

INSTITUTUL
DE
MATEMATICĂ

INSTITUTUL NAȚIONAL
PENTRU CREAȚIE
ȘTIINȚIFICĂ ȘI TEHNICĂ

ISSN 0250 3638

ON THE FIELD OF DEFINITION OF ALGEBRAIC
VARIETIES IN CHARACTERISTIC ZERO

by

A. BUIUM

PREPRINT SERIES IN MATHEMATICS

No. 3/1985

BUCUREȘTI

11 124 067

ON THE FIELD OF DEFINITION OF ALGEBRAIC
VARIETIES IN CHARACTERISTIC ZERO

by

A. BUIUM^{*)}

January 1985

^{*)} Department of Mathematics, National Institute for Scientific and
Technical Creation, Bd. Păcii 220, 79622 Bucharest, Romania

ON THE FIELD OF DEFINITION OF ALGEBRAIC
VARIETIES IN CHARACTERISTIC ZERO

A. Buium

1. Introduction

Let K be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero and V a K -variety (by this we mean an irreducible reduced quasi-projective scheme over K). A subfield K_1 of K will be called a field of definition for V if there exists a K_1 -variety V_1 such that V is K -isomorphic to $V_1 \otimes_{K_1} K$. The aim of this paper is to show how one can compute fields of definition for V with the help of derivations on the function field $K(V)$ of V .

For any set Δ of derivations on $K(V)$ define

$$K^\Delta = \{ \lambda \in K; \delta \lambda = 0 \text{ for all } \delta \in \Delta \}$$

Clearly K^Δ is an algebraically closed subfield of K . An important role will be played by the set $\Delta(V)$ of all derivations δ on $K(V)$ which are integral on V in the sense that $\delta(\mathcal{O}_{V,p}) \subset \mathcal{O}_{V,p}$ for all $p \in V$ (here $\mathcal{O}_{V,p}$ denotes the local ring of V at p). Indeed our main result is:

Theorem 1. Suppose V is smooth and projective over K . The $K^{\Delta(V)}$ is a field of definition for V and any other algebraically closed field of definition for V must contain $K^{\Delta(V)}$.

An immediate consequence of Theorem 1 is the following criterion. Suppose k is an algebraically closed subfield of K , V a smooth projective K -variety and $\{t_\alpha\}_\alpha$ a transcendence basis of K/k ; then k is a field of definition for V if and only if the derivations $\partial/\partial t_\alpha: K \rightarrow K$ lift to derivations $\delta_\alpha: K(V) \rightarrow K(V)$ which are integral on V .

Theorem 1 will be proved in Section 3.

In Section 4 we shall discuss the possibility of extending Theorem 1 to singular and to open varieties. We would like to note that in the case of open varieties the right substitute for $\Delta(V)$ will be the set $\Delta(V, \log)$ of all "logarithmic" (instead of "integral") derivations (see Section 4 for precise definitions and results).

In Section 5 we shall discuss the problem of finding the smallest algebraically closed "field of definition" for a complete local ring (again we send to Section 5 for definitions and results).

The main motivation for our work concerns algebraic differential equations without movable singularities (cf. [8], [1]). More precisely Theorem 1 may be taken as a starting point for a generalisation of the "one variable theory" from [8] to the case of several variables (see [1] for the case of two variables). We shall achieve this program in a separate paper [2].

Our proof of Theorem 1 is not purely algebro-geometric it will involve a "reduction to the complex field \mathbb{C} ". Then the main step towards Theorem 1 will be the following result which has an interest in itself and which will be proved in Section 2:

Theorem 2. Let $f: X \rightarrow S$ be a smooth projective morphism of smooth \mathbb{C} -varieties. Then there is a diagram with cartesian squares:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \xleftarrow{\quad} & X' & \xrightarrow{\quad} & X'' \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow f'' \\ S & \xleftarrow{\alpha} & S' & \xrightarrow{\beta} & S'' \end{array}$$

such that β is a surjective map of \mathbb{C} -varieties, S'' is smooth, α is an étale covering of a Zariski open set of S , f'' is a smooth projective morphism and for any $t \in S''$ the Kodaira-Spencer map

$$\beta_t: T_t S'' \rightarrow H^1(X_t'', T_{X_t''/\mathbb{C}})$$

is injective (where $T_t S''$ = tangent space of S'' at t , $X_t'' = (f'')^{-1}(t)$, $T_{X_t''/\mathbb{C}}$ = tangent bundle of X_t'').

We would like to note that Theorem 2 was proved in [13], p.574 under a very restrictive assumption on the local Torelli map of f at the generic point of S .

2. Proof of Theorem 2

In this section we prove Theorem 2. Points of \mathbb{C} -varieties will always mean closed points. Choose an invertible sheaf \mathcal{L} on X which is ample relative to f , put $\mathcal{L}_t = \mathcal{L}|_{X_t}$ ($X_t = f^{-1}(t)$) and let $\lambda_t \in \text{Pic}(X_t)/\text{Pic}^T(X_t)$ be the class of \mathcal{L}_t modulo numerical equivalence.

Claim 1. The set

$$R = \{(t, s) \in S \times S; (X_t, \lambda_t) \simeq (X_s, \lambda_s)\}$$

is constructible in $S \times S$ (note that if no X_t was ruled then R would be Zariski closed in $S \times S$; this follows from [9]).

An argument for this goes as follows. Let $p_i: Z = S \times S \rightarrow S$, $i=1,2$, be the canonical projections and let $Y_i \rightarrow Z$ be obtained from $X \rightarrow S$ by base change with p_i . Let U be the Z -scheme representing the functor $Z' \rightarrow \text{Isom}_Z(Y_1 \times_Z Z', Y_2 \times_Z Z')$ [4]; recall that U is a countable disjoint union of Z -schemes U_n of finite type. Let \mathcal{L}_i be the pull-back of \mathcal{L} on $V_i = Y_i \times_Z U$ and let $P: V_1 \rightarrow V_2$ be the universal isomorphism. Clearly the sets

$$U'_n = \{u \in U_n; ((P^* \mathcal{L}_2) \otimes \mathcal{L}_1^{-1})_u \equiv 0\}$$

are closed in U_n (here " \equiv " denotes the numerical equivalence) and

we have $R = \text{Im}(U' \rightarrow Z)$ where U' is the union of all U'_n for $n \geq 1$. So, by Chevalley's constructibility theorem, we shall be done if we prove that U'_n are empty for all except a finite number of n 's. Now for any $u \in U'$ let $z(u) = (t(u), s(u))$ denote the image of u under $U \rightarrow Z$ and let $\Gamma_u \subset X_{t(u)} \times X_{s(u)}$ be the graph of the corresponding isomorphism which we denote also by $u: X_{t(u)} \rightarrow X_{s(u)}$. Consider on $Y_1 \times_Z Y_2$ the sheaf $q_1^* \mathcal{L} \otimes q_2^* \mathcal{L}(q_1: Y_1 \rightarrow X \text{ being the canonical projections})$; this sheaf is ample relative to Z and denote by $\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma_u}(1)$ its restriction to Γ_u . Now if $1 \times u: X_{t(u)} \rightarrow \Gamma_u \subset X_{t(u)} \times X_{s(u)}$ is the graph map then:

$$(1 \times u)^* (\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma_u}(m)) = \mathcal{L}_{t(u)}^m \otimes u^* (\mathcal{L}_{s(u)}^m) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{t(u)}^{2m}.$$

Hence the Hilbert polynomial $m \rightarrow \chi(\Gamma_u, \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma_u}(m))$ equals to a polynomial $m \rightarrow \chi(X_{t(u)}, \mathcal{L}_{t(u)}^{2m})$ which does not depend on u . This implies that U'_n is empty for sufficiently big n .

Claim 2. Replacing S by a Zariski open subset of it we may suppose there exists a morphism $\psi: S \rightarrow M$ into a \mathbb{C} -variety M such that for any $s \in S$ we have

$$\psi^{-1}\psi(s) = \{t \in S; (s, t) \in R\}$$

This can be done by standard manipulation of Chow varieties (see [11] p.406 for similar arguments). The idea is to embed S as a locally closed subset of a projective space \mathbb{P} and to take the Zariski closure \bar{R} of R in $\mathbb{P} \times S$; by Claim 1, for each irreducible component \bar{R}_j of \bar{R} the projection $\bar{R}_j \rightarrow S$ will give a family of cycles of codimension m_j and degree d_j in \mathbb{P} (m_j, d_j being some integers), and hence a rational map from S to the corresponding Chow variety $C(m_j, d_j)$. Using constructibility of R one can make an elementary analysis showing that, after shrinking S in

the Zariski topology, the resulting morphism

$$\psi: S \rightarrow \prod_j \pi C(m_j, d_j) \xrightarrow{q} \pi C(q, \sum_{m_j=q} d_j)$$

has the property required in Claim 2.

Claim 3. Replacing S by an étale open set of it one can find a morphism $\eta: S \rightarrow N$ onto a variety N such that η has a section and such that for any $t \in S$ the set

$$S_t = \{s \in S; X_s \simeq X_t\}$$

is a union of at most countably many fibres of η .

Indeed, since the set of classes of numerically equivalent divisors on a fixed variety is countable, S_t is a union of at most countably many fibres of the map ψ from Claim 2. Now we are done by replacing M by an étale open set N of $\psi(S)$ and replacing S by $S \times_M N$.

Claim 4. We may suppose in Claim 3 that in addition there exists a smooth projective morphism $g: Y \rightarrow N$ such that X is S -isomorphic to $Y \times_N S$; in particular we shall have that for any $u \in N$ the set

$$N_u = \{v \in N; Y_v \simeq Y_u\}$$

is at most countable.

The argument in this step is similar to the one in [13], p.576. Take $\gamma: N \rightarrow T \subset S$ a section of $S \rightarrow N$, put $X_T = X \times_S T$, $X_N = X_T \times_T N$, $X' = X_N \times_N S$. Then for any $t \in S$, the fibres of $X \rightarrow S$ and $X' \rightarrow S$ above t are isomorphic; this means that the S -scheme

$U = U_1 \cup U_2 \cup \dots$ representing

$$S' \rightarrow \text{Isom}_{S'}(X \times_S S', X' \times_S S')$$

maps onto S . By Baire's theorem there is at least a finite type piece U_n of U dominating S . Now we are done by replacing S by some locally closed irreducible subscheme of U_n which is étale over S .

Claim 5. For any t in a Zariski open set of N (notations being as in Claim 4) the Kodaira-Spencer map ρ_t associated to $g: Y \rightarrow N$ at t is injective (this will of course close the proof of Theorem 2!).

Indeed if the morphism $\rho: T_{N/\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow R^1 g_* (T_{Y/N})$ is injective at the generic point of N we are done. If not, we may choose, after shrinking N in the Zariski topology, a line bundle L contained in $\text{Ker}(\rho)$. By Frobenius there is a germ of analytic curve C whose analytic tangent bundle T_C equals to the restriction of L to C . By [7] 6.2, the family $Y \times_N C \rightarrow C$ must be analytically locally trivial, contradicting Claim 4 which states that N_u is at most countable for $u \in N$.

3. Proof of Theorem 1

The fact that any algebraically closed field of definition K_1 for V contains $K^{\Delta(V)}$ is quite easy and general (it does not require smoothness or projectivity of V). Indeed it will be sufficient to prove that any K_1 -derivation θ on K must vanish on $K^{\Delta(V)}$. But if $V = V_1 \otimes_{K_1} K$ (V_1 being some K_1 -variety) we see that θ extends to a derivation $\delta: K(V) \rightarrow K(V)$ defined by

$$\delta(\lambda \otimes y) = \lambda \otimes (\theta y) \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in K_1^{\Delta(V_1)}, y \in K$$

Now δ is integral on V , hence will vanish on $K^{\Delta(V)}$ and we are done. So in the remainder of this section we concentrate ourselves

on proving that $K^{\Delta(V)}$ is a field of definition for V . This is of course equivalent to proving that K^{Δ} is a field of definition for V whenever Δ is a subset of $\Delta(V)$.

We assume first that K^{Δ} is uncountable. Consequently K will contain a subfield k which is isomorphic to \mathbb{C} . One can easily construct a smooth projective morphism of k -varieties $f: X \rightarrow S$ such that the function field $k(S)$ of S is contained in K and $V \cong X \times_{S, k(S)} \text{Spec}(K)$. Apply Theorem 2 to f and put $K' = k(S')$, $K'' = k(S'')$. Since K' is a finite extension of $k(S)$, there is an embedding $K' \rightarrow K$ extending the inclusion $k(S) \rightarrow K$. Put $V'' = X'' \times_{S'', k(S'')} \text{Spec}(K'')$. We have a field extension $K'' \rightarrow K' \rightarrow K$ and V is K -isomorphic to $V'' \otimes_{K''} K$ so we shall be done if we prove that K^{Δ} contains K'' . Now there is standard exact sequence [3] Ch.0, 20.5.7:

$$(*) \quad 0 \rightarrow \pi^* \Omega_{K/k} \rightarrow \Omega_{V/k} \rightarrow \Omega_{V/K} \rightarrow 0$$

where $\pi: V \rightarrow \text{Spec}(K)$ is the canonical structure morphism. A similar sequence exists for $V'' \rightarrow \text{Spec}(K'')$. These sequences plus the injectivity of the Kodaira-Spencer maps associated to f'' at the points of S'' yield a diagram with exact rows and columns:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & T_{K/K''} & & \\ & & \downarrow \phi & & \\ H^0(V, T_{V/k}) & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & T_{K/k} & \longrightarrow & H^1(V, T_{V/K}) \\ & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & T_{K''/k} \otimes_{K''} K & \longrightarrow & H^1(V, T_{V''/K''} \otimes_{K''} K) \end{array}$$

(where for any scheme W over a field L we denote by $T_{W/L}$ the sheaf $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_W}(\Omega_{W/\text{Spec}(L)}, \mathcal{O}_W)$ of L -derivations from \mathcal{O}_W into \mathcal{O}_W ; if furthermore we have $W = \text{Spec}(A)$ then we put $T_{A/L} = H^0(W, T_{W/L})$). A diagram chase shows that φ and ψ have the same image in $T_{K/K}$. Since

Since Δ is a subset of $H^0(V, T_{V/K}^\Delta)$ we get in particular that $K'' \subset K^\Delta$.

Theorem 1 is proved in the case K^Δ uncountable.

Suppose now K^Δ is countable. Then there is an embedding $K^\Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$; the ring $K \otimes_{K^\Delta} \mathbb{C}$ will be a domain and denote by L its field of quotients.

Now it is easy to see (use the exact sequence $(*)$ with $k = \mathbb{Q}$) that for any $\delta \in \Delta$ we have $\delta(K) \subset K$ so one can define a derivation δ' on L by the formula

$$\delta'(\lambda \otimes y) = (\delta \lambda) \otimes y \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in K \text{ and } y \in \mathbb{C}$$

Moreover one can define a derivation δ'' on $L(V \otimes_K L)$ by the formula

$$\delta''(u \otimes v) = (\delta u) \otimes v + u \otimes (\delta' v) \quad \text{for all } u \in K(V), v \in L$$

Clearly δ'' is integral on $V \otimes_K L$ and let Δ'' be the set of all such δ'' as δ runs through Δ . Now $L^{\Delta''}$ contains $1 \otimes \mathbb{C}$ hence it is uncountable so by the first part of our proof $L^{\Delta''}$ is a field of definition for $V \otimes_K L$. We have four fields

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K^\Delta & \hookrightarrow & K \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ L^{\Delta''} & \hookrightarrow & L \end{array}$$

and note that K and $L^{\Delta''}$ are linearly disjoint over K^Δ (this may be proved exactly as in [6], p.87 using the Wronskian argument). So we shall be done if we prove the following general fact:

Lemma 1. Let V be a smooth projective K -variety and let K_0 , K_1 and K_2 be algebraically closed subfields of K such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_0 & \hookrightarrow & K_1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K_2 & \hookrightarrow & K \end{array}$$

and such that K_1 and K_2 are linearly disjoint over K_0 .

Suppose K_1 and K_2 are fields of definition for V . Then K_0 is also a field of definition for V .

Proof. Choose an ample $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(V)$. Suppose V is K -isomorphic to $V_i \otimes_{K_i} K$, $i=1,2$. Then there exist $\mathcal{L}_i \in \text{Pic}(V_i)$ such that $\mathcal{L}_i \otimes_{K_i} K \cong \mathcal{L}$. Clearly \mathcal{L}_i are still ample. One can find projective morphisms $f_i: X_i \rightarrow S_i$ of K_0 -varieties such that $K_0(S_i) \subset K_i$, V_i is K_i -isomorphic to $X_i \times_{S_i} \text{Spec}(K_i)$ and such that \mathcal{L}_i is the pull back of some $\mathcal{M}_i \in \text{Pic}(X_i)$ with \mathcal{M}_i ample relative to f_i . Put $T = S_1 \times S_2$, $Y_i = X_i \times_{S_i} T$. By linear disjointness of K_1 and K_2 over K_0 the morphism $K_1 \otimes_{K_0} K_2 \rightarrow K$ is injective, hence $\text{Spec}(K) \rightarrow T$ is dominant. Since $Y_1 \times_T K$ is K -isomorphic to $Y_2 \times_T K$, it follows that $\text{Spec}(K) \rightarrow T$ factors through some finite type component U_n of the object U representing the functor $T' \rightarrow \text{Isom}_{T'}(Y_1 \times_{T'} T', Y_2 \times_{T'} T')$. But since the isomorphism $Y_1 \times_T K \cong Y_2 \times_T K$ preserves the polarisations induced by \mathcal{M}_1 and \mathcal{M}_2 we conclude that the image of $\text{Spec}(K) \rightarrow U_n$ is contained in $U'_n = U' \cap U_n$ where U' is the closed subset of U whose geometric points are precisely those points for which the corresponding isomorphism preserves polarisations (see the proof of Claim 1 in Section 2).

Now the image of $U'_n \rightarrow T$ contains an open subset T_0 of T in other words for any $(s_1, s_2) \in T_0$ the fibres of $Y_1 \rightarrow T$ and $Y_2 \rightarrow T$ above (s_1, s_2) are isomorphic as polarized varieties. But these fibres identify with $f_1^{-1}(s_1)$ and $f_2^{-1}(s_2)$ respectively with polarisations given by $\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2$. Now fix $(s_1^0, s_2^0) \in T_0$ and put $S'_2 = \{s_2 \in S_2; (s_1^0, s_2) \in T_0\}$; then $X'_2 = X_2 \times_{S_2} S'_2 \rightarrow S'_2$ has all its closed fibres isomorphic as polarized varieties (with polarisation given by \mathcal{M}_2).

Let X_2'' be $F \times_{S_2'} S_2''$, $F = f_2^{-1}(s_2^0)$ and let H be the object representing the functor $B \rightarrow \text{Isom}_B(X_2' \times_{S_2'} B, X_2'' \times_{S_2'} B)$. Then let H' be the closed subset of H whose geometric points correspond to those isomorphisms which preserve polarisations (we take on $X_2'' \rightarrow S_2'$ the polarisation induced from that of F). As noted in Claim 1, Section 2, H' is of finite type over S_2' (and not only locally of finite type). Since the map $H' \rightarrow S_2'$ is surjective, we can find a component of H' dominating S_2' and hence an étale map $\tilde{S}_2 \rightarrow S_2'$ such that $\tilde{X}_2 = X_2' \times_{S_2'} \tilde{S}_2 \rightarrow \tilde{S}_2$ is \tilde{S}_2 -isomorphic to $\tilde{S}_2 \times_{K_0} F$. Since K is algebraically closed we may embed $K_0(\tilde{S}_2)$ in K and we get

$$V \simeq X_2 \times_{S_2} K \simeq \tilde{X}_2 \times_{\tilde{S}_2} K = F \otimes_{K_0} K \quad (\text{over } K).$$

4. Singular varieties and open varieties

A general strategy of treating singular varieties and open varieties is to treat first pairs consisting of a smooth projective variety plus an effective divisor (sometimes supposed with normal crossings). As a general principle too, global objects have to be replaced by objects with a logarithmic behaviour along the divisor.

This is precisely what we shall do now; namely we shall give a variant of our theory from §§1-3 for pairs (V, D) where V is a smooth projective K -variety (K being as usual algebraically closed of characteristic zero) and D is an effective Cartier divisor on V . A subfield K_1 of K will be called a field of definition for (V, D) if there exists a K_1 -variety V_1 , a divisor D_1 on V_1 and a K -isomorphism $V \simeq V_1 \otimes_{K_1} K$ such that $q^* D_1 = D$ where $q: V \rightarrow V_1$ is the projection. Clearly if K_1 is a field of definition for (V, D) it is also a field of definition for the open variety $V \setminus D$. Now for (V, D) as above we say that a derivation δ on $K(V)$ is logarithmic on (V, D) if it is integral on V and if for any $p \in V$ and any local equation $f \in \mathcal{O}_{V, p}$ of D at p we have

$$f^{-1}\mathcal{S}f \in \mathcal{O}_{V,P}$$

(this is the same as to say that \mathcal{S} takes the ideal sheaf $\mathcal{O}_V(-D)$ into itself!). Denote by $\Delta(V,D)$ the set of logarithmic derivations on (V,D) ; note that $\Delta(V,D) \subset \Delta(V)$ and that $\Delta(V,D_1) = \Delta(V,D_2)$ provided D_1 and D_2 have the same support; this follows from the fact that primes associated to differential ideals in a differential ring are differential [10], p. 232.

Now denote by $T_{V/K}(\log D)$ the subsheaf of the tangent sheaf $T_{V/K}$ of V consisting of those derivations which take $\mathcal{O}_X(-D)$ into itself (see also [5]).

The following Theorem reduces to Theorem 1 if $D=0$.

Theorem 3. Let V be a smooth projective K -variety and D an effective divisor on V . Suppose the injective map

$$H^0(V, T_{V/K}(\log D)) \rightarrow H^0(V, T_{V/K})$$

is also surjective. Then $K^{\Delta(V,D)}$ is the smallest algebraically closed field of definition for (V,D) .

Note that the surjectivity of the map above occurs in each of the following cases:

a) $D=0$

b) $H^0(V, T_{V/K})=0$

c) $D = \sum D_i$, D_i are smooth subvarieties of V crossing normally

and $H^0(D_i, N_{D_i})=0$ (where N_{D_i} is the normal

sheaf of D_i). Indeed in this case the cokernel of the map from Theorem 3 injects into $\bigoplus_i H^0(D_i, N_{D_i})$ cf [5].

Proof of Theorem 3. The only non-trivial fact to prove is that $K_0 = K^{\Delta(V,D)}$ is a field of definition for (V,D) . Since $K^{\Delta(V)} \subset K^{\Delta(V,D)}$ we get by Theorem 1 that K_0 is a field of definition for V i.e. V is K -isomorphic to $V_0 \otimes_K K$ for some V_0 . For any

$\delta \in \Delta(V, D)$ we have $\delta(K) \subset K$ so we may consider the derivation

$\delta^* \in \Delta(V)$ defined by

$$\delta^*(\lambda \otimes y) = \lambda \otimes \delta y \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in K_0(V_0), y \in K$$

Then $\delta - \delta^* \in H^0(V, T_{V/K})$. By hypothesis $(\delta - \delta^*)(\mathcal{O}_V(-D)) \subset \mathcal{O}_V(-D)$. Since $\delta(\mathcal{O}_V(-D)) \subset \mathcal{O}_V(-D)$ we get $\delta^*(\mathcal{O}_V(-D)) \subset \mathcal{O}_V(-D)$. Now we may conclude by the following general:

Lemma 2. Let K be a field, Δ a set of derivations on K , $K_0 = \{\lambda \in K, \delta \lambda = 0 \text{ for all } \delta \in \Delta\}$ and let A_0 be a K_0 -algebra. Put $A = A_0 \otimes_{K_0} K$ and define for any $\delta \in \Delta$ a derivation $\delta^*: A \rightarrow A$ by the rule $\delta^*(\lambda \otimes y) = \lambda \otimes \delta y$ for all $\lambda \in A_0, y \in K$. Suppose I is an ideal in A such that $\delta^*(I) \subset I$ for all $\delta \in \Delta$. Then $I = I_0 \otimes_{K_0} K$ for some ideal I_0 in A_0 .

Proof. Put $I_0 = I \cap A_0$ and $J = I_0 \otimes_{K_0} K$. Suppose $I \setminus J \neq \emptyset$. Let $(e_k)_k$ be a basis of A_0 as a K_0 -vector space and take an element $a = \sum e_k \otimes a_k \in I \setminus J$ ($a_k \in K$) for which the number

$$\# \{k; a_k \neq 0\}$$

is minimal. We may of course assume there is an index k_0 such that $a_{k_0} = 1$. Now for all $\delta \in \Delta$,

$$\sum e_k \otimes \delta a_k = \delta^*(\sum e_k \otimes a_k) \in I$$

so by minimality of a we have that $\sum e_k \otimes \delta a_k \in J$. Since $a \notin J$ there is at least an index k_1 and there is a derivation $\delta \in \Delta$ such that $\delta a_{k_1} \neq 0$. By minimality of a we get that

$$a = a_{k_1} (\delta a_{k_1})^{-1} (\sum_k e_k \delta a_k) \in J$$

from which we get $a \in J$, contradiction. The lemma is proved.

Using Theorem 3 we shall prove the following:

Theorem 4. Let V be a normal projective K -variety of dimension two. Then $K^{\Delta(V)}$ is the smallest algebraically closed field of definition for V .

Proof. Let $f: W \rightarrow V$ be Zariski's canonical resolution; so f is obtained as a composition $W = V_n \rightarrow V_{n-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow V_1 = V$ where V_i is obtained from V_{i-1} by first normalizing V_{i-1} and then blowing up the (reduced) ideal of the singular locus \sum_{i-1} of $(V_{i-1})^{\text{nor}}$. By a theorem of Seidenberg [12] $\Delta(V_{i-1}) \subset \Delta((V_{i-1})^{\text{nor}})$. By another theorem of Seidenberg [10], p.233 for any $y \in \sum_{i-1}$ and for any $\delta \in \Delta((V_{i-1})^{\text{nor}})$ we have $\delta(m_y) \subset m_y$ (here m_y = maximal ideal of \mathcal{O}_y). An elementary local computation shows then that $\Delta(V_{i-1})^{\text{nor}} \subset \Delta(V_i)$. So after all we deduce that $\Delta(V) \subset \Delta(W)$. Put $D = f^{-1}(\sum_1)$ set-theoretically; then D is the support of a reduced divisor which we still call D . Since $W \setminus D \simeq V \setminus \sum_1$ we immediately get that $\Delta(W) \subset \Delta(V)$ so we get $\Delta(V) = \Delta(W)$. We claim that $\Delta(W) = \Delta(W, D)$.

Indeed if $\delta \in \Delta(W)$ then $\delta \in \Delta(V)$ so by Seidenberg's theorem $\delta(m_y) \subset m_y$ for all $y \in \sum_1$. Consequently $\delta(m_y \mathcal{O}_W) \subset m_y \mathcal{O}_W$. We conclude using the fact that the radical of a differential ideal in a differential ring is still a differential ideal [10], p.232. Now the equality $\Delta(W) = \Delta(W, D)$ implies in particular that the map $H^0(W, T_{W/K}(\log D)) \rightarrow H^0(W, T_{W/K})$ is an isomorphism. Applying Theorem 3 we get that $K_0 = K^{\Delta(V)}$ is a field of definition for (W, D) so there is a smooth projective K_0 -variety W_0 such that $W \simeq W_0 \otimes_{K_0} K$ and there is a divisor D_0 on W_0 with $D = q^* D_0$, $(q: W \rightarrow W_0)$. Then we claim that there is a birational morphism $f_0: W_0 \rightarrow V_0$ onto a normal surface V_0 which is an isomorphism above $V \setminus f_0(D_0)$ and such that $f_0(D_0)$

is a finite set.

Indeed there exist projective morphisms $f_S: X \rightarrow Y$, $g: X \rightarrow S$, $h: Y \rightarrow S$, $g = f_S \circ h$ where g and h are projective, S is an affine algebraic K_0 -scheme with $K_0(S) \subseteq K$ and $f_S \times_S \text{Spec}(K): X \times_S \text{Spec}(K) \rightarrow Y \times_S \text{Spec}(K)$ identifies with $f: W \rightarrow V$. Then the desired $f_0: W_0 \rightarrow V_0$ may be obtained by taking the morphism $g^{-1}(s) \rightarrow (h^{-1}(s))$ induced from f_S where $s \in S$ is a sufficiently general K_0 -point of S . Now it is easy to see that V is K -isomorphic to $V_0 \otimes_{K_0} K$ and we are done.

The following seems quite plausible:

Conjecture 1. If V is a normal projective K -variety then $K^{\Delta(V)}$ is the smallest algebraically closed field of definition for V .

Now we close by discussing the case of open non-singular varieties. Let U be a non-singular K -variety. By a compactification of U we mean a triple (V, D, φ) with V non-singular and projective, D a divisor on V and φ a K -isomorphism $U \simeq V \setminus D$.

For any such compactification, $\Delta(V, D)$ identifies via φ with a set of derivations on $K(U)$. Define

$$\Delta(U, \log) = \bigcup \Delta(V, D)$$

the union being taken after all possible compactifications (V, D, φ) of U . It is easy to see that $K^{\Delta(U, \log)}$ is contained in any algebraically closed field of definition for U . We hope the following to be true:

Conjecture 2. If U is a non-singular K -variety, $K^{\Delta(U, \log)}$ is the smallest algebraically closed field of definition for U .

We can prove Conjecture 2 in various special cases. For instance:

Theorem 5. Conjecture 2 holds in any of the following cases:

- 1) U is an affine curve
- 2) U is an affine surface of general type.

To prove Theorem 5 we need some preparation.

We say that $(V_1, D_1, \varphi_1) \leq (V_2, D_2, \varphi_2)$ for two compactifications of U if the rational map $\varphi_1 \varphi_2^{-1}: V_2 \dashrightarrow V_1$ is everywhere defined. It is easy to see that in this situation $\Delta(V_2, D_2) \subset \Delta(V_1, D_1)$ as subsets in $K(U)$. So if the set of compactifications of U has a smallest element (V_1, D_1, φ_1) we have

$$\Delta(U, \log) = \Delta(V_1, D_1)$$

Note that a smallest element as above does not necessarily exist (compare with [5]).

Now for a smooth projective K -variety V , let $\sigma: V \rightarrow V$ be a K -automorphism and let $\sigma^*: K(V) \rightarrow K(V)$ the corresponding K -automorphism of $K(V)$. Take D an effective divisor on V . Furthermore consider a set Δ of derivations on $K(V)$. Denote by Δ^σ the set $\{(\sigma^*)^{-1} \circ \delta, \delta \in \Delta\}$. Then it is easy to check that:

- a) $K^\Delta = K^{\Delta^\sigma}$
- b) $(\Delta(V, D))^\sigma = \Delta(V, \sigma(D))$

In particular $K^{\Delta(V, D)}$ is a field of definition for (V, D) if and only if $K^{\Delta(V, \sigma(D))}$ is a field of definition for $(V, \sigma(D))$.

Now let's start the proof of Theorem 5.

Suppose U is an affine curve.

In this case there is essentially a unique compactification

(V, D, φ) with D reduced so $\Delta(U, \log) = \Delta(V, D)$.

Put $g = \text{genus of } V$. If $g \geq 2$, $H^0(V, T_{V/K}) = 0$ and we conclude by Theorem 3. Suppose $g = 1$.

Put $K_0 = K^{\Delta(V, D)}$; by Theorem 1, there is a K -isomorphism $V \cong V_0 \otimes_{K_0} K$ with V_0 an elliptic curve over K_0 . Let $p_0 \in V_0(K_0)$ be a K_0 -point of V_0 and $p \in V(K)$ the unique K -point of V lying over p_0 . By transitivity of $\text{Aut}_K(V)$ on V and by the preparation above, we may suppose $p \in D$. For any $\delta \in \Delta(V, D)$ let $\delta^* \in \Delta(V)$ be the derivation defined as in the proof of Theorem 3 (so $\delta^*(\lambda \otimes y) = \lambda \otimes \delta y$ for $\lambda \in K_0(V_0), y \in K$). Since $\delta - \delta^* \in H^0(V, T_{V/K}) = H^0(V_0, T_{V_0/K_0}) \otimes_{K_0} K$ we get $\delta - \delta^* = f\theta$ with $f \in K, \theta =$ a generator of $H^0(V_0, T_{V_0/K_0})$. Now if t is a parameter of the maximal ideal m_{p_0} of \mathcal{O}_{V_0, p_0} then $\theta t \in m_{p_0}$. On the other hand $\delta^*(m_p) \subset m_p$ because $m_p = m_{p_0} \otimes K$ hence $f\theta(m_p) \subset m_p$. In particular $\theta t \otimes f = f\theta(t \otimes 1) \in m_{p_0} \otimes K$ which implies $f = 0$, hence $\delta = \delta^*$. Now we may conclude by Lemma 2.

Suppose now $g = 0$. If $\#D \leq 3$, \mathbb{Q} is a field of definition for (\mathbb{P}_K^1, D) and we are done. Suppose $\#D \geq 4$ and take $p_1, p_2, p_3 \in D$. Since $\text{Aut}_K(\mathbb{P}_K^1)$ is triply transitive we may assume that each p_i ($i=1, 2, 3$) lies over a K_0 -point p_i^0 of $\mathbb{P}_{K_0}^1$ ($K_0 = K^{\Delta(V, D)}$). For any $\delta \in \Delta(V, D)$ define δ^* as above; then we have $\delta - \delta^* = a_0\theta_0 + a_1\theta_1 + a_2\theta_2$ with $a_0, a_1, a_2 \in K$ and $\theta_0, \theta_1, \theta_2 \in H^0(\mathbb{P}_{K_0}^1, T_{\mathbb{P}_{K_0}^1/K_0})$,

$$\theta_0 t = 1$$

$$\theta_1 t = t$$

$$\theta_2 t = t^2$$

where $\mathbb{P}_{K_0}^1 = \text{Proj } K_0[t_0, t_1]$, $t = t_1/t_0$. Once again $(\delta - \delta^*)(m_{p_i}) \subset m_{p_i}$ and if $m_{p_i} = (t - \lambda_i)$ for $\lambda_i \in K_0$ we get

$$a_0 + a_1\lambda_i + a_2\lambda_i^2 = 0 \quad \text{for } i=1, 2, 3$$

This implies $a_0 = a_1 = a_2$ and we conclude again by Lemma 2.

Like
We would to note that in a similar vein but using some additional tricks one can treat complements of divisors in projective spaces and abelian varieties of dimension ≥ 2 (cf. [2]).

Let's consider the case when U is as in 2) and embed U in a smooth projective surface V . Contracting successively the exceptional curves of the first kind in $V \setminus U$ we may suppose $V \setminus U$ does not contain such curves.

Since U is affine, $D=V \setminus U$ is a divisor and one can easily see that if $i:U \rightarrow V$ is the inclusion then (V,D,i) is the smallest compactification of U . By our preparation and since $H^0(V, T_{V/K})=0$ we may conclude by Theorem 3. Clearly, the same argument works for a large class of surfaces U , not necessarily of general type.

5. Complete local rings

In this section we discuss the local analog of our theory. As in §1, let K be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. A K -singularity will mean any local noetherian complete K -algebra whose residue field is a trivial extension of K ; so A is K -isomorphic to $K[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]/J$ for some $n \geq 1$ and some ideal J . A subfield K_1 of K will be called a field of definition for A if there exists a K -isomorphism as above with J generated by elements of $K_1[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$.

Now let $\Delta(A)$ be the set of all derivations $\delta: A \rightarrow A$ for which $\delta(K) \subseteq K$ and define

$$K^{\Delta(A)} = \{ \lambda \in K; \delta \lambda = 0 \text{ for all } \delta \in \Delta(A) \}$$

Clearly $K^{\Delta(A)}$ is an algebraically closed subfield of K . We hope the following to be true:

Conjecture 3. If A is a normal isolated K -singularity,

$K^{\Delta(A)}$ is the smallest algebraically closed field of definition for A .

Now it is easy to see (using an argument analog to that given in the beginning of Section 3) that $K^{\Delta(A)}$ is always contained in any algebraically closed field of definition for A ; so the hard part of Conjecture 3 says that $K^{\Delta(A)}$ is a field of definition for A . Note also that, exactly as in §1, if Conjecture 3 holds for A and if k is an algebraically closed subfield of K and $\{t_\alpha\}_\alpha$ is a transcendence basis of K/k then k is a field of definition for A if and only if $\partial/\partial t_\alpha: K \rightarrow K$ lift to derivations $\delta_\alpha: A \rightarrow A$.

We are able to prove Conjecture 3 in two special cases:

Theorem 6. Conjecture 3 holds in each of the following cases:

- 1) A is a homogeneous singularity
- 2) A is a quasi-homogeneous surface singularity.

Recall that a K -singularity is called homogeneous (quasi-homogeneous respectively) if there is a K -isomorphism $A \cong K[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]/J$ with J generated by homogeneous polynomials (respectively by polynomials which are quasi-homogeneous with respect to some weights w_1, \dots, w_n associated to X_1, \dots, X_n).

Theorem 6 will be proved by reduction to the global case.

Suppose first A is a quasi-homogeneous surface singularity, $A = K[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]/(F_1, \dots, F_m)$, F_j being quasihomogeneous with respect to the weights w_1, \dots, w_n . Put $B = K[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]/(F_1, \dots, F_m) = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} B_k$ where B_k is the piece of degree k with respect to the weights. Now there are natural K -linear maps $\varphi_k: A \rightarrow B_k$ which take the class of a series $f \in K[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$ into the class of the polynomial f_k , where f_k is the sum of all monomials of f having degree k (with respect to w_1, \dots, w_n). For any derivation

$\delta \in \Delta(A)$ one can construct in a canonical way a derivation $\tilde{\delta}: B \rightarrow B$ with $\tilde{\delta}(B_k) \subset B_k$ and such that δ and $\tilde{\delta}$ coincide on K ; indeed for any $b \in B$ write $b = \sum b_k$, $b_k \in B_k$ and put

$$\tilde{\delta}(b) = \sum b_k (\delta b_k)$$

It is trivial to check that $\tilde{\delta}$ has the desired properties. Put $W = \text{Proj}(B[T])$ where $\text{weight}(T) = 1$ and extend $\tilde{\delta}$ to a derivation still denoted by $\tilde{\delta}$ on $B[T]$ such that $\tilde{\delta}T = 0$. Now W is a projective surface and we consider its normalisation $V = W^{\text{nor}}$. Clearly $\tilde{\delta}$ induces a derivation (still denoted by $\tilde{\delta}$) which belongs to $\Delta(W)$. By Seidenberg's theorem [12] this derivation induces a derivation $\tilde{\delta} \in \Delta(V)$. But now $K^{\Delta(V)} \subset K(A)$ so by Theorem 4, $K_0 = K^{\Delta(A)}$ is a field of definition for V hence V is K -isomorphic to $V_0 \otimes_{K_0} K$ where V_0 is some projective normal K_0 -surface. So there exists a K_0 -point $p_0 \in V_0$ such that the only K -point of V lying above it is the isolated singular point p corresponding to the irrelevant ideal of B . Let U_0 be an open affine neighbourhood of p_0 in V_0 , $U_0 = \text{Spec}(K_0[x_1, \dots, x_N] / (G_1, \dots, G_M))$, $p_0 = (x_1^{-\lambda_1}, \dots, x_N^{-\lambda_N})$, $\lambda_j \in K_0$. Then we have K -isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{A} \simeq \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{V,p} &\simeq ((K[x_1, \dots, x_N] / (G_1, \dots, G_M))_{(x_1^{-\lambda_1}, \dots, x_N^{-\lambda_N})})^{\wedge} \\ &\simeq K[[x_1, \dots, x_N]] / (\sigma G_1, \dots, \sigma G_M) \end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma: K[[x_1, \dots, x_N]] \rightarrow K[[x_1, \dots, x_N]]$ takes x_j into $x_j + \lambda_j$ and we are done because $\sigma G_j \in K_0[[x_1, \dots, x_N]]$.

The proof of Theorem 6 in the homogeneous case is similar and we omit it; instead of using Theorem 4 one has to blow up the vertex of the projective cone W associated to the graded ring of A and to apply Theorem 1 to this blown up cone.

References

- 1 A.Buium, Corps différentiels et modules des variétés algébriques, C.R.Acad. Sci.Paris, to appear
- 2 A.Buium, paper in preparation
- 3 A.Grothendieck, J. Dieudonné, Elements de Géométrie Algébrique, Publ.Math.IHES, Vol.32.
- 4 A.Grothendieck, Fondements de la Géométrie Algébrique, Sém. Bourbaki (1957-1962)
- 5 Y. Kawamata, On deformations of compactifiable complex manifolds, Math. Ann. 235,3(1978), 247-267.
- 6 E.Kolchin, Differential Algebra and Algebraic Groups, Academic Press, New York 1973.
- 7 K.Kodaira and C.C.Spencer, On deformations of complex analytic structures, I,II, Ann. of Math. 67(1958), 328-466.
- 8 M.Matsuda, First order algebraic differential equations, Lecture Notes in Math.804, Springer 1980.
- 9 T.Matsusaka, Algebraic deformations of polarized varieties, Nagoya Math.J. 31(1968), 185-247.
- 10 H.Matsumura, Integrable derivations, Nagoya Math. J., 87(1982), 227-244.
- 11 M.Rosenlicht, Some basic theorems on algebraic groups, Amer. J.Math. 1,78(1956), 401-443.
- 12 A.Seidenberg, Derivations and integral closure, Pacific J.Math. 16(1966), 167-173.
- 13 E.Viehweg, Weak positivity and the additivity of the Kodaira dimension, II: the Torelli map, in: Classification of Algebraic and Analytic manifolds, Birkhäuser 1983, 567-584.

A.Buium
Department of Mathematics
INCREST
Bd. Păcii 220
79622 Bucharest, Romania.