

INSTITUTUL
DE
MATEMATICA

INSTITUTUL NATIONAL
PENTRU CREATIE
STIINTIFICA SI TEHNICA

ISSN 0250 3638

ON HOMOMORPHISMS OF CERTAIN C^* -ALGEBRAS

by

Marius DADARLAT

PREPRINT SERIES IN MATHEMATICS

No. 11/1986

BUCURESTI

Med 23715

ON HOMOMORPHISMS OF CERTAIN C^* -ALGEBRAS

by

Marius DADARLAT*)

March 1986

*) Department of Mathematics, National Institute for Scientific and Technical Creation, Bd. Păcii 220, 79622 Bucharest, Romania.

ON HOMOMORPHISMS OF CERTAIN C*-ALGEBRAS

by

Marius DADARLAT

The present paper deals with unital $*$ -homomorphisms $\phi: C(X) \otimes M_n \rightarrow C(Y) \otimes M_{kn}$, where X and Y are compact. Our interest in such homomorphisms arose in connection with a question of E.G. Effros [3] concerning the structure of inductive limits of C*-algebras of the form $C(X) \otimes M_n$.

If $X=Y$ and $k=1$ we have the case of automorphisms studied by R.V. Kadison-J. Ringrose [6], J. Phillips-I. Raeburn [9], [10] and K. Thomsen [13]. Also in connection with the question of E.G. Effros certain classes of $*$ -homomorphisms related to a covering $X \rightarrow Y$ have been considered by C. Pasnicu in [7]. Our results concern the situation when no connection between the spaces X and Y is imposed a priori.

The paper consists of three sections. In the first section we show that under certain topological restrictions on Y the study of homomorphism ϕ can be reduced to the case when $n=1$. The topological conditions involve that the homotopy type of Y be that of a CW-complex of dimension $\leq 2k$ and the absence of n -torsion in K^0 . Section 2 provides a way for describing $*$ -homomorphisms $\phi: C(X) \rightarrow C(Y) \otimes M_k$ (Thm 2.2). Of course if $k=1$ such homomorphisms correspond to continuous maps $Y \rightarrow X$. To give an idea about the additional complications arising in the case when $k>1$, our general result being somewhat technical, let us consider the homogeneous case. This means that for every $y \in Y$ there is some $f \in C(X)$ such that the matrix

$\Phi(f)(y)$ has k distinct eigenvalues. Then Φ is completely characterized by the following objects:

- (1) A k -fold covering space $\pi : Z \rightarrow Y$.
- (2) A continuous map $\varphi : Z \rightarrow X$ which is injective on each fibre $\pi^{-1}(y)$, $y \in Y$.
- (3) One dimensional projections $p(z)$ depending continuously on $z \in Z$ such that

$$\sum_{z \in \pi^{-1}(y)} p(z) = I_k \quad (I_k \text{ denotes the unit of } M_k)$$

Then Φ is given by the following formula

$$(4) \quad \Phi(f)(y) = \sum_{z \in \pi^{-1}(y)} f(\varphi(z)) p(z), \quad f \in C(X), y \in Y.$$

The third section contains results concerning $*$ -homomorphisms compatible with the k -fold covering $\psi : X \rightarrow Y$ in the sense of C. Pasnicu [7]. This means that

$$(5) \quad \Phi((g \circ \psi) \otimes I_n) = g \otimes I_{kn} \quad \text{for all } g \in C(Y).$$

This property appeared as the essential feature of the homomorphisms in the description of the Bunce-Deddens algebras [2] as inductive limits. ψ -compatible homomorphisms can be also viewed as a kind of "sections" for $\psi : X \rightarrow Y$. More precisely they make the following diagram commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 C(X) \otimes M_n & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & C(Y) \otimes M_{kn} \\
 \swarrow \psi^* & & \nearrow \alpha \\
 & C(Y) &
 \end{array}$$

where $\alpha(g) = g \otimes I_{kn}$ and $\psi^*(g) = (g \circ \psi) \otimes I_n$.

ψ -compatible homomorphisms are homogeneous under natural connectedness assumptions. Assuming $n=1$ a global description of the structure of the ψ -compatible homomorphisms is provided in Proposition 3.2. We also give certain results concerning the existence of ψ -compatible maps and discuss some examples.

For instance if G is a finite abelian group acting freely on X then the natural injection $C(X) \hookrightarrow C(X) \rtimes G$ can be turned into a homomorphism compatible with the covering $X \rightarrow X/G$ provided the crossed-product $C(X) \rtimes G$ is isomorphic to some $C(X/G) \otimes M_k$.

The author thanks M. Putinar for useful discussions in connection with Lemma 1.2. Also the author is grateful to V. Deaconu and A. Némethi for stimulating conversations.

1. UNITAL *-HOMOMORPHISMS

We need in this section some elementary sheaf cohomology. It is known that every short sequence of sheaves of abelian groups induces a long sequence of cohomology groups ([4]). We may also consider sheaves of nonabelian groups and homogeneous spaces but in this case we get only a short sequence of cohomological sets. We shall give some details for the convenience of the reader.

A sequence of pointed sets (or pointed topological spaces) and maps

$$\rightarrow (A_i, x_i) \xrightarrow{F_i} (A_{i+1}, x_{i+1}) \xrightarrow{F_{i+1}} (A_{i+2}, x_{i+2}) \rightarrow$$

is called exact if the equality

$$F_i(A_i) = F_{i+1}^{-1}(x_{i+2})$$

holds for every i .

For an arbitrary pointed topological space (A, a) we denote by A^C the sheaf of the germs of continuous functions from X to A . For $x \in X$ we denote by $(A^C)_x$ the stalk at x of the sheaf A^C . We distinguish in $(A^C)_x$ the function which is equal to a on a neighborhood of x .

Let G be a Lie group and let H be a closed subgroup of G . We have the following short exact sequence of pointed topological spaces

$$(6) \quad 1 \rightarrow (H, 1_H) \rightarrow (G, 1_G) \rightarrow (G/H, \langle H \rangle) \rightarrow 1$$

Recall that G acts on the homogeneous space G/H in the canonical way $g \cdot (xH) = (gx)H$.

The following sequence of sheaves is exact

$$(8) \quad 1 \rightarrow H^C \rightarrow G^C \rightarrow (G/H)^C \rightarrow 1$$

We mean by this that the following sequence of pointed sets and maps is exact for every $x \in X$:

$$(9) \quad 1 \rightarrow (H^C)_x \rightarrow (G^C)_x \rightarrow (G/H)^C_x \rightarrow 1$$

This is nothing more than the fibering $H \rightarrow G \rightarrow G/H$ admits continuous local sections and this is clear since H is a closed subgroup of the Lie group G .

Now we can derive from (8) the following exact sequence of pointed cohomological sets

$$(10) \quad 1 \rightarrow H^0(X, H^C) \rightarrow H^0(X, G^C) \rightarrow H^0(X, (G/H)^C) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^1(X, H^C) \xrightarrow{\gamma} H^1(X, G^C)$$

We have that $H^0(X, H^C)$ is equal to $C(X, H)$ the set (group) of all continuous functions from X to H pointed by $f \equiv 1_H$. Similarly $H^0(X, G^C) = C(X, G)$ is pointed by $f \equiv 1_G$ and $H^0(X, (G/H)^C) = C(X, G/H)$ is pointed by the constant function $f \equiv \langle H \rangle$. The cohomological sets $H^1(X, H^C)$ and $H^1(X, G^C)$ are pointed by the trivial cocycles $(X, 1_H)$ and $(X, 1_G)$ respectively ([4]). Given $f \in C(X, G/H)$ the cocycle $\delta(f) \in H^1(X, H^C)$ represents the obstruction for lifting f to a function in $C(X, G)$. By the exactness of (10) the function f has a lifting if and only if $\delta(f) = (X, 1_H)$ i.e. the cocycle $\delta(f)$ is trivial in $H^1(X, H^C)$. Furthermore the action of G on G/H induces an action of the group $C(X, G)$ on $C(X, G/H)$. If $f_1, f_2 \in C(X, G/H)$ then $\delta(f_1) = \delta(f_2)$ if and only if $f_2 = g \cdot f_1$ for some $g \in C(X, G)$.

Next, we describe the sequence in (10) in the case of the fibering

$$(11) \quad U(k) \xrightarrow{\gamma} U(k\eta) \xrightarrow{j} U(k\eta)/U(k)$$

where the embedding $\gamma: U(k) \rightarrow U(kn)$ is given by

$$(12) \quad \gamma: U(k) \ni u \mapsto u \otimes I_n \in U(kn) \simeq U(M_k \otimes M_n)$$

First we need some notation.

Let $\text{Vect}_m(Y)$ denote the set of isomorphism classes of complex vector bundles of rank m on Y . In $\text{Vect}_m(Y)$ we have one naturally distinguished element - the class of the trivial bundle of rank m . Let $T_n(\text{Vect}_m(Y))$ be the subset of $\text{Vect}_m(Y)$ consisting of classes of all vector bundles E for which the Whitney sum $nE = E \oplus \dots \oplus E$ (n -times) is isomorphic to the trivial bundle of rank mn .

If A and B are unital C^* -algebras we denote by $\text{Hom}(A, B)$ the set of unital $*$ -homomorphisms from A to B . $\text{Hom}(A, B)$ is a topological space with the topology of pointwise convergence.

Two homomorphisms $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \text{Hom}(A, B)$ are said to be inner equivalent if there is a unitary $u \in B$ such that $\phi_2 = u\phi_1 u^*$. Let $\text{Hom}(A, B)/\text{inn}$ be the set of classes of inner equivalent homomorphisms from A to B .

PROPOSITION 1.1. Assume that Y is a compact space. Then there is an exact sequence of pointed sets

$$(13) \quad 1 \rightarrow C(Y, U(k)) \rightarrow C(Y, U(kn)) \xrightarrow{j} \text{Hom}(M_n, C(Y, M_{kn})) \xrightarrow{\delta'} \text{Vect}_k(Y) \rightarrow \\ \xrightarrow{\gamma^{-1}} \text{Vect}_{kn}(Y)$$

which induces an isomorphisms

$$\text{Hom}(M_n, C(Y, M_{kn}))/\text{inn} \xrightarrow{\sim} T_n(\text{Vect}_k(Y))$$

Proof. We have the following commutative diagram of pointed sets and maps

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 1 \rightarrow C(Y, U(k)) \rightarrow C(Y, U(kn)) & \xrightarrow{j} & C(Y, U(kn)/U(k)) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & H^1(Y, U(k)^C) & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & H^1(Y, U(kn)^C) \\
 \parallel & & \parallel & & \alpha \downarrow & & \beta \downarrow & & \beta_1 \downarrow \\
 1 \rightarrow C(Y, U(k)) \rightarrow C(Y, U(kn)) & \xrightarrow{j'} & \text{Hom}(M_n, C(Y, M_{kn})) & \xrightarrow{\delta'} & \text{Vect}_k(Y) & \xrightarrow{\gamma'} & \text{Vect}_{kn}(Y)
 \end{array}$$

The vertical arrows are bijections. To describe α recall that $\text{Hom}(M_n, M_{kn}) \simeq U(kn)/U(k)$ as topological spaces, the isomorphism being induced by the map $\eta: U(kn) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M_n, M_{kn})$ given by $\eta(v)(a) = v(I_k \otimes a)v^*$, $a \in M_n$, $M_{kn} \simeq M_k \otimes M_n$. Now η induces a bijection $\eta_*: C(Y, U(kn)/U(k)) \rightarrow C(Y, \text{Hom}(M_n, M_{kn}))$ and it is clear that $C(Y, \text{Hom}(M_n, M_{kn})) \simeq \text{Hom}(M_n, C(Y, M_{kn}))$ by the map α_1 which takes the continuous function $\psi: Y \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M_k, M_{kn})$ to the homomorphism $\alpha_1(\psi)(a)(y) = \psi(y)(a)$, $a \in M_n$, $y \in Y$. By definition we set $\alpha = \alpha_1 \eta_*$. In $\text{Hom}(M_n, C(Y, M_{kn}))$ we distinguish the homomorphism $a \mapsto I_k \otimes a$. Thus α is a morphism of pointed sets. The maps β and β_1 are the natural ones. Namely if (U_i, g_{ij}) is a $U(k)$ -cocycle then $\beta(U_i, g_{ij})$ is the isomorphism class of the vector bundle E obtained by clutching the trivial bundles $U_i \times \mathbb{C}^k$ with the transition functions (g_{ij}) . β_1 is defined in a similar way. The other maps are defined to make commutative the diagram. We describe them below.

If $u \in C(Y, U(kn))$ then $j'(u): M_n \rightarrow C(Y, M_{kn})$ is defined by $j'(u)(a)(y) = u(y)(I_k \otimes a)u(y)^*$, $a \in M_n$, $y \in Y$. The map γ' takes the vector bundle E to the Whitney sum $nE = E \oplus \dots \oplus E$.

If $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \text{Hom}(M_n, C(Y, M_{kn}))$ then $\delta'(\phi_1) = \delta'(\phi_2)$ if and only if ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are inner equivalent. The isomorphism class of the vector bundle $\delta'(\phi_1)$ represents the obstruction for lifting ϕ_1 to an unitary $u \in C(Y, U(kn))$. \square

We shall use the following well-known result concerning triviality of the vector bundles which is contained in [5, Ch.8 Thm. 1.5].

LEMMA 1.2. Let Y be a finite CW-complex of dimension r and let E be a complex vector bundle of rank k over Y . Assume that $r \leq 2k$ and that $E \oplus F$ is trivial for some trivial vector bundle F . Then E is trivial. [The main result of this section is the following:

THEOREM 1.3. Let X and Y be compact topological spaces and let ϕ be an unital $*$ -homomorphism

$$(14) \quad \phi : C(X) \otimes M_n \rightarrow C(Y) \otimes M_{kn} \simeq C(Y) \otimes M_k \otimes M_n$$

Assume the following

- (1) Y is homotopic equivalent with a finite CW-complex of dimension $\leq 2k$.
- (2) $K^0(Y)$ does not contain nontrivial elements whose order divides n .

Then there is an unitary $u \in C(Y, U(kn))$ and an unital $*$ -homomorphism $\phi' : C(Y) \rightarrow C(Y) \otimes M_k$ such that

$$(15) \quad \phi = u(\phi' \otimes \text{id}_{M_n})u^*$$

Proof. a) Consider first a particular case. Let us suppose that ϕ acts on matrices as an amplification. That is:

$$(16) \quad \phi(1_{C(X)} \otimes a) = 1_{C(Y)} \otimes I_k \otimes a, \quad a \in M_n.$$

Using (16) we get for every $f \in C(X)$ and $a \in M_n$

$$(17) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi(f \otimes a) &= \phi(f \otimes I_n) \phi(1 \otimes a) = \phi(1 \otimes a) \phi(f \otimes I_n) = \\ &= 1 \otimes I_k \otimes a \cdot \phi(f \otimes I_n). \end{aligned}$$

The computation in (17) shows us that the algebra $\phi(C(X) \otimes I_n)$ lies in the relative commutant of $1 \otimes I_k \otimes M_n$ in

$C(Y) \otimes M_k \otimes M_n$, which is equal to $C(Y) \otimes M_k \otimes I_n$. It follows that there is an unique unital $*$ -homomorphism $\phi': C(X) \rightarrow C(Y) \otimes M_k$ such that

$$(18) \quad \phi(f \otimes I_n) = \phi'(f) \otimes I_n.$$

Now using again (17) we get

$$(19) \quad \phi = \phi' \otimes \text{id}_{M_n}$$

b) Consider now the general case of an arbitrary homomorphism . Under the hypotheses 1) and 2) we shall find an unitary $u \in C(Y, U(kn))$ such that the homomorphism $u \phi u$ will verify the additional condition given in (16). Define $\phi_1 \in \text{Hom}(M_n, C(Y, M_{kn}))$ by $\phi_1(a) = \phi(1 \otimes a)$, $a \in M_n$. Our problem is to find $u \in C(Y, U(kn))$ such that $\phi_1(a)(y) = u(y)(I_k \otimes a)u(y)^*$, $y \in Y$, $a \in M_n$.

But in virtue of Proposition 1.1 this can be done if and only if the vector bundles $E = \mathcal{S}'(\phi_1)$ is trivial. Having in mind the map $\gamma': \text{Vect}_k(Y) \rightarrow \text{Vect}_{kn}(Y)$ we define a homomorphism of groups $\gamma': K^0(Y) \rightarrow K^0(Y)$ given by $\gamma'(x) = nx$. The second hypothesis of the Theorem allows us to conclude that γ' is injective. Since $E \in T_n(\text{Vect}_k(Y))$ it follows that the class of K -theory of the vector bundle E is zero hence E becomes trivial after summing some trivial vector bundle. Since $\text{rank}(E) = k$ and $\dim(Y) \leq 2k$ it follows from Lemma 1.2 that E is trivial. \square

REMARK 1.4. Assume $k=1$. Since the line bundles on Y are classified by the second Cech cohomology group $H^2(Y, \mathbb{Z})$ it follows that the conclusion of Theorem 1.3 remains true if we drop both hypotheses (1) and (2) but we assume that $H^2(Y, \mathbb{Z})$ has not n -torsion.

In this way we recover a result of Knus (cf. [9])

2. THE "COVERING" ASSOCIATED WITH A *-HOMOMORPHISM

Let X and Y be compact spaces and let

$$\phi : C(X) \rightarrow C(Y, M_k)$$

be an unital *-homomorphism. For every $y \in Y$ the map $\phi_y : f \mapsto \phi(f)(y)$ defines a *-representation of $C(X)$ on \mathbb{C}^k . This representation decomposes into a direct sum of characters of the C*-algebra $C(X)$: $\phi_y = m_1 x_1 + \dots + m_r x_r$ where x_1, \dots, x_r are distinct points in X and $1 \leq m_1 \leq \dots \leq m_r$ are the multiplicities with they occur as characters in the decomposition of ϕ_y . Of course we must have $m_1 + \dots + m_r = k$. Let us denote by $F(y)$ the set $\{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$. It will be useful to take into consideration the spectral projections.

Let $G(k, j)$ be the space of all j -dimensional selfadjoint projections acting on \mathbb{C}^k and let $G(k) = \bigcup_{j=1}^k G(k, j)$. Then there are

$p_1 \in G(k, m_1), \dots, p_r \in G(k, m_r)$ satisfying $p_1 + \dots + p_r = I_n$ and such that

$$(20) \quad \phi(f)(y) = \phi_y(f) = \sum_{i=1}^r f(x_i) p_i, \quad f \in C(X).$$

Of course x_i and p_i depends on $y \in Y$ and they have some continuity properties. We try to store these properties in some construction given below.

For $1 \leq r \leq k$ define Y_r to be the set of all points y in Y such that $\text{card } F(y) \leq r$ and define $Y(m_1, \dots, m_r)$ to be the set of all points y in Y such that $F(y)$ has exactly r elements with the multiplicities m_1, \dots, m_r . By definition we have that

$$(21) \quad Y = Y_k \supset Y_{k-1} \supset \dots \supset Y_1 \quad \text{and}$$

$$(22) \quad Y_r \setminus Y_{r-1} = \bigcup Y(m_1, \dots, m_r)$$

LEMMA 2.1. Let $y^\circ \in Y(m_1, \dots, m_r)$ and $F(y^\circ) = \{x_1^\circ, \dots, x_r^\circ\}$.

Assume that V_1, \dots, V_r are disjoint neighbourhoods of the points $x_1^\circ, \dots, x_r^\circ$. Then there is an open neighbourhood V of y° such that for every $y \in V$ we have that $F(y) \subset V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_r$ and the number of points (counted with multiplicities) in $F(y) \cap V_i$ is equal to m_i , $i=1, \dots, r$.

Proof. a) There is some open $V \ni y^\circ$ such that $F(y) \subset V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_r$ whenever $y \in V$. To get a contradiction suppose ^{that there are} two nets (y_V) and (x_V) indexed by the neighbourhoods of y° such ^{that} $y_V \rightarrow y^\circ$ and $x_V \in F(y_V) \setminus (V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_r)$. Now choose $f \in C(X)$ such that $f=0$ on $F(y^\circ)$ and $f=1$ on $X \setminus (V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_r)$. Since $y_V \rightarrow y^\circ$ it follows that $\phi(f)(y_V) \rightarrow \phi(f)(y^\circ)$. But this is impossible since $\phi(f)(y^\circ) = 0$ and $\|\phi(f)(y_V)\| \geq |f(x_V)| = 1$. (Recall the formula (20)).

b) Let V be the open neighbourhood of y° found at a). Consider open sets W_1, \dots, W_r such that $V_i \subset W_i$ and \bar{W}_i are disjoint. For every $1 \leq i \leq r$ choose $f_i \in C(X)$ such that $f_i=1$ on V_i and $f_i=0$ on $X \setminus W_i$. Shrinking V we may suppose that

$$(23) \quad \|\text{tr} \phi(f_i)(y) - \text{tr} \phi(f_i)(y^\circ)\| < 1$$

But the functions f_i were chosen such that $\text{tr} \phi(f_i)(y^\circ) = m_i$ and such that $\text{tr} \phi(f_i)(y)$ equals the number of points (counted with multiplicities) in $F(y) \cap V_i$. With this remark the lemma follows from (23). \square

Let $Z = \{(y, x) \in Y \times X : x \in F(y)\}$. It follows from Lemma 2.1 that Z is closed in $Y \times X$, hence Z is a compact space. Consider the canonical projections on factors $\pi : Z \rightarrow Y$, $\pi(y, x) = y$ and $\varphi : Z \rightarrow X$, $\varphi(y, x) = x$. Define also the projection valued map

$p: Z \rightarrow G(k)$ by taking $p(z)$ to be the orthogonal projection on the spectral space that corresponds to the character given by $x = \mathcal{P}(z)$ in the decomposition (20).

THEOREM 2.2. 1) The map $\pi: Z \rightarrow Y$ is open.

2) $Y = Y_k \supset Y_{k-1} \supset \dots \supset Y_1$ is a filtration with closed sets.

3) $Y(m_1, \dots, m_r)$ is open in Y_r .

4) $\pi^{-1}(Y(m_1, \dots, m_r)) \xrightarrow{\pi} Y(m_1, \dots, m_r)$ and

$\pi^{-1}(Y_r \setminus Y_{r-1}) \xrightarrow{\pi} Y_r \setminus Y_{r-1}$ are covering spaces.

5) The projection valued map $p: Z \rightarrow G(k)$ is continuous on every $Y_r \setminus Y_{r-1}$.

Proof. Essentially the theorem is a reformulation of Lemma 2.1.

1) Let $W \subset Y$ and $U \subset X$ be open sets. Then $\pi((W \times U) \cap Z)$ is open in Y . Indeed if $(y^\circ, x^\circ) \in (W \times U) \cap Z$, then by Lemma 2.1 there is an open set $V \subset W$, $y^\circ \in V$ such that $F(y) \cap U$ is nonvoid for all y in V . Hence $V \subset ((W \times U) \cap Z)$.

2) It follows from 3) since $Y_r \setminus Y_{r-1} = \bigcup Y(m_1, \dots, m_r)$.

3) Let $y^\circ \in Y(m_1, \dots, m_r)$ and $F(y^\circ) = \langle x_1^\circ, \dots, x_r^\circ \rangle$. By Lemma 2.1 we can choose disjoint neighbourhoods $V_i \ni x_i^\circ$, $i=1, \dots, r$ and $V \ni y^\circ$ open such that $F(y) \subset V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_r$ and $F(y) \cap V_i$ has exactly m_i points (counted with multiplicities) whenever $y \in V$. We prove that $V \cap Y_r \subset Y(m_1, \dots, m_r)$. If $y \in V \cap Y_r$ then $F(y)$ has at most r elements. Since each $F(y) \cap V_i$ is nonvoid it follows that $F(y)$ has exactly r elements and the multiplicities are as desired.

4) It suffices to prove the first assertion.

Let V_i be as in Lemma 2.1. By 2) every point $y^\circ \in Y(m_1, \dots, m_r)$ has an open neighbourhood V such that $V \cap Y_r \subset Y(m_1, \dots, m_r)$ and

$\pi^{-1}(V \cap Y_r) = W_1 \cup \dots \cup W_r$. Here $W_i = \pi^{-1}(V \cap Y_r) \cap (Y \times V_i)$ are open sets in $\pi^{-1}(Y(m_1, \dots, m_r))$ and $(\pi|_{W_i}): W_i \rightarrow V \cap Y_r$ is continuous and bijective.

The map $(\pi|_{W_i})$ is open by a similar argument to that given at 1).

5) Let $z^0 = (y^0, x^0) \in \pi^{-1}(Y_r \setminus Y_{r-1})$. Choose open $U \ni x^0$ such that $U \cap F(y^0) = \langle x^0 \rangle$. If $f \in C(X)$ is equal to 1 on a neighbourhood of x^0 and $\text{supp}(f) \subset U$ then $p(z) = \phi(f)(\pi(z))$ in a small enough neighbourhood of z^0 in $\pi^{-1}(Y_r \setminus Y_{r-1})$. \square

With the above notation we get the following formula for ϕ :

$$(24) \quad \phi(f)(y) = \sum_{z \in \pi^{-1}(y)} f(\gamma(z)) p(z)$$

Note that γ is injective when restricted to the fibres of π and ϕ is isometric if and only if γ is onto.

REMARK 2.4. The homomorphism ϕ is called homogeneous if for every $y \in Y$, $F(y)$ has k elements.

For homogeneous ϕ it follows from Theorem 2.2 that $\pi: Z \rightarrow Y$ is a k -fold covering space and that the map $p(z)$ is continuous on Z . Conversely if $\pi: Z \rightarrow Y$ is a covering space, $\gamma: Z \rightarrow X$ is a continuous map that is injective on fibres of π and $p: Z \rightarrow G(k, 1)$ is continuous and verifies $\sum_{z \in \pi^{-1}(y)} p(z) = I_k$ for all $y \in Y$ then the formula (24) defines a homogeneous homomorphism.

REMARK 2.5. Suppose that Y is simply connected, locally pathwise connected and that ϕ is homogeneous. Then it follows from the general theory of the covering spaces [12] that $Z = Y \cup \dots \cup Y$ (k -times). Therefore there exist continuous maps

$\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k: Y \rightarrow X, \gamma_i(y) \neq \gamma_j(y), y \in Y (i \neq j); p_1, \dots, p_k: Y \rightarrow G(k, 1),$
 $\sum_{i=1}^k p_i(y) = I_k$ such that $\Phi(f)(y) = \sum_{i=1}^k f(\gamma_i(y)) p_i(y), y \in Y.$ If in

addition we assume that $H^2(Y, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ then it can be shown that

there is some unitary $u \in C(Y, U(k))$ such that $p_i(y) =$

$= u(y) p_i(y^0) u(y)^*, y \in Y, i=1, \dots, k,$ for some fixed $y^0 \in Y.$ Therefore

we get the following formula

$$(25) \quad \Phi(f)(y) = u(y) \begin{bmatrix} f(\gamma_1(y)) & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & f(\gamma_k(y)) \end{bmatrix} u(y)^*, \quad f \in C(X), y \in Y.$$

Consequently, under the previous assumptions, the homogeneous homomorphisms are classified modulo an inner equivalence by the set $\langle \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k \rangle.$ To sketch a proof let $T = U(1) \times \dots \times U(1)$ be the maximal torus of $U(k).$ The fibration

$$(26) \quad T \rightarrow U(k) \rightarrow U(k)/T$$

induces an exact sequence of pointed cohomological sets

$$C(X, T) \rightarrow C(T, U(k)) \rightarrow C(Y, U(k)/T) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^1(Y, T^C)$$

Since $H^1(Y, T^C) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k H^1(Y, U(1)^C) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k H^2(Y, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ (see [4]) we get

that $\delta = 0$ and so every continuous map $\psi: Y \rightarrow U(k)/T$ can be lifted to a function in $C(Y, U(k)).$ Let N be the space of all

k -uples (q_1, \dots, q_k) of one dimensional projections acting on $C^k, q_1 + \dots + q_k = I_k.$ $U(k)$ operates transitively on N by the formula

$U(k) \ni u \mapsto (u p_1(y^0) u^*, \dots, u p_k(y^0) u^*), y^0 \in Y$ is fixed. Since the

corresponding stabilizer is T it follows that N is homeomorphic

to $U(k)/T$. Thus the map $y \mapsto (p_1(y), \dots, p_k(y))$ can be lifted to a continuous map $u \in C(Y, U(k))$.

3. HOMOMORPHISMS COMPATIBLE WITH A COVERING

Let $\psi: X \rightarrow Y$ be a k -fold covering space and A, B be unital C^* -algebras. A homomorphism $\phi \in \text{Hom}(C(X) \otimes A, C(Y) \otimes B)$ is called compatible with the covering ψ or ψ -compatible if $\phi(g \circ \psi \otimes 1_A) = g \otimes 1_B$ for all $g \in C(Y)$ (see [7]). In this section we consider only the case $A = M_n, B = M_{kn}$.

REMARK 3.1. Let $\phi \in \text{Hom}(C(X) \otimes M_n, C(Y) \otimes M_{kn})$ be a ψ -compatible homomorphism. Assume that the hypotheses of Theorem 1.3 are fulfilled. Then the homomorphism ϕ' that appears in the decomposition $\phi = u(\phi' \otimes \text{id})u^*$ is ψ -compatible. This is clear from the following computation:

$$u \phi'((g \circ \psi) \otimes I_n) u^* = g \otimes I_{kn} \Rightarrow \phi'(g \circ \psi) \otimes I_n = u^*(g \otimes I_{kn}) u = g \otimes I_{kn}$$

PROPOSITION 3.2. Assume that X and Y are connected, locally pathwise connected and that $\phi: C(X) \rightarrow C(Y) \otimes M_k$ is compatible with the k -fold covering $\psi: X \rightarrow Y$. Then there is a continuous projection valued map $p: X \rightarrow G(k, 1) = P(C^k)$ (the projective complex $(k-1)$ -space) such that

$$(27) \quad \sum_{x \in \psi^{-1}(y)} p(x) = I_k, \quad y \in Y \quad \text{and}$$

$$(28) \quad \phi(f)(y) = \sum_{x \in \psi^{-1}(y)} f(x) p(x) \quad f \in C(X), \quad y \in Y.$$

Proof. We shall use the notation in section 2. It follows from the condition of Ψ -compatibility that $\mathcal{V}(\pi^{-1}(y)) \subset \Psi^{-1}(y)$, $y \in Y$. Combining with Lemma 2.1 this shows that every subset $Y(m_1, \dots, m_r)$ is open in Y . Since Y is connected and it is a disjoint union of such subsets we infer that Y is equal to some $Y(m_1, \dots, m_r)$. Therefore $\pi : Z \rightarrow Y$ is a r -fold covering space. Now, since $\Psi \circ \pi = \pi$ it follows from [12] that $\Psi : Z \rightarrow X$ is a covering space. This implies $r=k$ and we conclude that Ψ is an isomorphism of covering spaces. Thus we may take $Z=X$ and $\pi = \Psi$. □

REMARK 3.3. It is easily seen that every projection valued continuous map $p: X \rightarrow P(\mathbb{C}^k)$ which verifies (2.7) defines a Ψ -compatible homomorphism. Under the connectedness assumptions of Proposition 3.2 it follows that Ψ -compatible homomorphisms must be isometric.

We give below some criteria for the existence of Ψ -compatible homomorphism. Suppose that the cover $\Psi : X \rightarrow Y$ is regular. If G denote the group of the covering automorphisms, this means that G operates transitively on fibres $\Psi^{-1}(y)$ and we can recover Y from X and G as X/G . Of course $|G| = |\Psi^{-1}(y)| = k$.

PROPOSITION 3.3. Suppose that G is commutative and that $H^2(Y, \mathbb{Z})$ is torsion free. Then there exists a Ψ -compatible homomorphism $\Phi \in \text{Hom}(C(X), C(X) \otimes M_k)$.

Proof. First we prove that for every character $\omega \in \hat{G}$ (\hat{G} =the Pontrjagin dual of G) there is a continuous function $f_\omega : X \rightarrow U(1)$ such that

$$(2.9) \quad f_\omega(g(x)) = \omega(g) f_\omega(x) \quad x \in X, g \in G.$$

For $\omega \in \hat{G}$ let us denote by $E(\omega)$ the complex line bundle over Y obtained as

$$E(\omega) = X \times \mathbb{C} / (x, \lambda) \sim (g(x), \omega(g)\lambda)$$

It follows from Theorem 2.6 Ch. II in [1] that the $\mathbb{C}(Y)$ -module of continuous linear sections in $E(\omega)$ is isomorphic to the $\mathbb{C}(Y)$ -module of continuous function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which satisfy (29). Our aim is to find such a function which does not vanish. This is equivalent to prove that the line bundle $E(\omega)$ is trivial.

If $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \hat{G}$ it is not hard to check that

$$E(\omega_1) \otimes E(\omega_2) \simeq E(\omega_1 \omega_2)$$

This isomorphism allows us to define a morphism of groups

$$(30) \quad \hat{G} \ni \omega \longmapsto c_1(E(\omega)) \in H^2(Y, \mathbb{Z})$$

(Here $c_1(E)$ is the first Chern class of the line bundle E).

Since \hat{G} is finite and $H^2(Y, \mathbb{Z})$ is torsion free the above morphism must be zero. This implies that $E(\omega)$ is trivial.

Let $\rho: G \rightarrow B(L^2(G)) \simeq M_k$ be the right regular representation ([8]). Using the first step of the proof we shall define a continuous map $u: X \rightarrow U(L^2(G)) \simeq U(k)$ such that

$$(31) \quad u(g(x)) = \rho(g)u(x) \quad x \in X, \quad g \in G.$$

Let $\rho(g) = \sum_{\omega \in \hat{G}} \omega(g) p_\omega$ be the decomposition of ρ as a direct sum of characters. Here p_ω is the projection on the one dimensional spectral subspace corresponding to ω . For every $\omega \in \hat{G}$ we choose a continuous function $f_\omega: X \rightarrow U(1)$ which satisfies (29) and we define

Med 23715

$$(32) \quad u(x) = \sum_{\omega} f_{\omega}(x) p_{\omega}$$

It is easy to check that u verifies (31).

Let us denote by $\{e_g : g \in G\}$ the projections on the subspaces $[\delta_g]$ spanned by the elements of the canonical basis $\{\delta_g : g \in G\}$ of $l^2(G)$. Now we are able to define

$$\phi : C(X) \rightarrow C(X/G) \otimes B(l^2(G)) \simeq C(Y) \otimes M_k \quad \text{by}$$

$$(33) \quad \phi(f)(\psi(x)) = u(x) * \left(\sum_{g \in G} f(g(x)) e_g \right) u(x) \quad x \in X, \quad f \in C(X).$$

The homomorphism ϕ is well defined since if $\psi(x) = \psi(z)$ then $h(x) = z$ for some $h \in G$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned} u(z) * \left(\sum_g f(g(z)) e_g \right) u(z) &= u(x) * g(h) * \left(\sum_g f(hg(x)) e_g \right) g(h) u(x) = \\ &= u(x) * \left(\sum_g f(g(x)) e_g \right) u(x). \end{aligned}$$

In the above computation we used (31) and $g(h) * e_g * g(h) = e_{gh}$. \square

REMARK 3.4. The conclusion of Proposition 3.3 remains valid if we drop the assumption on $H^2(Y, Z)$ but we suppose that X is a commutative compact group and G is a finite subgroup of it which acts on X by translations. In this case the line bundle $E(\omega)$ will be trivial since every character of G may be extended to some character of X ([11]).

REMARK 3.5. Assume the hypothesis of Proposition 3.3. The action of G on X induces an action of G on the C^* -algebra $(C(X) : g(f)(x) = f(g^{-1}(x)))$. Therefore we can consider the crossed product $C(X) * G$ and we realize it as the following subalgebra

of $C(X) \otimes B(l^2(G))$; $C(X) \rtimes G = \{ F \in C(X) \otimes B(l^2(G)) : F(g(x)) =$
 $= g(g)F(x)g(g)^*, x \in X, g \in G \}$.

The algebra $C(X)$ can be canonically imbedded into $C(X) \rtimes G$
 $C(X) \ni f \mapsto j(f) \in C(X) \rtimes G, j(f)(x) = \sum_{g \in G} f(g(x))e_g$. Let $u: X \rightarrow U(l^2(G))$

be the unitary constructed in the proof of Proposition 3.3.

Identify $C(Y, M_k)$ with $\{ F \in C(X, M_k) : F(g(x)) = F(x), x \in X, g \in G \}$

Then the isomorphism $\Psi: C(X) \rtimes G \rightarrow C(Y) \otimes B(l^2(G)) \simeq C(Y, M_k)$

$\Psi(F) = u^*Fu$ is such that $\Psi \circ j = \phi$ where ϕ is the homomorphism given
 by Proposition 3.3.

EXAMPLE 3.6. Let $P^n = S^n / Z_2$ be the real n-dimensional projec-
 tive space. Since $H^2(P^n, Z) = Z_2$ Proposition 3.3 doesn't apply. ($n \geq 2$)

However the following statements are true:

a) The set of all unital *-homomorphisms $\phi: C(S^2) \rightarrow C(P^2, M_2)$
 which are compatible with the canonical covering $S^2 \rightarrow P^2$ is in
 bijection with the set of continuous functions $P': S^2 \rightarrow S^2$ which
 takes antipodal points to antipodal points, i.e.

$$(34) \quad P'(-x) = -P'(x) .$$

b) If $n \geq 3$ do not exist homomorphisms $\phi \in \text{Hom}(C(S^n), C(P^n, M_2))$
 compatible with the canonical covering $S^n \rightarrow P^n$.

Proof. a) In virtue of Proposition 3.2 it is enough to
 consider continuous maps $p: S^2 \rightarrow P(C^2)$ for which $p(x) + p(-x) = I_2$.
 But $P(C^2)$ is homeomorphic to S^2 by a homeomorphism that sends
 orthogonal projections to antipodal points. Therefore every
 p is given by some P' that satisfies (34).

b) By the Theorem of Borsuk-Ulam [12] does not
 exist continuous maps $f: S^n \rightarrow S^2, n \geq 3$ such that $f(-x) = -f(x)$.

COROLLARY 3.7. Let $n=2k+1$. The homomorphisms $\phi: C(S^2, M_n) \rightarrow C(S^2, M_{2n})$ which are compatible with the covering $S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ are classified modulo an inner equivalence by the set of continuous maps $p: S^2 \rightarrow S^2$ which verify $p(-x) = -p(x)$, $x \in S^2$.

Proof. Since $K^0(\mathbb{P}^2) = \mathbb{Z}_2$ we may apply Theorem 1.3. Now the assertion follows from Example 3.6 (a).

We have to mention that it was proved in [7] that all the homomorphisms $\phi: C(U(1)^2, M_n) \rightarrow C(U(1)^2, M_{nrs})$ which are compatible with the covering $U(1)^2 \ni (z_1, z_2) \mapsto (z_1^r, z_2^s) \in U(1)^2$ are inner equivalent. No general results there are known on the problem of classifying ψ -compatible homomorphisms.

REFERENCES

1. G.E. BREDON, Introduction to compact transformation groups
Academic Press, New-York, London (1972).
2. J. BUNCE and J. DEDDENS, A family of C^* -algebras related to
weighted shift operators, J. Functional Analysis 19
(1975), 13-24.
3. E.G. EFFROS, On the structure of C^* -algebras: Some old and
some new problems, in Operator Algebras and Applica-
tions. Proc. Symp. Pure Math. AMS Providence RI, 1982.
4. F. HIRZEBRUCH, Topological Methods in algebraic geometry,
Springer Verlag, New-York (1965).
5. D. HUSEMOLLER, Fibre -Bundles, Mc. Graw-Hill Book Company
(1966).
6. R.V. KADISON; J.R. RINGROSE, Derivations and automorphisms
of operator algebras, Commun. Math. Phys. 4 (1967)
32-63.

7. C. PASNICU, On certain inductive limit C^* -algebras, Indiana Univ. Math. J. (to appear 1986).
8. G.K. PEDERSEN, C^* -algebras and their Automorphism groups Academic-Press Inc. London (1979).
9. J. PHILLIPS; I. RAEBURN, Automorphisms of C^* -algebras and Second Cech Cohomology, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 29 (1980), 799-822.
10. J. PHILLIPS. I. RAEBURN, Crossed products by locally unitary automorphism groups and principal bundles, J. Operator Theory 11 (1984), 215-241.
11. L. PONTRJAGIN, Topological groups, Princeton Univ. Press, (1939).
12. E.H. SPANIER, Algebraic Topology, McGraw-Hill Book Company New-York (1966).
13. K. THOMSEN, Automorphisms of homogeneous C^* -algebras (Pre-print).

Department of Mathematics

I N C R E S T

Bd. Pacii 220, 79622 Bucharest
Romania.

ADDED IN PROOF

After this work was complete, thanks to a preprint of K. Thomsen, I learn about the following reference

14. K. GROVE and G.K. PEDERSEN, Diagonalizing Matrices over $C(X)$, J. Funct. Analysis 59, 65-89 (1984).

The problem of diagonalizing normal elements or \bar{a} belian *-subalgebras of $C(Y) \otimes M_k$ is somewhat related to the study of homomorphisms $C(X) \rightarrow C(Y) \otimes M_k$.

In this context we may infer from the results of section 2 that the various bundles that arised in [14] as obstruction to diagonalization are in fact basic constituents of homomorphisms.