

INSTITUTUL  
DE  
MATEMATICA

INSTITUTUL NATIONAL  
PENTRU CREATIE  
STIINTIFICA SI TEHNICA

ISSN 0250 3638

---

TENSOR PRODUCTS OF BUNCE-DEDDENS ALGEBRAS

by

Cornel PASNICU

PREPRINT SERIES IN MATHEMATICS

No. 54/1985

---

BUCURESTI

Med 23676

TENSOR PRODUCTS OF BUNCE-DEDDENS ALGEBRAS

by

Cornel PASNICU \*)

September 1985

\*) The National Institute for Scientific and Technical Creation,  
Department of Mathematics, Bd. Pachei 220, 79622 Bucharest, Romania



# TENSOR PRODUCTS OF BUNCE - DEDDENS ALGEBRAS

by

Cornel PASNICU

For each positive integer  $n \geq 1$ , let  $C^*(S(n))$  be the  $C^*$  - algebra generated by all weighted shifts (with respect to some fixed orthonormal basis  $(e_m)_{m \geq 0}$  of the Hilbert space  $H$ ) of period  $n$ . Given a strictly increasing sequence of positive integers  $\underline{p} = (p_k)$ , with  $p_k$  dividing  $p_{k+1}$  for all  $k \geq 1$ , the Bunce - Deddens algebra, denoted in this paper by  $A(\underline{p})$ , is  $\bigcup_k \mathcal{V}(C^*(S(p_k)))$  (see [2]), where  $\mathcal{V} : B(H) \rightarrow B(H)/K(H)$  is the canonical surjection onto the Calkin algebra.

In this paper we give necessary and sufficient conditions for two  $C^*$  - algebras, each of which is the (spatial) tensor product of two Bunce - Deddens algebras, to be stably isomorphic (see Theorem 2.5. below) or  $*$  - isomorphic (see Theorem 2.6. below).

The interest in this problem is motivated by the study of certain inductive limit  $C^*$  - algebras (see [7]).

Let  $\underline{p} = (p_k)$  (resp.  $\underline{q} = (q_k)$ ) be two strictly increasing sequences of positive integers, with  $p_k$  (resp.  $q_k$ ) dividing  $p_{k+1}$  (resp.  $q_{k+1}$ ) for all  $k \geq 1$ . We consider:

$$C(T^2) \otimes_{M_{p_1 q_1}} \xrightarrow{\Lambda_1} C(T^2) \otimes_{M_{p_2 q_2}} \xrightarrow{\Lambda_2} \dots$$

where each  $\Lambda_k$  is an isometric  $*$  - homomorphism such that  $\Lambda_k(f \otimes \varphi_k \otimes 1_{p_k q_k}) = f \otimes 1_{p_{k+1} q_{k+1}}$ ,  $f \in C(T^2)$  (where  $T^2 \ni (u, v) \mapsto (u^{p_{k+1}/p_k}, v^{q_{k+1}/q_k}) \in T^2$ ),  $\varphi_k \in T^2$ .

Denote by  $A(\underline{p}, \underline{q}, (\Lambda_k))$  the corresponding inductive limit. In [7] it was shown that  $A(\underline{p}, \underline{q}, (\Lambda_k))$  is  $*$  - isomorphic to the (spatial)  $C^*$  - tensor product  $A(\underline{p}) \otimes A(\underline{q})$ . So that, the theorem proved in this paper can be used to obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for  $C^*$  - algebras of the type  $A(\underline{p}, \underline{q}, (\Lambda_k))$  to be  $*$  - isomorphic or stably isomorphic.

## § 1.

Let  $\underline{p} = (p_n)$  be a strictly increasing sequence of strictly positive integers, with  $p_n$  dividing  $p_{n+1}$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Throughout this paper we shall denote by underlined characters such kind of sequences (e.g.  $\underline{q}, \underline{r}, \underline{p}_1, \underline{p}_2, \dots$ ).

Given  $\underline{p} = (p_n)$ , we shall introduce the notation :

$$G(\underline{p}) = \{ m/p_n \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}, n = 1, 2, \dots \}$$

a subgroup of the rationals.

For  $\underline{p} = (p_n), \underline{q} = (q_n)$  we shall write  $\underline{p}/\underline{q}$  if for every  $k$  there is a  $l$  such that  $p_k$  divides  $q_l$ .

Whenever  $\underline{p}/\underline{q}$  and  $\underline{q}/\underline{p}$  we shall use the notation:

$$\underline{p} \sim \underline{q}.$$

Let  $P$  denote the set of prime integers. We shall note by  $f(\underline{p})$  the generalized natural number canonically associated with  $\underline{p}$ , i.e.:

$$f(\underline{p}) = \sup \{ n / (\exists i \text{ such that } x^n \text{ divides } p_i) \} \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\} \cup \{+\infty\}$$

It is easily seen that:

$$f(\underline{p} \cdot \underline{q}) = f(\underline{p}) + f(\underline{q}); f(\underline{p}) < f(\underline{q}) \Leftrightarrow \underline{p}/\underline{q}$$

In particular:

$$\underline{p} \sim \underline{q} \Leftrightarrow f(\underline{p}) = f(\underline{q})$$

We shall write:

$$f(\underline{p}) < f(\underline{q})$$

if there are strictly positive integers  $a$  and  $b$  such that  $f(a \cdot \underline{p}) < f(b \cdot \underline{q})$ .

If  $f(\underline{p}) < f(\underline{q})$  and  $f(\underline{q}) < f(\underline{p})$  we shall use the notation:

$$f(\underline{p}) \sim f(\underline{q})$$

Every strictly positive integer  $m$  will be also considered as a map  $\tilde{m}: P \rightarrow \{0, 1, 2, \dots\} \cup \{+\infty\}$ , defined in the obvious way. Note that  $f(\underline{p}) + \tilde{m} = f(m \cdot \underline{p})$ .

If  $A$  and  $B$  are  $C^*$ -algebras, we shall denote  $A \otimes B = A \otimes_{\min} B$ .

For a unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  which has an unique trace state  $\tau$ , we shall use the notation:

$$R(A) = \{ \tau(p)/p = p^* = p^2 \in A \}.$$

We shall denote by  $K$  the  $C^*$ -algebra of compact operators on a complex separable infinite-dimensional Hilbert space.

The following theorem will be used in the sequel with no reference:

Theorem ([4], [5])

$$K_0(A(\underline{p})) \cong G(\underline{p})$$

$$K_1(A(\underline{p})) \cong \mathbb{Z}$$

§ 2.

2.1. Proposition.

$$K_0(A(\underline{p}) \otimes A(\underline{q})) \cong G(\underline{p} \cdot \underline{q}) \oplus \mathbb{Z}$$

$$K_1(A(\underline{p}) \otimes A(\underline{q})) \cong G(\underline{p}) \oplus G(\underline{q}).$$

Proof:

Since  $K_0(A(\underline{r})) \cong G(\underline{r})$  and  $K_1(A(\underline{r})) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $K_*(A(\underline{r}))$  is torsion free.



On the other hand, it is easily seen that  $A(\underline{p})$  ( $\underline{p} = (p_n)$ ) is  $*$ -isomorphic with the inductive limit of a system:

$$C(T) \otimes M_{p_1} \xrightarrow{\phi_1} C(T) \otimes M_{p_2} \xrightarrow{\phi_2} \dots$$

where each  $\phi_k$  is a certain unital isometric  $*$ -homomorphism (see [2], proof of Theorem 2.)

So that, using these facts, by Proposition 2.4. and Proposition 2.11. from [8], it follows that the Künneth formula holds for  $A(\underline{p}) \otimes A(\underline{q})$ :

$$\begin{aligned} K_0(A(\underline{p}) \otimes A(\underline{q})) &\simeq \\ &\simeq (K_0(A(\underline{p})) \otimes K_0(A(\underline{q})) \oplus (K_1(A(\underline{p})) \otimes K_1(A(\underline{q}))) \simeq G(\underline{p} \cdot \underline{q}) \oplus \mathbb{Z} \\ K_1(A(\underline{p}) \otimes A(\underline{q})) &\simeq \\ &\simeq (K_0(A(\underline{p})) \otimes K_1(A(\underline{q})) \oplus (K_1(A(\underline{p})) \otimes K_0(A(\underline{q}))) \simeq G(\underline{p}) \oplus G(\underline{q}), \text{Q.E.D.} \end{aligned}$$

## 2.2. Corollary

$A(\underline{p}) \otimes A(\underline{q})$  is not homotopy equivalent with a  $W^*$ -algebra or with an A.F. - algebra.

**Proof:**

By Proposition 2.1.,  $K_1(A(\underline{p}) \otimes A(\underline{q}))$  is not trivial.

## 2.3. Proposition

$A(\underline{p}) \otimes A(\underline{q})$  has an unique trace state and:

$$R(A(\underline{p}) \otimes A(\underline{q})) = G(\underline{p} \cdot \underline{q}) \cap [0, 1]$$

**Proof:**

Since every Bunce - Deddens algebra has an unique trace state (see [1]), by a joint result of J.Cuntz and G.K.Pedersen (see [3], Corollary 6.13) it follows that the same is true for  $A(\underline{p}) \otimes A(\underline{q})$ .

We denote  $p_{k+1} q_{k+1} / p_k q_k = s_{k+1}$  for  $k \geq 1$  and  $p_1 q_1 = s_1$ . Using a result of P.G. Ghatage and W.J. Phillips (see [5], Lemma 2.3.) one obtains that there is an imbedding of  $A(\underline{p}) \otimes A(\underline{q})$  into the U.H.F. - algebra  $\bigotimes_{k=1}^{\infty} M_{s_k}$ . It turns out that:

$$R(A(\underline{p}) \otimes A(\underline{q})) \subset R\left(\bigotimes_{k=1}^{\infty} M_{s_k}\right) = G(\underline{p} \cdot \underline{q}) \cap [0, 1].$$

Since the reverse inequality is obvious (for each  $\underline{r}$  there is an imbedding of a U.H.F.-algebra of type  $\underline{r}$  into  $A(\underline{r})$  (see [2])), the proof is complete.

## 2.4. Remark.

Let us observe that:

$$A(\underline{p}) \otimes K \simeq A(\underline{q}) \otimes K \text{ iff } f(\underline{p}) \sim f(\underline{q}).$$

First of all, since  $K_0(A(\underline{p})) \simeq G(\underline{p})$ ,  $K_0(A(\underline{q})) \simeq G(\underline{q})$ , we remark that  $K_0(A(\underline{p})) \simeq K_0(A(\underline{q}))$  iff  $f(\underline{p}) \sim f(\underline{q})$ .

Suppose  $A(\underline{p}) \otimes K \simeq A(\underline{q}) \otimes K$ . It follows that  $K_0(A(\underline{p})) \simeq K_0(A(\underline{q}))$  and, by the above remark,  $f(\underline{p}) \sim f(\underline{q})$ .

If  $f(\underline{p}) \sim f(\underline{q})$ , then there are strictly positive integers  $m, n$  such that:

$$m \cdot \underline{p} \sim n \cdot \underline{q}.$$

which is equivalent with:

$$A(m \cdot \underline{p}) \simeq A(n \cdot \underline{q})$$

Using ([7], Remark 3.3.), it easily seen that:

$$A(m \cdot \underline{p}) \simeq A(\underline{p}) \otimes M_m, A(n \cdot \underline{q}) \simeq A(\underline{q}) \otimes M_n$$

We deduce that:

$$A(\underline{p}) \otimes M_m \simeq A(\underline{q}) \otimes M_n$$

which implies that:

$$A(\underline{p}) \otimes K \simeq A(\underline{q}) \otimes K.$$

## 2.5. Theorem

We consider  $A_i = A(\underline{p}_i)$ ,  $B_i = A(\underline{q}_i)$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ). Then, the following are equivalent:

$$(i) A_1 \otimes A_2 \otimes K \simeq B_1 \otimes B_2 \otimes K$$

$$(ii) K_1(A_1 \otimes A_2) \simeq K_1(A_1 \otimes B_2)$$

(iii) there are a permutation  $\sigma$  of  $\{1, 2\}$  and strictly positive integers  $m_i$ ,  $n_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) such that:

$$m_i \cdot \underline{p}_i \sim n_i \cdot \underline{q}_{\sigma(i)} \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

(iv) there is a permutation  $\sigma$  of  $\{1, 2\}$  such that:

$$A_i \otimes K \simeq B_{\sigma(i)} \otimes K \quad (i = 1, 2)$$

Proof:

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii). Using Proposition 2.1. it follows that there is a group isomorphism  $\phi = (a_{ij})_{i,j=1}^2: G(\underline{p}_1) \oplus G(\underline{p}_2) \rightarrow G(\underline{q}_1) \oplus G(\underline{q}_2)$ , where the  $a_{ij}$ 's belong to  $Q$ . We consider  $\phi^{-1} = (b_{ij})_{i,j=1}^2$ , where the  $b_{ij}$ 's belong to  $Q$ . It is easily seen that there exists a permutation  $\sigma$  of  $\{1, 2\}$  such that:

$$a_{\sigma(i)i} \cdot b_{i\sigma(i)} \neq 0 \quad (i = 1, 2)$$

It follows that:

$$f(\underline{p}_i) \sim f(\underline{q}_{\sigma(i)}) \quad (i = 1, 2)$$

or, equivalently, there exist strictly positive integers  $m_i, n_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) such that:

$$m_i \cdot \underline{p}_i \sim n_i \cdot \underline{q}_{\sigma(i)} \quad (i = 1, 2)$$

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv) is a consequence of Remark 2.4.

Since the implications (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) and (iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) are obvious, the proof of Theorem 2.5. is complete.

## 2.6. Theorem

The following are equivalent:

$$(i) A(\underline{p}_1) \otimes A(\underline{p}_2) \simeq A(\underline{q}_1) \otimes A(\underline{q}_2)$$



(ii)  $\underline{p}_1 \sim \underline{p}_2 \sim \underline{q}_1 \sim \underline{q}_2$   
and there is a permutation  $\sigma$  of  $\{1, 2\}$  and strictly positive integers  $m_i, n_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) such that:

$$m_i \cdot \underline{p}_i \sim n_i \cdot \underline{q}_{\sigma(i)} \quad (i = 1, 2)$$

**Proof:**

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) follows from Proposition 2.3. and Theorem 2.5.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Suppose (ii) is true. Then, there are  $F_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ), finite subsets of  $P$ , such that:

$$f(\underline{p}_i)(x) = f(\underline{q}_{\sigma(i)})(x), x \in P \setminus F_i \quad (i = 1, 2)$$

$$f(\underline{p}_i)(x), f(\underline{q}_{\sigma(i)})(x) < +\infty, x \in F_i \quad (i = 1, 2)$$

Let  $a_i, b_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) be strictly positive integers such that:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{a}_1(x) - \tilde{b}_1(x) &= \begin{cases} f(\underline{p}_1)(x) - f(\underline{q}_{\sigma(1)})(x), x \in F_1 \\ -f(\underline{p}_2)(x) + f(\underline{q}_{\sigma(2)})(x), x \in F_2 \setminus F_1 \\ 0, x \in P \setminus (F_1 \cup F_2) \end{cases} \\ \tilde{a}_2(x) - \tilde{b}_2(x) &= \begin{cases} f(\underline{p}_2)(x) - f(\underline{q}_{\sigma(2)})(x), x \in F_2 \\ -f(\underline{p}_1)(x) + f(\underline{q}_{\sigma(1)})(x), x \in F_1 \setminus F_2 \\ 0, x \in P \setminus (F_1 \cup F_2) \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

It is easily seen that:

$$\underline{f}(\underline{p}_i) = \underline{f}(\underline{q}_{\sigma(i)}) + \tilde{a}_i - \tilde{b}_i \quad (i = 1, 2)$$

and:

$$a_1 \cdot a_2 = b_1 \cdot b_2.$$

Replacing each  $\underline{q}_i$  with a subsequence, we may suppose that:

$$\underline{p}_i \sim \underline{r}_i \cdot \underline{q}_{\sigma(i)} \quad (i = 1, 2)$$

where  $\underline{r}_i := a_i \cdot \underline{b}_i^{-1}$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ), which implies that:

$$\underline{A}(\underline{p}_1) \otimes \underline{A}(\underline{p}_2) \simeq \underline{A}(\underline{r}_1 \cdot \underline{q}_{\sigma(1)}) \otimes \underline{A}(\underline{r}_2^{-1} \cdot \underline{q}_{\sigma(2)})$$

But, by the Theorem proved in [7], one can easily obtain:

$$\underline{A}(\underline{r}_1 \cdot \underline{q}_{\sigma(1)}) \otimes \underline{A}(\underline{r}_2^{-1} \cdot \underline{q}_{\sigma(2)}) \simeq \underline{A}(\underline{q}_1) \otimes \underline{A}(\underline{q}_2)$$

In conclusion:

$$\underline{A}(\underline{p}_1) \otimes \underline{A}(\underline{p}_2) \simeq \underline{A}(\underline{q}_1) \otimes \underline{A}(\underline{q}_2).$$

## REFERENCES:

- [1] R.J. Archbold, An averaging process for  $C^*$  - algebras related to weighted shifts, Proc.London Math.Soc.(3), 35(1977), 541 - 554.
- [2] J.W Bunce and J.A.Deddens, A family of simple  $C^*$  - algebras related to weighted shift operators, J. Functional Analysis 19 (1975), 13 - 24.
- [3] J. Cuntz and G.K.Pedersen, Equivalence and Traces on  $C^*$  - algebras, J.Functional Analysis 33, (1979), 135 - 164.
- [4] D.E.Evans, Gauge actions on  $\mathcal{O}_A$ , J.Operator Theory, vol.7,(1982), 79 - 100.
- [5] P.G.Ghatage and W.J. Phillips,  $C^*$  - algebras generated by weighted shifts II, Indiana Univ.Math.J. vol.30, no.4(1981), 539 - 545.
- [6] J.G. Glimm, On a certain class of operator algebras, Trans.Amer.Math.Soc. 95(1960), 318 - 340.
- [7] C. Pasnicu, On certain inductive limit  $C^*$  - algebras, Indiana Univ.Math.J. (to appear).
- [8] C. Schochet, Topological methods for  $C^*$  - algebras II: Geometric resolutions and K nneth formula, Pacific J.Math., vol.98, no.2,(1982), 443 - 458.