## INSTITUTUL DE MATEMATICA

.

1

×

.0

INSTITUTUL NATIONAL PENTRU CREATIE STIINTIFICA SI TEHNICA

ISSN 0250 3638

## INDUCTIVE LIMITS OF C\*-ALGEBRAS RELATED TO SOME COVETINGS

by Marius DADARLAT PREPRINT SERIES IN MATHEMATICS No.25/1986

Juca 23729

# INDUCTIVE LIMITS OF C\*-ALGEBRAS RELATED TO SOME COVERINGS by

Marius DADARLAT \*)

May 1986

\*)

Department of Mathematics, National Institute for Scientific and Technical Creation, Bd. Pacii 220, 79622 Bucharest Romania

## INDUCTIVE LIMITS OF C\*-ALGEBRAS RELATED

TO SOME COVERINGS

. by

#### Marius DADARLAT

In [5] E.G.Effros posed the problem of studying inductive limits of C\*-algebras of the form  $C(X) \otimes M_n$ . Because of the complexity of the possible \*-homomorphisms  $\oint: C(X) \otimes M_n \longrightarrow$  $\rightarrow C(X) \otimes M_m$  (cf. [3] and [6]) it is reasonable to restrict our attention to specific classes of homomorphisms. In this paper we prove a unicity result concerning inductive limits associated with a sequence of coverings.

A unital homomorphism  $\oint$  is called homogeneous if for every  $y \in Y$  the subalgebra  $\oint (C(X) \otimes M_n)(y) \subset M_m$  has dimension m/n. (Note that n must divide m since  $\oint$  is unital). Suppose that Y has the homotopy type of a finite CW-complex of dimension  $\leq$  2m/n and that K°(Y) has no n-torsion. Then if follows from [3] that there is a (m/n)-fold covering  $\forall : Z \rightarrow Y$ , a monomorphism  $\oint_1 : C(Z) \otimes M_n \rightarrow C(Y) \otimes M_m$  which satisfies (0)  $\oint_1 (g \circ \Psi \otimes 1_n) = g \otimes 1_m$ ,  $g \in C(Y)$ , and a continuous map  $\forall : Z \rightarrow Y$  such that we have the factorization  $\oint = \oint_1 \circ \not^*$ .

The homomorphisms  $\oint_1$  satisfying equation (0) are called compatible with the covering  $\psi$  or  $\psi$ -compatible, and they were introduced in [6] for other reasons. The previous decomposition confirms once more their importance, since they are now identified as the nontrivial part of the homogeneous homomorphisms.

We shall consider inductive limits with homomorphisms compatible with some appropriate coverings. Our result is based on a detailed description of such homomorphisms.

An interesting example is supplied by Bunce-Deddens algebras (〔2〕) which can be described as inductive limits of the form:

(1) 
$$C(T^{\prime\prime\prime}) \otimes M_{n} \longrightarrow C(T^{\prime\prime\prime}) \otimes M_{n} \longrightarrow \dots$$

where m=1, T is the unit circle, and the homomorphisms  $\phi_i$  are compatible with the coverings T  $z \mapsto z^{n_{i+1}/n_i} \in T$ .

In [6] C.Pasnicu has studied inductive limits of the form (1) with m=2 and he proved that there limits do not depend on the particular choices of the homomorphisms  $\phi_i$  compatible with some product coverings  $T^2 \rightarrow T^2$ . Moreover, these limits were seen to be isomorphic to tensor products of two Bunce-Deddens algebras.

The aim of this paper is to consider the same problem in an abstract setting.

Given a free action of  $T^m$  on a compact connected manifold X and a strictly increasing sequence of finite subgroups of  $T^m$ :

we consider inductive limits of the form

$$L = \lim_{n \to \infty} (- C(X_i) \otimes M_{n_i} \xrightarrow{\Phi_i} C(X_{i+1}) \otimes M_{n_{i+1}} \xrightarrow{})$$

where  $X_i = X/G_i$ ,  $n_i = |G_i|$ , and the homomorphisms  $\phi_i$  are compatible with the coverings  $X_i \rightarrow X_{i+1}$ .

- 3 -

Under some topological restrictions involving the absence of torsion in the cohomology  $H^*(X_i, Z)$ , we prove that the inductive limit L does not depend on  $\Phi_i$  and it is isomorphic to the C\*-algebra transformation group  $C(X) \succ G$ , where  $G = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} G_i$ . For i=1the case of Bunce-Deddens algebras this isomorphism was noticed by P. Green.

. As a corollary, we extend the result from [6] to the m-dimensional torus.

The author is groteful to V. Deaconu and C. Pasnicu for stimulating discutions.

### 1. PRELIMINARIES

We shall denote by  $M_n$  the C\*-algebra of  $n \ge n$  complex matrices and by  $1_n$  its unit.

Suppose that X is a compact connected real manifold and let S be a finite group acting freely on X.

If  $k = \{S\}$  (the order of S), then the quotient map onto the orbit space  $\Psi: X \to X/S$  is a regular k-fold covering. Let n be a positive integer.

We recall from [6] that a unital homemorphism

$$\phi$$
 : C(X)  $\otimes$  M<sub>n</sub>  $\rightarrow$  C(X/S)  $\otimes$  M<sub>kn</sub>

is called compatible with the covering  $\,\Psi\,$  or  $\,\Psi\,$  -compatible if

2) 
$$\oint (g \circ \psi \otimes 1_n) = g \otimes 1_{kn'}$$
  $g \in C(X/S).$ 

Looking at the following diagram



where  $\psi * (g) = (g \circ \psi) \otimes 1_n$  and  $\alpha(g) = g \otimes 1_{kn}$ , it is clear that  $\psi$ -compatible homomorphisms may be viewed as a kind of sections for the fibering X  $\rightarrow X/S$ .

Assume that the K-theory group K°(X) is torsion-free and that dim(X)  $\leq$  2k. Then it follows from [3, thm 1.3] that  $\phi = v(\phi' \otimes id_{M_n})v^*$ , for some unitary  $v \in C(X/S) \otimes M_{kn}$  and some ↓ -compatible homomorphism  $\phi'$ : C(X) → C(X/S)  $\otimes$  M<sub>k</sub>. Moreover, it is proved in [3] that there is a continuous map p:X → P(C<sup>k</sup>) = = the space of all one dimensional self-adjoint projections acting on C<sup>k</sup>, such that  $\phi'$  is given by the following formula

(3) 
$$\oint'(f) ( \Psi(x)) = \sum_{s \in S} f(s.x) p(s.x), f \in C(X), x \in X.$$

Of course since  $\bar{\varphi}'$  is unital we must have

- 5 -

(4) 
$$\sum_{s \in S} p(s.x) = 1_n, \qquad x \in X.$$

Also, it is clear that both  $\oint$  and  $\oint$ ' are monomorphisms. Despite the previous description, we don't know a priori if  $\checkmark$ -compatible homomorphisms (or equivalently maps  $p: X \longrightarrow P(\mathbb{C}^k)$  satisfying (4)) do exist.

However, if we assume that S is an abelian group and that the second cohomology group  $H^2(X/S, Z)$  is torsion-free, then such homomorphisms can be constructed as follows. By [3] there is a continuous map  $\dot{u}: X \rightarrow U(k) \not\simeq U(l^2(S))$  such that u(s.x) ==  $g(s) u(x), x \in X, s \in S,$  where  $g: S \rightarrow U(k)$  is the right regular representation of S. Now if  $\langle e_s^{\circ} \rangle_{s \in S}$  are the orthogonal projections onto the spaces  $[s_s]$  spanned by the vectors in the canonical basis  $\langle s_s \rangle_{s \in S}$  of  $l^2(S)$ , then the homomorphism  $\dot{\phi}': c(x) \rightarrow c(X/S) \otimes M_k$  given by

(5) 
$$\phi'(f)(\psi(x)) = u(x)*(\sum_{s \in S} f(s,x)e_s^{\circ})u(x), f \in C(X), x \in X$$
  
s  $\in S$ 

is compatible with the covering  $X \rightarrow X/S$ . Note that  $\phi'$  is well defined since  $g(s) * e_t^{\circ} g(s) = e_{ts}^{\circ}$ , t,s  $\in S$ . Consider now the crossed-product C\*-algebra

$$C(X) \gg S = \langle F \in C(X) \otimes M_k : F(s.x) =$$
  
=  $P(s)F(x) P(s)^*, x \in X, s \in S$ 

Then the unitary u can be used to give  $\partial n$  isomorphism H:C(X) > S  $\rightarrow$  C(X/S)  $\otimes$  M<sub>k</sub>. To see this, we identify C(X/S)  $\otimes$  M<sub>k</sub> with

$$\zeta F \in C(X) \otimes M_k: F(s.x) = F(x)$$
, for all  $x \in X$ ,  $s \in S$ ,

and we take H(F)=u\*Fu. Note that if

$$j:C(X) \rightarrow C(X) \gg S, j(f)(X) = \sum f(s.x)e_{g}^{\circ},$$
  
s  $\in S$ 

is the canonical embedding, then the isomorphism H is such that H  ${\tt O}$  j =  $\varphi'.$ 

- 6 -

2. INNER EQUIVALENCE

Assume S  $\stackrel{\bullet}{\leftarrow}$  T<sup>m</sup> and also that the action of S on X is induce by a continuous free action of T<sup>m</sup> on X.

Then we are able to give a more complete description of homomorphisms  $\phi$  which are compatible with the covering X  $\rightarrow$  X/S. Our description will imply that any two such homomorphisms are inner equivalent.

Lemma 1.1. Let  $x_0 \in X$ , let  $p: X \to P(\mathbb{C}^k)$  be a continuous map which satisfies equation (4) and assume that  $H^2(X, Z)$  is torsion-free. Then there is a continuous map  $u: X \to U(k)$  such that

(6)  $p(s.x)=u(x)*p(s.x_0)u(x)$  x eX, s eS

<u>Proof.</u> Set  $e_s(x) = p(s.x)$ . Then  $\langle e_s \rangle s \in s$  is a partition of the unity in the C\*-algebra C(X)  $\otimes M_k$ . Since the action of S on X is induced by a continuous action of  $T^m$  which is a pathwise connected space, it follows that the projections  $\langle e_s \rangle s \in s$  are mutually equivalent in C(X)  $\otimes M_k$ . To see this let a:  $\{0,1\} \rightarrow T^m$  be a continuous path from 1 to s. Then  $e_{a(t)}(x) =$ = p(a(t).x),  $t \in \{0,1\}$  is a continuous path of projections from  $e_1$  to  $e_s$ . By a standard argument we find now a partial isometry  $e_{s,1} \in C(X) \otimes M_k$  such that  $e_{s,1}^* e_{s,1} = e_1$  and  $e_{s,1} e_{s,1}^* = e_s$ . Define  $e_{s,s} = e_s$  and  $e_{s,t} = e_{s,1} e_{t,1}^*$  to obtain a system of matrix units in C(X)  $\otimes M_k$ . Now consider the C\*-homomorphisms  $\Phi, \Phi_0: M_k \rightarrow C(X) \otimes M_k$ 

- 7 -

(Note that in the above definition we identified  $M_k$  with the C\*-algebra generated by  $\langle e_{s,t}(x_0) \rangle_{s,t\in S}$ ) Since the complex line bundles on X are classified by  $H^2(X, Z)$  which we suppose to be torsion-free, it follows form (3, prop.1.1] that there is some unitary  $u \in C(X) \otimes M_k$  such that  $\phi_0 = u \phi u^*$ . This implies that  $e_s(x_0) = u(x) e_s(x) u(x)^*$ . Hence  $p(s.x) = u(x) * p(s.x_0) u(x)$  for all x in X and  $s \in S$ .

- 8 -

Let  $g: S \rightarrow B(1^2(S))$  be the right regular representation of S. We identify  $B(1^2(S))$  with  $C^* \langle e_{s,t}(x_0):s,t \in S \rangle \cong M_k$ , so that  $\int (r)^* e_{s,t}^\circ f(r) = e_{sr,tr}^\circ$ . As an easy consequence of the equation (6) we obtain that every  $u(s.x)u(x)^* f(s)^*$  commutes with all  $e_{t,t}(x_0)$ ,  $t \in S$ . Setting  $w_s(x)=u(s.x)u(x)^* f(s)^*$  it follows that  $w_s$  is diagonal with respect to the projections  $e_{t,t}(x_0)$ . More precisely there are continuous functions  $w(t,s): X \rightarrow T$ ,  $s,t \in S$ , such that

(7) 
$$w_{s}(x) = \sum_{t \in S} w_{t,s}(x) e_{t,t}(x_{0})$$
,  $x \in X$ .

Moreover, it follows from the definition of  $\{w_s \mid s \in S \}$  that  $w_s(t.x) = w_{st}(x) \int (s)w_s(x) f(s)$ .

Then we have corresponding relations for  $w_{t,s}$  :

(8) 
$$w_{t,sr}(x) = w_{ts,r}(x) w_{t,s}(r.x)$$
  $r,s,t \in S, x \in X.$ 

Equations (8) look like some "cocycle relations". Our next task is to resolve the "cocycle  $(w_{s,t})$ " i.e. to find continuous maps  $d_s: X \rightarrow T$ , s  $\in S$  such that

(9) 
$$W_{s,t}(x) = d_{ts}(x)d_t(s.x)^{-1}$$

### $x \in X$ , s, t $\in$ S.

Suppose now that the maps  $\langle d_s \rangle$  s  $\in$  S have been found and set

$$v(x) = (\sum_{s \in S} d_{s}(x)e_{s,s}(x_{0})) u(x).$$

Then an easy computation shows us that

10) 
$$v(s.x) = f(s)v(x)$$
  $x \in X, s \in S$  and

(11) 
$$p(x) = v(x) * p(x_0) v(x), \qquad x \in X$$

To make clear the proof we choose to resolve the cocycle (w<sub>s,t</sub>) in On abstract setting. For technical reasons we make the following :

<u>Definition 2.2</u>.A finite abelian group S is said to have the property (H) if given any six-tuple  $E=(A, \sigma, D, \alpha, w, (b, d_b))$ consisting of:

1) a free transitive action  $\sigma$  of S on a set A

a cocycle w : A x S -- D satisfying,

 $A \times S \ni (a,s) \longrightarrow a.s \in A,$ 

2)

- 9

an action 🔀 of S by automorphisms, on an abelian group D,

3)

(12)  $w(a,st) = w(a.s,t) \propto_t (w(a,s)), a \in A, s,t \in S.$ 

4)

a couple (b,  $d_b$ )  $\in A \times D$ , there is a map d : A  $\rightarrow D$  such that (13) d(b) =  $d_b$  and w(a,s)=d(a.s)  $\propto s(d(a))^{-1}$ ,  $a \in A$ ,  $s \in S$ 

<u>Lemma 2.3</u>. The cyclic group  $Z_n$  has the property (H). <u>Proof</u>. Let  $Z_n = \langle 1, s, \dots, s^{n-1} \rangle$ . From (12) we get

$$\propto s^{-k}(w(a.s^{k},s)) = w(a.s^{k},s^{-k+1})w(a.s^{k+1},s^{-k})^{-1}$$

- 10 -

and consequently

(14) 
$$\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \propto (w(a.s^k,s)) = 1$$
 a  $\in A$ .

Since S acts transitively on A it follows that A=b.S. To define the map d:A  $\rightarrow$  D we put d(b) = d<sub>b</sub> and then we'find recursively d(b.s<sup>k</sup>)  $\in$  D, 1  $\leq$  k  $\leq$  n-1 such that

(15) 
$$d(b.s^{k+1}) = w(b.s^{k},s) \ll_{s} (d(b.s^{k})), 0 \leq k \leq n-1.$$

Combining (14) and (15) we get that the formula

(15) holds even if k=n.

Therefore we have now proved that

(16) 
$$w(a,s) = d(a.s) \propto (d(a))^{-1}$$
 for all  $a \in A$ .

Let t=s k and assume that

(17) 
$$w(a,t) = d(a,t) \propto (d(a))^{-1}$$

Since by (12) we have

$$w(a,st) = w(a.s,t) \ll (w(a,s))$$

we infer from (16) and (17) that

$$w(a,st) = d(a,st) \ll_t (d(a.s))^{-1} \ll_t (d(a.s)) \ll_{ts} (d(a))^{-1}$$
  
= d(a.st)  $\ll_{st} (d(d))^{-1}$ 

13

for all a  $\in A$ .

The assertion follows now by induction.

Lemma 2.4. If both the groups G and S have the

- 11 -

property (H) then the direct sum  $R=G \oplus S$  has the property (H).

<u>Proof.</u> Think G and S as subgroups of R. Given a R-six-tuple  $E=(A, \sigma, D, \varkappa, w, (b, d_b))$  as in Definition 2.2, we apply the property (H) of S with respect the S-six-tuple:

$$E \mid S = (b.S, \sigma \mid S'^{D}, \alpha \mid S'^{W} \mid b.SxS, (b,d_{b}))$$

Therefore we obtain a map d':b.S -> D that satisfies

(18) 
$$d'(b)=d_{b}$$
 and  $w(b.s,t)=d'(b.st) \ll_{t} (d'(b.s))^{-1}$ , s,t  $\in S$ .

To extend d' to an appropiate map on A, note first that A = ♥ b.sG and then apply the property (H) of G, for each s € S, s € S

relative to the G-six-tuple

 $E_{s} = (b.sG, \sigma' | G'^{D}, \alpha | G'^{W} | b.sGxG' (b.s, d'(b.s))).$ 

In this way we obtain a map d:A -> D which extends d' and such that

(19)  $w(a,g)=d(a.g) \ll d(a)^{-1}$  for a in A and  $g \in G$ .

Since R=S.G, to complete the proof, it remains to show that the map d satisfies all needed relations:

(20) 
$$w(a,sg) = d(a_{g}sg) \alpha(sg(d(a))^{-1})$$

It is convenient to prove first (29) with g=1. If a  $\notin$  A then we may write a in a unique way as a=b.th with t  $\notin$  S and h  $\notin$  G. Since

- 12 -

we can derive from (12):

$$w(b.th,s) \propto (w(b.t,h)=w(b.ts,h) \propto h(w(b.t,s))$$

(both terms beeing equal to w(b.t,sh)) it follows that

$$w(a,s) = w(b.ts,h) \propto w(b.t,s) \propto (w(b.t,h)^{-1}),$$

so that using (18) and (19) we get

(21)  $w(a,s)=d(b.tsh) \ll (d(b.ts))^{-1} \ll (d(b.ts)) \ll (d(b.t))^{-1}$ 

$$\ll_{s}(d(b.th))^{-1} \ll_{sh}(d(b.t)) = d(a.s) \ll_{s}(d(a))^{-1}$$

Since w(a,sg)=w(a.s,g)  $\ll_{g}$  (w(a,s)) (20) can be derived from (19) and (21) as in the proof of Lemma 2.3.

Corollary 2.5. The finite **d**belian groups have the property (H).

<u>Proof</u>. Since the finite Obelian groups are direct sums of cyclic groups our Corollary is a straight forward consequence of the previous lemmas.

Assume that  $H^{2}(X/S, \mathbb{Z})$  and  $H^{2}(X, \mathbb{Z})$  are Forsion free. <u>Theorem 2.6.</u> If  $\phi : C(X) \to C(X/S) \otimes M_{k} \cong C(X/S) \otimes B(1^{2}(S))$ is compatible with the covering  $X \to X/S$ , then there is some continuous unitary valued map  $u: X \to U(k)$  such that

(22) u(s.x) = g(s)u(x)  $x \in X, s \in S$ 

 $\Phi(f)(\Psi(x))=u(x)*(\sum_{s\in S}f(s.x)e_{s}^{\circ})u(x)$ 

(23)

<u>Proof</u>. Let  $w=(w_{s,t})$  be the "cocycle" that appeared in the discussion before Definition 2.2. Let S acts on S by translations

 $\mathbf{\nabla}_{s}(t)=ts$ , let D=C(X,T) and let define an action of S on D by setting  $\mathbf{A}_{s}(f)(x)=f(s.x)$ , s  $\in$  S, f  $\in$  D. Applying the property (H) of S relative to the six-tuple E=(S,  $\mathbf{\nabla}$ ,D,  $\mathbf{A}$ ,w,(1,1)) if follows that the cocycle ( $\mathbf{W}_{s,t}$ ) can be resolved, so that the description of **\mathbf{\Phi}** is given by (3)(0) and (11).

<u>Corollary 2.7</u>. Any two C\*-homomorphisms  $\phi, \Psi: C(X) \rightarrow C(X/S) \otimes M_k$  compatible with the covering X  $\rightarrow X/S$  are inner equivalent i.e. there is some unitary v  $\in C(X/S) \otimes M_k$  such that  $\phi = v \Psi v^*$ .

<u>Proof</u>. Theorem 2.6. provides us descriptions of  $\phi$  and  $\checkmark$  with appropriate unitaries u and u<sub>1</sub>. After conjugating with an unitary in C(X/S)  $\otimes$  M<sub>k</sub>, we may suppose that these descriptions are given relative to the same projections  $\langle e_s^{\circ} \rangle$  s es

Consequently we may choose v=u\*u since

 $v(s.x) = u_1(s.x) * u(s.x) = u_1(x) * g(s) * g(s) u(x) = v(x)$ 

M

and it is clear from (23) that  $\gamma = v v v^*$ .

A negative Example. Let s² be the two-sphere and S% 2P2 be the two-dimensional real projective space. Since HY(P2, Z) = Z2 Corollary 2.7 doesn't apply. In fact it can be proved that there are infinitely many homomorphism c(s<sup>2</sup>) → c(p<sup>2</sup>) ⊗ M<sub>2</sub> · compatible with the canonical covering  $S^2 \longrightarrow P^2$  which are not inner equivalent

- 13 -

## 3. SOME INDUCTIVE LIMITS

- 14

14

As in the previous section we start with a continuous free action of  $\mathbf{T}^{\mathsf{m}}$  on a compact, connected, real manifold X. Let

$$G_1 \subset G_2 \subset \cdots \subset C_i \subset G_{i+1} \cdots$$

be an infinite tower of finite subgroups of  $\mathbf{T}^{\mathbf{M}}$ . Let  $\mathbf{n}_{i} = |\mathbf{G}_{i}|$ ,  $\mathbf{k}_{i} = |\mathbf{G}_{i+1}/\mathbf{G}_{i}|$  and note that  $\mathbf{n}_{i+1} = \mathbf{n}_{i} \mathbf{k}_{i}$ . If  $\mathbf{X}_{i}$  denotes the quotient space  $\mathbf{X}/\mathbf{G}_{i}$ , we have a natural  $\mathbf{k}_{i}$ -fold covering  $\mathbf{X}_{i} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}_{i+1}$ whose deck-group  $\mathbf{S}_{i}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbf{G}_{i+1}/\mathbf{G}_{i}$ .

In this section we deal with inductive limits of the form:

(24) 
$$\dots C(X_{i}) \otimes M_{n_{i}} \xrightarrow{\Phi_{i}} C(X_{i+1}) \otimes M_{n_{i+1}} \dots$$

where each homomorphism  $\Phi_i$  is compatible with the covering  $X_i \rightarrow X_{i+1}$ .

The main result is the following:

<u>Theorem 3.1.</u> Assume that the second term manifolds  $X_i$  have notorsion in cohomology i.e.  $H^*(X_i, Z)$  is torsion-free for any  $i \ge 1$ .

Then the inductive limit lim  $(C(X_i) \otimes M_{n_i}, \phi_i)$  does not depend on the particular choice of the homomorphisms  $\phi_i$ . In fact it depends only on the group  $G = \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{V}} G_i$  since it is isomorphic i=1to the crossed product C\*-algebra  $C(X) \not \sim G$ .

Proof. As a first step we prove that any two homomorphisms By considering a refinement of the sequence in [24] we may assume that dim X; < ni+1/n; - 15 -

 $\phi_i, \ \psi_i : C(X_i) \otimes M_{n_i} \longrightarrow C(X_{i+1}) \otimes M_{n_{i+1}}$  are inner equivalent. Recall that  $n_{i+1} = n_i k_i$ . Since  $H^*(X_{i+1}, Z)$  is torsion free, it follows from [1] that  $K^{\circ}(X_{i+1})$  is torsion free. Hence, by the results quoted in section 1, we may assume that  $n_i = 1$ . At this point the assertion follows from Corollary 2.7. To conclude the first part of the theorem we recall Lemma 2.1 of [5] which asserts that the inductive limits lim  $(A_i, \phi_i)$  and lim  $(A_i, \psi_i)$  are isomorphic if the homomorphisms  $\phi_i$  and  $\psi_i$  are inner equivalent.

To proceed further, let us consider the diagram

(25) 
$$\begin{array}{c} & & J_{i} \\ & & & C(X) \gg G_{i+1} \longrightarrow \cdots \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & &$$

where  $(J_i)$  are the canonical embeddings,  $(H_i)$  are the isomorphisms described in section 1, and  $(\phi_i)$  are chosen such that  $\phi_i = H_{i+1}J_iH_i^{-1}$ . With this definition it is straighforward to check that the homomorphisms  $\phi_i$  are compatible with the coverings  $X_i - X_{i+1}$ . Since the inductive limit of the upper row in the diagram (25) is equal to

$$C(X) \gg G = (\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} C(X) \gg G_i)^{-1}$$

it turns out that the unique limit that arise from the diagram (24) is isomorphic to C(X) > G.

Let  $\mathbf{T}^{\mathbf{m}}$  act on  $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{T}^{\mathbf{m}}$  by translations. Given a finite subgroup S of  $\mathbf{T}^{\mathbf{m}}$  it is well known that  $\mathbf{T}^{\mathbf{m}}/\mathbf{S} \simeq \mathbf{T}^{\mathbf{m}}$ . Further, since

 $H^*(T, Z) = Z \oplus Z$ , it follows by Künneth formula that  $H^*(T^m, Z)$  is torsion free. Therefore we may apply Theorem 3.1 to obtain a unicity result concerning the inductive limits of the form

(26) 
$$\ldots \rightarrow C(T^{m}) \times M_{n_{i}} \xrightarrow{p_{i}} C(T^{m}) \otimes M_{n_{i+1}} \rightarrow \cdots$$

where the homomorphisms  $\dot{\Phi}_i^{\circ}$  are compatible with  $(n_{i+1}/n_i)$ -fold coverings  $T^m \longrightarrow T^m$ . Moreover if these coverings correspond to a the tower of subgroups

$$G_1 \subset G_2 \subset \cdots \subset T^m$$
,

and we assume that  $G = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} G_{i}$  is dense in  $T^{m}$ , then it can be proved that the C\*-algebra C(T<sup>m</sup>) > G is simple and it has a unique faith ful trace state.

Suppose now that the homomorphisms  $\phi_i$  are compatible with the coverings

(27) 
$$(z_1, \dots, z_m) \longrightarrow (z_1, \dots, z_m^{p_1(i)})$$

and let  $n_k(i) = \prod_{k=1}^{i} P_k(j)$ ,  $1 \le k \le m$ . Let  $A(n_k)$  be the Bunce-Deddens algebra associated with the generalized integer  $n_k = (n_k(i))_i > 1$ . Then we have the following Corollary which extends the main result of [6].

Corollary 3.2. The inductive limit (26) does not depend on the choice of the homomorphisms  ${{\Phi} \over {\rm p}}_{
m i}$  compatible with the coverings (27). Moreover it is isomorphic to the C\*-tensor product  $A(n_{\nu})$ .

 $\otimes$ k=1

- 16 -

Proof. We apply Theorem 3.1 with

$$G_{i}=G_{1}(i) \times G_{2}(i) \times \ldots \times G_{m}(i);$$

where

$$G_k(i) = \langle z \in T: z^{n_k(i)} = 1 \rangle$$

Let  $G_k = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} G_k(i)$  and note that  $G = G_1 \times G_2 \times \ldots \times G_m$ . If we denote

1 and

by L the unique limit drising from (26) then

$$L = C(\mathbf{T}^{m}) \gg G \simeq \bigotimes^{m} C(\mathbf{T}) \gg G_{k}$$

$$k=1$$

and

3

$$C(T) \gg G_k \stackrel{N}{\rightharpoonup} A(n_k).$$

#### REFERENCES

- M.F. ATIYAH and F. HIRZEBRUCH, Vector bundles and homogeneous spaces, Proc.Symp. Pure Math. vol.3, A.M.S. 1961.
- 2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

1.

- 18 -

J. BUNCE and J. DEDDENS, A family of C\*-algebras related to weighted shift operators, J. Functional Analysis 19: (1965) 13-24.

- M. DADARLAT, On homomorphisms of certain C\*-algebras (preprint).
- E.G. EFFROS, Dimensions and C\*-algebras, CMBS Regional Conference Series in Math. no.46, A.M.S. Providence, RI, 1981.
  - E.G. EFFROS, On the structure of C\*-algebras: Some old and some new problems, in Operator Algebras and Applications. Proc.Symp.Pure Math. A.M.S. Providence RI, 1982.
- C. PASNICU, On certain inductive limit C\*-algebras, Indiana Univ. Math.J. (to appear 1986).
- C. PASNICU, On inductive limits of certain C\*-algebras of the form  $C(X) \otimes F$  (preprint).
- G.K. PEDERSEN, C\*-algebras and their automorphism groups, Academic-Press Inc.London, 1979.
- F.H. SPANIER, Algebraic Topology, Mc.Graw-Hill Book Company, New-York, 1966.
  - K. THOMSEN, Inductive limits of homogeneous C\*-algebras (preprint).