

INSTITUTUL
DE
MATEMATICA

INSTITUTUL NATIONAL
PENTRU CREATIE
STIINTIFICA SI TEHNICA

ISSN 0250 3638

MATRIX OPERATORS AND HYPERINVARIANT SUBSPACES

by

F. RADULESCU and F.-H. VASILESCU

PREPRINT SERIES IN MATHEMATICS

No.14/1986

BUCURESTI

MATRIX OPERATORS AND HYPERINVARIANT SUBSPACES

by

F. RADULESCU* and F.-H. VASILESCU*

January 1986

*)

Department of Mathematics, National Institute for Scientific and Technical Creation, Bd. Păcii 220, 79622 Bucharest, Romania.

MATRIX OPERATORS AND HYPERINVARIANT SUBSPACES

by

F. RĂDULESCU and F.-H. VASILESCU

0. ABSTRACT

In this paper we study the decomposability of some matrix operators as well as other special properties of theirs. These matrix operators are derived from non-analytic functional calculus. As by-products, we obtain statements concerning the existence of (non-trivial) hyperinvariant subspaces.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a complex Banach space and let $\mathcal{L}(X)$ be the algebra of all bounded linear operators acting on X . For each $S \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ we denote by $\sigma(S)$ its spectrum.

Let us fix an integer $n \geq 1$ and let us denote by X^n the Banach space $X \oplus \dots \oplus X$ (n times). Every operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(X^n)$ can be represented as a matrix $(T_{jk})_{j,k=1}^n$, where $T_{jk} \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ for each pair of indices j, k . We shall study in the sequel a class of operators $T \in \mathcal{L}(X^n)$ with the property that the operators T_{jk} from the matrix representation of T mutually commute. To present this class, we need some preliminaries.

Let Ω be a compact topological space, let $C(\Omega)$ be the algebra of all complex-valued continuous functions on Ω and let $A \subset C(\Omega)$ be a (not necessarily closed) subalgebra. We recall that A is said to be normal if for every open cover

$\{G_1, \dots, G_m\}$ of Ω there are positive functions f_1, \dots, f_m in A such that $\text{supp}(f_p) \subset G_p$ ($p=1, \dots, m$) and $f_1(\omega) + \dots + f_m(\omega) = 1$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$. In particular, $1 \in A$ (the positivity of the functions f_1, \dots, f_m will play no rôle in what follows).

1.1. DEFINITION. For an algebra $A \subset C(\Omega)$ we shall consider the following properties:

- (i) A is a normal algebra;
- (ii) for every pair $f, h \in A$ such that $\text{supp}(h) \subset \{\omega \in \Omega : f(\omega) \neq 0\}$ the function $\omega \rightarrow h(\omega)/f(\omega)$, extended with zero outside the set $\text{supp}(h)$, is an element of A ;
- (iii) A has a Banach algebra structure which makes the inclusion $A \subset C(\Omega)$ continuous.

We shall indicate at the beginning of each section which of these hypotheses on the algebra A are going to be used.

It is plain that $C(\Omega)$ has the properties (i), (ii) and (iii). If Ω is the closure of a relatively compact open subset of \mathbb{R}^m , then the algebra $C^r(\Omega)$ of all r -times differentiable functions in the interior of Ω , whose partial derivatives up to order r have continuous extensions to Ω , also has the properties (i) (ii) and (iii). These are, in fact, the most significant examples that we have in mind.

If A is an arbitrary commutative unital algebra, we denote by $M_n(A)$ the algebra of $n \times n$ -matrices whose entries are elements of A . The algebra $M_n(A)$ will sometimes be regarded as an A -module. Every unital algebra morphism $\Phi : A \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$ induces a unital algebra morphism $\Phi_n : M_n(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X^n)$, defined by the equality $\Phi_n(\alpha) = (\Phi(\alpha_{jk}))_{j,k=1}^n$, where $\alpha = (\alpha_{jk})_{j,k=1}^n \in M_n(A)$.

1.2. DEFINITION. Let $A \subset C(\Omega)$ be an algebra with the properties (i) and (ii) from Definition 1.1. An operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(X^n)$ is called A -scalar if there exists a unital algebra

morphism $\Phi: A \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$ and an element $\tau \in M_n(A)$ such that $T = \Phi_n(\tau)$.

This concept extends the concept of n -spectral operator, introduced in [8], which in turn extends that of n -normal operator [6]. When A is an admissible algebra, then Definition 1.2 also provides an extension of the concept of A -scalar operator [4], [11].

One of the main purposes of this paper is to prove that every (A, n) -scalar operator is decomposable (details concerning decomposable operators can be found in [4] or [11]). As a matter of fact, we shall prove a stronger result. Specifically, we shall show that if $\{U_1, U_2\}$ is an open cover of $\sigma(T)$, then there exists an operator $R \in \mathcal{L}(X^n)$ such that $RT = TR$,

$\sigma(T|_{\overline{R(X^n)}}) \subset \overline{U_1}$ and $\sigma(T|_{\overline{(I_n - R)(X^n)}}) \subset \overline{U_2}$ (where I_n is the identity of X^n ; we use the same notation for the identity of $M_n(A)$). With the terminology of [7], we therefore show that every (A, n) -scalar operator is super-decomposable (see Theorem 3.8).

The decomposability of an (A, n) -scalar operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(X^n)$ can be used to derive the existence of a proper hyperinvariant subspace (i.e. invariant under each operator commuting with T), when $\sigma(T)$ contains at least two points. This explains one of the main results of [8] (which is also extended by our Corollary 3.6).

By analyzing the spectrum of an (A, n) -scalar operator T (Theorem 4.6), we shall obtain the existence of hyperinvariant subspaces of T , even if $\sigma(T)$ contains only one point, provided T is not a multiple of the identity, i.e. a complete extension of Theorem 5.3 from [6] (see Corollary 4.8).

In connection with this subject, we also refer to [5], [9] and [10]. Unlike in most of these works, we shall not use the concept of spectral measure (or related notions).

We can apply our methods to a large enough class of matrix

operators, including matrices of generalized scalar operators given by a spectral distribution [4].

2. A SPECTRAL CAPACITY

Let $A \subset C(\Omega)$ be a normal algebra. We also fix a unital algebra morphism $\Phi : A \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$ and denote by Φ_n the corresponding morphism of $M_n(A)$ into $\mathcal{L}(X^n)$ induced by Φ .

Since a matrix $\alpha = (\alpha_{jk})_{j,k=1}^n \in M_n(A)$ can be regarded as a function $\alpha : \Omega \rightarrow M_n$ (where $M_n = M_n(\Phi) \subset M_n(A)$), the notation $\alpha(\omega) = (\alpha_{jk}(\omega))_{j,k=1}^n$ ($\omega \in \Omega$) and $\text{supp}(\alpha)$ makes sense. Moreover, $\text{supp}(\alpha' \cdot \alpha'') \subset \text{supp}(\alpha') \cap \text{supp}(\alpha'')$ for each pair $\alpha', \alpha'' \in M_n(A)$.

For every $f \in A$ we denote by $\delta(f) \in M_n(A)$ the matrix $\delta(f) = (\delta_{jk}f)_{j,k=1}^n$, where δ_{jk} is the Kronecker symbol. Notice that δ is, in fact, a unital algebra morphism of A into $M_n(A)$ and that $\delta(A)$ is in the center of $M_n(A)$.

The set $\text{supp}(\Phi)$ (i.e. the support of Φ) is defined as the intersection of all closed sets $F \subset \Omega$ such that $\Phi(f) = 0$ whenever $\text{supp}(f) \subset \Omega \setminus F$ ($f \in A$). The set $\text{supp}(\Phi_n)$ is defined in a similar way. It is easily seen that $\text{supp}(\Phi_n) = \text{supp}(\Phi)$ (Note that $\text{supp}(\alpha) = \bigcup \{ \text{supp}(\alpha_{jk}) : 1 \leq j, k \leq n \}$ for each $\alpha = (\alpha_{jk})_{j,k=1}^n \in M_n(A)$).

2.1. PROPOSITION. For every closed set $F \subset \Omega$ we define the space

$$(2.1) \quad X_{\Phi}^n(F) = \bigcap \{ \ker(\Phi_n(\alpha)) : \text{supp}(\alpha) \cap F = \emptyset \}$$

Then the assignment $F \rightarrow X_{\Phi}^n(F)$ is a spectral capacity [2], [11]

PROOF. We follow some lines from the proof of Theorem IV.7.3 in [11] (see also [1]).

It is plain that $X_{\Phi}^n(F)$ is a closed linear subspace of X^n . The fact that $X_{\Phi}^n(\emptyset) = \{0\}$, $X_{\Phi}^n(\Omega) = X^n$ and that $X_{\Phi}^n(F_1) \subset X_{\Phi}^n(F_2)$ whenever $F_1 \subset F_2$ can be easily seen.

Let $\{G_1, \dots, G_m\}$ be an open cover of Ω . Since A is normal, we can find functions f_1, \dots, f_m in A such that $\text{supp}(f_p) \subset G_p$ ($p=1, \dots, m$) and $f_1 + \dots + f_m = 1$. Let $\alpha_p = \delta(f_p)$; therefore $\text{supp}(\alpha_p) \subset G_p$ and $\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_m = 1_n$. It is then clear that

$$x^n = \Phi_n(\alpha_1) x^n + \dots + \Phi_n(\alpha_m) x^n.$$

We have only to note that

$$\Phi_n(\alpha_p) x^n \subset x^n_{\Phi(\text{supp}(\alpha_p))} \subset x^n_{\Phi(\bar{G}_p)}$$

for every p , and therefore

$$(2.2) \quad x^n = x^n_{\Phi(\bar{G}_1)} + \dots + x^n_{\Phi(\bar{G}_m)}.$$

Now, let $\{F_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ be an arbitrary family of closed subsets of Ω . We shall prove that

$$(2.3) \quad x^n_{\Phi(\bigcap_{\gamma \in \Gamma} F_\gamma)} = \bigcap_{\gamma \in \Gamma} x^n_{\Phi(F_\gamma)}$$

Since the mapping $F \rightarrow x^n_{\Phi(F)}$ is increasing, it suffices to prove that the right hand side of (2.3) is contained in the left hand side. Let $x \in x^n_{\Phi(F_\gamma)}$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and let

$F_0 = \bigcap \{F_\gamma : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$. Let also $\alpha \in M_n(A)$ be such that $\text{supp}(\alpha) \cap F_0 = \emptyset$. Since $\text{supp}(\alpha)$ is compact, we can choose open sets $H_q = \Omega \setminus F_{\gamma_q}$ ($q=1, \dots, r$) such that $\text{supp}(\alpha) \subset H_1 \cup \dots \cup H_r$. If $H_0 = \Omega \setminus \text{supp}(\alpha)$, there are functions h_0, h_1, \dots, h_r in A such that $h_0 + h_1 + \dots + h_r = 1$ and $\text{supp}(h_q) \subset H_q$ ($q=0, 1, \dots, r$). Let $\beta_q = \delta(h_q) \in M_n(A)$.

Then

$$\Phi_n(\alpha)x = \Phi_n(\alpha\beta_0)x + \Phi_n(\alpha\beta_1)x + \dots + \Phi_n(\alpha\beta_r)x.$$

Since $\text{supp}(\alpha) \cap \text{supp}(\beta_0) = \emptyset$ and $\text{supp}(\alpha\beta_q) \cap F_{\gamma_q} = \emptyset$ ($1 \leq q \leq r$), we have $\Phi_n(\alpha\beta_q)x = 0$ for all $q = 0, 1, \dots, r$.

Consequently $\Phi_n(\alpha)x = 0$, so that x is contained in the left hand side of (2.3). The proof of Proposition 2.1 is complete.

2.2. COROLLARY. Let $f \in A$ be such that $\text{supp}(f) \cap \text{supp}(\Phi) = \emptyset$.

Then $\Phi(f) = 0$.

PROOF. Consider first a closed subset $F \subset \Omega$ such that if $h \in A$ and $\text{supp}(h) \cap F = \emptyset$, then $\Phi(h) = 0$. In this case we must have $X_{\Phi}^n(F) = X^n$, by (2.1). Indeed, if $\alpha \in M_n(A)$ and $\text{supp}(\alpha) \cap F = \emptyset$, then $\Phi_n(\alpha) = 0$, i.e. $\ker \Phi_n(\alpha) = X^n$.

Now, let $\{F_{\gamma}\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ be the family of all closed subsets of Ω sharing the property of F . Then

$\bigcap \{F_{\gamma} : \gamma \in \Gamma\} = \text{supp}(\Phi)$. Since $\text{supp}(\delta(f)) \cap \text{supp}(\Phi) = \emptyset$ it follows that

$$\ker \Phi_n(\delta(f)) \supset X_{\Phi}^n(\text{supp}(\Phi)) = \bigcap_{\gamma \in \Gamma} X_{\Phi}^n(F_{\gamma}) = X^n,$$

by (2.3) and the first part of the proof. Consequently $\Phi(f) = 0$.

2.3. COROLLARY. For every closed $F \subset \Omega$ we have the equality

$$X_{\Phi}^n(F) = X_{\Phi}^n(F \cap \text{supp}(\Phi))$$

PROOF. As we have noted in the proof of Corollary 2.2, $X_{\Phi}^n(\text{supp}(\Phi)) = X^n$. Therefore

$$X_{\Phi}^n(F) = X^n \cap X_{\Phi}^n(F) = X_{\Phi}^n(F \cap \text{supp}(\Phi)),$$

by (2.3).

2.4. REMARK. We have not used so far the fact that the functions of A are continuous.

A supplementary condition on the algebra $A \subset C(\Omega)$ makes the mapping $\Phi_n : M_n(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X^n)$ injective on its support.

2.5. LEMMA. Assume that the algebra A also has the property (ii) from Definition 1.1. If $\Phi_n(\alpha) = 0$ for some $\alpha \in M_n(A)$, then $\alpha(\omega) = 0$ for every $\omega \in \text{supp}(\Phi)$.

PROOF. Note first that if $\Phi(f) = 0$ for some $f \in A$, then $f(\omega) = 0$ for every $\omega \in \text{supp}(\Phi)$.

Indeed, if $h \in A$ is such that $\text{supp}(h) \subset G = \{\omega \in \Omega : f(\omega) \neq 0\}$ then the extension h_1 of the function $\omega \rightarrow h(\omega)/f(\omega)$ belongs to A and we have $\Phi(h) = \Phi(h_1) \Phi(f) = 0$. Therefore $\text{supp}(\Phi) \cap G = \emptyset$.

Now, if $\Phi_n(\alpha) = 0$ and $\alpha = (\alpha_{jk})_{j,k=1}^n$, then $\Phi(\alpha_{jk}) = 0$ for each pair (j,k) . By the previous remark, it follows that $\alpha(\omega) = 0$ for all $\omega \in \text{supp}(\Phi)$.

3. DECOMPOSABILITY

In this section A will be a subalgebra of $C(\Omega)$ with the properties (i) and (ii) from Definition 1.1. Let $\Phi : A \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$ be a fixed unital algebra morphism.

We also fix an element $\tau = (\tau_{jk})_{j,k=1}^n \in M_n(A)$. Let $T = \Phi_n(\tau) \in \mathcal{L}(X^n)$, i.e. T is (A,n) -scalar. From the defining relation (2.1), it follows easily that $T X_{\Phi}^n(F) \subset X_{\Phi}^n(F)$ for all closed subsets $F \subset \Omega$.

3.1. LEMMA . For every closed $F \subset \Omega$ we have the inclusion

$$\sigma(T \mid X_{\Phi}^n(F)) \subset \bigcup_{\omega \in F} \sigma(\tau(\omega))$$

and the set from the right hand side is closed.

PROOF. We use the straightforward equality

$$\sigma(\tau(\omega)) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \det(z 1_n - \tau(\omega)) = 0\}, \omega \in \Omega,$$

where "det" stands for determinant. It is also an elementary fact the existence of a matrix $\tau_*(z) \in M_n(A)$ such that

$$(3.1) \quad (z 1_n - \tau) \tau_*(z) = \tau_*(z) (z 1_n - \tau) = \delta (\det(z 1_n - \tau))$$

for each $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

Now, let $z \in \mathbb{C}$ be such that $\det(z 1_n - \tau(\omega)) \neq 0$ for all $\omega \in F$. We take a function $h \in A$ such that $h=1$ in a neighbourhood of F and

$$\text{supp}(h) \subset \{\omega \in \Omega : \det(z 1_n - \tau(\omega)) \neq 0\}.$$

Since $\det(z 1_n - \tau) \in A$ and A has the property (ii) from

Definition 1.1, the function $g(\omega) = h(\omega)(\det(z1_n - \tau(\omega)))^{-1}$ (equal to zero outside the set $\text{supp}(h)$) is an element of A . From (3.1) we deduce that

$$(z1_n - T) \Phi_n(g \tau_*(z)) = \Phi_n(g \tau_*(z))(z1_n - T) = \Phi_n(\delta(h)).$$

As we have $\text{supp}(1-h) \cap F = \emptyset$, it is clear that $\Phi_n(\delta(h)) \mid X_{\Phi}^n(F)$ is the identity on $X_{\Phi}^n(F)$. Therefore

$$\Phi_n(g \tau_*(z)) \mid X_{\Phi}^n(F) = ((z1_n - T) \mid X_{\Phi}^n(F))^{-1},$$

i.e. $z \notin \sigma(T \mid X_{\Phi}^n(F))$.

Finally, if $\det(z1_n - \tau(\omega)) \neq 0$ for all $\omega \in F$, then there exists a neighbourhood V of z such that if $w \in V$, then $\det(w1_n - \tau(\omega)) \neq 0$ for all $\omega \in F$.

Consequently, the set $\bigcup \{\sigma(\tau(\omega)) : \omega \in F\}$ is closed.

3.2. REMARK. The inclusion in the statement of Lemma 3.1 can be written as

$$\sigma(T \mid X_{\Phi}^n(F)) \subset \bigcup \{\sigma(\tau(\omega)) : \omega \in F \cap \text{supp}(\Phi)\},$$

via Corollary 2.3.

3.3. LEMMA. Let $L \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a closed subset and let

$$\theta(L) = \{\omega \in \Omega : \sigma(\tau(\omega)) \cap L \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Then $\theta(L)$ is a compact subset of Ω with the property that

$$\sigma(T \mid X_{\Phi}^n(F)) \cap L = \emptyset \text{ whenever } \theta(L) \cap F = \emptyset, F \text{ closed in } \Omega.$$

PROOF. If $\omega_0 \notin \theta(L)$, then $\sigma(\tau(\omega_0)) \cap L = \emptyset$.

Thus, by the upper semicontinuity of the spectrum, there exists a neighbourhood W_0 of ω_0 such that $\sigma(\tau(\omega)) \cap L = \emptyset$ for each $\omega \in W_0$. Hence $\Omega \setminus \theta(L)$ is open.

Now, let $F = \overline{F} \subset \Omega$ be such that $\theta(L) \cap F = \emptyset$. If z were a point of $\sigma(T \mid X_{\Phi}^n(F)) \cap L$, then, by virtue of Lemma 3.1,

there would exist a point $\omega \in F$ such that $z \in \sigma(\tau(\omega))$.

Therefore $\omega \in \theta(L) \cap F$, which contradicts the choice of F .

3.4. LEMMA. The operator T satisfies the condition β of Bishop [3].

PROOF. We have to show that if $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ is an arbitrary open set and $\{g_p\}_{p=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of X^n -valued functions, analytic in U , such that $(zI_n - T)g_p(z) \rightarrow 0$ ($p \rightarrow \infty$) uniformly on the compact subsets of U , then it follows that $g_p(z) \rightarrow 0$ ($p \rightarrow \infty$) uniformly on the compact subsets of U .

Let $\{g_p\}_{p=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence as above and let Δ be a fixed closed disc. Let us show that $g_p(z) \rightarrow 0$ ($p \rightarrow \infty$) uniformly on Δ .

We consider the set $\theta(\Delta) \subset \Omega$ (defined in Lemma 3.3) and fix a point $\omega_0 \in \theta(\Delta)$. Let $D_0 \subset \bar{D}_0 \subset U$ be an open disc containing Δ and let $V_0 \subset \mathbb{C}$ an open set such that $\bar{D}_0 \cap \bar{V}_0 = \emptyset$ and $\sigma(\tau(\omega_0)) \subset D_0 \cup V_0$, which is obviously possible. By the upper semicontinuity of the spectrum, we infer the existence of an open neighbourhood W_0 of ω_0 in Ω such that if $\omega \in W_0$, then one has $\sigma(\tau(\omega)) \subset D_0 \cup V_0$. This procedure can be applied to any point ω of $\theta(\Delta)$. By the compactness of $\theta(\Delta)$ (Lemma 3.3), we obtain a finite open cover $\{W_1, \dots, W_m\}$ of $\theta(\Delta)$, open discs D_1, \dots, D_m whose closures are in U and open sets V_1, \dots, V_m in \mathbb{C} such that $D_q \supset \Delta$, $\bar{D}_q \cap \bar{V}_q = \emptyset$ and $\sigma(\tau(\omega)) \subset D_q \cup V_q$ for every $\omega \in W_q$ ($q = 1, \dots, m$). Let $W_{m+1} = \Omega \setminus \theta(L)$. We take the functions h_1, \dots, h_m, h_{m+1} from A such that $h_1 + \dots + h_m + h_{m+1} = 1$ and $\text{supp}(h_q) \subset W_q$ ($q = 1, \dots, m+1$). Then consider the matrices $\alpha_q = \delta(h_q)$. Note that

$$\Phi_n(\alpha_q)g_p(z) \in X_{\Phi}^n(\text{supp}(\alpha_q)), \quad q=1, \dots, m, m+1,$$

and that $\sigma(T|X_{\Phi}^n(\text{supp}(\alpha_q))) \subset \bar{D}_q \cup \bar{V}_q$ ($q = 1, \dots, m$). Since

$\overline{D}_q \cap \overline{V}_q = \emptyset$, we can take another open disc $D'_q \supset \overline{D}_q$ in U such that $D'_q \cap \overline{V}_q = \emptyset$ ($1 \leq q \leq m$). Note that the operator $(zI_n - T) | X_{\Phi}^n(\text{supp}(\alpha_q))$ is invertible for $z \in D'_q \setminus \overline{D}_q$ and that $\Phi_n(\alpha_q)$ commutes with T . Therefore the sequence $\Phi_n(\alpha_q)g_p(z) \rightarrow 0$ ($p \rightarrow \infty$) uniformly on the compact subsets of $D'_q \setminus \overline{D}_q$. By the maximum principle, we deduce that $\Phi_n(\alpha_q)g_p(z) \rightarrow 0$ ($p \rightarrow \infty$) uniformly on \overline{D}_q , in particular on Δ , for every $q=1, \dots, m$.

From Lemma 3.3 we obtain that

$$\sigma(T | X_{\Phi}^n(\text{supp}(\alpha_{m+1})) \cap \Delta = \emptyset.$$

Hence $\Phi_n(\alpha_{m+1})g_p(z) \rightarrow 0$ ($p \rightarrow \infty$) uniformly on Δ , and therefore

$$g_p(z) = \Phi_n(\alpha_1)g_p(z) + \dots + \Phi_n(\alpha_{m+1})g_p(z) \rightarrow 0 \quad (p \rightarrow \infty)$$

uniformly for $z \in \Delta$.

The general assertion now follows by covering an arbitrary compact subset $L \subset U$ with a finite number of closed discs and applying the previous argument to each of these discs.

Since T satisfies the condition \int^b , then T has the single valued extension property. Particularly, we can speak about the spectral spaces

$$(3.2) \quad X_T^n(L) = \{x \in X^n : \gamma_T(x) \subset L\},$$

where $L \subset \mathbb{C}$ is an arbitrary closed set and $\gamma_T(x)$ is the local spectrum of T at x (see [4] or [11] for details). In addition, the space $X_T^n(L)$ is closed (which is an easy consequence of the condition \int^b), $X_T^n(L)$ is invariant under every operator that commutes with T (i.e. $X_T^n(L)$ is hyperinvariant) and

$$\sigma(T | X_T^n(L)) \subset L \quad ([4], [11]).$$

3.5.. LEMMA. The operator T is decomposable.

PROOF. Let $\{U_1, U_2\}$ be an open cover of \mathbb{C} , and let

us fix a point $\omega_0 \in \Omega$. Then we can choose two open sets V_0^1 and V_0^2 in Φ such that $\sigma(\tau(\omega_0)) \subset V_0^1 \cup V_0^2$, $\bar{V}_0^q \subset U_q$ ($q=1,2$) and $\bar{V}_0^1 \cap \bar{V}_0^2 = \emptyset$. Let $W_0 \subset \Omega$ be an open set that $\omega \in \bar{W}_0$ implies $\sigma(\tau(\omega)) \subset V_0^1 \cup V_0^2$. Since Ω is compact, the previous remark shows that we can find an open cover $\{W_1, \dots, W_m\}$ of Ω and open sets $\{V_p^q : 1 \leq p \leq m, q=1,2\}$ in Φ such that

$$(a) \quad \bar{V}_p^q \subset U_q, \quad \bar{V}_p^1 \cap \bar{V}_p^2 = \emptyset;$$

$$(b) \quad \omega \in \bar{W}_p \Rightarrow \sigma(\tau(\omega)) \subset \bar{V}_p^1 \cup \bar{V}_p^2$$

for all $p = 1, \dots, m$ and $q = 1, 2$. From Lemma 3.1 and the property (b) we deduce that

$$\sigma(T \mid x_{\Phi}^n(\bar{W}_p)) \subset \bigcup_{\omega \in \bar{W}_p} \sigma(\tau(\omega)) \subset \bar{V}_p^1 \cup \bar{V}_p^2$$

Therefore

$$x_{\Phi}^n(\bar{W}_p) \subset x_T^n(\bar{V}_p^1 \cup \bar{V}_p^2)$$

by the fact that the space from the right hand side is spectral maximal [4], [11]. Let us also note the decomposition

$$(3.3) \quad x_T^n(\bar{V}_p^1 \cup \bar{V}_p^2) = x_T^n(\bar{V}_p^1) + x_T^n(\bar{V}_p^2),$$

which follows from (a), the decomposition of the space with respect to separate parts of the spectrum (see, for instance, [11], Theorem III 3.11) and the fact that all involved spaces are spectral maximal.

According to (2.2) and the above considerations, we can write that

$$\begin{aligned} x^n &= \sum_{p=1}^m x_{\Phi}^n(\bar{W}_p) = \sum_{p=1}^m x_T^n(\bar{V}_p^1) + \sum_{p=1}^m x_T^n(\bar{V}_p^2) = \\ &= x_T^n(\bar{U}_1) + x_T^n(\bar{U}_2), \end{aligned}$$

which proves the decomposability of T , by virtue of Theorem IV. 4.28 from [11].

3.6. COROLLARY. If $\sigma(T)$ contains more than one point, then T has at least one proper hyperinvariant subspace.

This fact is well known in the theory of decomposable operators and is based on the existence of a compact subset $L \subset \sigma(T)$ such that $X_T^n(L)$ is neither zero nor the whole space. As we have already mentioned, $X_T^n(L)$ is a hyperinvariant subspace of T .

3.7. REMARK. If $A = C(\Omega)$ and Φ is obtained via a spectral measure on Ω , then the operator T is n -spectral [8]. If $\sigma(T)$ contains more than one point, then T has a proper hyperinvariant subspace, as proved in [8]. Consequently, Corollary 3.6 provides an extension of this result.

3.8. THEOREM. Every (A, n) -scalar operator is super-decomposable.

PROOF. We use the notation and the considerations from the proof of Lemma 3.5.

Let $\{f_1, \dots, f_m\} \subset A$ be such that $f_1 + \dots + f_m = 1$ and $\text{supp}(f_p) \subset W_p$ ($p=1, \dots, m$). Let also Q_p^q be the spectral projection of the space $X_T^n(\bar{V}_p^1 \cup \bar{V}_p^2)$ onto $X_T^n(\bar{V}_p^q)$ ($q=1, 2; p=1, \dots, m$), which is obtained from the decomposition (3.3), via the analytic functional calculus of the restriction of T to $X_T^n(\bar{V}_p^1 \cup \bar{V}_p^2)$ (see [11], Theorem III.3.11). Since

$$\Phi_n(\sigma(f_p))X^n \subset X_{\Phi}^n(\text{supp}(f_p)) \subset X_{\Phi}^n(\bar{W}_p) \subset X_T^n(\bar{V}_p^1 \cup \bar{V}_p^2),$$

we may define the operators

$$R_q = \sum_{p=1}^m Q_p^q \Phi_n(\sigma(f_p)) \in \mathcal{L}(X^n), \quad q=1, 2.$$

It is straightforward that $R_1 + R_2 = 1_n$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} TR_q &= T \left(\sum_{p=1}^m \Omega_p^q \Phi_n(\delta(f_p)) \right) = \\ &= \sum_{p=1}^m (T \mid x_T^n(\bar{V}_p^1 \cup \bar{V}_p^2)) \Omega_p^q \Phi_n(\delta(f_p)) = \\ &= \sum_{p=1}^m \Omega_p^q (T \mid x_T^n(\bar{V}_p^1 \cup \bar{V}_p^2)) \Phi_n(\delta(f_p)) = \\ &= \sum_{p=1}^m \Omega_p^q T \Phi_n(\delta(f_p)) = R_q T, \end{aligned}$$

since every operator commutes with its analytic functional calculus and $\delta(f_p)$ is in the center of $M_n(A)$.

In particular, the space $R_q(X^n)$ is invariant under T .

To end the proof that T is super-decomposable, it remains to show that $\sigma(T \mid R_q(X^n)) \subset \bar{U}_q$ ($q=1,2$).

Let $z \notin \bar{U}_q$. We choose two open sets U'_q and U''_q such that $U'_q \supset \bar{U}_q$, $z \notin \bar{U}'_q$, $\bar{U}'_q \cap \bar{U}_q = \emptyset$ and $U'_q \cup U''_q = \emptyset$. Then $X^n = X_T^n(\bar{U}'_q) + X_T^n(\bar{U}_q)$, since T is decomposable (Lemma 3.5). If $x \in X^n$ is a fixed vector, then $x = x' + x''$, where $x' \in X_T^n(\bar{U}'_q)$ and $x'' \in X_T^n(\bar{U}_q)$. Note that $R_q x'' = 0$. Indeed, on one hand,

$$R_q(X^n) \subset \sum_{p=1}^m X_T^n(\bar{V}_p^q) \subset X_T^n(\bar{U}_q),$$

which shows that $\gamma_T(R_q x'') \subset \bar{U}_q$, by (3.2). On the other hand, since $x'' \in X_T^n(\bar{U}_q)$, then $\gamma_T(R_q x'') \subset \gamma_T(x'') \subset \bar{U}'_q$ [4]. Therefore $\gamma_T(R_q x'') = \emptyset$, so that $R_q x'' = 0$ because of the single valued extension property of T . This establishes the equality

$$(3.4) \quad R_q(X^n) = R_q(X_T^n(\bar{U}'_q)).$$

Let us show that the space $R_q(X^n)$ is invariant under $((z 1_n - T) \mid X_T^n(\bar{U}'_q))^{-1}$. Indeed, the operator R_q commutes with

T and the space $X_T^n(\bar{U}'_q)$ is invariant under R_q . Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} R_q((z \ 1_n - T) \mid X_T^n(\bar{U}'_q))^{-1} x' &= \\ &= ((z \ 1_n - T) \mid X_T^n(\bar{U}'_q))^{-1} R_q x' \end{aligned}$$

for every $x' \in X_T^n(\bar{U}'_q)$. This shows, in particular, that $\overline{R_q(X^n)}$ is invariant under $((z \ 1_n - T) \mid X_T^n(\bar{U}'_q))^{-1}$, via (3.4). In other words, $(z \ 1_n - T) \mid \overline{R_q(X^n)}$ is invertible, i.e. $\sigma(T \mid \overline{R_q(X^n)}) \subset \bar{U}_q$.

The proof of the theorem is complete.

3.9. REMARK. Let $\Omega_\tau = \tau(\Omega)$ and set

$$A_\tau = \{ f \in C(\Omega_\tau) : f \circ \tau \in A \},$$

which is a subalgebra of $C(\Omega_\tau)$. Then the map $\Phi_\tau: A_\tau \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$ given by $\Phi_\tau(f) = \Phi(f \circ \tau)$ is a unital algebra morphism. Suppose that A_τ has the properties (i) and (ii) from Definition 1.1 (this happens, for instance, when $A = C(\Omega)$). Then the morphism Φ_τ can be used instead of Φ . In this case there is no loss of generality in assuming that Ω is a compact subset of \mathbb{C}^m , where $m = n^2$, and that τ is the matrix of coordinate functions on \mathbb{C}^m , restricted to Ω .

4. MORE ABOUT THE SPECTRUM

In this section we assume that $A \subset C(\Omega)$ has the properties (i), (ii) and (iii) from Definition 1.1. As in the previous section, we fix a unital algebra morphism $\Phi: A \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$, an element $\tau = (\tau_{jk})_{j,k=1}^n \in M_n(A)$, and consider the (A, n) -scalar operator $T = \Phi_n(\tau) \in \mathcal{L}(X^n)$.

For every closed $F \subset \Omega$ we define the set

$$(4.1) \quad S_{\tau, F} = \bigcup_{\omega \in F} \sigma(\tau(\omega)) \subset \mathbb{C}.$$

The set $S_{\tau, F}$ is closed (in fact compact), by Lemma 3.1. When $F = \text{supp}(\Phi)$, the set $S_{\tau, F}$ will be denoted simply by S_{τ} .

4.1. LEMMA. For every $h \in A$ there exists an analytic function $\varphi_h : \Phi \setminus S_{\tau, F} \rightarrow M_n(A)$ such that $(z I_n - \tau) \varphi_h(z) = \delta(h)$ for all $z \notin S_{\tau, F}$, where $F = \text{supp}(h)$.

PROOF. Consider the Banach space $Y = A^n$ and the map $\Psi : A \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(Y)$ given by

$$\Psi(h)f_1 \oplus \dots \oplus f_n = hf_1 \oplus \dots \oplus hf_n, \quad h, f_1, \dots, f_n \in A.$$

Plainly, Ψ is a unital algebra morphism. Let $\Psi_n : M_n(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(Y^n)$ be the unital algebra morphism induced by Ψ . If we indentify Y^n with $M_n(A)$, then, with this identification, $\Psi_n(\alpha)\beta = \alpha\beta$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in M_n(A)$. In particular, $\Psi_n(\tau)$ is the multiplication by the matrix τ , which will be also denoted by τ . The operator τ is (A, n) -scalar, and therefore it has the properties described in the previous section.

It is easily seen that $\delta(h) \in Y_{\Psi}^n(\text{supp}(h))$ (which is defined by (2.1)). According to Lemma 3.1, $\sigma(\tau \mid Y_{\Phi}^n(F)) \subset S_{\tau, F}$, where $F = \text{supp}(h)$. Consequently, we may take

$$\varphi_h(z) = ((z I_n - \tau) \mid Y_{\Psi}^n(F))^{-1} \delta(h), \quad z \notin S_{\tau, F}.$$

4.2. LEMMA. Assume that there exists a compact subset $L \subset S_{\tau} \setminus \sigma(T)$ such that $S_{\tau} \setminus L$ is also compact. Then $L = \emptyset$

PROOF. Let us assume that $L \neq \emptyset$. Let $V_1 \supset L$ and $V_2 \supset S_{\tau} \setminus L$ be open sets such that $\overline{V_1} \cap \overline{V_2} = \emptyset$. Then there is an open neighbourhood W of $\text{supp}(\Phi)$ such that $S_{\tau, W} \subset V_1 \cup V_2$. We may also assume that $\Gamma = \partial V_1$ is a finite system of Jordan rectifiable curves, positively oriented.

Let $h \in A$ be such that $h = 1$ in a neighbourhood of

$\text{supp}(\Phi)$ and $\text{supp}(h) \subset W$. Let also φ_h be the analytic function given by Lemma 4.1, which is defined outside the set $\bar{\tau, \bar{W}}$. Then we may consider the element

$$e = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \varphi_h(z) dz \in M_n(A).$$

Set $F_1 = \{\omega \in \Omega : h(\omega) = 1\}$. Since $\delta(h)(\omega) = 1_n$ for $\omega \in F_1$, then $\varphi_h(z)(\omega) = (z 1_n - \tau(\omega))^{-1}$. It follows from our assumption on the algebra A (Definition 1.1(iii)) that the point evaluations are continuous. Hence

$$e(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} (z 1_n - \tau(\omega))^{-1} dz, \quad \omega \in F_1,$$

which shows that $e(\omega)^2 = e(\omega) e(\omega)$ is, in fact, a spectral projection of $\tau(\omega)$. Since F_1 is a neighbourhood of $\text{supp}(\Phi)$, it follows that $\Phi_n(e)$ is an idempotent. In addition, $\Phi_n(e)$ commutes with T because of the equality $\tau(\omega)e(\omega) = e(\omega)\tau(\omega)$ ($\omega \in F_1$).

Consider now the integral

$$e_w = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} (w-z)^{-1} \varphi_h(z) dz, \quad w \notin \bar{V}_1.$$

It is clear that

$$(4.2) \quad (w 1_n - \tau(\omega))e_w(\omega) = e_w(\omega)(w 1_n - \tau(\omega)) = e(\omega)$$

for all $\omega \in F_1$ and $w \notin \bar{V}_1$.

Since $\Phi_n(e)$ is idempotent, then $Z = \Phi_n(e)(X^n)$ is a closed subspace of X^n , invariant under T and under $\Phi_n(e_w)$ as well. Moreover, from (4.2) we deduce that

$$((w 1_n - T)|Z)(\Phi_n(e_w)|Z) = (\Phi_n(e_w)|Z)((w 1_n - T)|Z) = 1_Z$$

where 1_Z is the identity of Z . This shows that $\sigma(T|Z) \subset \bar{V}_1$. On

the other hand, $\sigma(T) \subset \bar{V}_2$, by Remark 3.2 and the property of L .

Therefore $\sigma(T) \cap \sigma(T|Z) = \emptyset$, which is not possible unless $Z = \{0\}$. This shows that $\Phi_n(e) = 0$, so that $e(\omega) = 0$ for each $\omega \in \text{supp}(\Phi)$, in virtue of Lemma 2.5, which contradicts our assumption. Indeed, if $z_0 \in L$, then there exists $\omega_0 \in \text{supp}(\Phi)$ such that $z_0 \in \sigma(\tau(\omega_0))$. Then V_1 contains at least one point from the spectrum of the matrix $\tau(\omega_0)$, whence $e(\omega_0) \neq 0$.

Consequently we must have $L = \emptyset$.

4.3. LEMMA. Let $F \subset \Omega$ be closed and let

$$X_{\Phi}(F) = \bigcap \left\{ \ker(\Phi(f)) : \text{supp}(f) \cap F = \emptyset \right\}.$$

Then the space $X_{\Phi}(F)^n$ is invariant under T and the restriction $T|X_{\Phi}(F)^n$ is (A,n) -scalar.

PROOF. It is easily seen that $X_{\Phi}(F)^n = X_{\Phi}(F) \oplus \dots \oplus X_{\Phi}(F)$ (n times) is invariant under T .

Since $X_{\Phi}(F)$ is invariant under $\Phi(f)$ for every $f \in A$, we may define the map

$$(4.3) \quad A \ni f \rightarrow \Phi_F(f) = \Phi(f)|_{X_{\Phi}(F)} \in \mathcal{L}(X_{\Phi}(F)),$$

which is a unital algebra morphism. If $\Phi_{F,n}$ is the unital algebra morphism from $M_n(A)$ into $\mathcal{L}(X_{\Phi}(F)^n)$ induced by Φ_F , then $T|X_{\Phi}(F)^n = \Phi_{F,n}(\tau)$, which is precisely our assertion.

4.4. REMARK. With the notation of Lemma 4.3, we have the inclusion $\sigma(T|X_{\Phi}(F)^n) \subset \sigma(T)$.

Indeed, if $z \notin \sigma(T)$, then the space $X_{\Phi}(F)^n$ is invariant under $(z 1_n - T)^{-1}$ since

$$\Phi_n(\delta(f))(z 1_n - T)^{-1}x = (z 1_n - T)^{-1}\Phi_n(\delta(f))x = 0$$

for every $f \in A$ with $\text{supp}(f) \cap F = \emptyset$ and each $x \in X_{\Phi}(F)^n$.

4.5. LEMMA. The morphism Φ_F from (4.3) has the following

property:

$$\text{int}(F) \cap \text{supp}(\Phi) \subset \text{supp}(\Phi_F) \subset F \cap \text{supp}(\Phi).$$

for each closed F

PROOF. Let X_F be the space $X_{\Phi}(F)$, defined in the preceding lemma. Let also $f \in A$ be such that $\text{supp}(f) \cap F \cap \text{supp}(\Phi) = \emptyset$. By using the normality of the algebra A , we can write $f = f_1 + f_2$, where $f_1, f_2 \in A$, $\text{supp}(f_1) \cap F = \emptyset$ and $\text{supp}(f_2) \cap \text{supp}(\Phi) = \emptyset$. Then $\Phi_F(f) = \Phi(f_1) \mid X_F + \Phi(f_2) \mid X_F = 0$, which shows that $\text{supp}(\Phi_F) \subset F \cap \text{supp}(\Phi)$.

Conversely, let $\omega_0 \in \text{int}(F) \cap \text{supp}(\Phi)$, let W_0 be an open neighbourhood of ω_0 such that $\overline{W_0} \subset \text{int}(F)$, let $W_1 = \text{int}(F)$ and let $W_2 \subset \Omega$ be open such that $\overline{W_2} \cap \overline{W_0} = \emptyset$ and $W_1 \cup W_2 = \Omega$. Then, by Proposition 2.1 (with $n=1$), $X = X_{\overline{W_1}} + X_{\overline{W_2}} = X_F + X_{\overline{W_2}}$. If $f \in A$ and $\text{supp}(f) \subset W_0$, then $\Phi(f) \mid X_{\overline{W_2}} = 0$. Since $\omega_0 \in \text{supp}(\Phi)$, this shows that $\omega_0 \in \text{supp}(\Phi_F)$.

4.6. THEOREM. Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(X^n)$ be a (A, n) -scalar operator such that $T = \Phi_n(\tau)$. Then one has the equality

$$\sigma(T) = \bigcup \{ \sigma(\tau(\omega)) : \omega \in \text{supp}(\Phi) \}.$$

PROOF. The inclusion $\sigma(T) \subset S_{\tau}$ has been already noticed (see Remark 3.2).

Conversely, assume that there exists a point

$z_0 \in S_{\tau} \setminus \sigma(T)$. Let $\omega_0 \in \Omega$ be such that $z_0 \in \sigma(\tau(\omega_0))$. Let V_1, V_2 be open sets in \mathbb{C} such that $V_1 \ni z_0, V_2 \supset \sigma(T), \overline{V_1} \cap \overline{V_2} = \emptyset$ and $\sigma(\tau(\omega_0)) \subset V_1 \cup V_2$. Then there exists an open set $W_0 \ni \omega_0$ in Ω such that $\sigma(\tau(\omega)) \subset V_1 \cup V_2$ for every $\omega \in F = \overline{W_0}$. According to Remark 4.4, we have the inclusion

$\sigma(T_F) \subset \sigma(T) \subset V_2$, where $T_F = T \mid X_{\Phi}(F)^n$. On the other hand,

$$\bigcup \{ \sigma(\tau(\omega)) : \omega \in \text{supp}(\Phi_F) \} \subset S_{\tau, F} \subset V_1 \cup V_2,$$

by virtue of Lemma 4.5. From the same lemma it also follows that $\omega_0 \in \text{supp}(\Phi_F)$. This shows that the set

$$L = \bigcup \{ \sigma(\tau(\omega)) : \omega \in \text{supp}(\Phi_F) \} \cap \overline{V_1}$$

is nonempty, which contradicts Lemma 4.2, applied to T_F . Therefore $S_{\tau} \setminus \sigma(T) = \emptyset$.

4.7. DEFINITION. The map $\Phi_n : M_n(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X^n)$ is said to be of finite algebraic order if there exists an integer $m \geq 1$ such that from the fact that $\alpha(\omega) = 0$ for all $\omega \in \text{supp}(\Phi_n)$ and a certain $\alpha \in M_n(A)$, it follows that $\Phi_n(\alpha^m) = 0$.

If $A = C^r(\Omega)$ and $\Phi_n : M_n(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X^n)$ is continuous, then for every $\beta \in M_n(A)$ which is null on $\text{supp}(\Phi_n)$ together with its partial derivatives up to order r , we have $\Phi_n(\beta) = 0$. This fact is well-known for scalar distributions and can be extended to vector distributions as well; an outline of proof can be found in [11], Lemma IV.8.8.

This fact shows, in particular, that Φ_n is of finite algebraic order $\leq r + 1$.

We can complete now Corollary 3.6 with the following statement.

4.8. COROLLARY. If $\sigma(T) = \{z_0\}$ and the morphism $\Phi_n : M_n(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X^n)$ is of finite algebraic order, then $z_0 1_n - T$ is nilpotent.

In particular, if T is not a multiple of the identity, then T has a proper hyperinvariant subspace.

PROOF. It follows from Theorem 4.6 that $\sigma(\tau(\omega)) = \{z_0\}$ for every $\omega \in \text{supp}(\Phi)$. In other words, the matrix $z_0 1_n - \tau(\omega)$ is nilpotent for each $\omega \in \text{supp}(\Phi)$, i.e. $(z_0 1_n - \tau(\omega))^n = 0$.

($\omega \in \text{supp}(\Phi)$).

Since the map Φ_n has finite algebraic order, then $\Phi_n((z_0^1{}_n - T)^{mn}) = 0$ for some integer $m \geq 1$, i.e. $z_0^1{}_n - T$ is nilpotent.

If T is not a multiple of the identity, then $\ker(z_0^1{}_n - T)$ is a proper hyperinvariant subspace of T .

REFERENCES

1. Albrecht, E. and Frunză, S.: Non-analytic functional calculi in several variables, Manuscripta Math., 32(1980), 263 - 294.
2. Apostol, C.: Spectral decompositions and functional calculus, Rev. Roum. Math. Pures Appl., 13 (1968), 1481 - 1528.
3. Bishop, E.: A duality theorem for an arbitrary operator, Pacific J. Math., 9(1959), 379-394.
4. Colojoară, I. and Foiaş, C.: Theory of generalized spectral operators, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1968.
5. Douglas, R.G. and Percy, C.: Hyperinvariant subspaces and transitive algebras, Michigan Math. J., 19(1972), 1-12.
6. Hoover, T.B.: Hyperinvariant subspaces for n-normal operators, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged), 32 (1971), 109- 119.
7. Laursen, K.B. and Neumann, M.M.: Decomposable operators and automatic continuity, J. Operator Theory, 15(1986), 33 - 51.
8. Omladič, M.: On N-spectral operators, Communication presented at the 10th Operator Theory Conference, Bucharest, 1985.
9. Orhon, M.: Algebras of operators containing a Boolean algebra of projections of finite multiplicity, Proceedings of the 10th Operator Theory Conference, Bucharest, 1985.
10. Radjavi, H. and Rosenthal, P.: Hyperinvariant subspaces for spectral and n-normal operators, Acta. Sci. Math. (Szeged) 32 (1971), 121-126.
11. Vasilescu, F.-H.: Analytic functional calculus and spectral decompositions, Editura Academiei and D. Reidel Publishing Company, Bucharest and Dordrecht, 1982.