

INSTITUTUL
DE
MATEMATICA

INSTITUTUL NATIONAL
PENTRU CREATIE
STIINTIFICA SI TEHNICA

ISSN 0250 3638

THE GENERATIVE COMPLEXITY OF RECURSIVE
ENUMERABLE SETS USING VAN WIJNGAARDEN
SYSTEMS

by

Alexandru MATEESCU

PREPRINT SERIES IN MATHEMATICS

No. 15/1986

BUCURESTI

mea 23718

THE GENERATIVE COMPLEXITY OF RECURSIVE
ENUMERABLE SETS USING VAN WIJNGAARDEN
SYSTEMS

by

Alexandru MATEESCU^{*)}

^{*)} The University of Bucharest, Faculty of Mathematics,
Str. Academiei 14, Bucharest 70109 ROMANIA

C O N T E N T S

	Pag.
INTRODUCTION	0
CHAPTER 1. <u>W GRAMMARS</u>	1
§1.Preliminary	1
§2.Posets and systems	3
CHAPTER 2. <u>W SYSTEMS</u>.....	9
§1.Preliminary	9
§2.Strategies for solving W systems	11
§3.W systems and recursive enumerable sets	15
CHAPTER 3. <u>THE GENERATIVE COMPLEXITY</u>	18
§1. The generative Blum spaces	18
§2. Criterions, measures and com- plexity classes	19
CHAPTER 4. <u>THE LINEAR SPEED-UP</u>.....	26
§1. The linear speed-up of the time W measures	26
§2. The linear speed-up of others measures.....	31
CHAPTER 5. <u>HIERARCHIES OF RECURSIVE ENUMERABLE SETS</u>	33
§1. TW-self-bounded functions. Pro- perties	33
§2. The TW-separation property.....	45
§3. Hierarchies of recursive enumerable sets.....	48
FINAL REMARKS	51
BIBLIOGRAPHY	53

INTRODUCTION

This work may be placed in the area of computational complexity using van Wijngaarden or W grammars or also two level grammars.

In chapter 1 is defined the notion of a W grammar. Some properties of W grammars are given.

In chapter 2 we introduce the notion of W system, closely related to the notion of W grammar. These systems play a similar role for recursively enumerable sets as the Ginsburg-Rice-Schutzenberger systems of equations for the description of context - free languages. Chapter 2 is optional.

The notion of a generative Blum space is introduced in chapter 3. These spaces play the same role for grammars as the Blum spaces for algorithms. Some new classes of computational complexity measures are considered.

In chapter 4 is proved a linear speed-up theorem for the complexity measure time W.

Chapter 5 begin by introducing a special class of functions, so called TW-self-bounded. This class is closed under sum, product and exponentiation. This class is also closed under inverse mappings and composition of functions. The class of TW-self-bounded functions is rich.

In chapter 5 one also proves a special property of separation between complexity classes. Using this separation property some new hierarchies of recursively enumerable sets are obtained. A dense hierarchy of recursively enumerable sets is also found. The work finishes with final remarks and bibliographical references.

CHAPTER I

W GRAMMARS

§1.1. Preliminary

In this paragraph we introduce the notion of W grammar or van Wijngaarden grammar or also two-level grammar.

If A is a set, then A^* is the set of all words over A including the empty word λ and $A^+ = A^* - \{\lambda\}$.

The set of natural numbers is ω .

A grammar without axiom is an ordered system (V_N, V_T, P) such that for any $S, S \in V_N$, the system (V_N, V_T, S, P) , is a Chomsky grammar.

A context-free grammar without axiom (cfga) is a grammar without axiom, (V_N, V_T, P) with $P \subset V_N \times (V_N \cup V_T)^*$.

The following definition of a W grammar is, with little change, the same as definitions from (8), (32), (33) or (34).

Definition 1. A W grammar is an ordered system $G = (G_1, G_2, z)$, where $G_1 = (M, V, R_M)$, $G_2 = (H, \Sigma, R_H)$ are cfga's with the property that the elements of H are of the form $\langle h \rangle$, where $h \in (M \cup V)^+$, $(M \cup V \cup \Sigma) \cap \{\langle, \rangle\} = \emptyset$ and $z \in H$, $z = \langle v \rangle$ with $v \in V^+$.

Terminology G_1 is the metalevel of G and the elements of the sets M, V, R_M are called the metanotations, metaterminals and metarules. G_2 is the hyperlevel of G and the elements of the sets H, Σ, R_H are called the hypernotions, terminals and hyperrules. The symbol z is the start symbol.

We define now the language generated by a W grammar.

For each $X, X \in M$, let L_X be the language generated by the context-free grammar $G_X = (M, V, X, R_M)$. L_X is the language of the metanotation X .

$G_1 = (M, V, R_M)$ and $G_2 = (H, Z, R_H)$. The language of the metanotation A is $L_A = \{v\}^+$. The set of strict rules, R_S , is:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle s \rangle &\rightarrow \langle v^i \rangle, i > 1 \\ \langle v^{2j} \rangle &\rightarrow \langle v^j \rangle \langle v^j \rangle, j > 1 \\ \langle v \rangle &\rightarrow a,\end{aligned}$$

and the set of strict hypernotions is:

$$H_S = \{\langle v^i \rangle \mid i \geq 1\} \cup \{\langle s \rangle\}$$

Note that, if a derivation begin with a rule $\langle v \rangle \rightarrow \langle v^i \rangle$, where i is not 2^n for some $n \in \omega$, then this derivation terminate without producing a terminal word. Therefore, the language generated by G is:

$$L(G) = \{a^{2^n} \mid n \in \omega\}$$

§1.2. Posets and systems

If A and B are sets, then:

$$B^A = \{f \mid f: A \rightarrow B, f \text{ function}\}.$$

If (B, \leq) is a partial ordered set (poset), then B^A is a poset with the realtion:

$$f \leq g \text{ iff for any } x, x \in A, f(x) \leq g(x).$$

If A is a set, then $P(A)$ is the set of all subsets of A and $P(A)$ is a poset with the relation of inclusion.

The same symbol denotes the polynom and its associated polynomial function.

Let $G = (V_N, V_T, P)$ be a cfga and define for any x , $x \in V_N$, the set $D_x = \{u \mid x \rightarrow u \in P\}$. Obviously, $D_x \subseteq V_T^* [V_N]$, for all $x \in V_N$.

Consider now the system of equations:

$$E = \{x = D_x \mid x \in V_N\}$$

A function $f: V_N \rightarrow P(V_T^*)$ is a solution of E , iff
 $f(x) = \bigcup_{u \in D_x} u(f)$, for any $x \in V_N$.

Define the grammar function associated to G :

$$F : P(V_T^*)^{V_N} \longrightarrow P(V_T^*)^{V_N}$$

$$F(f)(x) = \bigcup_{u \in D_x} u(f).$$

Any fixed-point of F is a solution of E and conversely. We consider only the minimal solutions.

One should note that $P(V_T^*)^{V_N}$ is an ω -complete poset with bottom element, \perp , where $\perp : V_N \rightarrow P(V_T^*)$, $\perp(x) = \emptyset$ for any $x \in V_N$. F is an ω -continuous function. Thus, from Kleene's theorem, F has a least fixed-point, $f_0 = \bigvee_{n \in \omega} F^{(n)}(\perp)$.

For any $x \in V_N$, consider the context-free grammar $G_x = (V_N, V_T, x, P)$ and let L_x be the language generated by G_x .

According to Ginsburg-Rice-Schutzenberger theorem,
 $f_0(x) = L_x$, for any $x \in V_N$.

Notation. For any $n \in \omega$ and $x \in V_N$:

$$L_{n,x} = F^{(n)}(\perp)(x)$$

Notation. For any $n \in \omega$, $K_n = L_{n,n,z}$.

We denote also $K_n(\langle u \rangle)$ the set $L_{n,n,\langle u \rangle}$, where $u \in V^*$.

Exemple 6. Consider again the W-grammar from exemple 3. The grammar function associated to G_1 is:

$$F : P(\{s, v\}^*) \xrightarrow{\{A\}} P(\{s, v\}^*)^{\{A\}}$$

$$F(f)(A) = \{v\}f(A) \cup \{v\}$$

Observe that $F^{(0)}(\langle 1 \rangle)(A) = \langle 1 \rangle(A) = \emptyset$, $F^{(1)}(\langle 1 \rangle)(A) = \{v\}$, $F^{(2)}(\langle 1 \rangle)(A) = \{v, v^2\}$ and in general $F^{(n)}(\langle 1 \rangle)(A) = \{v^i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$, $n \geq 1$. Thus, $L_{n,A} = \{v^i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ and the context-free grammar $G_{2,n}$ has the rules:

$$\langle s \rangle \rightarrow \langle v^i \rangle, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n$$

$$\langle v^{2j} \rangle \rightarrow \langle v^j \rangle \langle v^j \rangle, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n$$

$$\langle v \rangle \rightarrow a$$

Moreover, it is easy to prove, that the sets $K_n = F_{2,n}^{(n)}(\langle s \rangle)$ are: $K_0 = K_1 = \emptyset$, $K_2 = \{a\}$ and $K_n = \{a^{2^i} \mid 0 \leq i \leq \log_2 n\}$ for any $n \geq 3$.

Remark 7. Note that for any n, m and x , $L_{n,m,x}$ is a finite set and thus K_n is finite. Moreover, it is easy to show that $L(G) = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} K_n$.

Sintzoff (28) obtained that for any recursively enumerable set E , there exists a W grammar G , such that $L(G) = E$.

This remark is the key to define some new complexity measures (see Chapter 3).

CHAPTER 2

W SYSTEMS

We introduce the notion of W systems. No detailed knowledge of this chapter is required to understand chapters 3-5.

§2.1. Preliminary

Definition 1. A context-free system (cfs) is a 3-ordered system $S_1 = (X, V, F)$, where X is a set of variables, V is a monoid of coefficients, $X \cap V = \emptyset$ and:

$$F : P(V)^X \rightarrow P(V)^X$$

$$F(f)(x) = \bigcup_{u \in D_x} u(f),$$

where for any $x \in X$, $D_x \subset V[X]$, D_x is fixed.

Remark 2. X or D_x , $x \in X$, can be infinite sets. The set of equations is $E_1 = \{x = D_x \mid x \in X\}$. The least fixed-point of F is the solution of S_1 . One should note that for any cfs, S_1 ; there exists $f_\infty = \bigvee_{n \in \omega} F^{(n)}(1)$ and f_∞ is the solution of S_1 .

Definition 3. Let $S_1 = (X, V, F)$ be a cfs. We say that $S_2 = (H, \Sigma, R)$ is a parametric context-free system (pcfs) over S_1 iff S_2 is a cfs, $H \subset V[X]$ and $\Sigma \cap (H \cup X \cup V) = \emptyset$.

The set of equations of S_2 is E_2 , i.e. $E_2 = \{h = D_h \mid h \in H\}$, where for any $h \in H$, $D_h \subset \Sigma[H]$, and D_h is fixed.

Note that, if $f \in P(V)^X$ and $f(x) = \emptyset$ for some $x \in X$, then $T_f = \emptyset$, $E_{2,f} = \emptyset$ and $S_{2,f}$ is the empty system, denoted $S_{2,1}$.

If $f_0 \in P(V)^X$ is the solution of S_1 , then the f_0 -strict system associated to S_2 is the strict system and is denoted $S_{2,s}$.

Definition 7. If $S = (S_1, S_2)$ is a W system, then the solution of S is the solution of the strict system $S_{2,s}$.

Definition 8. If $S = (S_1, S_2)$ is a W system, then $\mathcal{T} = \{S_{2,f} \mid f \in P(V)^X\}$ is the space of strict systems and $\mathcal{P} = \{g \mid g \in \underset{H_f}{\in} P(\Sigma)^V, f \in P(V)^X\}$ is the space of strict solutions.

Note that, if $f, g \in P(V)^X$ and $f \leq g$, then $T_f \subseteq T_g$, $H_f \subseteq H_g$, for any $v \in H_f$, $D_{v,f} \subseteq D_{v,g}$, and for any $v \in H_f$ and for any $j \in P(\Sigma)^{H_f}$, $R_f(j)(v) \subseteq R_g(j)(v)$. It is natural to introduce the relation $S_{2,f} \leq S_{2,g}$ iff $f \leq g$.

Observe that, as defined above, (\mathcal{T}, \leq) is a poset, ω -complete with bottom element $S_{2,1}$, the empty system.

If $f \in P(V)^X$ and $j \in P(\Sigma)^{H_f}$, then, since $H_f \subseteq V$, we can consider $j' \in P(\Sigma)^V$, the natural extension of j , $j'(v) = \emptyset$ for any $v \in V - H_f$ and $j'(v) = j(v)$ for any $v \in H_f$.

If $f, g \in P(V)^X$, $j \in P(\Sigma)^{H_f}$ and $l \in P(\Sigma)^{H_g}$, then we consider $j \leq l$ iff $j' \leq l'$ as elements of $P(\Sigma)^V$. With this relation, (\mathcal{P}, \leq) is a poset, ω -complete with bottom element \perp , the bottom element of $P(\Sigma)^V$.

§2.2. Strategies for solving W systems

Definition 9. Let $S = (S_1, S_2)$ be a W system and \mathcal{T} the corresponding space of strict systems. The transfer function

$K^{(n,m)}(\perp) \leq K^{(n',m)}(\perp)$. Note that $R^{(m'-m)}_{Q'}(\perp)$ and that $R^{(m)}_{Q'}$ is monotonic, so $K^{(n',m)}(\perp) = R^{(m)}_{Q'}(\perp) \leq R^{(m)}_{Q'}(R^{(m'-m)}_{Q'}(\perp)) = R^{(m')}_{Q'}(\perp) = K^{(n',m')}(\perp)$.

Definition 13. A chain is a set I , $I \subseteq \omega^2$, such that I is totally ordered. An unbounded chain is a chain I such that for any $(n,m) \in \omega^2$ there exists $(n',m') \in I$, with $(n,m) \leq (n',m')$.

Remark. If I is a chain, then $\{K^{(n,m)}(\perp)\}_{(n,m) \in I}$ is an increasing sequence in \mathcal{P} (proposition 12). Moreover, \mathcal{P} is ω -complete and therefore $\bigvee_{(n,m) \in I} K^{(n,m)}(\perp) \in \mathcal{P}$.

Some strategies for finding the solution of a W system are given by the next:

Theorem 14. If $S = (S_1, S_2)$ is a W system with the solution f_S , then:

$$\begin{aligned} f_S &= \bigvee_{m \in \omega} (\bigvee_{n \in \omega} K^{(n)}(R_1))^{(m)}(\perp) = \bigvee_{n \in \omega} (\bigvee_{m \in \omega} (K^{(n)}(R_1))^{(m)}(\perp)) = \\ &= \bigvee_{(n,m) \in I} K^{(n,m)}(\perp), \text{ for any unbounded chain } I. \end{aligned}$$

(see notation 11).

Proof. Let I be a fixed unbounded chain and denote

$$\alpha = \bigvee_{m \in \omega} (\bigvee_{n \in \omega} K^{(n)}(R_1))^{(m)}(\perp),$$

$$\beta = \bigvee_{n \in \omega} (\bigvee_{m \in \omega} (K^{(n)}(R_1))^{(m)})(\perp) \text{ and}$$

There exists $m \in \omega$, such that, $u \in (\bigvee_{n \in \omega} K^{(n)}(R_L))^{(m)}(\perp)(v)$.

By induction on m , there is a $(p, q) \in I$ such that $u \in K^{(p, q)}(\perp)(v)$.

Since $K^{(p, q)}(\perp)(v) \subseteq \bigvee_{(p, q) \in I} K^{(p, q)}(\perp)(v) = J(v)$,

we deduce that $u \in J(v)$ and therefore $J \gg \alpha$.

Comments. This theorem says that the solution of a W system (the function α) is equal to the least upper bound of all solutions of partial systems $S_2, F^{(n)}(\perp)$ (the function β) and it is also equal to the least upper bound of all m -partial solutions of the n -partial systems, when (n, m) belongs to an arbitrary unbounded chain I .

§2.3. W systems and recursive enumerable sets

In this section we prove that for every recursively enumerable set, E , there exists a W-system S , such that E is a component of the solution of S .

Theorem 15. If $G = (G_1, G_2, z)$ is a W grammar, there exists a W system S , such that $f_S(z) = L(G)$, where f_S is the solution of S .

Proof. Let $G_1 = (M, V, R_M)$ and $G_2 = (H, \Sigma, R_H)$ by the metalevel respective the hyperlevel of G . We define the W system $S = (S_1, S_2)$, where the metasystem is $S_1 = (M, V^*, F)$ with:

$$F : P(V^*)^M \rightarrow P(V^*)^M$$

$$F(f)(m) = \bigcup_{u \in D_m} u(f) \text{ where}$$

$$D_m = \{u \mid m \rightarrow u \in R_M\} \text{ for any } m \in M.$$

Proposition 19. For any unbounded chain I , it is undecidable for an arbitrary W system and for a fixed $v \in V$, if the sequence $\{K^{(n,m)}(I)(v)\}_{(n,m) \in I}$ contains only a finite number of distinct terms.

Proof. From remark 18, the decidability of this problem imply the decidability of the problem if a recursively enumerable set is finite or not. But this problem is undecidable.

Many other problems like: the emptiness problem, membership problem, inclusion problem, equivalence problem etc., are undecidable for W systems.

Med 23715

$D = (d_i)_{i \in \omega}$ is a Gödel enumeration of all re languages over Σ using generative devices of type \mathcal{D} , $A = \{A_i\}_{i \in \omega}$, $A_i : \omega \rightarrow \omega$, A_i partial recursive function} is the set of the cost functions and $C = (C_n)_{n \in \omega}$ is a criterion over Σ such that are satisfied the following two axioms:

$$GB1) A_i(n) < \infty \text{ iff } L(d_i) \cap C_n \neq \emptyset$$

GB2) The predicate:

$$R(i, x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } A_i(x) = y \text{ and} \\ & \text{otherwise} \\ 0 & \end{cases}$$

is totally recursive.

Note that GB2 is the same as the classical axiom of Blum (3) but GB1 say that the cost function A_i is defined in n iff $L(d_i)$ "satisfy" the criterion C in n , i.e. $L(d_i) \cap C_n \neq \emptyset$.

§3.2. Criterions, measures and complexity classes

Next we consider some examples.

Exemple 3. Let Σ be a fixed alphabet and consider the sequence $C = (C_n)_{n \in \omega}$, where $C_n = \sum^n$ for all $n \in \omega$. Obviously, C is a criterion over Σ , called the equal to n criterion and denoted by " $=n$ ".

The sequence $C = (C_n)_{n \in \omega}$, where $C_0 = \Sigma^*$ and $C_n = \Sigma^* - \bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1} \Sigma^i$, $n > 0$ is also a criterion over Σ , called the greater than or equal to n criterion and denoted by " $>n$ ".

For the criterions " $=n$ " and " $>n$ " the value of the cost function $A_i(n)$ measure the resources necessary for the i -th device to generate a word of length equal to n , respective of length greater than or equal to n .

Observe that the axiom GB1 is satisfied, because
 $TW_i(n) < \infty$ iff there exists $r \in \omega$ such that $K_r^i \cap C_n \neq \emptyset$ iff
 $(\bigcup_{j \in \omega} K_j^i) \cap C_n \neq \emptyset$ iff $L(w_i) \cap C_n \neq \emptyset$.

Also, the axiom GB2 is satisfied. In order to compute the value of $R(i, x, y)$ we consider the following algorithm:

Step 0 Input i , x and y

Step 1 From i determine the W-grammar w_i (or the W-system S_i)

Step 2 If there exists j , $0 \leq j \leq y$ such that $K_j^i \cap C_x \neq \emptyset$ then

$R(i, x, y) = 0$ STOP else:

Step 3 If $K_y^i \cap C_x \neq \emptyset$ then $R(i, x, y) = 1$ STOP else

$R(i, x, y) = 0$ STOP.

Note that $\{K_j^i\}_{j \in \omega}$ is an increasing sequence of finite sets and for any i and j , K_j^i may be effectively computed. Moreover, C is a criterion and therefore Step 2 and Step 3 may be executed.

In view of the preceding remarks, the ordered system $G_{WT} = (\Sigma, W, TW, C)$ is a GBS, called the W-time GBS.

We can also consider the cost functions $SW = \{SW_i | SW_i : \omega \rightarrow \omega\}$ where:

$$SW_i(n) = \begin{cases} \text{card}(K_m^i), \text{ where } m = TW_i(n), \text{ iff} \\ \quad TW_i(n) < \infty \text{ and} \\ \infty, \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The value $SW_i(n)$, where $SW_i(n) < \infty$, is equal to the number of words, (over Σ) involving in the process of Kleene iterations associated to w_i , necessary to generate a first word from C_n .

Intuitively, SW_i measure the "space" or the "memory"

Define the cost functions MT like in exemple 6 and observe again that we obtain a GBS.

Next we define the notion of generative complexity class in a classical manner.

Definition 8. If $\mathcal{G} = (\Sigma, D, A, C)$ is a GBS and $f: \omega \rightarrow \omega$ is a recursive function, then the generative complexity class bounded by f is:

$$C_{\mathcal{G}}(f(n)) = \left\{ L \mid L \subseteq \sum^*, \exists i \in \omega, L(d_i) = L \text{ and } A_i(x) \leq f(x) \text{ almost everywhere (ae)} \right\}.$$

Remark 9. For the generative Blum spaces \mathcal{G}_{WT} respective \mathcal{G}_{WS} the generative classes bounded by f will be denoted by $TIMEW(f(n))$ respective $SPACEW(f(n))$.

Exemple 10. Consider the GBS, $\mathcal{G} = (\{a\}, W, TW, " > n")$ and consider also the W grammar G from exemple 3 (6) Ch.1. For this grammar $TW_G(0) = TW_G(1) = 2$, $TW_G(2) = 3$, $TW_G(3) = 4$ etc. and observe that, for exemple $TW_G(n) \leq 2n$ a.e. Therefore $L(G) = \{a^{2^n} \mid n \in \omega\}$ is in $TIMEW(2n)$.

REMARK. For $L = \{a^{2^n} \mid n \in \omega\}$ we can find a faster W-grammar. For exemple consider $R_H = \{A \rightarrow AA, A \rightarrow v^2, A \rightarrow v\}$ and R_H^* :

$$\langle s \rangle \rightarrow \langle A \rangle$$

$$\langle AA \rangle \rightarrow \langle A \rangle \langle A \rangle$$

$$\langle v^4 \rangle \rightarrow a^4$$

$$\langle v^2 \rangle \rightarrow a^2$$

$$\langle v \rangle \rightarrow a$$

$z \rightarrow z$ if $L=\emptyset$).

Clearly, we can decide if a W-grammar is trivial or not (of course this not implies the decidability of emptiness or finitess problem for W-grammars!).

In what follows, we assume that, (for $D=W$) the empty language and the finite languages are in the complexity class $C_\delta(1)$, respective $C_\delta(2)$, for any criterion C .

Of course this is a convention!

Comments. The works of Blum (3), Book (4) and Gladkij (11) (see also Salomaa (27)) inspired us the basic notion of GBS.

then we define $w_j = (G_1^i, G_2^i, z)$ with $G_1^i = (M^i, V^i, R_M^i)$, $G_2^i = (H^i, \Sigma, R_H^i)$, where: $M^i = M \cup \{Y\}$, $V^i = V \cup \{\#, ?\}$ such that $Y, \#$ and $?$ are not in $M \cup V \cup \Sigma$.

Define $R_M'' = R_M \cup R_M^i$, where R_M^i contains all metarules which are obtained from R_M using the next method:

for each metarule $X_0 \rightarrow \alpha_0 X_1 \alpha_1 \dots X_m \alpha_m \in R_M$ and for each m -tuple, (Π_1, \dots, Π_m) of metarules from R_M , where $\Pi_t : X_t \rightarrow \beta_t$, $t=1, \dots, m$ with $X_t \in M$, $\alpha_t \in V^*$, $t=0, \dots, m$ and $\beta_t \in (M \cup V)^*$, $t=1, \dots, m$ we introduce in R_M'' the metarule:

$$X_0 \rightarrow \alpha_0 \beta_1 \alpha_1 \dots \beta_m \alpha_m \quad (2)$$

Also, we add to R_M'' the metarules $Y \rightarrow v$ and $Y \rightarrow vY$ for all $v \in V^*$, with $|v| \leq p$ where $p = p_1 \cdot p_2$, $p_1 = \max \{|h| \mid h \in H\}$ and $p_2 = \max \{|\alpha| \mid X \rightarrow \alpha \in R_M\}$.

Observe that for any $X \in M$, G_1 and G_1^i generate the same metalanguage, L_X .

Let F_i and F_j be the grammar functions associated to G_1 respectively to G_1^i .

By induction on ℓ , we prove that for any $X \in M$,

$$F_i^{(2\ell)}(\perp)(X) \subseteq F_j^{(\ell)}(\perp)(X) \quad (3)$$

For $\ell=0$, $F_i^{(0)}(\perp)(X) = \emptyset = F_j^{(0)}(\perp)(X)$, $X \in M$.

For the inductive step, let $u \in F_i^{(2\ell+2)}(\perp)(X)$ be an arbitrary element. But, $F_i^{(2\ell+2)}(\perp)(X) = F_i(F_i^{(2\ell)}(\perp))(X)$ and therefore there is $X \rightarrow \alpha_0 X_1 \dots X_n \alpha_n \in R_M$ and the words u_1, \dots, u_n ,

$u_K \in F_i(F_i^{(2\ell)}(\perp))(X_K)$, $K=1, \dots, n$ such that $u = \alpha_0 u_1 \dots u_n \alpha_n$.

From $u_K \in F_i(F_i^{(2\ell)}(\perp))(X_K)$ we deduce that there exists the metarules $X_K \rightarrow \alpha_0^K X_1^K \dots X_{nK}^K \alpha_n^K \in R_M$ and the words $u_r^{K \in F_i^{(2\ell)}(\perp)}(X_r^K)$

where $\langle \bar{u}_t \rangle$ and \bar{f}_t , $t=1, \dots, n$, are obtained from the hyperrule $\langle u_t \rangle \rightarrow f_t$ by renaming the metanotations such that they are distinct from those in $\langle h_0 \rangle \rightarrow f_0 \langle h_1 \rangle f_1 \dots \langle h_n \rangle f_n$ and also are distinct from those in $\langle \bar{u}_i \rangle \rightarrow \bar{f}_i$, for any $i < t$.

Note that this new metanotations does not destroy the speed of metalevel.

We prove that $L(w_i) = L(w_j)$. Obviously, $L(w_i) \subseteq L(w_j)$, because $R_H \subset R_H^*$ and the metalanguages L_X , $X \in M$, are the same.

For the contrary inclusion, assume that in w_j is used, in a terminal derivation, a strict rule:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varphi(h_0) \rangle \rightarrow f_0 \varphi(\bar{f}_1) f_1 \dots \varphi(\bar{f}_n) f_n \langle \varphi(h_1) \# \varphi(\bar{u}_1) ? \rangle \dots \\ \dots \langle \varphi(h_n) \# \varphi(\bar{u}_n) ? \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

which is obtained from a hyperrule of type (7).

The derivation is terminal and therefore,

$\langle \varphi(h_t) \# \varphi(\bar{u}_t) ? \rangle$ must derive in λ using the hyperrule $\langle Y \# Y? \rangle$

Result that $\varphi(h_t) = \varphi(\bar{u}_t)$, $t=1, \dots, n$. We deduce that this step of derivation in w_j may be replaced in w_i using first the strict rule $\langle \varphi^*(h_0) \rangle \rightarrow f_0 \langle \varphi^*(h_1) \rangle f_1 \dots \langle \varphi^*(h_n) \rangle f_n$ with $\varphi^* = \varphi|_{M \cup V}$ and after the strict rules $\langle \varphi_t(u_t) \rangle \rightarrow \varphi_t(f_t)$, $t=1, \dots, n$, where $\varphi_t(u_t) = \varphi(\bar{u}_t) = \varphi(h_t)$ and thus $L(w_i) = L(w_j)$.

It is not difficult to prove, by induction on ℓ like for the metalevel, that for any $\ell \in \omega$,

$$K_{2\ell}^i \subseteq K_{\ell+1}^j$$

and therefore for any $\ell \in \omega$,

$$K_{\ell}^i \subseteq K_r^j \quad (10)$$

$$f_{p^q}(n) \leq \max \{ [qf(n)], 5 \}$$

and thus $\text{TIMEW}(f_{p^q}(n)) \subseteq \text{TIMEW}(qf(n))$.

From (11) result that $\text{TIMEW}(f(n)) \subseteq \text{TIMEW}(qf(n))$ and therefore $\text{TIMEW}(f(n)) = \text{TIMEW}(qf(n))$.

If $q > 1$, then we put $q^{-1} = \frac{1}{q}$, $g(n) = qf(n)$ and therefore

$$\text{TIMEW}(qf(n)) = \text{TIMEW}(g(n)) = \text{TIMEW}(q^{-1}g(n)) = \text{TIMEW}(f(n)).$$

Remark 2. For a concrete construction see (24).

Corollary 4. If g is a polynom,

$$g(n) = a_0 n^K + a_1 n^{K-1} + \dots + a_K, \quad a_i \in \omega, \quad i=0, \dots, K, \quad K > 1, \quad \text{then}$$

$$\text{TIMEW}(n^K) = \text{TIMEW}(g(n)).$$

§4.2. The linear speed-up of others measures

Comments. The study of Turing time complexity and the linear speed-up of this measure can be said to begin with Hartmanis and Stearns (13) (see also (16)). For the Turing space complexity (12), (16) was proved a similar result with our theorem 1. For the Turing time complexity are necessary some restrictions (see (16) Ch.12,2).

For Chomsky grammars, Book (4), Gladkij (11) (see also (27) Ch.IX) was introduced the time measure:

$$T_G(n) = \max \{ t_G(P) \mid P \in L_S(G) \cap V^n \}$$

where $G = (V_N, V_T, X_O, \Pi)$, $V = V_N \cup V_T$, $L_S(G) = \{ \alpha \mid \alpha \in V^*, X_O^* \rightarrow \alpha \}$ and

$t_G(P)$ is the least integer m , $m > 1$ such that $S \xrightarrow{m} P$.

A linear speed-up theorem is proved for this measure and it is found that $L(G)$ must to be a recursive language!

Therefore, $T_G(n)$ cannot be used as cost function associated to G when $L(G)$ is a re language which is not recursive.

CHAPTER 5

HIERARCHIES OF RECURSIVE ENUMERABLE SETS

We shall now introduce the term "TW-self-bounded function" which is to designate the ability to generate the graphic of a function f in $\text{TIMEW}(f(n))$ relative to the functional criterion " a^n ". Also, the graphic of f , can be generate in $\text{TIMEW}(\log f(n))$ (see remark 7.5.1). The family of this functions is closed under sum, product, exponent and with supplementary conditions under composition and inverse mapping.

The main result is in section 5.2, the TW-separation property. This permits to obtain some hierarchies of recursive enumerable sets (section 5.3). A dense hierarchy is also obtained.

§5.1. TW-self-bounded functions. Properties.

Definition 1. A W grammar G is related to the graphic (rg) of function f , $f: \omega \rightarrow \omega$ in case: $\{i, \#\} \subseteq V$, $L_X = \{i\}^*$, for any $X \in M$, $R_H \subseteq H \times H^*$ and for any $n, m \in \omega$:

$$z \xrightarrow[G]{*} \langle i^n \# i^m \rangle \text{ if and only if } f(n) = m. (S).$$

Remark 2. Obviously, if G is rg to f , then $L(G) \subseteq \{\lambda\}$.

Definition 3. Let $f: \omega \rightarrow \omega$ be a function; then f is TW-self bounded (TWsb) if it is a constant function or there is

a W grammar G rg to f and the constants $n_0, c_1, c_2 \in \omega$ such that for any n, $n > n_0 : f(n) > 1$ and if we add to the hyperrules of G, the hyperrule $\langle i^{n_0} f(n) \rangle \rightarrow a$, then $K_E = \{a\}$, where $i = c_1 f(n) + c_2$.

Definition 4. Let $f: R_+ \rightarrow R_+$ be a function; then f is TW-self bounded if the function $[f]$, $[f]: \omega \rightarrow \omega$, $[f](n) = [f(n)]$ is TWsb.

Notation. The set of TWsb functions is denoted by A_m .

Exemple 5. The function $f: \omega \rightarrow \omega$, $f(n) = n$ is TWsb. Consider the W grammar G, where: $M = \{N\}$, $V = \{i, \#\}$, $R_M: N \rightarrow iN|i|\lambda$ and $R_H: z \rightarrow \langle N\#N \rangle$. Obviously G is a W grammar rg to f. Moreover for $n_0 = 2$, $c_1 = 1$ and $c_2 = 0$ we remark that the conditions of definition 3 are satisfied and therefore $f \in A_m$.

Theorem 6. If $f: \omega \rightarrow \omega$, $f \in A_m$ and $f(n) \geq \log_2 n$, for any $n \in \omega$, then in the GBS, $\mathcal{G} = (\Sigma, W, TW, "a^n")$, $L_f \in TIMEW(f(n))$, where $L_f = \{a^{\lfloor n \rfloor f(n)} | n \in \omega\}$.

Proof. Let G be the W grammar rg to f which exists cf definition 3. We define the W grammar G' , where: $M' = M \cup \{N, NI\}$, $V' = V \cup \{\alpha, \beta\}$, $R_M' = R_M \cup \bigcup_{X \in M} \{N \rightarrow X, NI \rightarrow X\}$, $z' = z$, and $R_H' = R_H \cup \{\Pi_i | i = 1, \dots, 7\}$ where:

$$\Pi_1: \langle N \# NI \rangle \rightarrow \langle \alpha N \rangle \langle \beta NI \rangle$$

$$\Pi_2: \langle \alpha NN \rangle \rightarrow \langle \alpha N \rangle \langle \alpha N \rangle$$

$$\Pi_3: \langle \alpha INN \rangle \rightarrow a \langle \alpha N \rangle \langle \alpha N \rangle$$

$$\Pi_4: \langle \alpha \rangle \rightarrow \lambda$$

$$\Pi_5) \langle \beta N N \rangle \rightarrow \langle \beta N \rangle \langle \beta N \rangle$$

$$\Pi_6) \langle \beta i N N \rangle \rightarrow b \langle \beta N \rangle \langle \beta N \rangle$$

$$\Pi_7) \langle \beta \rangle \rightarrow \lambda$$

Observe that in G' , a terminal derivation, it is of the form:

$$z \xrightarrow{R_H^*} \langle i^n \# i^{f(n)} \rangle \xrightarrow{\Pi_1^*} \langle \alpha_i^n \rangle \langle \beta i^{f(n)} \rangle \xrightarrow{\Pi_2^*} \xrightarrow{\Pi_4^*} a^n \langle \beta i^{f(n)} \rangle \xrightarrow{\Pi_5^*} a^n b^{f(n)}$$

From definition of the grammar G' and from condition (S), definition 1, $z \xrightarrow{G'} w$, $w \in L(G')$ if and only if there is a new, such that $w = a^n b^{f(n)}$ and therefore $L_f = L(G')$.

But f is TW_{sb} and therefore for any $n > n_0$ if $u \in K_p^*(\langle \alpha_i^n \rangle)$ and $v \in K_p^*(\langle \beta i^{f(n)} \rangle)$, then $uv \in K_{p+f}^*(z)$, where $f = c_1 f(n) + c_2$.

The derivation of $\langle \alpha_i^n \rangle$ and $\langle \beta i^m \rangle$ in terminal strings require $\log_2 n + 1$ iterations and respectively $\log_2 m + 1$ iterations.

Thus, for $p > \max\{\log_2 n, \log_2 f(n)\} + 1$, $a^n \in K_p^*(\langle \alpha_i^n \rangle)$ and $b^{f(n)} \in K_p^*(\langle \beta i^{f(n)} \rangle)$.

We deduce that, for $n > n_0$, $a^n b^{f(n)} \in K_q^*$ where:

$$q = c_1 f(n) + c_2 + \max\{\log_2 n, \log_2 f(n)\} + 1 \leq (c_1 + 1)f(n) + c_2 + 1$$

The inequality is true because $f(n) \geq \log_2 n$.

Therefore, $TW_{G'}(n) \leq (c_1 + 1)f(n) + c_2 + 1$, for any $n > n_0$ and thus $L_f \subseteq \text{TIMEW}((c_1 + 1)f(n) + c_2 + 1)$.

From the speed-up theorem result that $L_f \in \text{TIMEW}(f(n))$.

Remark 7. Moreover, if $f \in A_m$, then also the graphic of

$g(n)=2^{f(n)}$ is in $\text{TIMEW}(f(n))$ (see exemple 3.11 or the proof of theorem 5.2.14).

Next theorem has a long but not difficult proof.

Theorem 8. The family A_m is closed under sum, product and exponent. That means, if $f, g \in A_m$, then $f+g$, $f \cdot g$, $f^g \in A_m$, where:

$$(f+g)(n) = [f(n)] + [g(n)], (f \cdot g)(n) = [f(n)] \cdot [g(n)] \text{ and } f^g(n) = [f(n)] [g(n)].$$

Proof. A_m is closed under sum. Let $f, g \in A_m$ be two non-constant functions and let $G_i = ((M_i, V_i, R_M^i), (H_i, \Sigma, R_H^i), z_i)$ $i=1,2$ be the W grammars rg to f and respective to g which exists cf. definition 3. We define the W grammar $G_3 = ((M_3, V_3, R_M^3), (H_3, \Sigma, R_H^3), z_3)$ such that:

$$M_3 = M_1 \cup M_2 \cup \{N, N1, N2, N3\}, V_3 = V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \{\$, \$, ?\}$$

where $\$, \$$ and $?$ are new symbols,

$$R_M^3 = R_M^1 \cup R_M^2 \cup \bigcup_{X \in M_1 \cup M_2} \{N \rightarrow X, N1 \rightarrow X, N2 \rightarrow X, N3 \rightarrow X\}$$

$$R_H^3 = \tilde{R}_H^1 \cup \tilde{R}_H^2 \cup \{\Pi_1, \Pi_2, \Pi_3, \Pi_4\} \text{ where:}$$

\tilde{R}_H^1 is obtained from R_H^1 by replacing all hypernotations $\langle h \rangle \in H_1$ with $\langle \$h \rangle$, \tilde{R}_H^2 is obtained from R_H^2 by replacing all hypernotations $\langle h \rangle \in H_2$ with $\langle N \# N1 \$h \rangle$ and:

- $\Pi_1) z_3 \rightarrow \langle \ell v_1 \rangle$, iff $z_1 = \langle v_1 \rangle$
- $\Pi_2) \langle N \# N \rangle \rightarrow \langle N \# N \# v_2 \rangle$, iff $z_2 = \langle v_2 \rangle$
- $\Pi_3) \langle N \# N \# N \# N \# \rangle \rightarrow \langle N \# N \# N \# \rangle \langle N?N \# \rangle$
- $\Pi_4) \langle N?N \# \rangle \rightarrow \lambda$

We prove that G_3 is a W-grammar rg to $f+g$.

For any $n, m \in \omega$, if $(f+g)(n)=m$, then in G_3 is true that:

$$\begin{aligned}
 z_3 &\xrightarrow{\Pi_1} \langle \ell v_1 \rangle \xrightarrow[\widetilde{R}_H^1]{*} \langle i^n \# i^{f(n)} \# \rangle \xrightarrow{\Pi_2} \langle i^n \# i^{f(n)} \# v_2 \# \rangle \xrightarrow[\widetilde{R}_H^2]{*} \\
 &\xrightarrow[\widetilde{R}_H^2]{*} \langle i^n \# i^{f(n)} \# i^n \# i^g(n) \# \rangle \xrightarrow{\Pi_3} \langle i^n \# i^{f(n)+g(n)} \# \rangle \langle i^n ? i^n \# \rangle \\
 &\xrightarrow{\Pi_4} \langle i^n \# i^{f(n)+g(n)} \# \rangle
 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Conversely, if $z_3 \xrightarrow[G_3]{*} \langle i^n \# i^m \# \rangle$, then in this derivation are used Π_3 and Π_4 .

Therefore, $z_3 \xrightarrow[G_3]{*} \langle i^n \# i^p \# i^n \# i^q \# i^n ? i^n \# \rangle \xrightarrow{\Pi_4}$
 $\xrightarrow{\Pi_4} \langle i^n \# i^{p+q} \# \rangle$, where $p+q=m$.

Finally, because ℓ and $\$$ are new symbols,

$$\begin{aligned}
 z_3 &\Rightarrow \langle \ell v_1 \rangle \xrightarrow[\widetilde{R}_H^1]{*} \langle \ell i^n \# i^p \# \rangle \xrightarrow{\Pi_2} \langle i^n \# i^p \# v_2 \# \rangle \xrightarrow[\widetilde{R}_H^2]{*} \\
 &\xrightarrow[\widetilde{R}_H^2]{*} \langle i^n \# i^p \# i^n \# i^q \# \rangle \xrightarrow[\Pi_3 - \Pi_4]{*} \langle i^n \# i^{p+q} \# \rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

We deduce that, $z_1 \xrightarrow[G_1]{*} \langle i^n \# i^p \# \rangle$ and
 $z_2 \xrightarrow[G_2]{*} \langle i^n \# i^q \# \rangle$ and therefore $f(n)=p$ and $g(n)=q$.

Moreover, the conditions of definition 3 are fulfilled.

Let n_0^i , c_1^i , c_2^i be the corresponding constants for the grammars G_i , $i=1,2$ and $n_0^3 = \max\{n_0^1, n_0^2\}$.

Consider $n > n_0^3$ and add the hyperrule (which is also a strict rule) $\langle i^n \# i^{f(n)+g(n)} \rangle \rightarrow a$.

It is not difficult to observe that, $K_f^3 = \{a\}$, where $f = c_1^1 f(n) + c_2^1 + c_1^2 g(n) + c_2^2 + 4$.

Thus, we define $c_1^3 = \max\{c_1^1, c_1^2\}$, $c_2^3 = c_2^1 + c_2^2 + 4$ and result that $f+g \in A_m$.

Assume that g is a constant function $g(n)=t$, for a fixed $t \in \omega$.

Let G_1 be like above and define $G_3 : M_3 = M_1 \cup \{N, N1\}$, $V_3 = V_1 \cup \{\epsilon\}$,

$$R_M^3 = R_M^1 \cup \bigcup_{X \in M_1} \{N \rightarrow X, N1 \rightarrow X\}, \quad R_H^3 = R_H^1 \cup \{\Pi_1, \Pi_2\},$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi_1: z_3 &\rightarrow \langle \epsilon v_1 \rangle, \text{ iff } z_1 = \langle v_1 \rangle \\ \Pi_2: \langle \epsilon N \# N1 \rangle &\rightarrow \langle N \# N1 i^t \rangle\end{aligned}$$

Observe that the value t is added by Π_2 at the end of computation of $f(n)$.

Analogous, result that $f+g \in A_m$ and therefore A_m is closed under sum.

A_m is closed under product.

Let $f, g \in A_m$ be two nonconstant functions. We repeat the above construction (for nonconstant functions) except that V_3 contains also two new symbols $*$, $=$ and the hyperrule Π_3 is replaced with the next three hyperrules:

$$\text{II}_5) \langle N \# N_1 \$ N_2 \# N_3 \rangle \rightarrow \langle N \# N_1 \# N_3 = \rangle \langle N? N_2 \rangle$$

$$\text{II}_6) \langle N \# N_1 \# i N_2 = N_3 \rangle \rightarrow \langle N \# N_1 \# N_2 = N_1 N_3 \rangle$$

$$\text{II}_7) \langle N \# N_1 \# = N_3 \rangle \rightarrow \langle N \# N_3 \rangle$$

Rule II₅ serve to initiate the computation of $f(n) \cdot g(n)$ and also test if f and g are computed in the same $n \in \omega$.

Rule II₆ serve for the multiplication of the value $f(n) \cdot (N_1 \cdot g(n))$ times (N_2) and rule II₇ serve at the end of multiplication.

Like above, G_3 is rg to $f \cdot g$.

Moreover, define $n_0^3 = \max\{n_0^1, n_0^2\}$ and for any $n > n_0^3$, add the hyperrule $\langle i^n \# i^{f(n)} g(n) \rangle \rightarrow a$

A standard derivation in G_3 is:

$$z_3 \xrightarrow[G_3]{*} \langle i^n \# i^{f(n)} \# i^n \# i^{g(n)} \rangle \xrightarrow[\text{II}_5, \text{II}_4]{*} \langle i^n \# i^{f(n)} \# i^{g(n)} = \rangle$$

$$\xrightarrow[\text{II}_6]{g(n)} \langle i^n \# i^{f(n)} \# i^{f(n)} g(n) \rangle \xrightarrow[\text{II}_7]{*} \langle i^n \# i^{f(n)} g(n) \rangle \Rightarrow a$$

Note that II₆ is used only $g(n)$ times and therefore $K_{\text{II}_6}^3 = \{a\}$ for $\ell = c_1^1 f(n) + c_2^1 + (c_1^2 + 1)g(n) + c_2^2 + 5$.

We define $d = \max\{c_1^1, c_1^2 + 1\}$, $c_2^3 = c_2^1 + c_2^2 + 5$ and thus $\ell \leq d(f(n) + g(n)) + c_2^3 \leq 2d(f(n) + g(n)) + c_2^3$ (because $f(n) > 1$ and $g(n) > 1$).

Therefore, for $c_1^3 = 2d$, we obtain that $f \cdot g \in A_m$.

If g is a constant function, $g(n) = t$, then $f \cdot g = \underbrace{f + f + \dots + f}_t$ and because A_m is closed under sum result that

$$f \cdot g \in A_m.$$

Thus, in any case $f \cdot g \in A_m$.

A_m is closed under exponent. Let $f, g \in A_m$ be two non-constant functions. Consider again the construction of the grammar G_3 which is defined for sum, with next changes: M_3 contains also $N4$, R_M^3 contains also $N4 \rightarrow X$, for any $X \in M_1 \cup M_2$. V_3 contains also the symbols \uparrow , $*$, \equiv and Π_3 is replaced with the next five hyperrules:

$$\Pi_8) \langle N \# N1 \$ N2 \# N3 \rangle \rightarrow \langle N \# N1 \uparrow N3 \$ i \rangle \langle N \# N2 \rangle$$

$$\Pi_9) \langle N \# N1 \uparrow N2 \$ N3 \rangle \rightarrow \langle N \# N2 \$ N3 * N1 \equiv \rangle$$

$$\Pi_{10}) \langle N \# N2 \$ N1 * N3 \equiv N4 \rangle \rightarrow \langle N \# N2 \$ N1 * N3 \equiv N1 N4 \rangle$$

$$\Pi_{11}) \langle N \# N2 \$ N1 * \equiv N3 \rangle \rightarrow \langle N \# N1 \uparrow N2 \$ N3 \rangle$$

$$\Pi_{12}) \langle N \# N1 \uparrow \$ N3 \rangle \rightarrow \langle N \# N3 \rangle$$

Rule Π_8 serve to initiate the computation of $f(n)^{g(n)}$.

Rule $\Pi_9 - \Pi_{11}$ serve to compute the value of $f(n)^{g(n)}$ by repeated multiplications. Rule Π_{12} serve to finish the computation.

Assume that $f(n)^{g(n)} = m$, then in G_3 :

$$z_3 \xrightarrow[G_3]{*} \langle i^n \# i^{f(n)} \$ i^{n \# i^{g(n)}} \rangle \xrightarrow[\Pi_4, \Pi_8]{*} \langle i^n \# i^{f(n)} \uparrow i^{g(n)} \$ i \rangle \xrightarrow[\Pi_9]{*} \langle i^n \# i^{g(n)-1} \$ i \# i^{f(n)} \rangle$$

$$\xrightarrow[\Pi_9]{*} \langle i^n \# i^{g(n)-1} \$ i \# i^{f(n)} \rangle \xrightarrow[\Pi_{10}]{*} \langle i^n \# i^{g(n)-1} \$ i * i^{f(n)} \rangle$$

$$\xrightarrow[\Pi_{11}]{*} \langle i^n \# i^{f(n)} \uparrow i^{g(n)-1} \$ i^{f(n)} \rangle \xrightarrow[\Pi_9]{*} \langle i^n \# i^{g(n)-2} \$ i^{f(n)} \# i^{f(n)} \rangle$$

$$\xrightarrow[\Pi_{10}]{*} \langle i^n \# i^{g(n)-2} \uparrow i^{f(n)} \$ i^{f(n)} \# i^{f(n)} \rangle \xrightarrow[\Pi_{11}]{*} \langle i^n \# i^{f(n)} \uparrow i^{g(n)-2} \$ i^{f(n)} \# i^{f(n)} \rangle$$

$$\xrightarrow[\Pi_9 - \Pi_{11}]{*} \langle i^n \# i^{f(n)} \uparrow \$ i^{f(n)} \# i^{g(n)} \rangle \xrightarrow[\Pi_{12}]{*} \langle i^n \# i^{f(n)} \# i^{g(n)} \rangle = \langle i^n \# i^m \rangle$$

Conversely, if $z_3 \xrightarrow[G_3]{*} \langle i^n \# i^m \rangle$, then it is easy to prove

that $f(n)g(n) =_m$ and thus G_3 is rg to f^g .

We put $n_0^3 = \max\{n_0^1, n_0^2\}$ and add the hyperrule $\langle i^n \# i^{f(n)} \rangle \xrightarrow{\pi_{9-\pi_{11}}} a$.

Remark that the derivation $\langle i^n \# i^{f(n)} \# i^{g(n)-j} \$ i^{f(n)^j} \rangle \xrightarrow{\pi_{9-\pi_{11}}} \langle i^n \# i^{f(n)} \# i^{g(n)-j-1} \$ i^{f(n)^{j+1}} \rangle$ is of length $f(n)+2$ and it must be done for $j=1, 2, \dots, g(n)$.

Result that $\langle i^n \# i^{f(n)} \$ i^n \# i^{g(n)} \rangle \xrightarrow{\pi_{9-\pi_{11}}} a$ is of length $g(n)(f(n)+2)+4$.

We deduce that $K_L^3 = \{a\}$ for $\ell = c_1^1 f(n) + c_2^1 + c_1^2 g(n) + c_2^2 + g(n)(f(n)+2)+4$.

Note that $f(n) \geq 2$ and $g(n) \geq 2$ for $n > n_0^3$ and therefore there exists c_1^3 and c_2^3 such that $\ell \leq c_1^3 f(n) g(n) + c_2^3$ and thus $f^g \in A_m$.

If g is constant, $g(n)=t$, then $f^g = f^t$ and result that $f^g \in A_m$ (A_m is closed under product).

Assume that $f(n)=t$, for any $n \in \omega$, with $t \in \omega$, $t \geq 2$ and g is a nonconstant function.

Let G_2 be the W grammar rg to g and define G_3 such that:

$M_3 = M_2 \cup \{N, N1, N2\}$, $V_3 = V_2 \cup \{\$, \#\}$, $R_M^3 = R_M^2 \cup \bigcup_{X \in M_2} \{N \rightarrow X, N1 \rightarrow X, N2 \rightarrow X\}$, $R_H^3 = R_H^2 \cup \{\overline{\pi}_1, \dots, \overline{\pi}_4\}$, where \widetilde{R}_H^2 is obtained from R_H^2 by replacing all hypernotions $\langle h \rangle \in H_2$ with $\langle \ell h \rangle$ and $\overline{\pi}_1, \dots, \overline{\pi}_4$ are:

$$\overline{\pi}_1: z_3 \rightarrow \langle \ell v_2 \rangle, \text{ iff } z_2 = \langle v_2 \rangle$$

$$\overline{\pi}_2: \langle N \# N1 \rangle \rightarrow \langle N \# N1 \$ i \rangle$$

$$\overline{\pi}_3: \langle N \# i N1 \$ N2 \rangle \rightarrow \langle N \# N1 \$ N2 \# \underbrace{\dots}_{t} N2 \rangle$$

$$\overline{\pi}_4: \langle N \# \$ N2 \rangle \rightarrow \langle N \# N2 \rangle$$

It is not difficult to observe that G_3 is rg to
 $f(n)g(n) =_t g(n)$.

Moreover, if we add the hyperrule $\langle i^n \# i^t g(n) \rangle \rightarrow a$ for a fixed $n > n_0^3$, then $K_L^3 = \{a\}$ for $\ell = c_1^2 g(n) + c_2^2 + g(n) + 3$. Define $c_1^3 = t^{c_1^2+1}$, $c_2^3 = c_2^2 + 4$ and therefore $L \leq c_1^3 t^{g(n)} + c_2^3$.

Thus, result that $f \in A_m$.

From theorem 8 and exemple 5 result:

Corollary 9. For any $K \geq l$, K fixed, the functions:
 $f(n) = n^K$ and $g(n) = K^n$ are TW self bounded.

Also we can deduce:

Corollary 10. Any polynom $p(n) = a_0 n^K + a_1 n^{K-1} + \dots + a_K$, where $a_j \in \omega$, $j=0, \dots, K$, $K \in \omega$ is a TW self bounded function.

Theorem 11

(i) If $f \in A_m$, $f: A \rightarrow B$, ($A, B \subseteq R_+$), f bijective monotone increasing with $f(\omega) \subseteq \omega$ and if in condition of definition 3,
 $K_L = \{a\}$ for $\ell = c_1 n + c_2$ then $f^{-1} \in A_m$.

(ii) If $f, g \in A_m$, $f: B \rightarrow C$, $g: A \rightarrow B$ ($A, B, C \subseteq R_+$) and if $f(n) > n$, $g(n) > n$ for any $n \in \omega$, then the composite function $f \circ g \in A_m$, where $(f \circ g)(n) = f([g(n)])$, for any $n \in \omega$.

Proof. (i) Let $G = ((M, V, R_H), (H, \Sigma, R_H), z)$ be the W grammar rg to f and define the W grammar $G_1 = ((M_1, V_1, R_M^1), (H_1, \Sigma, R_H^1), z_1)$, where:

$$M_1 = M \cup \{N1, N2, N3, N4, Y\}, V_1 = V \cup \{\epsilon, \uparrow, \downarrow, ?\},$$

$$R_M^1 = R_M \cup \{A \rightarrow B \mid A \in \{N1, N2, N3, N4\}, B \in M\} \cup \{Y \rightarrow \uparrow, Y \rightarrow \downarrow\}$$

$R_H^1 = \tilde{R}_H \cup \{h_1, h_2, h_3, h_4, h_5\}$ where \tilde{R}_H is obtained from R_H by replacing all hypernotions $\langle h \rangle \in H$ with $\langle N1YN2 \# h \rangle$ and:

- $h_1) z_1 \rightarrow \langle N1 \# N2 \rangle \langle N2 \# N1 \# v \rangle \langle N2 i \uparrow N1 \# v \rangle$ if $z = \langle v \rangle$
- $h_2) \langle N1YN2 \# N3 \# N4 \rangle \rightarrow \langle N4YN2 \rangle \langle N1 ? N3 \rangle$
- $h_3) \langle N1 \downarrow N1N2 \rangle \rightarrow \lambda$
- $h_4) \langle N1iN2 \uparrow N1 \rangle \rightarrow \lambda$
- $h_5) \langle N1 ? N1 \rangle \rightarrow \lambda$

Firstly, h_1 introduce three hypernotions and begin the process to compute the values of f (using \tilde{R}_H) for two values n_1 and n_2 :

$$\begin{aligned} z_1 &\xrightarrow[h_1]{\tilde{R}_H} \langle i^n \# i^m \rangle \langle i^m \# i^n \# v \rangle \langle i^{m+1} \uparrow i^n \# v \rangle \xrightarrow{\tilde{R}_H} \\ &\xrightarrow{\tilde{R}_H} \langle i^n \# i^m \rangle \langle i^m \# i^{n_1} \# i^{f(n_1)} \rangle \langle i^{m+1} \uparrow i^{n_1} \# i^{n_2} \# i^{f(n_2)} \rangle \quad (1) \end{aligned}$$

The values n and m are checked if they satisfy: $[f^{-1}(n)] = m$.

Because f is bijective, monotone increasing and $f(\omega) \leq \omega$ we have the equivalences:

$$[f^{-1}(n)] = m \text{ iff } m \leq f^{-1}(n) < m+1 \text{ iff } f(m) \leq n < f(m+1)$$

In G_1 is checked if $f(m) \leq n < f(m+1)$.

Derivation (1) continue with:

$$(1) \xrightarrow[h_2]{\tilde{R}_H} \langle i^n \# i^m \rangle \langle i^{f(n_1)} \downarrow i^n \rangle \langle i^m ? i^{n_1} \rangle \langle i^{f(n_2)} \uparrow i^n \rangle \langle i^{m+1} ? i^{n_2} \rangle$$

If $m=n_1$ and $m+1=n_2$ then $\langle i^m ? i^{n_1} \rangle$ and $\langle i^{m+1} ? i^{n_2} \rangle$ derive in λ . Moreover, $\langle i^{f(m)} \downarrow i^n \rangle$ and $\langle i^{f(m+1)} \uparrow i^n \rangle$ derive in λ iff $f(m) \leq n$ and $n < f(m+1)$. Therefore $z_1 \xrightarrow{G_1} \langle i^n ? i^m \rangle$ iff $[f^{-1}(n)] = m$.

Consider $n_0^1 = f(n_0)$, let $n > n_0^1$ be a natural number and add the hyperrule $\langle i^n ? i^m \rangle \rightarrow a$ where $m = [f^{-1}(n)]$.

It is easy to observe that $K_{G_1}^r = \{a\}$ for $r = c_1(m+1) + c_2 + 3 = c_1[f^{-1}(n)] + c_1 + c_2 + 3$ and therefore $f^{-1} \in A_m$.

II. This part of the theorem is not important for this paper. We consider only the construction of G . If G_i , $i=1,2$, are the W grammar rg to f_i respective g then define G rg to $f \circ g$:

$$M = M_1 \cup M_2 \cup \{N, N1, N2, N3\}, V = V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \{\epsilon, \$, ?\}$$

$$R_M = R_M^1 \cup R_M^2 \cup \{A \rightarrow B \mid A \in \{N, N1, N2, N3\}, B \in M_1 \cup M_2\}$$

$R_H = \tilde{R}_H^1 \cup \tilde{R}_H^2 \cup \{h_1, h_2, h_3, h_4\}$ where \tilde{R}_H^2 is obtained from R_H^2 by replacing $\langle h \rangle$ with $\langle \epsilon h \rangle$ and \tilde{R}_H^1 is obtained from R_H^1 by replacing $\langle h \rangle$ with $\langle N \# N1 \$ h \rangle$ and:

- $h_1) z \rightarrow \langle \epsilon v_2 \rangle$ iff $z_2 = \langle v_2 \rangle$
- $h_2) \langle N \# N1 \rangle \rightarrow \langle N \# N1 \$ v_1 \rangle$ iff $z_1 = \langle v_1 \rangle$
- $h_3) \langle N \# N1 \$ N2 \$ N3 \rangle \rightarrow \langle N \# N3 \rangle \langle N1 ? N2 \rangle$
- $h_4) \langle N ? N \rangle \rightarrow \lambda$

It is easy to observe that $f \circ g \in A_m$.

Proposition 12. For every $K \in \omega$, $K > 2$, the function $f(n) = \sqrt[K]{n}$ and $g(n) = \log_K n$ are in A_m .

Proof. Let f_1 be the function $f_1(n)=n^K$, $f_1:R_+ \rightarrow R_+$.

Obviously, f_1 is bijective, monotone increasing and $f_1(\omega) \subset \omega$.

From Corollary 9, f_1 is in A_m . Moreover, from example 5, the function $h(n)=n$ satisfy definition 3 for $f=n$. From the proof of theorem 8 (A_m is closed under product) result that

$h_1(n)=h(n) \cdot h(n)=n^2$ satisfy the condition $K_f=\{a\}$ for $f=c_1 f(n)+c_2^1+(c_1^2+1)g(n)+c_2^2+5$ and thus, in our case $f=3n+5$. By induction on K we may prove that there exists $c_1, c_2 \in \omega$ such that $f_1(n)=n^K$ satisfy the condition $K_f=\{a\}$ for $f=c_1 n+c_2$.

Therefore are satisfied the conditions of theorem 11, i) and result that $f_1^{-1}(n)=\sqrt[K]{n} \in A_m$.

Analogous, $g_1(n)=K^n$, $g_1:R_+ \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$ is bijective, monotone increasing, $g_1(\omega) \subset \omega$ and $g_1 \in A_m$. Again, from the proof of theorem 8 result that g_1 satisfy $K_f=\{a\}$ for $f=(c_1^2+1)g(n)+c_2^2+4$. (A_m closed under exponent with f constant and g non-constant). Thus, from theorem 11 i), $\log_K n \in A_m$.

§5.2. The TW-separation property

If $\{x_n\}_{n \in \omega}$ is a sequence, then we denote by $\inf_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$ the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ of the greatest lower bound of

$x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, \dots$

The next result is important.

Theorem 13 (The TW-separation property)

If $f \in A_m$, $f(n) \geq \log_2 n$ for every $n \geq n_0$, with n_0 fixed, and if $g:A \rightarrow B$, $A, B \subseteq R_+$ is a function such that:

$$\inf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(n)}{f(n)} = 0,$$

then there exists a language L with the property that, for the functional criterion " a^{n_m} ", stands $L \in \text{TIMEW}(f(n))$ and $L \notin \text{TIMEW}(g(n))$.

Proof. Consider the language $L = \{a^n b^m \mid m = 2^{f(n)}, n \in \omega\}$. We prove that $L \in \text{TIMEW}(f(n))$. Because $f \in A_M$, there exists a W grammar G rg to f and the constants $n_0, c_1, c_2 \in \omega$ such that is satisfied definition 3 relative to f. We define a new W grammar G' such that $L(G') = L$. Consider: $M' = M \cup \{N, NI\}$, $V' = V \cup \{\alpha, \beta\}$, $\Sigma' = \sum \cup \{a, b\}$, $R'_M = R_M \cup \{A \rightarrow B \mid A \in \{N, NI\}, B \in M\}$, $z' = z$ and $R'_H = R_H \cup \{h_1, \dots, h_6\}$ where:

$$h_1) \langle N \# NI \rangle \rightarrow \langle \langle N \rangle \langle \beta NI \rangle \rangle$$

$$h_2) \langle \langle NN \rangle \rangle \rightarrow \langle \langle N \rangle \langle \langle N \rangle \rangle$$

$$h_3) \langle \langle NN i \rangle \rangle \rightarrow a \langle \langle N \rangle \langle \langle N \rangle \rangle$$

$$h_4) \langle \alpha \rangle \rightarrow \lambda$$

$$h_5) \langle \beta i N \rangle \rightarrow \langle \beta N \rangle \langle \beta N \rangle$$

$$h_6) \langle \beta \rangle \rightarrow b$$

Note that, a derivation in G' it is of the form:

$$z_1 \xrightarrow[R_H]{*} \langle i^{n_0} \# i^{f(n)} \rangle \xrightarrow{h_1} \langle \langle i^n \rangle \langle \beta i^{f(n)} \rangle \rangle \xrightarrow[h_2-h_6]{*} a^n b^{2^{f(n)}} \quad (1)$$

Obviously, $L(G') = L$ and we remark that after $k_1 = \log_2 n + 1$ iterations, $K_{k_1}^t(\langle \langle i^n \rangle \rangle) = \{a^n\}$. Moreover, after $k_2 = f(n) + 1$ iterations, $K_{k_2}^t(\langle \beta i^{f(n)} \rangle) = \{b^{2^{f(n)}}\}$.

If $\ell_3 = \max\{\ell_1, \ell_2\} + 1$ then $K_{\ell_3}(\langle i^n \# i^{f(n)} \rangle) = \{a^n b^{2^n}\}$.

Note that $f(n) > \log_2 n$ and therefore $\ell_3 = f(n) + 2$. For every $n > n_0$ we remark that $K_{\ell} = \{a^n b^{2^n}\}$, where $\ell = (c_1 + 1)f(n) + c_2 + 2$.

We deduce that $L \in \text{TIMEW}(f(n))$.

Now, we prove that $L \in \text{TIMEW}(g(n))$.

Assume the contrary, that means $L \notin \text{TIMEW}(g(n))$.

Therefore there exists a W grammar G such that $L(G) = L$ and $TW_G(n) \leq g(n)$ a.e. Define the constants:

$$j_1 = \max\{|\ell_0 \dots \ell_p| \mid \langle u_0 \rangle \rightarrow \ell_0 \langle u_1 \rangle \ell_1 \dots \langle u_p \rangle \ell_p \in R_H\}$$

$$j_2 = \max\{|\alpha| \mid \langle u \rangle \rightarrow \alpha \in R_H, \alpha \in \Sigma^*\}$$

$$j_3 = \max\{|\ell| \mid \langle u_0 \rangle \rightarrow \ell_0 \langle u_1 \rangle \ell_1 \dots \langle u_p \rangle \ell_p \in R_H\}$$

We prove that for every $n \geq 1$, for every strict hyper-notion $\langle h \rangle$ and for every $v \in K_n(\langle h \rangle)$ stands:

$$|v| \leq j_3^{n-1} j_2 + j_1 \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} j_3^i \quad (2)$$

For $n=1$ and $n=2$ the inequality (2) is obviously true.

For the inductive step assume (2) and let v be in $K_{n+1}(\langle h \rangle)$.

There exists a strict rule $\langle h \rangle \rightarrow \ell_0 \langle h_1 \rangle \dots \langle h_q \rangle \ell_q$ and the words $v_i \in K_n(\langle h_i \rangle)$, $i=1, \dots, q$ such that $v = \ell_0 v_1 \dots v_q \ell_q$. We deduce that

$$|v| \leq q(j_3^{n-1} j_2 + j_1 \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} j_3^i) + |\ell_0 \dots \ell_q| \leq j_3(j_3^{n-1} j_2 + j_1 \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} j_3^i) +$$

$+ j_1 = j_3^n j_2 + j_1 \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} j_3^i$ and therefore (2) is true.

If $j = \max\{j_1, j_2, j_3\}$, then using (2), result:

$$|v| \leq j^n + j \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} j^i = \sum_{i=1}^n j^i \leq nj^n \leq 2^n j^n = (2j)^n.$$

We denote $s = 2j$ and therefore for every $v \in K_n$, $|v| \leq s^n$.
Thus for every $v \in Kg(n)$ stands that $|v| \leq s^{g(n)}$.

But $TW_G(n) \leq g(n)$ a.e. and therefore $K_{g(n)} \cap C_n \neq \emptyset$ a.e.
Thus $a^n b^{2^{f(n)}} \in Kg(n)$ a.e. and we deduce that:

$$2^{f(n)} \leq |a^n b^{2^{f(n)}}| \leq s^{g(n)} \text{ a.e.} \quad (3)$$

From (3) result that $\log_2 2^{f(n)} \leq \frac{g(n)}{f(n)}$ a.e. which is in contradiction with $\inf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(n)}{f(n)} = 0$.

Thus, the language L cannot be generated by a W grammar G with $TW_G(n) \leq g(n)$ a.e. and therefore $L \notin TIMEW(g(n))$.

§5.3. Hierarchies of recursive enumerable sets

We consider again the GBS, $\mathcal{G} = (\Sigma, W, TW, "a^n")$ and define:

$$POLTW = \bigcup_{K \geq 1} TIMEW(n^K)$$

$$EXPTW = \bigcup_{K \geq 2} TIMEW(K^n)$$

Theorem 14. If \mathcal{G} is the above GBS, then:

- i) $TIMEW(\log_2 n) \subsetneq TIMEW(n)$
- ii) $TIMEW(n^K) \subsetneq TIMEW(n^{K+1})$ for all $K \geq 1$
- iii) $POLTW \subsetneq EXPTW$.

Proof. To show i) and ii) we may use directly theorem 13. To prove iii) note that: $\text{POLTW} \subseteq \text{TIMEW}(2^n) \subsetneq \text{TIMEW}(3^n) \subseteq \text{EXPTW}$. Moreover, one may prove that $\text{POLTW} \not\subseteq \text{TIMEW}(2^n)$ (see the proof of theorem 13).

Theorem 15 (A dense hierarchy). If \mathcal{G} is the above GBS, then: for every $\alpha, \beta \in R_+$, if $\alpha < \beta$ then $\text{TIMEW}(n^\alpha) \subsetneq \text{TIMEW}(n^\beta)$.

Proof. Obviously, $\text{TIMEW}(n^\alpha) \subseteq \text{TIMEW}(n^\beta)$.

Let $\frac{p}{q} \in Q$ be such that $\alpha < \frac{p}{q} < \beta$. The function $f(n) = [\sqrt[q]{n}]^p$ is in A_m . We remark that $\frac{n^\alpha}{[\sqrt[q]{n}]^p} < \frac{n^\alpha}{([\sqrt[q]{n}-1])^p}$ for all $n \geq 2$. There exists $m \in \omega$ such that $[\sqrt[q]{n}]^p \geq [\log_2 n]$ for every $n \geq m$.

We remark also that:

$$\inf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^\alpha}{[\sqrt[q]{n}]^p} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^\alpha}{[\sqrt[q]{n}]^p} \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^\alpha}{([\sqrt[q]{n}-1])^p} = 0$$

From theorem 13 result that:

$$\text{TIMEW}(n^\alpha) \subsetneq \text{TIMEW}([\sqrt[q]{n}]^p) \quad (1)$$

But $[\sqrt[q]{n}]^p \leq [\sqrt[q]{n^p}] \leq n^\beta$ and therefore

$$\text{TIMEW}([\sqrt[q]{n}]^p) \subseteq \text{TIMEW}(n^\beta) \quad (2)$$

From (1) and (2) result theorem 15.

Comments. In (16) p.297 is proved the next result:

" Theorem 12.8. If $S_2(n)$ is a fully space-constructible function,

$$\inf_n \frac{S_1(n)}{S_2(n)} = 0$$

and $S_1(n)$ and $S_2(n)$ are each at least $\log_2 n$, then there is a language in $\text{DSPACE}(S_2(n))$ not in $\text{DSPACE}(S_1(n))$ ".

Our theorem 13 (the TW-separation property) is like the above theorem but we don't know yet if this is a coincidence or not!

FINAL REMARKS

In this paper is presented a variant of chapters 1-5 of (24) (which contains 9 chapters).

In chapter 6 of (24) is efectively defined an universal W grammar.

Chapter 7 of (24) is devoted to study the possibility to bound the generative complexity.

We present here some results without proofs.

Theorem 3. If $\mathcal{G} = (\Sigma, W, TW, C)$ is a GBS such that for every $n \in \omega$, $C_n \neq \emptyset$ and $C_n \cap C_m = \emptyset$ for all $n, m \in \omega$, $n \neq m$, then for any recursive function f , $f: \omega \rightarrow \omega$, there exists an infinite re language $L \subset \Sigma^*$ such that $L \notin \text{TIME}_W(f(n))$.

Corollary 4 i) In the GBS, $\mathcal{G} = (\Sigma, W, TW, "=\text{n}")$ for any recursive function f , $f: \omega \rightarrow \omega$, there exists an infinite re language $L \subset \Sigma^*$ such that $L \notin \text{TIME}_W(f(n))$.

ii) In the GBS, $\mathcal{G} = (\Sigma, W, TW, "a^n")$ for any recursive function f , $f: \omega \rightarrow \omega$, there exists an infinite re language $L \subset \Sigma^*$ such that $L \notin \text{TIME}_W(f(n))$.

Theorem 5. If $\mathcal{G} = (\Sigma, W, TW, C)$ is a GBS such that $\text{card}(\Sigma) \geq 2$, for every $n \in \omega$, $C \neq \emptyset$ and for every $u \in C$, $|u| \geq n$, then for any recursive function f , $f: \omega \rightarrow \omega$, there exists an infinite re language $L \subset \Sigma^*$ such that $L \notin \text{TIME}_W(f(n))$.

Corollary 6. In the GBS, $\mathcal{G} = (\Sigma, W, TW, ">n")$ with $\text{card}(\Sigma) \geq 2$

for any recursive function f , $f: \omega \rightarrow \omega$ there exists an infinite
re language $L \subset \Sigma^*$ such that $L \not\in \text{TIME}_W(f(n))$.

Chapter 8 of (24) is devoted to study some restrictions
on the W grammars such that these grammars generate only re-
cursive language.

In chapter 9 of (24) we suggest some directions to
study.

B I B L I O G R A P H Y

1. ADJ - Thatcher J.W., Wagner E.G., Wright J.B.,
Notes on algebraic fundamentals for theoretical
computer science. Foundations of computer science
III, Mathematisch Centrum, Amsterdam 1979 pp 84-164.
2. Backer J.L., Grammars with structured vocabulary: A model
for the ALGOL-68-definition Information and Control
20, 1972 pp 351-395.
3. Blum M., A Machine-Independent Theory of the Complexity
of Recursive Functions, JACM 14, 1967, pp 322-336.
4. Book R.V., Time-Bounded Grammars and Their Languages, J.
of Computer and System Science 5, 1971 pp 397-429.
5. Calude C., Complexitatea Calculului, Ed.St. și Enc., Bu-
curesti 1982.
6. Căzănescu V.E., Introducere în Teoria Limbajelor Formale,
Ed.Academiei, Bucuresti 1983.
7. Dembinsky P., Maluszynski J., Two level grammars: CF
grammars with equation schemes LNCS 71, 1979,
pp 171-187.
8. Deussen P., A decidability criterion for van Wijngaarden
grammars. Acta Informatica 5, 1975 pp 353-375.
9. Deussen P., Mehlhorn K., Van Wijngaarden grammars and spa-
ce complexity class EXSPACE. Acta Informatica 8,
1977, pp 193-199.
10. Greibach S.A., Some restrictions on W grammars. ACM Pro-
ceedings of the 6 th Symposium on the Theory of Com-
puting, Seattle, May 1974.
11. Gladkij A.V., O slozhnosti vyyoda v grammatikakh neposredst-
venno sostavljaushchikh. Algebra i Logika Seminar 3
1964, pp 29-44.

- 24
12. Hartmanis J., Lewis P.M., Stearns R.E., Hierarchies of memory limited computations. Proc. Sixth Annual IEEE Symp. on Switching Circuit Theory and Logical Design 1965 pp 179-190.
13. Hartmanis J., Stearns R.E., On the Computational Complexity of Algorithms, Trans. AMS 117, 1965, pp 285-306.
14. Hesse W., A correspondence between W-grammars and formal systems of logic. TUM-INFO-7727, 1977.
15. Hesse W., Formal semantics of programming languages described by predicative grammars. TUM-INFO-7728, 1977.
16. Hopcroft J.E., Ullman J.D., Introduction to automata theory, languages and computation. Addison-Wesley Reeding Mass. 1979.
17. Istrail S., Generalization of the Ginsburg-Rice-Schutzenberger fixed-point theorem for context-sensitive and recursive-enumerable languages. Theoretical Comp. Sci. 18, 1982 pp 333-341.
18. Koster C.H.A., Two level grammars in compiler constructions, an advanced course. LNCS 21, 1974.
19. Kupka I. Van Wijngaarden grammars as a special information processing model. LNCS 88, 1980.
20. Livovschi L., Popovici C., Georgescu H., Tăndăreanu N., Bazele informaticii. Ed. Didactică și Pedagogică 1981.
21. Manna Z., Mathematical Theory of Computation Mc Graw-Hill, New-York, 1974.
22. Marcus S., Gramatici și automate finite. Ed. Academiei R.S.R., București, 1964.
23. Mateescu A., Gramatici și Limbaje de programare. Info-Iasi 1983 pp 170-182.

24. Mateescu, A., Complexitatea generării multimiilor recursive enumerabile cu sisteme van Wijngaarden - Teză de Doctorat - Univ.București 1985.
25. Păun G., Probleme actuale de teoria limbajelor formale. Ed.Stiințifică și Enciclopedică București 1984.
26. Popovici C., Curs de teoria algoritmilor, funcții recursive și mașini Turing. Tip.Univ.București 1976.
27. Salomaa A., Formal Languages, Academic Press New-York, 1973.
28. Sintzoff M., Existence of a van Wijngaarden syntax for every recursiveley - enumerable set. Annales Soc.Sci.Bruxelles 81, 1967.
29. Vaida D., Limbaje formale și tehnici de compilare. Tip Universității din București, 1976.
30. Vaida D., Mateescu A., Limbaje formale și tehnici de compilare - Aplicații ale algebrelor multisortate în informatică, Caietul I Tip.Universității din București, 1982.
31. Vaida D., Mateescu A., Limbaje formale și tehnici de compilare - Capitole speciale de limbaje formale, Caietul II. Tip.Universității din București, 1984.
32. Wegner L.M., On parsing two-level grammars Acta Informatica 14, 1980, pp 175-193.
33. van Wijngaarden, A., Orthogonal design and description of formal languages. Mathem.Centrum Amsterdam, 1965.
34. van Wijngaarden, A., The generative power of two level grammars, LNCS-14, 1974.
35. van Wijngaarden,A. (ed), Revised report on the algorithmic languages ALGOL 68. Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New-York, 1976.
36. van Wijngaarden,A (ed), Report on the Algorithmic Language ALGOL 68. Numerische Mathematik 14, 1969, pp 79-218.