

UNIQUENESS OF TAYLOR'S FUNCTIONAL CALCULUS

by

Mihai PUTINAR

PREPRINT SERIES IN MATHEMATICS

No.24/1982

Med 18.323

UNIQUENESS OF TAYLOR'S FUNCTIONAL CALCULUS

by

Mihai PUTINAR^{*)}

March, 1982

^{*)} Department of Mathematics, National Institute for Scientific and Technical Creation, Bd. Pachei 220, 79622 Bucharest, Romania

UNIQUENESS OF TAYLOR'S FUNCTIONAL CALCULUS

by Mihai Putinar

Abstract: Two uniqueness results concerning Fréchet module structures over algebras of holomorphic functions defined on some complex manifolds are presented, containing as particular cases uniqueness theorems for J.L.Taylor's analytic functional calculi for commuting n -tuples of linear continuous operators on Fréchet spaces [7], [9]. Namley, the first statement says that the Spectral Mapping Theorem insures the unicity of the functional calculus and thus it improves Zame's unicity theorem [11, Thm.1], while the second statement gives an unicity condition which is an analogue of the compatibility property [3, Thm.I.4.1] in several variables spectral theory in commutative Banach algebras. As a corollary, the two functional calculi constructed in [7] and [9] by J.L.Taylor coincide.

1980 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 47A60, 32E25; Secondary 47A10, 32E10.

Key words and phrases: analytic functional calculus, linear continuous operators, J.L. Taylor's joint spectrum, Fréchet module structure, Riemann domain, Stein space, commuting n -tuple.

0. Introduction: This note is a continuation of [5] and [6] and it is presented in the spirit and with the technique initiated by J.L.Taylor in [9]. The general philosophy is to regard a commuting n -tuple a of linear continuous operators on a Fréchet space M as a Fréchet module structure of M over the algebra $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ of entire functions and then to use homological-topological methods.

Proposition 1 contains essentially in its statement Fréchet module structures over algebras of holomorphic functions on complex manifolds which are not canonically imbedded in a numerical space, and thus it is quite difficult to give it an interpretation in terms of n -tuples of commuting operators. On the other hand, Theorem 2 has a clear specialization in the operator-theoretic language as follows:

Theorem 1: For each open subset U of \mathbb{C}^n which contains the joint spectrum of an n -tuple a of linear continuous commuting operators on a Banach space there is exactly one continuous functional calculus for a with analytic functions on U and which satisfies the spectral mapping theorem.

In fact this statement holds for Fréchet spaces with the additional assumption that the n -tuple has a continuous functional calculus with entire functions. The correspondence with Theorem 1 of [11], which asserts that an n -tuple of elements of a Banach algebra has exactly one continuous functional calculus with germs of analytic functions in neighbourhoods of the joint spectrum and which commutes with the Gelfand transformation, is now obvious.

The statements below are presented in the spirit of [5] and [6], without distinguished coordinates, and their form is imposed by the restriction that the open sets in question must have an envelope of holomorphy. The homological-topological technique initiated by J.L.Taylor in problems of functional calculus in [8] and [9] is again used, but even Theorem 1 needs for its proof the special results of transversality from [2].

Let us recall some facts and notation from [5], [6]. Each Fréchet $\mathcal{O}(X)$ -module M over the nuclear Fréchet algebra $\mathcal{O}(X)$ of global sections of a Stein space X has a distinguished closed subset $\sigma(X, M)$ of X , with a good homological characterization in terms of topological Tor's, and which is the generalization of Taylor's joint spectrum of an n -tuple of commuting operators. If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a morphism of complex spaces and if M is a Fréchet $\mathcal{O}(X)$ -module, then the $\mathcal{O}(Y)$ -module M with the structure induced by $f^*: \mathcal{O}(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(X)$ will be denoted by M^f .

I would like to express my gratitude to F.-H.Vasilescu whose constant encouragement and useful discussions led to these results.

1. The main result: It is known ([9], [5], [6]) that a Fréchet $\mathcal{O}(X)$ -module M , with X a finite dimensional Stein space, has a natural extension to a structure of Fréchet module over the topological algebra $\mathcal{O}(\sigma(X, M))$ of germs of analytic functions defined in neighbourhoods of $\sigma(X, M)$. Moreover the set $\sigma(X, M)$ and the natural extension are functorial in some precise sense ([5, Thm.5], [6, Thm.1 and Thm.2]).

We shall be interested in a converse question; namely, to give minimal functorial properties on an abstract extension of a Fréchet module structure from $\mathcal{O}(X)$ to $\mathcal{O}(\sigma(X, M))$ which

insures its coincidence with the natural extension.

Let us remark that if the Fréchet $\mathcal{O}(X)$ -module M has a Fréchet $\mathcal{O}(\sigma(X, M))$ -module structure, then a fortiori M is a Fréchet $\mathcal{O}(U)$ -module for every open subset U of X containing $\sigma(X, M)$.

Theorem 2. Let X be a Riemann domain which is a Stein manifold, let M be a Fréchet space and consider an arbitrary extension of a given Fréchet $\mathcal{O}(X)$ -module structure on M to a Fréchet $\mathcal{O}(U)$ -module structure, denoted by M^U , for an open subset $\sigma(X, M) \subset U \subset X$.

If for every holomorphic map $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$, $n \geq 1$, the equality

$$(1) \quad \overline{f\sigma(X, M)} = \sigma(\mathbb{C}^n, (M^U)^f)$$

holds, then M^U coincides with the Fréchet $\mathcal{O}(U)$ -module structure of M given by the natural extension.

Proof: Let us denote by M_1^U the natural extension to a Fréchet $\mathcal{O}(U)$ -module structure, given by the isomorphism ([9], [5]):

$$(1) \quad M_1^U = \hat{\text{Tor}}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathcal{O}(X)}(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{U}), M)$$

where \mathcal{U} is an open Stein covering of U ($U = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} U_i$) with finite dimensional nerve and $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{U})$ is the associated Čech complex.

Let \tilde{U} be the envelope of holomorphy of U , which exists by [4, Thm. 5.4.5] and is a Stein manifold with $\dim \tilde{U} = \dim X$. Regarding M^U as a Fréchet $\mathcal{O}(\tilde{U})$ -module we have an isomorphism of Fréchet spaces:

$$(2) \quad M^U = \hat{\text{Tor}}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathcal{O}(\tilde{U})}(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{U}), M^U)$$

because $\sigma(\tilde{U}, M^U) \subset U$ and \mathcal{U} remains an open Stein covering of U in \tilde{U} .

Indeed, if $f: \tilde{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$, $n=2\dim(X)+1$, is a closed imbedding (see [4, Thm.5.3.9]), then by [6, Thm.1]:

$$(3) \quad \overline{f\sigma(\tilde{U}, M^U)} = \sigma(\mathbb{C}^n, (M^U)^f)$$

and condition (i) compared with (3) yields $\sigma(\tilde{U}, M^U) = \sigma(X, M) \subset U$.

The right side of (3) is computed as the zero homology spaces of the simple complex associated to the bicomplex $B^{\mathcal{O}(\tilde{U})}(\mathcal{C}^*(U), M^U)$, see [5], and each element m of M^U is represented as the class of $1 \otimes m \in \mathcal{C}^0(U) \hat{\otimes} M^U$ in that complex. The operation that gives $\hat{\text{Tor}}_0$ allows us to identify $\varphi \otimes m$ with $1 \otimes \varphi m$ as elements of $\mathcal{C}^0(U) \hat{\otimes} M^U$, where $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}(\tilde{U})$, so that (2) is even an isomorphism of (left) $\mathcal{O}(\tilde{U})$ -modules.

But this means that the natural morphism induced by the extension of the inclusion UCX :

$$M_1^U \cong \hat{\text{Tor}}_0^{\mathcal{O}(X)}(\mathcal{C}^*(U), M) \longrightarrow \hat{\text{Tor}}_0^{\mathcal{O}(\tilde{U})}(\mathcal{C}^*(U), M^U) \cong M^U$$

is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{O}(U)$ -modules, q.e.d.

Let us remark that condition (i) can be required only for holomorphic maps $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2\dim(X)+1}$.

2. The functorial selection: We shall present now another uniqueness result which enlightens the difference between the spectral theory in commutative Banach algebras and that on Banach (or Fréchet) spaces. Namely, Arens and Calderon's lemma from Banach algebras ([1], [3]) seems to have a natural analogue in the spectral theory on Banach spaces which is obtained by passing from an open set to its envelope of holomorphy.

Definition: Let M be a Fréchet space and let \mathcal{C} be a category of finite dimensional Stein spaces and morphisms of analytic spaces.

A functorial selection of extensions of Fréchet module structures on M is an assignement from each Fréchet $\mathcal{O}(X)$ -module structure on M , $X \in \mathcal{C}$, to an extension to a Fréchet $\mathcal{O}(U)$ -module structure on M , denoted M^U , where U is an open subset of X which contains $\sigma(X, M)$, so that:

For every morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathcal{C} , every structure of Fréchet $\mathcal{O}(X)$ -module on M and every open sets $\sigma(X, M) \subset U \subset X$, $\sigma(Y, M^f) \subset V \subset Y$, $f(U) \subset V$, one has

$$(ii) \quad (M^U)^f = (M^f)^V.$$

Let us remark that a functorial selection endows each Fréchet $\mathcal{O}(X)$ -module M with a structure of Fréchet-module over $\mathcal{O}(\sigma(X, M))$ because condition (ii) applied for the identical map gives a direct system of structures over the open neighbourhoods of $\sigma(X, M)$.

Proposition 1. There is exactly one functorial selection of extensions of Fréchet module structures on a Fréchet space M , in the category of Riemann domains and Stein manifolds.

Proof: Let X be a Riemann domain which is a Stein manifold and let consider a Fréchet $\mathcal{O}(X)$ -module structure on M . If U is an open subset of X containing $\sigma(X, M)$, then we have the assumed structure of Fréchet $\mathcal{O}(U)$ -module M^U ; on the other hand, we have the natural one [5], denoted M_1^U and which is also an extension of the $\mathcal{O}(X)$ -structure.

But U is a Riemann domain and hence it has an envelope of holomorphy \tilde{U} , [4, Thm.5.4.5]; thus the inclusion map has an extension $f: \tilde{U} \rightarrow X$. Because $\mathcal{O}(\tilde{U}) = \mathcal{O}(U)$, M_1^U is also a Fréchet $\mathcal{O}(\tilde{U})$ -module and, moreover, $(M_1^U)^f = M$.

By using (ii) in that case,

$$(4) \quad \left[(M_1^U)^{f^{-1}(U)} \right]_{f=M^U}.$$

Noticing that all holomorphic functions on U and $f^{-1}(U)$ have unique extensions to \tilde{U} , equation (4) means exactly that $M_1^U = M^U$, q.e.d.

In the commutative Banach algebras framework Arens and Calderon's Lemma allows to restrict considerable the category of Stein spaces, so that Theorem I.4.1 of [3] can be stated as follows:

Proposition 2: There is exactly one functorial selection of extension of Banach module structures associated to n-tuples of elements of a commutative Banach algebra, in the category consisting of numerical spaces \mathbb{C}^n and projection maps between them.

Mihai Putinar
Department of Mathematics,
INCREST,
Bd. Păcii 220, 79622 Bucharest,
Romania.

REFERENCES

- [1] ARENS, R.F.; CALDERON, A.P., Analytic functions of several Banach algebra elements, Ann.of Math. (2) 62 (1955), 204-216.
- [2] ASTERISQUE, Rev. de la Soc.Math. de France, Séminaire de Géométrie Analytique, 1974.
- [3] BOURBAKI, N., Théoriès spectrales, Hermann, 1967.
- [4] HÖRMANDER, L., An introduction to complex analysis in several variables, Van Nostrand, Princeton, 1966.
- [5] PUTINAR, M., Functional calculus with sections of an analytic space, J.Operator Theory, 4(1980), 297-306.
- [6] PUTINAR, M., The superposition property for Taylor's functional calculus, J.Operator Theory, 7(1982), 149-155.
- [7] TAYLOR, J.L., Analytic functional calculus for several commuting operators, Acta Math., 125(1970), 1-38.
- [8] TAYLOR, J.L., Homology and cohomology for topological algebras, Advances in Math., 9(1972), 131-182.
- [9] TAYLOR, J.L., A general framework for a multi-operator fuunctional claculus, Advances in Math., 9(1972), 183-252.
- [10] VASILESCU, F.-H., Multi-dimensional analytic functional calculus (Roumanian), Ed.Academiei, Bucureşti, 1979.
- [11] ZAME, W., Existence and uniqueness of functional calculus homomorphisms, Bull.Amer.Mat.Soc, vol.82, 1(1976), 123-125.