

INSTITUTUL
DE
MATEMATICA

INSTITUTUL NATIONAL
PENTRU CREATIE
STIINTIFICA SI TEHNICA

ISSN 0250 3638

ON THE SPECTRUM OF A STABLE RANK 3

REFLEXIVE SHEAF ON P^3

by

Iustin COANDA

PREPRINT SERIES IN MATHEMATICS

No. 26/1985

Med 21340

BUCURESTI

ON THE SPECTRUM OF A STABLE RANK 3
REFLEXIVE SHEAF ON P^3

by
Iustin COANDA *)

April 1985

*) The National Institute for Scientific and Technical Creation

On the Spectrum of a Stable Rank 3 Reflexive Sheaf on \mathbb{P}^3

Iustin Coandă

Department of Mathematics, INCREST, Bd. Păcii 220, 79622
Bucharest, Romania

0. Introduction

The spectrum of a stable rank 2 vector bundle on \mathbb{P}^3 was defined and studied by W. Barth and G. Elencwajg in [1]. Their work was extended by R. Hartshorne to stable rank 2 reflexive sheaves on \mathbb{P}^3 in [3] and [4]. Then C. Okonek and H. Spindler have defined in [6] the spectrum of a reflexive sheaf of arbitrary rank on \mathbb{P}^3 and even more, they have defined in [8] the spectrum of a torsion free sheaf.

The aim of this paper is to prove some finer properties of the spectrum of a stable rank 3 reflexive sheaf on \mathbb{P}^3 similar to the properties of the spectrum of a stable rank 2 reflexive sheaf proved by R. Hartshorne in [3] and [4]. Particularly, we show that in the case $c_1=0$, the main properties of the spectrum do not depend on the generic splitting type. Our proofs are adaptations of the proofs given by R. Hartshorne for the rank 2 case in the two papers mentioned above.

As an application, we find further restrictions to be imposed to the Chern classes of a stable rank 3 vector bundle on \mathbb{P}^3 . We will show, in a forthcoming paper, that these restrictions are sufficient to assure the existence of this kind of bundles.

1. Technical Results on \mathbb{P}^2

Throughout this paper we work over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic 0. Let E be a stable rank 3 vector bundle on \mathbb{P}^2 and let S be the graded k -algebra $\bigoplus_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(\ell)) = k[x_0, x_1, x_2]$. Then $M = \bigoplus_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} H^1(E(\ell))$ has a natural structure of graded S -module.

Let N be a graded S -submodule of M . By the Theorem of Grauert-Mülich-Spindler, E has the generic splitting type $(0,0,0)$ or $(-1,0,1)$ if $c_1=0$, $(-1,0,0)$ if $c_1=-1$ and $(-1,-1,0)$ if $c_1=-2$.

Using the results of [6, Sect.1] one gets some information about N . The aim of this section is to show that, when E is stable, one can push one step further the results concerning N .

Definition. Let E be a rank 3 vector bundle on \mathbb{P}^2 with $c_1=0$, -1 or -2 . A jumping line for E of order $r > 0$ is a line $L \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ such that $H^0(E_L^*(-r)) \neq 0$ and $H^0(E_L^*(-r-1)) = 0$.

Lemma 1.1. (Reduction step). Let E be a rank 3 vector bundle on \mathbb{P}^2 with $c_1=0$, -1 or -2 and L a jumping line for E of order $r > 0$. We have $E_L = \mathcal{O}_L(-r) \oplus F_L$, where F_L is a locally free \mathcal{O}_L -module of rank 2.

(a) If $c_1=0$ or -1 then there are exact sequences:

$$0 \rightarrow E' \rightarrow E \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_L(-r) \rightarrow 0 \quad (1)$$

$$0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow E'(1) \rightarrow F_L(1) \rightarrow 0 \quad (2)$$

where E' is a rank 3 vector bundle on \mathbb{P}^2 with Chern classes $c'_1=c_1-1$ and $c'_2=c_2-r-c_1$.

(b) If $c_1=-2$ then there are exact sequences:

$$0 \rightarrow E'(-1) \rightarrow E \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_L(-r) \rightarrow 0 \quad (3)$$

$$0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow E' \rightarrow F_L(1) \rightarrow 0 \quad (4)$$

where E' is a rank 3 vector bundle on \mathbb{P}^2 with Chern classes $c'_1=0$ and $c'_2=c_2-r-1$.

Proof. (a) We get an epimorphism $E \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_L(-r)$ by composing the epimorphism $E_L \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_L(-r)$ with the restriction epimorphism $E \rightarrow E_L$. Put $E'=\text{Ker}(E \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_L(-r))$. The exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_L(-r-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_L(-r) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_L(-r) \rightarrow 0 \quad (5)$$

shows us that $\mathcal{O}_L(-r)$ has homological dimension ≤ 1 at every point.

It follows that E' is a rank 3 vector bundle.

We have obtained the exact sequence (1). Using the exact sequence (5) we find that the Chern polynomial of $\mathcal{O}_L(-r)$ is :

$$c_t(\mathcal{O}_L(-r)) = 1 + t + (r+1)t^2$$

Now, the Chern classes of E' can be computed using the exact sequence (1).

Applying the Snake lemma to the exact commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & & & \\
 & & E(-1) & & & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & E' & \longrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_L(-r) \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & F_L & \longrightarrow & E_L & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_L(-r) \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & & & & & \downarrow \\
 & & & & & & 0
 \end{array}$$

we get an exact sequence :

$$0 \longrightarrow E(-1) \longrightarrow E' \longrightarrow F_L \longrightarrow 0$$

which tensorized by $\mathcal{O}(1)$ gives us the exact sequence (2).

(b) Similarly :

Corollary 1.2. Under the hypothesis of Lemma 1.1 we have:

- (a) If $c_1=0$ and E is semistable then the generic splitting type of E' is $(-1, 0, 0)$.
- (a bis) If $c_1=0$ and E is stable then E' is stable.
- (b) If $c_1=-1$ and E is stable then the generic splitting type of E' is $(-1, -1, 0)$.
- (c) If $c_1=-2$ and E is stable then E' is semistable.

Proof. (a) The exact sequence (1) and the dual of (2) show us that $H^0(E'(-1))=0$ and $H^0(E'^*(-2))=0$.

Case 1. E' is stable. In this case the assertion follows by the Theorem of Grauert-Mülich-Spindler.

Case 2. $H^0(E'^*(-1)) \neq 0$. Then E' can be realized as an extension:

$$0 \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow E' \longrightarrow I_{\sigma}(-1) \longrightarrow 0$$

where Z is a closed subscheme of \mathbb{P}^2 of codimension ≥ 2 and F is a semistable rank 2 vector bundle on \mathbb{P}^2 with $c_1(F)=0$. The generic splitting type of F is $(0,0)$. It follows that if L is a generic line for F which does not intersect Z then $E_L^* = \mathcal{O}_L \oplus \mathcal{O}_L \oplus \mathcal{O}_L(-1)$.

Case 3. $H^0(E^*) \neq 0$ and $H^0(E^{**}(-1)) = 0$. Then E^{**} can be realized as an extension:

$$0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow E^{**} \rightarrow I_Z \rightarrow 0$$

where Z is a closed subscheme of \mathbb{P}^2 of codimension ≥ 2 and F is a stable rank 2 vector bundle on \mathbb{P}^2 with $c_1(F)=1$. The generic splitting type of F is $(0,1)$. It follows that if L is a generic line for F which does not intersect Z then $E_L^{**} = \mathcal{O}_L(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_L \oplus \mathcal{O}_L$.

(a bis), (b) and (c). Similarly.

Lemma 1.3. Let E be a semistable rank 3 vector bundle on \mathbb{P}^2 .

(a) If $c_1=0$ then: $h^1(E(-1))=h^1(E(-2))=c_2$.

(a bis) If $c_1=0$ and E is stable then: $h^1(E)=h(E(-3))=c_2-3$.

(b) If $c_1=-1$ then: $h^1(E)=c_2-2$, $h^1(E(-1))=c_2$, $h^1(E(-2))=c_2-1$.

(c) If $c_1=-2$ then: $h^1(E)=c_2-2$, $h^1(E(-1))=c_2-1$, $h^1(E(-2))=c_2-3$.

Proof. One uses the Theorem of Riemann-Roch.

Lemma 1.4. Let Z be a closed subscheme of \mathbb{P}^2 of codimension 2, N a graded S -submodule of $\bigoplus_{\ell \in Z} H^1(I_Z(\ell))$ and $n_\ell = \dim N_\ell$. Put $S_1 = H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1))$. Then:

(i) $n_{-2} \leq n_{-1}$

(ii) If $0 < n_{-2} = n_{-1}$ then there is a nonzero element $\psi \in N_{-2}$ such that $\dim(S_1 \cdot \psi) = 1$.

Proof. We have exact sequences:

$$H^0(\mathcal{O}(\ell)) \xrightarrow{\quad S \quad} H^0(\mathcal{O}_Z(\ell)) \xrightarrow{\quad S \quad} H^1(I_Z(\ell)) \xrightarrow{\quad S \quad} H^1(\mathcal{O}(\ell)) = 0.$$

Put $N_\ell^* = S^{-1}(N_\ell)$ and $n_\ell^* = \dim N_\ell^*$. $H^0(\mathcal{O}(\ell)) = 0$ for $\ell \leq -1$ hence $N_\ell^* \cong N_\ell$ for $\ell \leq -1$.

Let $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2$ be a basis of S_1 such that λ_0 does not vanish at any point of Z . The morphisms $\mathcal{O}_Z(-2) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Z(-1)$ and $\mathcal{O}_Z(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Z$ defined by the multiplication by λ_0 are isomorphisms. Particularly, it follows that the multiplication by $\lambda_0 : H^0(\mathcal{O}_Z(-2)) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_Z(-1))$ is bijective, hence $n_{-2} \leq n_{-1}$.

Let U_0 be the open set of \mathbb{P}^2 where λ_0 does not vanish. We consider Z as a closed subscheme of $U_0 = \text{Spec } k[t_1, t_2]$, where $t_1 = \lambda_1/\lambda_0$ and $t_2 = \lambda_2/\lambda_0$. We identify $\mathcal{O}_Z(-2)|_{U_0}$ and $\mathcal{O}_Z(-1)|_{U_0}$ to \mathcal{O}_Z using the isomorphisms considered above. Then N_{-2}' and N_{-1}' correspond to vector subspaces of $H^0(\mathcal{O}_Z)$. $H^0(\mathcal{O}_Z)$ is a quotient ring of $\mathcal{O}(U_0) = k[t_1, t_2]$.

If $n_{-2}' = n_{-1}'$ then $N_{-2}' = N_{-1}'$, hence N_{-2}' is a vector subspace of $H^0(\mathcal{O}_Z)$ invariant with respect to the multiplication by t_1 and t_2 . It follows that N_{-2}' is an ideal of $H^0(\mathcal{O}_Z)$. Let z_1, \dots, z_n be the points of Z . Then $H^0(\mathcal{O}_Z) = \mathcal{O}_{Z, z_1} \times \dots \times \mathcal{O}_{Z, z_n}$, hence $N_{-2}' = I_1 \times \dots \times I_n$, where I_j is an ideal of \mathcal{O}_{Z, z_j} . Suppose that $I_1 \neq 0$ and that $z_1 = (0, 0)$.

Then we can find $0 \neq \psi_1 \in I_1$ such that: $t_1 \cdot \psi_1 = 0$ and $t_2 \cdot \psi_1 = 0$.

Put $\psi' = (\psi_1, 0, \dots, 0) \in N_{-2}'$. Then $\dim(S_1 \cdot \psi') = 1$.

Lemma 1.5. Let E be a vector bundle on \mathbb{P}^2 with $H^0(E) = 0$. If $0 \neq \varphi \in H^1(E(-2))$ then $\dim(S_1 \cdot \varphi) \geq 2$.

Proof. See, for example, [5, Lemma 1.4, proof of the property (a2)].

Proposition 1.6. Let E be a stable rank 3 vector bundle on \mathbb{P}^2 , $M = \bigoplus_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} H^1(E(\ell))$, N a graded S -submodule of M and $n_\ell = \dim N_\ell$.

(b) If $c_1 = -1$ then: $n_{-2} \neq 0$ implies $n_{-2} < n_{-1}$.

(c) If $c_1 = -2$ then: $n_{-1} < c_2 - 1$ implies $n_{-1} \leq n_0$.

Proof. We shall use induction on $d = c_2$, the case $d = 1$ being vacuous.

Now, suppose that (b) and (c) are true for all stable rank 3 vector bundles on \mathbb{P}^2 with $c_2 < d$.

Step 1. If $c_2 = d$ then (c) is true.

Put $F = E^*(-1)$. F is stable, $c_1(F) = -1$ and $c_2(F) = c_2 - 1 \leq d$. N' is a graded quotient S -module of $M' = \bigoplus_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} H^1(E(\ell)) \cong \bigoplus_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} H^1(F(-\ell-2))$.

Put $Q = \text{Ker} (\bigoplus_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} H^1(F(-\ell-2)) \rightarrow N')$ and $q_\ell = \dim Q_\ell$. We have:

$$n_0 = h^1(F(-2)) - q_{-2} = c_2(F) - 1 - q_{-2} = c_2 - 2 - q_{-2}$$

$$n_{-1} = h^1(F(-1)) - q_{-1} = c_2(F) - q_{-1} = c_2 - 1 - q_{-1}$$

If $n_{-1} < c_2 - 1$ then $q_{-1} \neq 0$. By the induction hypothesis, $q_{-2} < q_{-1}$ hence $n_{-1} \leq n_0$.

Step 2. If $c_2 = d$ then (b) is true.

Case 1. $H^0(E^*) = 0$. Then, according to the Bilinear map lemma [3, Lemma 5.1], we may suppose that there is a $\lambda \in H^0(\mathcal{O}(1))$, $\lambda \neq 0$, such that the multiplication by $\lambda : N_{-2} \rightarrow N_{-1}$ is not injective. Let L be the line of equation $\lambda = 0$. Using the exact sequence:

$$H^0(E_L(-1)) \xrightarrow{\lambda} H^1(E(-2)) \xrightarrow{\lambda} H^1(E(-1))$$

one finds that $H^0(E_L(-1)) \neq 0$, hence L is a jumping line for E of order $r > 0$. Now, we apply the reduction step to E :

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow E' &\xrightarrow{\alpha} E \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{O}_L(-r) \rightarrow 0 \\ 0 \rightarrow E &\xrightarrow{\delta} E'(1) \rightarrow F_L(1) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

The Chern classes of E' are $c'_1 = -2$, $c'_2 = c_2 - r + 1 \leq d$ and E' is stable because $H^0(E^*) = 0$. We have exact sequences:

$$H^0(E'(\ell+1)) \rightarrow H^0(F_L(\ell+1)) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^1(E(\ell)) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^1(E'(\ell+1))$$

Put $N'_\ell = \delta^{-1}(N_\ell)$ and $N''_{\ell+1} = \delta(N_\ell)$. $H^0(E'(\ell+1)) = 0$ for $\ell \leq -1$ hence the sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow N'_\ell \xrightarrow{\delta} N_\ell \xrightarrow{\delta} N''_{\ell+1} \rightarrow 0$$

is exact for $\ell \leq -1$. Particularly:

$$n_{-1} = n'_{-1} + n''_0$$

$$n_{-2} = n'_{-2} + n''_{-1}$$

According to the proof of Lemma 1.1 the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & N_{-2} & & \\
 & \swarrow \psi & \downarrow \lambda & & \\
 0 = H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-r-1)) & \rightarrow & H^1(E^*(-1)) & \xleftarrow{\alpha} & H^1(E(-1))
 \end{array} \tag{6}$$

is commutative. The multiplication by $\lambda: N_{-2} \rightarrow N_{-1}$ is not injective, hence $\psi: N_{-2} \rightarrow N_{-1}$ is not injective, hence $N_{-2} \neq 0$. It follows that $n_{-2}^i < n_{-1}^i$.

If $N_{-1}^i \neq H^1(E^*(-1))$ then by the induction hypothesis or by Step 1, $n_{-1}^i \leq n_0^i$ hence $n_{-2}^i < n_{-1}^i$.

Now, suppose that $N_{-1}^i = H^1(E^*(-1))$. We consider the exact sequences:

$$H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(l-r)) \rightarrow H^1(E^*(l)) \xrightarrow{\alpha} H^1(E(l)) \xrightarrow{\beta} H^1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(l-r))$$

Put $N_l^{ii} = \alpha^{-1}(N_l)$ and $N_l^{iv} = \beta(N_l)$. $H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(l-r)) = 0$ for $l \leq 0$ hence the sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow N_l^{ii} \xrightarrow{\alpha} N_l \xrightarrow{\beta} N_l^{iv} \rightarrow 0$$

is exact for $l \leq 0$. Particularly:

$$n_{-1}^i = n_{-1}^{ii} + n_{-1}^{iv}$$

$$n_{-2}^i = n_{-2}^{ii} + n_{-2}^{iv}$$

Using the commutative diagram (6) and the fact that $\psi(N_{-2}) = N_{-1}^i = H^1(E^*(-1))$ one finds that $N_{-1}^{ii} = \alpha^{-1}(N_{-1}^i) = H^1(E^*(-1))$. It follows that

$$n_{-2}^{ii} \leq H^1(E^*(-2)) = H^1(E^*(-1))-2 = n_{-1}^{ii}-2$$

We also have $n_{-2}^{iv} \leq n_{-1}^{iv} + 1$, hence $n_{-2}^i < n_{-1}^i$.

Case 2. $H^0(E^*) \neq 0$. In this case E can be realized as an extension:

$$0 \rightarrow F \xrightarrow{\alpha} E \xrightarrow{\beta} I_Z \rightarrow 0$$

where Z is a closed subscheme of \mathbb{P}^2 of codimension ≥ 2 and F is a stable rank 2 vector bundle on \mathbb{P}^2 with $c_1(F) = -1$.

Case 2.1. Z is empty. Then we have exact sequences:

$$H^0(\mathcal{O}(\ell)) \rightarrow H^1(F(\ell)) \xrightarrow{\alpha} H^1(E(\ell)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{O}(\ell)) = 0$$

Put $N_\ell' = \alpha^{-1}(N_\ell)$. $N_\ell' \subseteq N_\ell$ for $\ell \leq -1$. Using the results from [3, Sect.5] it follows that $n_{-2}' < n_{-1}'$, hence $n_{-2} < n_{-1}$.

Case 2.2. Z is not empty. Then we have exact sequences:

$$H^0(I_Z(\ell)) \rightarrow H^1(F(\ell)) \xrightarrow{\alpha} H^1(E(\ell)) \xrightarrow{\beta} H^1(I_Z(\ell)).$$

Put $N_\ell' = \alpha^{-1}(N_\ell)$ and $N_\ell'' = \beta(N_\ell)$. $H^0(I_Z(\ell)) = 0$ for $\ell \leq 0$ hence the sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow N_\ell' \rightarrow N_\ell \rightarrow N_\ell'' \rightarrow 0$$

is exact for $\ell \leq 0$. Particularly:

$$n_{-1} = n_{-1}' + n_{-1}''$$

$$n_{-2} = n_{-2}' + n_{-2}''$$

We have $n_{-2}'' \leq n_{-1}''$ (Lemma 1.4 (i)), and by [3, Sect.5], $n_{-2}' < n_{-1}'$ if $n_{-2}' \neq 0$. We have problems only if $n_{-2}' = 0$ and $0 > n_{-2}'' = n_{-1}''$. If this is the case, then by Lemma 1.4 (ii), there is a nonzero element $\psi \in N_{-2}''$ such that $\dim(S_1 \cdot \psi) = 1$. Choose $\varphi \in N_{-2}$ such that $\beta(\varphi) = \psi$. According to Lemma 1.5 we have $\dim(S_1 \cdot \varphi) \geq 2$. It follows that $N_{-1}' \neq 0$, hence $n_{-2}' < n_{-1}'$, hence $n_{-2} < n_{-1}$.

Corollary 1.7. Under the hypothesis of Proposition 1.6 we have:

(b) If $c_1 = -1$ then: $n_{-3} = n_{-2} - 1$ implies that there is a $\lambda \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_2(1))$, $\lambda \neq 0$, such that $\lambda \cdot N_\ell = 0$ for all $\ell \leq -3$.

(c) If $c_1 = -2$ then:

(ii) $n_{-2} \neq 0$ implies $n_{-2} < n_{-1}$

(iii) $n_{-3} = n_{-2} - 1$ implies that there is a $\lambda \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_2(1))$, $\lambda \neq 0$,

such that $\lambda \cdot N_\ell = 0$ for all $\ell \leq -3$.

Proof. One uses the same kind of argument as in the proof of [6, Theorem 1.1 (iii) and (iv)] observing, for (c)(ii), that if $\lambda \in H^0(\mathcal{O}(1))$ then the multiplication by $\lambda : N_{-2} \rightarrow H^1(E(-1))$ can't be surjective because $n_{-2} \leq h^1(E(-2)) < h^1(E(-1))$.

Proposition 1.8. Let E be a stable rank 3 vector bundle on \mathbb{P}^2 with $c_1=0$, $M=\bigoplus_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} H^1(E(\ell))$, N a graded S -submodule of M and $n_\ell = \dim N_\ell$.

Then: $0 < n_{-2} < c_2$ implies $n_{-2} < n_{-1}$.

Proof. According to the Bilinear map lemma we may suppose that there is a $\lambda \in H^0(\mathcal{O}(1))$, $\lambda \neq 0$, such that the multiplication by $\lambda : N_{-2} \rightarrow N_{-1}$ is not injective. Let L be the line of equation $\lambda = 0$. Using the exact sequence :

$$H^0(E_L(-1)) \rightarrow H^1(E(-2)) \xrightarrow{\lambda} H^1(E(-1))$$

we find that $H^0(E_L(-1)) \neq 0$, hence there is an $r > 0$ such that $H^0(E_L(-r)) \neq 0$ and $H^0(E_L(-r-1)) = 0$. It follows that $E_L \cong F_L \oplus \mathcal{O}_L(r)$ where F_L is a locally free \mathcal{O}_L -module of rank 2.

Now, we apply the reduction step to E using an epimorphism $E_L \rightarrow F_L$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & E' & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & E & \xrightarrow{\beta} & F_L \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & E & \xrightarrow{\delta} & E'(1) & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \mathcal{O}_L(r+1) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

E' is a stable rank 3 vector bundle on \mathbb{P}^2 with $c_1(E') = -2$. We have exact sequences:

$$H^0(E'(l+1)) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_L(l+r+1)) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^1(E(l)) \xrightarrow{\gamma} H^1(E'(l+1))$$

Put $N_l^i = \delta^{-1}(N_l)$ and $N_{l+1}^i = \gamma(N_l)$. $H^0(E'(l+1)) = 0$ for $l \leq -1$ hence the sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow N_l^i \xrightarrow{\delta} N_l \xrightarrow{\gamma} N_{l+1}^i \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact for $l \leq -1$. Particularly:

$$n_{-1} = n_{-1}^i + n_{-1}^o$$

$$n_{-2} = n_{-2}^i + n_{-1}^o$$

We also consider the exact sequences :

$$H^0(E(l)) \rightarrow H^0(F_L(l)) \rightarrow H^1(E'(l)) \xrightarrow{\alpha} H^1(E(l)) \xrightarrow{\beta} H^1(F_L(l)).$$

Put $N_l^{iv} = \alpha^{-1}(N_l)$ and $N_{l+1}^{iv} = \beta(N_l)$. $H^0(E(l)) = 0$ for $l < 0$, hence the se-

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(F_L(\ell)) \rightarrow N_{\ell}^{n_1} \xrightarrow{\alpha} N_{\ell}^{n_2} \xrightarrow{\beta} N_{\ell}^{n_3} \rightarrow 0$$

is exact for $\ell \leq 0$.

We have an exact commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & N_{-2} & & \\ & \swarrow \gamma & & \downarrow \lambda & \\ 0 \rightarrow H^0(F_L(-1)) & \rightarrow H^1(E'(-1)) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & H^1(E(-1)) & \\ \end{array} \quad (7)$$

Case 1. $F_L = \mathcal{O}_L(a) \oplus \mathcal{O}_L(b)$ with $a < 0$ and $b \leq 0$. In this case $H^0(F_L(-1)) = 0$. The multiplication by $\lambda : N_{-2} \rightarrow N_{-1}$ is not injective, hence using the diagram (7), one finds that $\gamma : N_{-2} \rightarrow N_{-1}$ is not injective. It follows that $N_{-2} \neq 0$, hence $n_{-2} < n_{-1}$.

If $N_{-1} \neq H^1(E'(-1))$ then by Proposition 1.6 (c), it follows that $n_{-1} \leq n_0$, hence $n_{-2} < n_{-1}$.

Now, suppose that $N_{-1} = H^1(E'(-1))$. Using the commutative diagram (7) it follows that $N_{-1} = \alpha^{-1}(N_{-1}) = H^1(E'(-1))$. $H^0(F_L(\ell)) = 0$ for $\ell \leq -1$ hence the sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow N_{\ell}^{n_1} \xrightarrow{\alpha} N_{\ell}^{n_2} \xrightarrow{\beta} N_{\ell}^{n_3} \rightarrow 0$$

is exact for $\ell \leq -1$. Particularly:

$$n_{-1} = n_{-1}^{n_1} + n_{-1}^{n_2}$$

$$n_{-2} = n_{-2}^{n_1} + n_{-2}^{n_2}$$

We have: $n_{-2}^{n_1} \leq H^1(E'(-2)) = H^1(E'(-1)) - 2 = n_{-1}^{n_1} - 2$.

If $N_{-1}^{n_1} \neq H^1(F_L(-1))$ then $n_{-2}^{n_1} \leq n_{-1}^{n_1} + 1$, hence $n_{-2} < n_{-1}$.

If $N_{-1}^{n_1} = H^1(F_L(-1))$ then $N_{-1} = H^1(E(-1))$, hence $n_{-2} < n_{-1} = H^1(E(-1)) = n_{-1}$.

Case 2. $F_L = \mathcal{O}_L(a) \oplus \mathcal{O}_L(b)$ with $a < 0$ and $b > 0$.

Firstly, suppose $n_{-2}^{n_1} \neq 0$. It follows that $n_{-2} < n_{-1}$. If $N_{-1}^{n_1} \neq H^1(E'(-1))$, then by Proposition 1.6 (c), $n_{-1}^{n_1} \leq n_0^n$ hence $n_{-2} < n_{-1}$.

If $N_{-1}^{\text{iv}} = H^1(E^*(-1))$ then $N_{-1}^{\text{iv}} = H^1(E^*(-1))$. We have:

$$n_{-1} = (n_{-1}^{\text{iv}} - h^0(F_L(-1))) + n_{-1}^{\text{iv}}$$

$$n_{-2} = (n_{-2}^{\text{iv}} - h^0(F_L(-2))) + n_{-2}^{\text{iv}}$$

Now, $n_{-2}^{\text{iv}} \leq h^1(E^*(-2)) = h^1(E^*(-1)) - 2 = n_{-1}^{\text{iv}} - 2$, hence $n_{-2}^{\text{iv}} - h^0(F_L(-2)) \leq n_{-1}^{\text{iv}} - h^0(F_L(-1)) - 1$.

If $N_{-1}^{\text{iv}} \neq H^1(F_L(-1))$ then $n_{-2}^{\text{iv}} \leq n_{-1}^{\text{iv}}$, because $H^1(F_L(-1)) \cong H^1(\mathcal{O}_L(a-1))$ and $H^1(F_L(-2)) \cong H^1(\mathcal{O}_L(a-2))$. It follows that $n_{-2} < n_{-1}$.

If $N_{-1}^{\text{iv}} = H^1(F_L(-1))$ then $N_{-1} = H^1(E(-1))$ hence $n_{-2} < c_2 = h^1(E(-1)) = n_{-1}$.

Now, suppose $n_{-2} = 0$. It follows that the morphism $\delta: N_{-2} \rightarrow N_{-1}$ is injective. Let K be the kernel of the morphism $N_{-2} \rightarrow N_{-1}$ defined by the multiplication by λ and C the cokernel of this morphism. Using the commutative diagram (7) one finds that $\dim K \leq h^0(F_L(-1))$. Put $R_{-\ell-3} = H^1(E(\ell))/N_\ell$ and $R = \bigoplus_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} R_{-\ell-3}$. R is a graded S -submodule of $\bigoplus_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} H^1(E^*(\ell))$. Consider the exact commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & & & 0 & & & \\ \downarrow & & & \downarrow & & & \\ K & & & H^0(E_L(-1)) & & & \\ \downarrow & & & \downarrow & & & \\ 0 \longrightarrow N_{-2} \longrightarrow H^1(E(-2)) \longrightarrow R_{-1} \longrightarrow 0 & & & & & & \\ \downarrow \lambda & & \downarrow \lambda & & \downarrow \lambda & & \\ 0 \longrightarrow N_{-1} \longrightarrow H^1(E(-1)) \longrightarrow R_{-2} \longrightarrow 0 & & & & & & \\ \downarrow & & & \downarrow & & & \\ C & & & H^1(E_L(-1)) & & & \\ \downarrow & & & \downarrow & & & \\ 0 & & & 0 & & & \end{array}$$

If the multiplication by $\lambda: R_{-1} \rightarrow R_{-2}$ is surjective, then by the Snake lemma, $\dim C \geq h^1(E_L(-1))$. But $\dim C = n_{-1} - n_{-2} + \dim K$ and $h^1(E_L(-1)) = h^1(E(-1)) - h^1(E(-2)) + h^0(E_L(-1)) = h^0(E_L(-1))$. We have $\dim K \leq h^0(F_L(-1)) < h^0(E_L(-1))$ hence $n_{-1} - n_{-2} > 0$.

If the multiplication by $\lambda : R_{-1} \rightarrow R_{-2}$ is not surjective, then the multiplication by $\lambda : R_{-2} \rightarrow R_{-1}$ is not injective, hence the S-submodule R' of $\bigoplus_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} H^1(E^*(\ell))$ satisfies the hypothesis of the Case 1. It follows that $r_{-2} < r_{-1}$, hence $n_{-2} < n_{-1}$.

Corollary 1.9. Under the hypothesis of Proposition 1.8 we have:

$n_{-3} = n_{-2}-1$ implies that there is a $\lambda \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_P(1))$, $\lambda \neq 0$, such that $\lambda \cdot N_\ell = 0$ for all $\ell \leq -3$.

Proof. One uses the same kind of argument as in the proof of [6, Theorem 1.1 (iv)] observing that if $\lambda \in H^0(\mathcal{O}(1))$ then the multiplication by $\lambda : N_{-3} \rightarrow H^1(E(-2))$ can't be surjective because $n_{-3} \leq h^1(E(-3)) < h^1(E(-2))$.

Proposition 1.10. Let E be a semistable rank 3 vector bundle on P^2 with $c_1=0$, $M = \bigoplus_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} H^1(E(\ell))$, N a graded S-submodule of M and $n_\ell = \dim N_\ell$. Then:

$$(i) \quad n_{-2} \leq n_{-1}$$

$$(ii), \quad n_{-3} \neq 0 \text{ implies } n_{-3} < n_{-2}$$

(iii) $n_{-4} = n_{-3}-1$ implies that there is a $\lambda \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_P(1))$, $\lambda \neq 0$, such that $\lambda \cdot N_\ell = 0$ for all $\ell \leq -4$.

Proof (i). If E is stable then the assertion follows from the Proposition 1.8. If not, then E (or E^*) can be realized as an extension:

$$0 \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow I_Z \longrightarrow 0$$

where Z is a closed subscheme of P^2 of codimension ≥ 2 and F is a semistable rank 2 vector bundle on P^2 with $c_1(F)=0$. It follows that the generic splitting type of E is $(0,0,0)$. Now, our assertion follows from [6, Theorem 1.1 (ii)].

(ii) and (iii). One uses the same argument as in the proof of [6, Theorem 1.1 (iii) and (iv)].

Proposition 1.11. Let E be a semistable rank 3 vector bundle on P^2 ,

$M = \bigoplus_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} H^l(E(l))$, R a graded quotient S -module of M and $r_l = \dim R_l$.

(a) If $c_1 = 0$ then:

$$(i) r_{-2} \geq r_{-1}$$

$$(ii) r_0 \neq 0 \text{ implies } r_{-1} > r_0$$

(iii) $r_0 = r_1 + 1$ implies that there is a $\lambda \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_P(1))$, $\lambda \neq 0$, such that $\lambda \cdot R_l = 0$ for all $l \geq 0$

(a bis) If $c_1 = 0$ and E is stable then:

$$(ii) 0 < r_{-1} < c_2 \text{ implies } r_{-2} > r_{-1}$$

(iii) $r_{-1} = r_0 + 1$ implies that there is a $\lambda \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_P(1))$, $\lambda \neq 0$, such that $\lambda \cdot R_l = 0$ for all $l \geq -1$.

(b) If $c_1 = -1$ then:

$$(i) r_{-1} < c_2 \text{ implies } r_{-2} \geq r_{-1}$$

$$(ii) r_0 \neq 0 \text{ implies } r_{-1} > r_0$$

(iii) $r_0 = r_1 + 1$ implies that there is a $\lambda \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_P(1))$, $\lambda \neq 0$, such that $\lambda \cdot R_l = 0$ for all $l \geq 0$.

(c) If $c_1 = -2$ then:

$$(ii) r_0 \neq 0 \text{ implies } r_{-1} > r_0$$

(iii) $r_0 = r_1 + 1$ implies that there is a $\lambda \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_P(1))$, $\lambda \neq 0$, such that $\lambda \cdot R_l = 0$ for all $l \geq 0$.

Proof. All these assertions follow from the previous results by duality.

2. Results Concerning the Spectrum

Let \mathcal{E} be a semistable rank 3 reflexive sheaf on P^3 with $c_1 = 0, -1$ or -2 . By Schneider's restriction theorems [2, Theorem 1.6 and Theorem 3.4] the restriction $\mathcal{E}|_H$ to a general plane $H \subset P^3$ is semistable unless $c_1 = -1$ and $\mathcal{E} = \Omega_{P^3}(1)$ or $c_1 = -2$ and $\mathcal{E} = T_{P^3}(-2)$.

Let's suppose, for the moment, that the restriction $\mathcal{E}|_H$ to a general plane is semistable. Let $H \subset P^3$ be a plane which does not

contain any singular point of \mathcal{E} and such that \mathcal{E}_H is semistable on $H \cong \mathbb{P}^2$. Let $h=0$ an equation of H . We have exact sequences:

$$H^1(\mathcal{E}(l-1)) \xrightarrow{h} H^1(\mathcal{E}(l)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{E}_H(l)) \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{E}(l-1)) \xrightarrow{h} H^2(\mathcal{E}(l)).$$

Put:

$$N_l = \text{Im}(H^1(\mathcal{E}(l)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{E}_H(l))), \quad n_l = \dim N_l$$

$$R_l = \text{Ker}(H^2(\mathcal{E}(l-1)) \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{E}(l))), \quad r_l = \dim R_l$$

The spectrum of \mathcal{E} is an increasing sequence of integers

$k_{\mathcal{E}} = (k_1, \dots, k_m)$ determined by the relations:

$$n_l - n_{l-1} = \text{card}\{i \mid k_i = -l-1\} \quad \text{for } l \geq -1 \quad (1)$$

$$r_{l+1} - r_{l+2} = \text{card}\{i \mid k_i = -l-3\} \quad \text{for } l \geq -3 \text{ if } c_1 = 0 \quad (2)$$

and for $l \geq -2$ if $c_1 = -1$ or -2 .

In the case $c_1 = 0$ we have to verify that the definition is correct, that is, we have to show that $n_{-1} - n_{-2} = r_{-2} - r_{-1}$. But this follows from the relations:

$$n_{-1} + r_{-1} = h^1(\mathcal{E}_H(-1)) = h^1(\mathcal{E}_H(-2)) = n_{-2} + r_{-2}$$

(1) and (2) implies that:

$$h^1(\mathcal{E}(l)) = h^0\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^m \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(k_i + l + 1)\right) \quad \text{for } l \leq -1 \quad (3)$$

$$h^2(\mathcal{E}(l)) = h^1\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^m \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(k_i + l + 1)\right) \quad \text{for } l \geq -3 \text{ if } c_1 = 0 \quad (4)$$

and for $l \geq -2$ if $c_1 = -1$ or -2 .

If $\mathcal{E} = \Omega(1)$ then Bott's formulae imply that (3) and (4) are satisfied if we put $k_{\mathcal{E}} = (0)$. Similarly, if $\mathcal{E} = T(-2)$ we may put $k_{\mathcal{E}} = (-1)$.

We have the following relations:

$$n = c_2 \text{ if } c_1 = 0 \text{ or } -1 \text{ and } n = c_2 - 1 \text{ if } c_1 = -2 \quad (5)$$

$$-2 \sum k_i = c_3, \quad \text{if } c_1 = 0 \quad (6a)$$

$$-2 \sum k_i = c_3 + c_2, \quad \text{if } c_1 = -1 \quad (6b)$$

$$-2 \sum k_i = c_3 + 2c_2 - 2, \quad \text{if } c_1 = -2. \quad (6c)$$

Only the case $c_1=0$ needs an argument (for $c_1 = -1$ or -2 see [6, Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 3.5]). So in the case $c_1=0$ (1) and (2) imply that

$$n = n_{-1} + r_{-1} = h^1(\mathcal{E}_H(-1)) = c_2$$

(3) and (4) imply that:

$$\begin{aligned} -\chi(\mathcal{E}(-1)) &= h^1(\mathcal{E}(-1)) - h^2(\mathcal{E}(-1)) = \\ &= \sum_{i|k_i \geq 0} (k_i + 1) - \sum_{i|k_i \leq -2} (-k_i - 1) = \sum_{i=1}^m (k_i + 1) = \sum k_i + m \end{aligned}$$

and, by the Theorem of Riemann-Roch, $\chi(\mathcal{E}(-1)) = \frac{1}{2} c_3 - c_2$.

Proposition 2.1. Let \mathcal{E} be a semistable rank 3 reflexive sheaf on \mathbb{P}^3 and $k_{\mathcal{E}} = (k_1, \dots, k_m)$ its spectrum.

(a) Suppose $c_1=0$. If there is an i such that $k_i \leq -1$ (resp. $k_i \geq 1$) then $k_i, k_i+1, \dots, -1$ (resp. $1, 2, \dots, k_i$) occur in the spectrum.

(b) Suppose $c_1 = -1$ or -2 . If there is an i such that $k_i \leq -1$ (resp. $k_i \geq 0$) then $k_i, k_i+1, \dots, -1$ (resp. $0, 1, \dots, k_i$) occur in the spectrum.

Proof. One uses the same argument as in the proof of [6, Proposition 3.3] using convenient results from Section 1.

Proposition 2.2. Let \mathcal{E} be a rank 3 reflexive sheaf on \mathbb{P}^3 such that the restriction \mathcal{E}_H to a general plane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ is stable and let $k_{\mathcal{E}} = (k_1, \dots, k_m)$ be its spectrum.

(a) Suppose $c_1=0$. If 0 does not occur in the spectrum then $k_{m-2} = k_{m-1} = k_m = -1$ or $k_1 = k_2 = k_3 = 1$.

(b) Suppose $c_1 = -1$. If 0 does not occur in the spectrum then -1 occurs at least twice.

(c) Suppose $c_1 = -2$. If -1 does not occur in the spectrum then 0 occurs at least twice.

Proof. Let $H \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ be a plane which does not contain any singular point of \mathcal{E} and such that \mathcal{E}_H is stable. Put $E = \mathcal{E}_H^*$.

(a) (1) implies that $n_{-1}=n_{-2}$. Then by Proposition 1.8, $n_{-2}=0$ or $n_{-2}=h^1(E(-2))$. If $n_{-2}=0$ then $n_\ell=0$ for all $\ell \leq -2$ and $r_{-1}=h^1(E(-1))$ hence $r_{-1}-r_0 \geq h^1(E(-1))-h^1(E)=3$. It follows that $k_{m-2}=k_{m-1}=k_m=-1$. Similarly, if $n_{-2}=h^1(E(-2))$ then $k_1=k_2=k_3=1$.

(b) (1) implies that $n_{-1}=n_{-2}$. Then by Proposition 1.6 (b), $n_{-1}=0$ hence $r_{-1}=h^1(E(-1))$. It follows that $r_{-1}-r_0 \geq h^1(E(-1))-h^1(E)=2$, that is, -1 occurs at least twice in the spectrum.

(c) (2) implies that $r_{-1}=r_0$. Then by Proposition 1.11 (c)(ii), $r_{-1}=0$ hence $n_{-1}=h^1(E(-1))$. It follows that $n_{-1}-n_{-2} \geq h^1(E(-1))-h^1(E(-2))=2$, that is, 0 occurs at least twice in the spectrum.

Definition. Let \mathcal{E} be a semistable rank 3 reflexive sheaf on \mathbb{P}^3 with $c_1=0$, -1 or -2 . An unstable plane for \mathcal{E} of order $r > 0$ is a plane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ such that:

$$H^0(\mathcal{E}_H^*(-r)) \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad H^0(\mathcal{E}_H^*(-r-1))=0 \quad (7)$$

Here \mathcal{E}_H^* means the dual of \mathcal{E}_H as an \mathcal{O}_H -module.

Remark 2.3. By Serre duality on $H \cong \mathbb{P}^2$, condition (7) above is equivalent to:

$$H^2(\mathcal{E}_H(r-3)) \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad H^2(\mathcal{E}_H(r-2))=0 \quad (8)$$

Let $h=0$ be an equation of a plane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^3$. Using the exact sequences

$$H^2(\mathcal{E}(r-2)) \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{E}_H(r-2)) \rightarrow H^3(\mathcal{E}(r-3))=0$$

$$H^2(\mathcal{E}_H(r-3))' \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{E}(r-3))' \xrightarrow{h} H^2(\mathcal{E}(r-4))'$$

one finds that condition (8) is satisfied if $H^2(\mathcal{E}(r-2))=0$ and if the multiplication by $h: H^2(\mathcal{E}(r-3))' \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{E}(r-4))'$ is not injective.

Particularly, suppose that $H^2(\mathcal{E}(r-2))=0$ and $H^2(\mathcal{E}(r-4)) \leq H^2(\mathcal{E}(r-3))+2$. Then by the Bilinear map lemma applied to :

$$H^2(\mathcal{E}(r-3))' \times H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1)) \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{E}(r-4))'$$

there is an $h \in H^0(\mathcal{O}(1))$, $h \neq 0$, such that the multiplication by $h: H^2(\mathcal{E}(r-3))^\vee \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{E}(r-4))^\vee$ is not injective, hence there is an unstable plane for \mathcal{E} of order r .

Example 2.3.1. Let N be a nullcorrelation bundle on \mathbb{P}^3 and $\mathcal{E} = S^2 N$.

Then $k_{\mathcal{E}} = (0,0,0,0)$.

Indeed, we have $c_1(\mathcal{E})=0$, $c_2(\mathcal{E})=4$, and $c_3(\mathcal{E})=0$. The possible spectra of \mathcal{E} are $(0,0,0,0)$, $(-1,0,0,1)$, $(-1,-1,1,1)$ and $(-2,-1,1,2)$. If the spectrum of \mathcal{E} is not $(0,0,0,0)$ then by Remark 2.3, there is an unstable plane for \mathcal{E} , which is not the case.

Example 2.3.2. Let \mathcal{E} be a stable rank 3 reflexive sheaf on \mathbb{P}^3 with $c_1=0$ which verifies the equivalent conditions (i), (ii), (iii) of [2, Proposition 5.1]. Then $k_{\mathcal{E}} = (-c_2+1, \dots, -1, 0)$.

Indeed, let $k_{\mathcal{E}} = (k_1, \dots, k_m)$. Using the exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{H_0}(-c_2+1) \rightarrow 0$$

one finds that $H^1(\mathcal{E}(-1))=1$, hence $k_m=0$. Now, the relation $-2 \sum k_i = c_3 = c_2^2 - c_2$ implies that $k_{\mathcal{E}} = (-c_2+1, \dots, -1, 0)$.

Example 2.3.3. Let \mathcal{E} be a stable rank 3 reflexive sheaf on \mathbb{P}^3 with $c_1=0$ and $c_2=2$. Then the spectrum of \mathcal{E} is connected. (See also [7]).

Indeed, we have to eliminate the spectrum $(-1,1)$. If $k_{\mathcal{E}} = (-1,1)$ then by Remark 2.3, there is an unstable plane for \mathcal{E} of order 1 hence \mathcal{E} satisfies the condition (iii) of [2, Proposition 5.1]. By Example 2.3.2 it follows that $k_{\mathcal{E}} = (-1,0)$, which is a contradiction.

Example 2.3.4. Let \mathcal{E} be a stable rank 3 reflexive sheaf on \mathbb{P}^3 with $c_1=0$ and $c_2=3$. Then the spectrum of \mathcal{E} is connected.

Indeed, if the restriction \mathcal{E}_H to a general plane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ is stable, then by Proposition 2.1 (a) and Proposition 2.2 (a), the spectrum of \mathcal{E} is connected.

Med 21340

Now, suppose that the restriction of \mathcal{E} to a general plane is

not stable.

Case 1. $h^0(\mathcal{E}_H) = 0$ for a general plane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^3$. In this case, for a general plane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^3$, \mathcal{E}_H can be realized as an extension:

$$0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_H \rightarrow I_{Z,H} \rightarrow 0$$

where Z is a closed subscheme of H of codimension ≥ 2 and F is a stable rank 2 vector bundle on $H \cong \mathbb{P}^2$ with $c_1(F) = 0$. We have $3 = c_2(\mathcal{E}_H) = c_2(F) + h^0(\mathcal{O}_Z)$ and $c_2(F) \geq 2$, hence Z is empty or Z is one simple point. If 0 occurs in the spectrum then the spectrum is connected. If 0 does not occur in the spectrum then by (1), $n_{-1} = n_{-2}$. It follows that $N_{-1} = 0$, or $N_{-1} = H^1(\mathcal{E}_H(-1))$, or $N_{-1} = \text{Ker}(H^1(\mathcal{E}_H(-1)) \rightarrow H^1(I_{Z,H}(-1)))$.

In the first two cases we must have $k_{\mathcal{E}} = (-1, -1, -1)$ and $k_{\mathcal{E}} = (1, 1, 1)$, respectively. In the third case we apply the reduction step to \mathcal{E} :

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}' \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow I_{Z,H} \rightarrow 0$$

\mathcal{E}' is a stable rank 3 reflexive sheaf on \mathbb{P}^3 with $c_1(\mathcal{E}') = -1$ and $c_2(\mathcal{E}') = 3$. Using the exact sequence:

$$H^0(I_{Z,H}(\ell)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{E}'(\ell)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{E}(\ell)) \rightarrow H^1(I_{Z,H}(\ell))$$

one finds that $H^1(\mathcal{E}(\ell)) = H^1(\mathcal{E}'(\ell))$ for all $\ell \leq -1$, hence the nonnegative part of $k_{\mathcal{E}}$ is identical to the nonnegative part of $k_{\mathcal{E}'}$. By Proposition 2.1 (b) the nonnegative part of $k_{\mathcal{E}'}$ is empty, hence we must have $k_{\mathcal{E}} = (-1, -1, -1)$.

Case 2. $h^0(\mathcal{E}_H) \neq 0$ for a general plane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^3$. Then let $k_{\mathcal{E}} = (k_1, k_2, k_3)$. If $k_1 \geq 0$ then the possible spectra of \mathcal{E} are $(0, 0, 0)$, $(0, 0, 1)$, $(0, 1, 1)$, $(1, 1, 1)$ and $(0, 1, 2)$ which are all connected. If $k_1 \leq -1$, then by Remark 2.3, there is an unstable plane for \mathcal{E} , hence \mathcal{E} satisfies the condition (i) of [2, Proposition 5.1]. By Example 2.3.2, $k_{\mathcal{E}} = (-2, -1, 0)$.

The following two results improve [8, Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 4.1] in the case of the stable rank 3 reflexive sheaves and are similar to [4, Proposition 3.1 and Proposition 5.1]. The proof is not substantially different from the proof of the mentioned results, except that now one has further information on \mathbb{P}^2 . However, we give all the details, for the convenience of the reader.

Lemma 2.4. Let \mathcal{E} be a semistable rank 3 reflexive sheaf on \mathbb{P}^3 with $c_1=0, -1$ or -2 . Let n be an integer such that $n \geq -1$ if $c_1=0$ or -1 and $n \geq 0$ if $c_1 = -2$, and let $b \in H^2(\mathcal{E}(n))^\vee$. For any plane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ let $b_H \in H^1(\mathcal{E}_H(n+1))^\vee$ be the image of b by the morphism $S': H^2(\mathcal{E}(n))^\vee \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{E}_H(n+1))^\vee$.

Assume that for all sufficiently general planes $H \subset \mathbb{P}^3$, $b_H \neq 0$ and is annihilated by a nonzero linear form $\lambda_H \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_H(1))$. Then b is annihilated by a unique (up to scalar) nonzero linear form $f \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1))$.

Proof. We intend to apply [4, Proposition 2.1].

If $\mathcal{E} = \Omega(1)$ then $H^2(\mathcal{E}(n)) = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and if $\mathcal{E} = T(-2)$ then $H^2(\mathcal{E}(n)) = 0$ for all $n \geq -1$. It follows that in these cases our assertion is vacuous. Hence we may assume that the restriction of \mathcal{E} to a general plane is semistable.

Let $S = \bigoplus_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(\ell)) = k[x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3]$ and let M be the graded S -module $\bigoplus_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} H^2(\mathcal{E}(\ell))^\vee$, where we define the grading of M by

taking $H^2(\mathcal{E}(\ell))^\vee$ to have degree $-\ell+n$. Let $H_0 \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ be a plane such that:

- (i) H_0 does not contain any singular point of \mathcal{E}
- (ii) $\mathcal{E}|_{H_0}$ is semistable
- (iii) $b|_{H_0} \neq 0$ and is annihilated by a nonzero linear form $\lambda_{H_0} \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_{H_0}(1))$.

Let $L \subset H_0$ be a generic line of $\mathcal{E}|_{H_0}$ the position of which will

be specified later. Let $V = \{h \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_P(1)) \mid h \text{ vanishes on } L\}$. Now, we show that S, V, M verify the hypothesis of [4, Proposition 2.1].

Firstly, we show that V is in general position for M in degrees ≤ 1 . Let $h \in V$, $h \neq 0$, and let $H \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ be the plane of equation $h=0$. Then $H \supset L$. We have exact sequences:

$$H^2(\mathcal{E}_H(l+1))^\vee \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{E}(l+1))^\vee \xrightarrow{h} H^2(\mathcal{E}(l))^\vee$$

$H^2(\mathcal{E}_H(l+1))^\vee \cong H^0(\mathcal{E}_H^*(-l-4)) = 0$ if $-l \leq 2$ (because $H^0(\mathcal{E}_L^*(l)) = 0$ if $l \leq -2$) hence the multiplication by $h: H^2(\mathcal{E}(l+1))^\vee \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{E}(l))^\vee$ is injective if $-l+n \leq 1$.

Let h, h' be a basis of V . Using the exact sequences:

$$H^2(\mathcal{E}(l+1))^\vee \xrightarrow{h} H^2(\mathcal{E}(l))^\vee \xrightarrow{S'} H^1(\mathcal{E}_H(l+1))^\vee$$

one finds that M/hM is a graded S/hS -submodule of $\bigoplus_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} H^1(\mathcal{E}_H(l+1))^\vee$

where we define the grading of $\bigoplus_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} H^1(\mathcal{E}_H(l+1))^\vee$ by taking

$H^1(\mathcal{E}_H(l+1))^\vee$ to have degree $-l+n$. Let $\lambda \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_H(1))$ be the image of h' .

$\lambda = 0$ is an equation of L on H . We have exact sequences:

$$H^1(\mathcal{E}_L(l+2))^\vee \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{E}_H(l+2))^\vee \xrightarrow{\lambda} H^1(\mathcal{E}_H(l+1))^\vee$$

$H^1(\mathcal{E}_L(l+2))^\vee = 0$ if $-l \leq 2$ hence the multiplication by λ :

$H^1(\mathcal{E}_H(l+2))^\vee \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{E}_H(l+1))^\vee$ is injective if $-l+n \leq 1$.

We have shown that V is in general position for M in degrees ≤ 1 .

Now, we verify the second condition of [4, Proposition 2.1]. As we observed before, if $h \in V$, $h \neq 0$, then M/hM is a graded S/hS -submodule of $\bigoplus_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} H^1(\mathcal{E}_H(l+1))^\vee$. By the property (iii) of H_0 and by our hypothesis, b_H is annihilated by a nonzero linear form $\lambda_H \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_H(1))$ for all sufficiently general $h \in V$. Hence the second condition of [4, Proposition 2.1] holds.

It remains to verify the third condition of [4, Proposition 2.1]. Let $h_0 \in V$ be such that $h_0 = 0$ is an equation of H_0 . Put $E = \mathcal{E}_{H_0}$. $M/h_0 M$ is a graded $S/h_0 S$ -submodule of $N = \bigoplus_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} H^1(E(\ell+1))^\circ$. Let $L_0 \subset H_0$ be the line of equation $\lambda_{H_0} = 0$. Let $h \in V$ be such that h_0, h is a basis of V and let $\lambda \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_{H_0}(1))$ be the image of h . $\lambda = 0$ is an equation of L on H_0 . $(S/VS)_L = H^0(\mathcal{O}_L(1))$.

Let $\bar{b} \in M/h_0 M$ and $\tilde{b} \in M/VM$ be the images of b . By the identification of $M/h_0 M$ with a submodule of N , \bar{b} corresponds to b_{H_0} . b_{H_0} is annihilated by λ_{H_0} hence \tilde{b} is annihilated by $\lambda_{H_0}|_L$.

If we choose L such that $L \neq L_0$ then $\lambda_{H_0}|_L \neq 0$.

We have $\dim H^0(\mathcal{O}_L(1)) = 2$. It follows that, in order to verify the third condition of [4, Proposition 2.1] it suffices to show that there is a $u \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_L(1))$ such that $u \cdot \tilde{b} \neq 0$.

There is a morphism (not necessarily injective) of graded S/VS -modules $M/VM \rightarrow N/\lambda N$. The image of \tilde{b} in $N/\lambda N$ is identical to the image \tilde{b}_{H_0} of b_{H_0} in $N/\lambda N$. Using the exact sequences:

$$H^1(E(\ell+2))^\circ \xrightarrow{\delta} H^1(E(\ell+1))^\circ \xrightarrow{\delta'} H^0(E_L(\ell+2))^\circ$$

one finds that $N/\lambda N$ is a graded S/VS -submodule of $\bigoplus_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} H^0(E_L(\ell+2))^\circ$. $\tilde{b}_{H_0} \in N/\lambda N$ corresponds to the image $b_L \in H^0(E_L(n+2))^\circ$ of $b_{H_0} \in H^1(E(n+1))^\circ$ by the morphism $\delta' : H^1(E(n+1))^\circ \rightarrow H^0(E_L(n+2))^\circ$.

It follows that it suffices to show that one can choose L such that there is a $u \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_L(1))$ such that $u \cdot b_L \neq 0$.

Case 1. $n \geq 0$ or $n = -1$, $c_L = 0$ and $E_L = \mathcal{O}_L^3$. In this case, it suffices to show that one can choose L such that $b_L \neq 0$. Indeed, $E_L(n+1) = \mathcal{O}_L(a_1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_L(a_2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_L(a_3)$ with $a_i \geq 0$, hence the morphism:

$$H^0(E_L(n+1)) \otimes_k H^0(\mathcal{O}_L(1)) \longrightarrow H^0(E_L(n+2))$$

is surjective. It follows that if $b_L \neq 0$ then there is a $u \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_L(1))$ such that $b_L : H^0(E_L(n+2)) \rightarrow k$ composed with the multiplication by $u : H^0(E_L(n+1)) \rightarrow H^0(E_L(n+2))$ is nonzero, that is, such that $u \cdot b_L \neq 0$.

We have an exact sequence:

$$H^1(E_{L_0}(n+1)) \xrightarrow{\quad} H^1(E(n+1)) \xrightarrow{\lambda_{H_0}} H^1(E(n))$$

$\lambda_{H_0} \circ b_{H_0} = 0$ implies that b_{H_0} is the image of a nonzero element

$b_0 \in H^1(E_{L_0}(n+1)) \cong \text{Hom}(E_{L_0}(n+1), \mathcal{O}_{L_0}(-2))$. There is an $r \geq 2$ and a

nonzero $\varphi \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_{L_0}(r-2))$ such that $b_0 : E_{L_0}(n+1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{L_0}(-2)$ is the

composition of an epimorphism $E_{L_0}(n+1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{L_0}(-r)$ and of the multiplication by $\varphi : \mathcal{O}_{L_0}(-r) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{L_0}(-2)$.

Let $p : E(n+1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{L_0}(-r)$ be the composed map $E(n+1) \rightarrow E_{L_0}(n+1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{L_0}(-r)$. Using the exact commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & E(n+1) & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & E(n+2) & \rightarrow & E_L(n+2) \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow p & & \downarrow p(1) & & \downarrow p(1)|L \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{L_0}(-r) & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & \mathcal{O}_{L_0}(-r+1) & \rightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{L \cap L_0}(-r+1) \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

one finds a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^0(E_L(n+2)) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & H^1(E(n+1)) \\ \downarrow H^0(p(1)|L) & & \downarrow H^1(p) \\ H^0(\mathcal{O}_{L \cap L_0}(-r+1)) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & H^1(\mathcal{O}_{L_0}(-r)) \\ \downarrow \varphi & & \downarrow \varphi \\ H^0(\mathcal{O}_{L \cap L_0}(-1)) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & H^1(\mathcal{O}_{L_0}(-2)) \end{array}$$

The multiplication by $\varphi : H^1(\mathcal{O}_{L_0}(-r)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{O}_{L_0}(-2))$ composed with $H^1(p)$ is b_{H_0} and b_{H_0} composed with δ is b_L . The morphism $\delta : H^0(\mathcal{O}_{L \cap L_0}(-1)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{O}_{L_0}(-2))$ is bijective.

If L does not contain any point where φ vanishes then the multiplication by $\varphi: H^0(\mathcal{O}_{L \cap L_0}(-r+1)) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_{L \cap L_0}(-1))$ is bijective.

$p(1)|L$ is the composition $E_L(n+2) \rightarrow E_{L \cap L_0}(n+2) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{L \cap L_0}(-r+1)$. The map $H^0(E_{L \cap L_0}(n+2)) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_{L \cap L_0}(-r+1))$ is surjective. Using the exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow E_L(n+1) \xrightarrow{\lambda_{H_0}|L} E_L(n+2) \rightarrow E_{L \cap L_0}(n+2) \rightarrow 0$$

one obtains an exact sequence:

$$H^0(E_L(n+2)) \rightarrow H^0(E_{L \cap L_0}(n+2)) \rightarrow H^1(E_L(n+1)) = 0.$$

Hence $H^0(E_L(n+2)) \rightarrow H^0(E_{L \cap L_0}(n+2))$ is surjective. Consequently, $H^0(p(1)|L)$ is surjective. It follows that b_L is surjective, hence $b_L \neq 0$.

Case 2. $n = -1$, $c_L = 0$ and $E_L = \mathcal{O}_L(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_L \oplus \mathcal{O}_L(1)$. Then an argument used in the proof of the Case 1 shows us that there is a unique (up to scalar) nonzero element $\beta \in H^0(E_L(1))'$ such that $u \cdot \beta = 0$ for all $u \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_L(1))$, namely the one whose kernel is $H^0(\mathcal{O}_L(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_L(2))$. Preserving the notations used in the Case 1 we apply the reduction step to E and L_0 :

$$0 \rightarrow E' \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{p} \mathcal{O}_{L_0}(-r) \rightarrow 0$$

The above sequence twisted by $\mathcal{O}_{H_0}(1)$ and restricted to L gives an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow E'_L(1) \rightarrow E_L(1) \xrightarrow{p(1)|L} \mathcal{O}_{L \cap L_0}(-r+1) \rightarrow 0$$

It follows that we have an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(E'_L(1)) \rightarrow H^0(E_L(1)) \xrightarrow{H^0(p(1)|L)} H^0(\mathcal{O}_{L \cap L_0}(-r+1))$$

If L is a generic line for E' then by Corollary 1.2(a),

$E_L^*(1) = \mathcal{O}_L \oplus \mathcal{O}_L(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_L(1)$ hence: $\text{Ker } b_L = \text{Im}(H^0(E_L^*(1)) \rightarrow H^0(E_L(1))) \neq H^0(\mathcal{O}_L(1)) \oplus \mathcal{O}_L(2)$. It follows that there is a $u \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_L(1))$ such that $u \cdot b_L \neq 0$.

Case 3. $n = -1$, $c_1 = -1$. In this case one uses the same argument as in the Case 2.

Proposition 2.5. Let \mathcal{E} be a rank 3 reflexive sheaf on \mathbb{P}^3 with $c_1 = 0, -1$ or -2 and such that the restriction of \mathcal{E} to a general plane is stable. Let $k_{\mathcal{E}} = (k_1, \dots, k_m)$ be the spectrum of \mathcal{E} . Assume that there is an i with $2 \leq i \leq m-1$ such that $k_{i-1} < k_i < k_{i+1} \leq 0$, if $c_1 = 0$ and such that $k_{i-1} < k_i < k_{i+1} \leq -1$ if $c_1 = -1$ or -2 .

Then there is an unstable plane for \mathcal{E} of order $-k_1$ and $k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_i$.

Proof. Firstly, we show that there is an unstable plane for \mathcal{E} of order $-k_1$.

By (3) and (4), $H^2(\mathcal{E}(-k_1-2)) = 0$ and $H^2(\mathcal{E}(-k_1-3)) \neq 0$. We choose a nonzero $b \in H^2(\mathcal{E}(-k_1-3))^\vee$ and we show that b verifies the hypothesis of Lemma 2.4. Indeed, $-k_1-3 \geq -1$ if $c_1=0$ and $-k_1-3 \geq 0$ if $c_1 = -1$ or -2 . Let $H \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ be a plane which does not contain any singular point of \mathcal{E} and such that \mathcal{E}_H is stable. Let $h=0$ be an equation of H . From the exact sequence:

$$0 = H^2(\mathcal{E}(-k_1-2))^\vee \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{E}(-k_1-3))^\vee \xrightarrow{\delta'} H^1(\mathcal{E}_H(-k_1-2))^\vee$$

it follows that $b_H \neq 0$.

Let R_ℓ be the kernel of the multiplication by $h: H^2(\mathcal{E}(\ell-1)) \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{E}(\ell))$ and let $r_\ell = \dim R_\ell$. We have $-k_1-3 \geq -2$. By (2) one has:

$$r_{-k_1-2} - r_{-k_1-1} = \text{card}\{j \mid k_j = k_i\} = 1$$

We have $-k_1-2 \geq -1$ if $c_1=0$ and $-k_1-2 \geq 0$ if $c_1 = -1$ or -2 . By Proposition 1.11 or by [6, Theorem 1.1.iv'] it follows that there is a non-zero $\lambda_H \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_H(1))$ such that $\lambda_H \cdot R_\ell = 0$ for all $\ell \geq -k_1-2$, hence such

that $\lambda_{H \cdot R} = 0$ for all $\ell \geq -k_1 - 1$. For $\ell = -k_1 - 2$ we get $\lambda_{H \cdot R_{-k_1-2}} = 0$ and particularly, $\lambda_{H \cdot b_H} = 0$.

By Lemma 2.4 there is a nonzero linear form $h_o \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1))$

which annihilates b . By Remark 2.3, the plane H_o of equation $h_o = 0$ is an unstable plane for \mathcal{E} of order $-k_1$.

Now, we will show that $k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_i$.

We have $H^0(\mathcal{E}_{H_o}^*(k_1)) \neq 0$ and $H^0(\mathcal{E}_{H_o}^*(k_1 - 1)) = 0$. Let $\varphi: \mathcal{E}_{H_o} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{H_o}(k_1)$ be a nonzero morphism. From the fact that \mathcal{E}_{H_o} is torsion free on $H_o \cong \mathbb{P}^2$ it follows that φ is surjective, with the exception of a finite number of points.

Let $H \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ be a plane which does not contain any singular point of \mathcal{E} , such that \mathcal{E}_H is stable and such that the line $L = H \cap H_o$ does not contain any point where φ is not surjective. By restricting φ to L we get an epimorphism $\varphi_L: \mathcal{E}_L \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_L(k_1)$. Now, we apply the reduction step to \mathcal{E}_H and L :

$$0 \rightarrow E^*(t) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_H \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_L(k_1) \rightarrow 0$$

where $t=0$ if $c_1=0$ or -1 and $t=-1$ if $c_1=-2$. Consider the exact commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 H^1(\mathcal{E}(\ell)) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathcal{E}_{H_o}(\ell)) & & & & \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \\
 & & H^1(\varphi(\ell)) & & & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & & & \\
 & & H^1(\mathcal{O}_{H_o}(\ell + k_1)) = 0 & & & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & & & \\
 H^1(E^*(\ell+t)) & \rightarrow & H^1(\mathcal{E}_H(\ell)) & \rightarrow & H^1(\mathcal{O}_L(\ell+k_1)) & \rightarrow & H^2(E^*(\ell+t)) \\
 \downarrow & & \searrow & & & & \\
 R & \dashrightarrow & & & & & \\
 \downarrow & & & & & & \\
 0 & & & & & &
 \end{array}$$

It follows that the composition $H^1(\mathcal{E}(\ell)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{E}_H(\ell)) \rightarrow$

$\rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{O}_L(\ell+k_1))$ is 0 hence we obtain an exact sequence:

$$H^1(E^*(\ell+t)) \rightarrow R_\ell \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{O}_L(\ell+k_1)) \rightarrow H^2(E^*(\ell+t))$$

Put $Q_{\ell+t} = \text{Im}(H^1(E^*(\ell+t)) \rightarrow R_\ell)$ and $q_\ell = \dim Q_\ell$.

$H^2(E^*(\ell+t)) \cong H^0(E^{**}(-\ell-t-3))$, and $H^0(E^{**}(-\ell-t-3))$ can be embedded in $H^0(\mathcal{E}_H^*(-\ell-2))$ which is 0 for $\ell \geq -1$. It follows that the sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow Q_{\ell+t} \rightarrow R_\ell \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{O}_L(\ell+k_1)) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact for $\ell \geq -1$. We have $r_{-k_i-2} = r_{-k_i-1} = 1$ and $-k_i-2 \geq -1$, hence

$$q_{-k_i-2+t} = q_{-k_i-1+t}.$$

Next we will show that $q_{-k_i-2+t} = 0$.

Case 1. $c_1=0$. In this case $c_1(E^*)=-1$ and E^* is stable, We have

$q_{-k_i-2} = q_{-k_i-1}$ and $-k_i-2 \geq -1$ hence by Proposition 1.11 (b) (ii) or by [6, Theorem 1.1 iii'], $q_{-k_i-2} = 0$.

Case 2. $c_1=-1$. In this case $c_1(E^*)=-2$ and the generic splitting type of E^* is $(-1, -1, 0)$. We have $q_{-k_i-2} = q_{-k_i-1}$ and $-k_i-2 \geq 0$ hence by [6, Theorem 1.1 iii'], $q_{-k_i-2} = 0$.

Case 3. $c_1=-2$. In this case $c_1(E^*)=0$ and E^* is semistable. We have $q_{-k_i-3} = q_{-k_i-2}$ and $-k_i-3 \geq -1$ hence by Proposition 1.11 (a) (ii) or by [6, Theorem 1.1 iii'], $q_{-k_i-3} = 0$.

It follows that $q_\ell=0$ for all $\ell \geq -k_i-2+t$, that is, $q_{\ell+t}=0$ for all $\ell \geq -k_i-2$. We have $H^1(\mathcal{O}_L(\ell+1))=H^1(\mathcal{O}_L(\ell))-1$ for all $\ell \leq -2$.

It follows that $r_{\ell+1}-r_{\ell+2}=1$ for $-k_i-3 \leq \ell \leq -k_i-2$, hence $k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_i$.

Corollary 2.6. Let \mathcal{E} be a rank 3 vector bundle on \mathbb{P}^3 with $c_1=0, -1$ or -2 and such that the restriction of \mathcal{E} to a general plane is stable. Let $k_{\mathcal{E}} = (k_1, \dots, k_m)$ be the spectrum of \mathcal{E} . Put $\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{E}^*$ if $c_1=0$ and $\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{E}^*(-1)$ if $c_1=-1$ or -2 . Assume that there is an i with $2 \leq i \leq m-1$ such that $0 \leq k_{i-1} < k_i < k_{i+1}$.

Then there is an unstable plane for \mathcal{F} of order k_m if $c_1=0$ and of order k_m+1 if $c_1=-1$ or -2 and $k_i < k_{i+1} < \dots < k_m$.

Proof. One applies Proposition 2.5 to \mathcal{F} taking into account that by [6, Lemma 3.2], $k_{\mathcal{F}} = (-k_m, \dots, -k_1)$ if $c_1=0$ and $k_{\mathcal{F}} = (-k_m-1, \dots, -k_1-1)$ if $c_1=-1$ or -2 .

Corollary 2.7. Let \mathcal{E} be a stable rank 3 vector bundle on \mathbb{P}^3 with $c_1=0$. Suppose that $c_3 > \frac{1}{2} \cdot c_2^2 + 2$. Then there is an unstable plane for \mathcal{E} of order c_2-q for some q with $1 \leq q < \frac{1}{2} \cdot c_2$, and in that case:

$$c_3 = c_2^2 - c_2 \quad , \quad \text{if } q = 1$$

$$c_2^2 - (2q-1)c_2 \leq c_3 \leq c_2^2 - (2q-1)c_2 + 2(q^2 - q + 1) , \quad \text{if } q \geq 2$$

Furthermore, assume, for a fixed $q \geq 2$, that $c_3 < c_2^2 - (2q-1)c_2 + \frac{1}{2}(q^2 - 2q)$. Then there is an unstable plane for \mathcal{E}^* of order $q-d-1$ for some d with $0 \leq d < \frac{1}{2} \cdot q-1$; and in that case:

$$c_2^2 - (2q-1)c_2 + 2dq - 2d(d+1) \leq c_3 \leq c_2^2 - (2q-1)c_2 + 2dq$$

Proof. The relation $c_3 > \frac{1}{2} \cdot c_2^2 + 2$ is possible only if $c_2 \geq 4$. \mathcal{E} can't be the second symmetric power of a nullcorrelation bundle because in that case $c_3=0$. If $c_3 = c_2^2 - c_2$ then by [2, Proposition 5.1], there is an unstable plane for \mathcal{E} of order c_2-1 . If $c_3 < c_2^2 - c_2$ then by [2, Theorem 0.1] the restriction of \mathcal{E} to a general plane is stable.

Consider the spectrum of \mathcal{E} , $k_{\mathcal{E}} = (k_1, \dots, k_m)$. If \mathcal{E} does not satisfy the hypothesis of Proposition 2.5 then every k_i with $k_1 < k_i \leq -1$ must occur at least twice in the spectrum. Recalling that $c_3 = -2 \sum k_i$, the largest value of c_3 will correspond to the spectrum:

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{1}{2} \cdot c_2, -\frac{1}{2} \cdot c_2 + 1, -\frac{1}{2} \cdot c_2 + 1, \dots, -2, -2, -1, -1, -1 \quad \text{if } c_2 \text{ is even} \\ & \text{or } -\frac{1}{2} \cdot (c_2-1), -\frac{1}{2} \cdot (c_2-1), \dots, -2, -2, -1, -1, -1 \quad \text{if } c_2 \text{ is odd} \end{aligned}$$

(one takes into account the Proposition 2.2 (a)).

whose spectra give a $-1, 2, 1, 0$ and a $-1, 2, 1, 0$ distribution.

Thus if $c_3 > \frac{1}{2} \cdot c_2^2 + 2$, \mathcal{E} must satisfy the hypothesis of Proposition 2.5. In this case $k_1 = -c_2 + q$ for some q with $2 \leq q < \frac{1}{2} \cdot c_2$ (recall that $c_3 < c_2^2 - c_2$), and by Proposition 2.5, there is an unstable plane for \mathcal{E} of order $c_2 - q$. The minimum and the maximum value of c_3 for the given q correspond to the spectra:

$$-c_2 + q, \dots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots, q-1$$

and

$$-c_2 + q, \dots, -q, -q+1, -q+2, \dots, -2, -1, -1, -1$$

which give $c_3 = c_2^2 - (2q-1)c_2$ and $c_3 = c_2^2 - (2q-1)c_2 + 2(q^2 - q + 1)$, respectively.

Now, suppose that $q \geq 2$ is fixed. If \mathcal{E} does not satisfy the hypothesis of Corollary 2.6 then every k_i with $1 \leq k_i < k_m$ must occur at least twice in the spectrum. The minimum value of c_3 will correspond to the spectrum:

$$-c_2 + q, \dots, -1, 0, 1, 1, \dots, \frac{1}{2} \cdot q - 1, \frac{1}{2} \cdot q - 1, \frac{1}{2} \cdot q \quad \text{if } q \text{ is even}$$

or

$$-c_2 + q, \dots, -1, 0, 1, 1, \dots, \frac{1}{2} \cdot (q-1), \frac{1}{2} \cdot (q-1) \quad \text{if } q \text{ is odd.}$$

These spectra give $c_3 = c_2^2 - (2q-1)c_2 + \frac{1}{2} \cdot (q^2 - 2q)$ and $c_3 = c_2^2 - (2q-1)c_2 + \frac{1}{2} \cdot (q-1)^2$, respectively. Thus if $c_3 < c_2^2 - (2q-1)c_2 + \frac{1}{2} \cdot (q^2 - 2q)$, \mathcal{E} must satisfy the hypothesis of Corollary 2.6. In this case $k_m = q-d-1$ for some d with $0 \leq d < \frac{1}{2} \cdot q - 1$, and by Corollary 2.6, there is an unstable plane for \mathcal{E}^* of order $q-d-1$. The minimum and the maximum value of c_3 for the given d correspond to the spectra:

$$-c_2 + q, \dots, -1, 0, 1, 1, \dots, d, d, d+1, \dots, q-d-1$$

and

$$-c_2 + q, \dots, -d-1, -d, -d, \dots, -1, -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots, q-d-1$$

which give $c_3 = c_2^2 - (2q-1)c_2 + 2dq - 2d(d+1)$ and $c_3 = c_2^2 - (2q-1)c_2 + 2dq$, respectively.

The proof of the following two corollaries is similar to that of Corollary 2.7 and it will be omitted.

Corollary 2.8. Let \mathcal{E} be a stable rank 3 reflexive sheaf on \mathbb{P}^3 with $c_1 = -1$. Suppose that $c_3 > \frac{1}{2} \cdot (c_2^2 + 1)$. Then there is an unstable plane for \mathcal{E} of order $c_2 - q$ for some q with $1 \leq q < \frac{1}{2} \cdot (c_2 - 1)$, and in that case:

$$c_2^2 - 2qc_2 \leq c_3 \leq c_2^2 - 2qc_2 + 2q^2$$

Furthermore, assume \mathcal{E} locally free and that $c_3 < c_2^2 - 2qc_2 + \frac{1}{2} \cdot (q^2 - 2q)$. Then there is an unstable plane for $\mathcal{E}^*(-1)$ of order $q - d$ for some d with $0 \leq d < \frac{1}{2} \cdot q - 1$, and in that case:

$$c_2^2 - 2qc_2 + 2dq - 2d(d+1) \leq c_3 \leq c_2^2 - 2qc_2 + 2dq + 2d$$

Corollary 2.9. Let \mathcal{E} be a stable rank 3 reflexive sheaf on \mathbb{P}^3 with $c_1 = -2$. Suppose that $c_3 > \frac{1}{2} \cdot (c_2 - 1)^2$. Then there is an unstable plane for \mathcal{E} of order $c_2 - q$ for some q with $1 \leq q < \frac{1}{2} \cdot (c_2 - 1)$, and in that case:

$$c_2^2 - (2q+1)c_2 + 2q \leq c_3 \leq c_2^2 - (2q+1)c_2 + 2q^2$$

Furthermore, assume \mathcal{E} locally free and that $c_3 < c_2^2 - (2q+1)c_2 + \frac{1}{2} \cdot (q^2 + 3)$. Then there is an unstable plane for $\mathcal{E}^*(-1)$ of order $q - d - 1$ for some d with $0 \leq d < \frac{1}{2} \cdot (q - 3)$, and in that case:

$$c_2^2 - (2q+1)c_2 + 2(d+1)q - 2d(d+2) \leq c_3 \leq c_2^2 - (2q+1)c_2 + 2(d+1)q$$

References

1. Barth, W., Elencwajg, G. : Concernant la cohomologie des fibrés algébriques stables sur $\mathbb{P}_n(\mathbb{C})$. In: Variétés analytiques compactes (Nice 1977). In: Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 683, pp. 1-24, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York: Springer 1978.

2. Ein, L., Hartshorne, R., Vogelaar, H.: Restriction theorems for stable rank 3 vector bundles on \mathbb{P}^n . Math. Ann. 259, 541-569 (1982).
3. Hartshorne, R.: Stable reflexive sheaves. Math. Ann. 254, 121-176 (1980).
4. Hartshorne, R.: Stable reflexive sheaves, II. Invent. Math. 66, 165-190 (1982).
5. Hulek, K.: Stable rank 2 vector bundles on \mathbb{P}_2 with c_1 odd. Math. Ann. 242, 241-266 (1979).
6. Okonek, C., Spindler, H.: Reflexive Garben vom Rang $r > 2$ auf \mathbb{P}^n . Crelles J. 344, 38-64 (1983).
7. Okonek, C., Spindler, H.: Stabile reflexive Garben vom Rang 3 auf \mathbb{P}^3 mit kleinen Chernklassen. Math. Ann. 264, 91-118 (1983).
8. Okonek, C., Spindler, H.: Das Spektrum torsionsfreier Garben I. Manuscripta Math. 47, 187-228 (1984).