:X \longrightarrow \mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}^2$ such that if we put $E=p_1$ (0_{P1}(1)), $F=p_2$ (0_{P2}(1)) we have 0 (H)= $f^*(E \otimes F)$ and the ramification divisor $R \in [H]$. Such varieties do exist in \mathbb{P} ; they have the anticanonical class very ample and Betti numbers $b_1=0$, $b_2=2$, $b_3=4$; $f^*(E)$ and $f^*(F)$ give a base of Pic(X).

Proof. Remember from cor. 1.4 that the adjunction mapping $\varphi = \varphi_{12H+K_0}$ gives a morphism to \mathbb{P}^4 with general fiber a smooth quadric, say Q. We show that the linear system \mathbb{H} -Q is basepoints free and maps X onto \mathbb{P}^2 . From the exact sequence:

0-0 (-Q) -0 X -0 Q -0.

we infer $H^{1}(O_{X}(-Q))=0$ (since $H^{1}(O_{X})=0$). Then consider the exact sequence:

 $0 \longrightarrow 0 (-Q) \longrightarrow 0 (H-Q) \longrightarrow 0 (H-Q) \longrightarrow 0$

It follows $h^{\circ}(0)(H-Q))=h^{\circ}(0)(H-Q))$ and it is enough to prove that 0(H-Q) is spanned by global sections. Doing the same once again we can assume H is a curve (of genus 2). Since the degree of H-Q is 4, 0(H-Q) is generated by global sections and nonspecial, so by R-R $h^{\circ}(0(H-Q))=3$. So we have a morphism $W=\Psi_{[H-Q]}:X\longrightarrow P^{2}$ which is surjective since $(H-Q)^{3}=1$. Now combine Y and Y to obtain a morphism $f:X\longrightarrow P^{2}\times P^{2}$, corresponding to some subsystem of [H]. In particular f is finite, of degree $(H-Q\cdot H-Q\cdot Q)=2$. We have -K=2H-Q=H+H-Q so [-K] is very ample since [H] is and [H-Q] is basepoints free. The ramification divisor R is given by the formula: (1) $K=\int_{-K}^{K}K_{[X]}e^{2}+R$ and we immediately obtain $0(R)=\int_{-K}^{K}(E\otimes F)$. Since $H^{\circ}(Q)=0$, h=0. Now apply exactly the same method as in lemma 3.4 to see that any nonsmooth fiber of Y is an ordinary cone and there are exactly 6 such. The same computation via R-R gives $C_{2}=X_{1}=2$

(we leave the details to the reader). As in the proof of 3.4, (the classes of). H and Q form a base for Pic(X), with intersection numbers $(H^3)=6$, $(H^2,\mathbb{Q})=2$, $(H\cdot\mathbb{Q}^2)=(\mathbb{Q}^3)=0$. By Poincaré duality we obtain $b_3=4$. Now start with a double covering $f:X\longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^4X\mathbb{P}^2$, ramified (2,2) (with smooth discriminant divisor) and we shall prove that $0_X(H)=f'(E\otimes F)$ is very ample and maps X to \mathbb{P}^6 with degree 6. Put $\mathbb{E}^4=f'(E)$, $\mathbb{F}^4=f'(E)$ and think of them as divisor classes. First of all remark that the ramification divisor R belongs to \mathbb{E}^4+F^4 since \mathbb{F}^4 belongs to $\mathbb{E}^4+\mathbb{E}^4$.

Formula (1) gives $\mathbb{F}^4=\mathbb{E}^4=\mathbb{E}^4=\mathbb{E}^4=\mathbb{E}^4$, so $\mathbb{F}^4=\mathbb{E}^4=\mathbb{E}^4=\mathbb{E}^4=\mathbb{E}^4=\mathbb{E}^4$. In particular $\mathbb{F}^4=\mathbb{F}^4=\mathbb{E}^4=\mathbb$

phism q is a double covering of $\mathbb{P}^{4}_{\text{AP}}^{2}$ and \mathbb{Y}_{in} is finite and birational. We want to show that Y is normal, so $\Psi_{\rm HI}$ is an isomorphism. To prove this we shall see that any fiber of g=poq is integral, hence normal since it is a quadric, so Y itself is normal. It is enough to show that any fiber of go 4 H = p of is inegral. Let D be a fiber of pof. Since $(D \cdot H \cdot H) = 2(E \cdot E + F \cdot E + F) = 2$. we can only have D = D' + D'' or D=2D', with D', D'' integral. In any case, $l=(D'\cdot H\cdot H)=((E'+F')|_{D'}\cdot (E'+F')|_{D'}$ = $(F'|_{D'} \cdot F'|_{D'})$. Since (D') is 2-dimensional, $h(O(F')|_{D'}) = h(O(H)|_{D'}) > 3$ and O(F'), is ample, so by a result of Kobayashi-Ochiai [19] it follows $D' \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$ and 0 (F') ~ 0 (1). But by adjunction we have: $0 \sim (-3) = 0$ (K ~ 0) ~ 0 (K ~ 0) ~ 0 =0 (-2F'+D'), so 0 (D') 30 (D') 80 But then D' is an exceptional plane and 3 can be contracted. The same applies to D'', so in case D=D'+D'' the curve D'nD'' would be contracted to a point which is impossible. If D.2D', we have a morphism f' making the diagram commutative: ...

which is clearly absurd. The lemma is

Again, the 3-folds such obtained are arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay and their homogenous ideal is generated by 4 hyperquadrics.

Case r 74 is impossible. Indeed, exactly as above, we would obtain a morphism from X to $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{2}$, corresponding to some subsystem of [H], which is absurd.

IV. $\underline{s=2}$. By 0.5 we can have $\underline{g=0,1,2,3;4}$. First for $\underline{g=1}$ only elliptic scrolls of dimension r > 3 could possibly occur. But in this case we would have a 2-dimensional elliptic scroll in P must be the (isomorphic) projection of one of those discussed in the previous case. This is impossible, for instance by a theorem of Severi asserting that the Veronese surface $v_{j}(\mathbb{P}^{2})$ is the only one which projects isomorphically from P to P. However in our case the formula in [16] p. 434 is sufficient.

Consider now g=2, r=2, X a Castelnuovo surface. By th.2.1 we would have $(H \cdot K_X)=-4$,

 $(K_X^2)=2$ contradicting again the formula in [16] p.434 for surfaces in \mathbb{P}^4 . So g=2 is impossible too.

Assume g=3. Consider first a curve of degree 6 and genus 3 in \mathbb{P}^3 . It is not on a quadric cone since this would imply it is a complete intersection, which is not the case. There are 2 types of such curves (see [26]p.93): the first is a curve of type (2,4) on a smooth quadric. They are not arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay. The other type is given by the following:

Lemma 4.2 (see also [6], ex.2, p.430) Any curve of degree 6 and genus 3 in P³ which is not on a quadric is linked to the twisted cubic by 2 cubic surfaces In particular it is arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay.

<u>Proof.</u> By R-R the family of cubic surfaces containing C has dimension $\geqslant 3$ and by hypothesis they must be irreducible. It follows that C is linked to a -possibly reducible or singular-curve C' of degree 3 by 2 cubic surfaces, say S and S'. We want to prove that for suitable choice of S and S', C' is integral. Denote by $\mathfrak{m}(P,C)$ or $\mathfrak{m}(P,S)$ the multiplicity of a point P on the curve C or the surface S. By Bertini's theorem, we have $\mathfrak{m}(P,CUC')\leqslant 3$. Indeed, the only unpleasant case is when there is a fix point V on C such that C' consists always in 3 lines through V. This implies any S is a cone with vertex V, so their intersection would be a union of lines, a contradiction. Since $\mathfrak{m}(P,CUC')\leqslant 3$, we have $\mathfrak{m}(P,S)\cdot\mathfrak{m}(P,S')\leqslant \mathfrak{m}(P,CUC')\leqslant 3$, so there are smooth cubic surfaces containing C (the same argument as in [2o]). So we have C+C'=3H on a smooth cubic surface S. If any $C'\in [3H-C]$ is reducible, say C'=L+Q, L a line and Q a conic, L must be a fixed component of [3H-C]. But $\dim [Q]=1$ and on the other side, the exact sequence:

 $0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{J}_{C}(3) \longrightarrow 0_{S}(3) \longrightarrow 0_{C}(3) \longrightarrow 0$ gives $h(\mathcal{J}_{C}(3)) \geqslant 3$ so $\dim[3H-C] \geqslant 2$. This is a contradiction. By the formulas in [25] prop. 3.1, we must have $p_{a}(C')=0$, so C' is the twisted cubic. q.e.d. Using again [25] p. 281, we can write down a resolution for 0 from the resolution

tion of the twisted cubic, namely:

$$(***) \circ \longrightarrow 0 \atop p3} (-4) \longrightarrow 0 \atop p3} (-3) \longrightarrow 0 \atop p3} \longrightarrow 0 \atop p3} \longrightarrow 0$$

The Hilbert scheme of curves of degree 6 and genus 3 in P² is irreducible, smooth, of dimension 24.

Now let r=2. By th. 2.2 X is either a blowing-up of \mathbb{P}^2 with center P_1, \dots, P_{40} , $H=4L-P_1-\dots-P_{40}$ (these are known as <u>Bordiga surfaces</u>) or a scroll over a curve of genus 3. The scrolls do not occur by the following:

Lemma 4.3. A scroll X a curve C of genus 3 has degree > 9.

Proof. By 0.5 a curve of genus 3 is either a plane curve of degree 4 or it has degree \geqslant 6. Suppose the section C_0 is a plane curve of degree 4. In particular it is not hyperelliptic. A pencil of hyperplanes containing the plane in which C_0 lies will give a pencil of degree -2 (reducible) curves, consisting of 2 fibers of the ruling (a rational curve cannot dominate the base which has genus 3). Consequently the pencil is without basepoints and gives a morphism $f:X \longrightarrow P$. It is just the criainal ruling, $AcC \subseteq C_0$. Part C'. The Stein factorisation of f, say $f':X \longrightarrow C'$ is a double covering of P^1 so it is hyperelliptic -a contradiction. Suppose now degree of $C_0 \geqslant$ 6. This gives: $(C_0 \cdot H) = (C_0 \cdot C_0 + bF) = -c + b \geqslant 6$ so $b \geqslant c + 6$ and $(H^2) = (C_0 + bF)^2 = -c + 2b \geqslant c + 12$. The theorem of Nagata [24] implies $c \geqslant -3$, so $(H^2) \geqslant 9$ and we are done, q.e.d.

Consider now the Bordiga surfaces. We have:

Lemma 4.4. Any Bordiga surface: X is arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay, having the resolution (**) (with P^4 instead of P^3);

This is a consequence of o.2, lemma 4.2 and the following:

Lemma 4.5. Let X be a nondegenerated linearly normal surface in \mathbb{P}^4 with g=3. Then its generic hyperplane section H is not on any quadric in \mathbb{P}^3 .

Proof. Assume the contrary and consider the standard exact sequence:

Since
$$H^{1}(\mathbb{P}^{4}, J_{X}(1))=0$$
 and we supposed $H^{0}(\mathbb{P}^{3}, J_{H}(2))\neq 0$ it follows $H^{0}(\mathbb{P}^{4}, J_{X}(2))\neq 0$, so

X is on a (nondegenerated) hyperquadric, say Q. If Q is smooth, by Klein's theorem X would be a complete intersection, which is absurd. If Q is cone with vertex a point, Bertini's theorem implies that some integral member of |H| is on a cone in P³. If Q is cone with vertex a line, again H is on a cone in P³. In any case H would be a complete intersection which is not case. The lemma is proved. In particular such surfaces do exist, for instance by [25]th. 6.2 (or taking lo points "in general position" in P² and showing 4L-P₁-...-P₁₀ is very ample, see [3] ex. 20 p. 73). It follows, [6], that the Hilbert scheme of Bordiga surfaces is irreducible, smooth, of dimension 36.

Suppose r=3. We have:

Lemma 4.6. Let X be a 3-fold of degree 6 with g=3 (they do exist in \mathbb{P}^5).

There is a rank-2 locally free sheaf E on \mathbb{P}^2 such that $X\cong \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{E})$ and $0_X(\mathbb{H})$ is the tautological sheaf. E is given by an extension (+) $0 \longrightarrow 0_{\mathbb{P}^2} \longrightarrow \mathbb{F} \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}(4) \longrightarrow 0$ where Y is a subscheme of \mathbb{P}^2 consisting of lo distinct points. E is stable and it has $c_1(\mathbb{E})=4$, $c_2(\mathbb{E})=10$. If L is a generic line in \mathbb{P}^2 , $\mathbb{E}[L\simeq 0,(2)\oplus 0,(2)$.

Proof. We shall show that the adjunction mapping $\Psi = \Psi_{(2H+K_K)}$ makes X a \mathbb{P}^A -bundle over \mathbb{P}^2 . Remember that H is a Bordiga surface, so that the adjunction mapping makes it a blowing-up of \mathbb{P}^2 with center lo ordinary points. Note also the relations on H: $(H \cdot H + K_H) = 4$, $(K \cdot H + K_H) = -3$, $(H + K_H) = 1$, $(H \cdot K_H) = -2$. We have the exact sequence:

and $H^{1}(O_{X}(H+K_{X}))=H^{2}(O_{X}(-H))=0$. Also $H^{1}(O_{X}(H+K_{X}))=0$ because $(H\cdot H\cdot H+K_{X})=(H\cdot K_{X})=-2$. So $H^{1}(O_{X}(H+K_{X}))=H^{1}(O_{X}(H+K_{X}))=3$. Now any fiber of Υ is a line. Indeed, we have: $(2H+K_{X}\cdot 2H+K_{X}\cdot H)=(H+K_{X})=1.$ If D would be a 2-dimensional fiber of Υ , it must be an exceptional plane since its trace on H is an exceptional line. But then we have a morphism Υ' making the diagram commutative

 $x = \frac{\varphi}{\chi}$ which is absurd. So φ is a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle.

Now apply 4 to the exact sequence:

 $o \longrightarrow o_X \longrightarrow o_X(H) \longrightarrow o_H(H) \longrightarrow o$ to obtain: o \mathcal{P}^2 , \mathcal{P}^2 (H)) \mathcal{P}^2 since \mathcal{P}^4 (OH(H)) \mathcal{P}^2 o since \mathcal{P}^4 (OH(H))

and $E = \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{x}}(O_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{H}))$ is locally free of rank 2. Remember that on H we have $\mathbf{H} = 4\mathbf{L} - \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{A}} - \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{A}}$ $-P_2 - \cdots - P_{10}$. It follows that $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{X}} \left(O_{\widetilde{\mathbf{H}}} \left(H \right) \right)$ is just $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{Y}} \left(A \right)$, with $\mathbf{Y} = \left\{ P_1, \dots, P_{10} \right\}$. So E is given by an extension (+). Restricting to a line L in P not passing through any point P; , we obtain $c_1(E)=4$. Since X=P(E) we see just by the definition of Chern classes: $(H^3)-c_4(E)(2H+K-H-H)+c_2(E)(2H+K-2H+K-H)=0$, so that $6-4c_4(E)+c_2(E)=0$ and $c_2(E)=10$. We have $h^0(P^2, \mathcal{J}_Y(4))=h^0(H, O_H(H))=5$. This implies h (P, $J_{\gamma}(2)$)=c and from the extension (+) follows h (P, E(-2))=o. In our case this means that E is stable. Then the generic splitting of E must be 0, (2)0, (2) by the Grauert-Mulich theorem [11] . The lemma is proved.

Any such 3-fold is arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay by 0.2 and has the same resolution $(xx)(P^5)$ instead of P^3). In particular [25] th.6.2 ensures they do exist in p⁵. Their Hilbert scheme is irreducible, smooth, of dimension 48, [6].

r 7,4 is impossible, for instance again by Hartshorne's theorem [25] th.5.1. Let g=4. A curve of degree 6 and genus 4 in \mathbb{P}^3 is the complete intersection of a quadric and a cubic (use R-R). So by 0.2 we have for any r>1 the complete intersection of type (2,3).

V. s=1. These are just the hypersurfaces of degree 6.

Final remark. For reader's convenience we indicate briefly how to obtain in the same manner the list of nondegenerated, linearly normal, smooth varieties of degree 4(in fact one knows all of them, without the smoothness condition see [30] and [28]). For degree 1 we have the projective space itself and for degree 2 a hyperquadric. For degree 3 we have $s \le 2$. For s=2, g=0 so by cor.1.1 (or better [7] ths. 2.1, 2.2, 3.8) \times is $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ embedded Segre, its hyperplane section $\mathbb{F}_1 \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \oplus \mathbb$

REFERENCES

- 1. Badescu L. -On ample divisors I, INCREST Preprint Series Math. No. 17, (1980).
- 2. --- On ample divisors II, INCREST Preprint Series Math. No. 4, (1981).
- 3. Beauville A. -Surfaces algébriques complexes, Astérisque, 54, (1978) Soc. Wath.

 de France.
- 4. Castelnuovo G. -Sulle superficie algebriche le cui sezioni piane sono curve iperellittiche, Memorie Scelte, XII, Zanichelli Bologna (1939).
- 6. Ellingsrud G. -Sur le schéma de Hilbert des variétés de codimension 2 dans P à cône de Cohen-Macaulay, Ann. scient. Éc. Norm. Sup. 8, (1975)423-43
- 7. Fujita T. -On the structure of polarized varieties with △-genera zero, J. Fac.

 Sci. Univ. Tokyo, 22, (1975) 103-115.
- Analysis and Algebraic Geometry, Tokyo, Iwanami, (1977),165-173.
- J. Math. Soc. Japan, 32-4, (1980) 709-725.
- lo. ------On the structure of polarized manifolds with total deficiency one II,

Preprint

- 1. Grauert H., Mulich G. -Vectorbundel von Rang 2 über dem n-dimensionalen komplexprojektiven Raum, Manuscr. Math., 16, (1975) 75-100.
- . Griffiths P., Harris J. Principles of Algebraic Geometry, J. Wiley & Sons, New York, (1978).
- 3. Grothendieck A. -Eléments de géométrie algébrique Publ. Math. IHES, No. 4,8,11,17,...
- Fondements de la géométrie algébrique (Extraits du Séminaire
 Bourbaki 1957-1962) Exposé 221, Secrétariat Math., Paris, (1962).
- 5. Hartshorne R. -Varieties of small codimension in projective space; Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 80-6, (1974) 1017-1032.
- 7. Iskovskih V.A. -Fano 3-folds I, (translated by M. Reid) Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR,

 AMS-translations 11 (1977), 485-527.
- 8. Kleiman S.L. -Toward a numerical theory of ampleness, Ann. Math. 84-2, (1966)293-344.
- 9. Kobayashi S., Ochiai T. -Characterizations of complex projective spaces and

 hyperquadrics, J. Math. Kyoto Univ., 13-1, (1973) 31-47.
- o. Mumford D. -Further pathologies in Algebraic Geometry, Amer. J. Math. 84-4, (1962)
- 1. ----- Varieties defined by quadratic equations CIME III (1969) 29-loo.
- 2. -Lectures on curves on an algebraic surface, Ann. Math. Studies 59,

 Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton (1966).
- 3. Nagata M. -On rational surfaces I, Mem. Coll. Sci. Kyoto (A), 32, (1960) 351-37c.
- 5. Peskine C., Szpiro L. -<u>Liaison des variétés algébriques</u> I, Inv. Math., 26, (1974)
- 6. Semple J.G., Roth L. -Introduction to Algebraic Geometry, Clarendon Press,
 Oxford (1949).

- 27. Sommese A.J. -Hyperplane sections of projective surfaces I-The adjunction maping, Duke Math. J. 46-2, (1979) 377-401.
- 28. Swinnerton-Dyer H.P.F. -An enumeration of all varieties of degree 4, Amer. J.

 Math., 95,(1973) 403-418.
- 29. Van de Ven A. -On the 2-connectedness of very ample divisors on a surface, Duke Math. J., 46-2, (1979) 403-407.
- 30. XXX -Correspondence, Amer. J. Math., 79, (1957) 951-952.

University of Bucharest

Dept. of Mathematics

Str. Academiei 14

Bucharest, Romania.

27. Sometime and a supplementation of production and product of a supplementation of the supplementation of the supplementation of all the supplementations of all the supplementations of a supplementation of the supplementation o

at remember 7

Bucharest & Remainia.