

INSTITUTUL
DE
MATEMATICA

INSTITUTUL NATIONAL
PENTRU CREATIE
STIINTIFICA SI TEHNICA

ISSN 0250 3638

COCYCLES ON TREES

by

Mihai PIMSNER

PREPRINT SERIES IN MATHEMATICS

No.29/1986

BUCURESTI

lea 23733

COCYCLES ON TREES

by

Mihai PIMSNER*)

May 1986

*) Department of Mathematics, National Institute for Scientific and Technical Creation, Bd. Păcii 220, 79622 Bucharest, Romania.

COCYCLES ON TREES

by Mihai V. Pimsner

Let X be an oriented tree, endowed with an orientation preserving action of the locally compact group G . In their paper [2], Julg and Valette constructed in a geometric way a Kasparov bimodule $\delta \in \mathcal{K}_G(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$ [4.] and showed that the class of δ in $KK_G(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$ coincides with 1_G , the unit of the ring $KK_G(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$. They achieved this by exhibiting a homotopy δ_t , $t \in [0, \infty]$, (i.e. an element in $\mathcal{K}_G(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}([0, \infty]))$.) such that $\delta_\infty = \delta$ and $\delta_0 = 1_G$. If $G = F_n$ is the free group on n -generators acting on its natural tree, the Kasparov bimodule δ reduces to a construction done in [7] for the computation of the K -groups of the reduced crossed products by F_n . Moreover, the fact that $\delta = 1_{F_n}$ was needed in order to carry over the above computation. (To be more specific one needs that $j_r(\delta)$ is the identity in the ring $KK(F_n \rtimes_r A, F_n \rtimes_r A)$, where $j_r : KK_G(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow KK(G \rtimes_r A, G \rtimes_r A)$ is the map defined by Kasparov in [4] and [5]. (see [6])). Lacking G -equivariant KK -theory, most constructions in [7] seem complicated and unnatural.

The aim of this paper is to show that the homotopy exhibited in [7] for the free group, can be naturally constructed out of the tree X . The idea that was behind this homotopy is to perturb the action of G on the vertices of X by an explicit cocycle, so we construct the cocycle first. This leads to a continuous family δ_w of Kasparov bimodules, with $w = (w_y)$ running over the direct product $\prod U(2)$ of $N = \text{card } \Sigma^1$ copies of the unitary group $U(2)$, where Σ^1 is the orbit space of the edges of X . If $w = (w_y)$ is the constant N -tuple $w_y = 1$ we get $\delta_w = \delta$ and if w_y is the transposition matrix $\tau = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, for every $y \in \Sigma^1$, we get $\delta_w = 1_G$. The explicit knowledge of the cocycle is useful for computations. We illustrate this by computing the character of the 1-summable Fredholm module δ_w [1]. This simplifies some of the computations of Julg and Valette in [3] and shows that their results remain true even if one drops the condition that the tree is uniform locally finite.

Let X^0 denote the set of vertices of the tree X . By an action of the locally compact group G on X , we shall mean a continuous action $G \times X^0 \rightarrow X^0$, denoted $(g, x) \mapsto gx$, that preserves the natural distance d on X^0 . This determines a continuous action $(g, y) \mapsto gy$ of G on X^1 , the set of edges of the tree X . We shall denote by $\Sigma^1 \subset X^1$ a fixed transversal for this action of G on X^1 , that is a complete system of representatives of the orbit space $G \backslash X^1$, and we shall denote by $\hat{y} \in \Sigma^1$ the class of the edge $y \in X^1$. The set Y of oriented edges will be identified with the pairs (x', x'') of vertices such that $d(x', x'') = 1$. If y is an oriented edge $y = (x', x'')$ we shall denote by \bar{y} the oriented edge (x'', x') and by $|y|$ the (unoriented) edge determined by y . We shall also fix an orientation of the tree, i.e. a cross-section of the map $Y \ni y \mapsto |y| \in X^1$, and consider X^1 as a subset of Y . We shall moreover assume that the action of G preserves this orientation, that is the action of G on Y leaves X^1 invariant. If $x', x'' \in X^0$ are two vertices we shall denote by $[x', x'']$ the geodesic joining x' with x'' . We shall say that the oriented edge y belongs to the geodesic $[x', x'']$ if y is of the form $y = (x^i, x^{i+1})$, $x^1 = x', x^2, \dots, x^n = x''$ being the path that defines this geodesic.

Definition : Suppose that $\pi : G \rightarrow L(H)$ is some unitary representation of the group G on the Hilbert space H . We shall say that a function

$$c : X^0 \times X^0 \longrightarrow L(H)$$

is a cocycle on X for π , if c satisfies the following conditions

$$1) \quad c(x, x) = 1, \quad c(x^2, x^1) = c(x^1, x^2)^*$$

$$2) \quad c(x^1, x^2) c(x^2, x^3) = c(x^1, x^3)$$

$$3) \quad c(gx^1, gx^2) = \pi(g) c(x^1, x^2) \pi(g^{-1})$$

for every $x, x^1, x^2, x^3 \in X^0$ and every $g \in G$.

Note that if we fix a vertex x^0 , then the function $g \mapsto c(x^0, gx^0)$ is a cocycle for π , that is $g \mapsto c(x^0, gx^0) \pi(g)$ is a unitary representation of G on H .

The cocycle c on X is completely determined by its values on Σ^1 . We shall call the restriction of c to Σ^1 the generating function of the cocycle. It satisfies

$$c(y) \pi(g) = \pi(g) c(y)$$

for every g in the stabilizer of the edge y , and every $y \in \Sigma^1$. Conversely, every unitary valued function $c : \Sigma^1 \longrightarrow U(H)$ with the above property determines a cocycle on X for π , still denoted by c , for properties 1) and 3) uniquely determine c on the set of oriented edges and since X is a tree, properties 1) and 2) show that the value

$$c(x^1, x^n) := c(x^1, x^2) c(x^2, x^3) \dots c(x^{n-1}, x^n)$$

does not depend on the particular path x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n with fixed end points x^1 and x^n .

We shall construct now a particular class of cocycles on X for the representation $\pi^0 : G \longrightarrow U(l^2(X^0))$ induced by the action of G on X^0 .

If $u \in U(\mathbb{C}^2)$ is a unitary matrix and (x', x'') is an oriented edge, we shall denote by

$$u(x', x'') \in U(l^2(X^0))$$

the unitary defined by

$$u(x', x'') = v u v^* + 1 - v v^*$$

where $v = v(x', x'') : \mathbb{C}^2 \longrightarrow l^2(X^0)$ is the isometry defined on the basis of \mathbb{C}^2 by $v(e_1) = \delta_{x'}$, $v(e_2) = \delta_{x''}$.

If $w = (w_y)$, $y \in \Sigma^1$, is a card Σ^1 -tuple of unitary matrices belonging to $U(\mathbb{C}^2)$, we shall denote by

$$c_w : X^0 \times X^0 \longrightarrow U(l^2(X^0))$$

the cocycle on X for the representation π^0 , given by the generating function $c_w : \Sigma^1 \longrightarrow U(l^2(X^0))$ defined for $y = (x', x'') \in \Sigma^1$ by

$$c_w(y) = w_y(x', x'') .$$

It is easy to see that if we extend the function $w : \Sigma^1 \longrightarrow U(\mathbb{C}^2)$ to all oriented edges by

$$\begin{aligned} w_y &= w_{\hat{y}} & \text{for } y \in X^1 \\ w_y &= \tau w_{\frac{x}{y}} \tau & \text{for } y \in Y \setminus X^1 \end{aligned}$$

(τ being the transposition matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$), then the value of c_w is given on every oriented edge $y = (x', x'')$ by

$$c_w(x', x'') = w_y(x', x'') .$$

Remark 1. If we fix a unitary matrix $w \in U(\mathbb{C}^2)$ such that $\tau w \tau = w^*$, then the formula

$$c_w(x', x'') = w(x', x'')$$

defines the cocycle corresponding to the constant function $w_y = w$, for every $y \in \Sigma^1$, without any reference to Σ^1 .

Let us record for further use the following two straightforward properties of the cocycle c_w .

i) If x', x'' are arbitrary vertices of the tree X and $p(x', x'')$ denotes the orthogonal projection on the space spanned by the vectors $\{\delta_x \mid x \in [x', x'']\}$, then $p(x', x'')$ commutes with $c_w(x', x'')$ and

$$p(x', x'') c_w(x', x'') + 1 - p(x', x'') = c_w(x', x'') .$$

ii) If $x' \neq x''$ belong to X^0 , then:

$$\langle c_w(x', x'') \delta_{x''}, \delta_{x'} \rangle = \prod_{y \in [x', x'']} (w_y)_{1,2}$$

where $(w_y)_{1,2}$ is the 1,2 entry of the matrix w_y .

Let now $x^0 \in X^0$ be a fixed origin of the tree X .

Definition We shall denote by π_w^0 the unitary representation of G on $l^2(X^0)$ defined by

$$\pi_w^0(g) = c_w(x^0, gx^0) \pi^0(g) .$$

In the sequel, $V : l^2(X^1) \longrightarrow l^2(X^0)$ will denote the isometry defined by Julg and Valette in [2], induced by the map that sends each vertex $x \in X^0 \setminus \{x^0\}$ to the (unique) edge y that has one extremity x and lies on the geodesic $[x, x^0]$.

Lemma 1. a) If $w : \Sigma^1 \longrightarrow U(\mathbb{C}^2)$ is the constant function $w_y = 1$, for every $y \in \Sigma^1$, then $\pi_w^0 = \pi^0$. If w is the constant function $w_y = \tau = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, then $\pi_w^0(g) = V \pi^1(g) V^* + p(x^0)$, where $\pi^1 : G \longrightarrow U(l^2(X^1))$ is the unitary representation induced by the action of G on X^1 , and $p(x^0)$ is the orthogonal projection on the space $\mathbb{C} \delta_{x^0}$.

b) $\pi_w^0(g) - \pi_{w'}^0(g) = p(x^0, gx^0)(\pi_w^0(g) - \pi_{w'}^0(g))$ for every $w, w' : \Sigma^1 \longrightarrow U(\mathbb{C}^2)$ and every $g \in G$.

c) The function

$$U(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\Sigma^1} \ni w \longmapsto \pi_w^0(g) \in U(l^2(X^0))$$

is norm continuous for every $g \in G$.

Proof : a) If $w_y = \tau$ for every $y \in \Sigma^1$, then it is easy to see that

$$c_w(x', x'') \delta_x = \delta_x \quad \text{if } x \notin [x', x'']$$

while if $x = x^1$ belongs to the geodesic $x' = x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n = x''$ then

$$c_w(x', x'') \delta_{xi} = \begin{cases} \delta_{xi+1} & \text{for } i < n \\ \delta_{xi} & \text{for } i = n. \end{cases}$$

An easy computation shows now that $c_w(x^0, gx^0) \pi^0(g) = V \pi^1(g) V^* + p(x^0)$.

Point b) follows from property i) of the cocycle c_w , while c) is obvious.

qed.

We shall denote by H_w , $w \in U(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\Sigma^1}$, the graded Hilbert space $l^2(X^0) \oplus l^2(X^1)$ endowed with the continuous G action $\pi_w(g) = \pi_w^0(g) \oplus \pi_w^1(g)$. The Kasparov bimodule determined by the isometry V will be denoted by $\gamma_w \in \mathcal{K}_G(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$. The preceding lemma obviously implies the following proposition

Proposition 2. The above constructed family γ_w , $w \in U(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\Sigma^1}$, belongs to $\mathcal{K}_G(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}(U(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\Sigma^1}))$. Its restriction to the point $w_y = 1$, for every $y \in \Sigma^1$, is the Kasparov bimodule γ constructed by Julg and Valette, while its restriction to the point $w_y = \tau = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, for every $y \in \Sigma^1$ is 1_G .

Remark 2. Julg and Valette defined in [2] besides V the isometry U by $U = VS$, where $S : l^2(X^1) \rightarrow l^2(X^1)$ is given by $S \delta_{(x', x'')} = \varepsilon(x', x'') \delta_{(x', x'')}$, ε being $\varepsilon(x', x'') = 1$ (resp. -1) if $d(x', x^0) > d(x'', x^0)$ (resp. $d(x', x^0) < d(x'', x^0)$). If we denote by γ'_w the Kasparov bimodules determined on H_w by U we still get an element $\gamma'_w \in \mathcal{K}_G(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}(U(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\Sigma^1}))$. In this case 1_G corresponds to

the constant function $w_y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, for every $y \in \Sigma^1$, while the restriction to the constant function $w_y = 1$ yields an element that obviously equals γ^* in $KK_G(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$. Moreover, it is easy to see that their homotopy corresponds to the path $[0, \infty] \ni t \longmapsto w^t \in U(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\Sigma^1}$, where w^t is the constant function

$$w_y^t = \begin{pmatrix} (1-e^{-2t})^{1/2} & e^{-t} \\ -e^{-t} & (1-e^{-2t})^{1/2} \end{pmatrix}$$

for every $y \in \Sigma^1$. Note that the above matrices satisfy $\tau w_y^t \tau = w_y^t^*$ (see remark 1.)

It is easy to see from lemma 1. point b) that the Kasparov bimodules γ_w^* are 1-summable Fredholm modules in the sense of Connes [1.], so we pass to the computation of their characters.

Lemma 3. a) The trace of the finite rank operator

$$p(x, gx) \pi_w^0(g)$$

does not depend on the vertex $x \in X^0$.

b) The trace of the above operator is equal to

$$\prod_{y \in [x, gx]} (w_y)_{1,2}$$

for every vertex $x \in X^0$ satisfying $x \neq gx$ and $[g^{-1}x, x] \cap [x, gx] = \{x\}$. If x is fixed by g , then the above trace is 1.

Proof Let $x \in X^0$ be a vertex such that $[g^{-1}x, x] \cap [x, gx] = \{x\}$. Using property i) of the cocycle c_w we get :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(p(x, gx) \pi_w^0(g)) &= \text{tr}(p(x, gx) c_w(x, gx) p(x, gx) \pi_w^0(g)) = \\ &= \text{tr}(p(x, gx) c_w(x, gx) \pi_w^0(g) p(g^{-1}x, x)) = \langle c_w(x, gx) \pi_w^0(g) \delta_x, \delta_x \rangle \\ &= \langle c_w(x, gx) \delta_{gx}, \delta_x \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus point b) of the lemma follows from property ii) of the cocycle c_w .

To prove a) note first that if $x \in X^0$ satisfies $gx \neq x$ and $[g^{-1}x, x] \cap [x, gx] = \{x\}$, then every $x' \in X^0$ satisfying $[g^{-1}x', x'] \cap [x', gx'] = \{x'\}$ has to lie on the geodesic $[g^i x, g^{i+1} x]$ for some $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Point b) then implies that

$$\text{tr}(p(x', gx') \pi_w^0(g)) = \text{tr}(p(x, gx) \pi_w^0(g)).$$

This shows that the trace of the operator $p(x, gx) \pi_w^0(g)$ is constant on the set of vertices satisfying $[g^{-1}x, x] \cap [x, gx] = \{x\}$.

Let now $x \in X^0$ be any vertex and let $x = x^1, \dots, x^n = gx$ be the path that defines the geodesic $[x, gx]$. If $[g^{-1}x, x] \cap [x, gx] \neq \{x\}$, it follows that $g(x^2) = x^{n-1}$. The properties of the cocycle c_w imply that

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{tr}(p(x, gx) c_w(x, gx) \pi^0(g)) &= \text{tr}(p(x, gx) c_w(x, x^2) c_w(x^2, gx) \pi^0(g)) = \\
\text{tr}(c_w(x, x^2) p(x, gx) c_w(x^2, gx) \pi^0(g)) &= \\
\text{tr}(p(x, gx) c_w(x^2, gx) \pi^0(g) c_w(x, x^2)) &= \\
\text{tr}(p(x, gx) c_w(x^2, gx) c_w(gx, gx^2) \pi^0(g)) &= \\
\text{tr}(p(x, gx) c_w(x^2, gx^2) \pi^0(g)). &
\end{aligned}$$

It is now easy to see that the last trace is equal

$$\text{tr}(p(x^2, gx^2) c_w(x^2, gx^2) \pi^0(g)).$$

Since G acts without inversion we may proceed inductively until we find $x^i \in [x, gx]$ such that $[g^{-1}x^i, x^i] \cap [x^i, gx^i] = \{x^i\}$.

q.e.d.

Proposition 4. The character of the 1-summable Fredholm module γ_w is given by

$$\tau_w(g) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } g \text{ has a fixed point in } X^0 \\ \prod_{y \in [x, gx]^{(w_y)}_{1,2}} & \text{otherwise, where } x \in X^0 \\ & \text{is any vertex satisfying } gx \neq x \text{ and } \\ & [g^{-1}x, x] \cap [x, gx] = \{x\}. \end{cases}$$

Proof We have to compute the trace of $\pi_w^0(g) - v \pi^1(g) v^*$. If we denote by w_0 the constant function $(w_0)_y = \tau$ for every $y \in \Sigma^1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(\pi_w^0(g) - v \pi^1(g) v^*) &= \text{tr}(\pi_w^0(g) - \pi_{w_0}^0(g) + p(x^0)) = \\ &= \text{tr}(\pi_w^0(g) - \pi_{w_0}^0(g)) + 1. \end{aligned}$$

By lemma 1. $\text{tr}(\pi_w^0(g) - \pi_{w_0}^0(g)) = \text{tr}(p(x^0, gx^0)(\pi_w^0(g) - \pi_{w_0}^0(g)))$
and by the preceding lemma $\text{tr}(p(x^0, gx^0)\pi_{w_0}^0(g)) = 1$.

This shows that

$$\tau_w(g) = \text{tr}(p(x^0, gx^0)\pi_w^0(g))$$

which together with the preceding lemma concludes the proof of the proposition.

q.e.d.

Remark 3. The same formula (with the same proof) holds for the characters τ_w^1 of the 1-summable Fredholm modules \mathcal{K}_w^1 of remark 2.

Corollary 5. If $w : \Sigma^1 \rightarrow U(\mathbb{C}^2)$ satisfies $(w_y)_{1,2} = \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, for every $y \in \Sigma^1$, then the character of τ_w is given by

$$\tau_w(g) = \lambda^{p(g)}$$

where $p(g) = \inf_{x \in X} d(x, gx)$.

Proof The proof is a straightforward consequence of the preceding proposition once we notice that $(\tau_w^{\otimes k} \tau)_{1,2} = \bar{w}_{1,2}$, for every $w \in L(\mathbb{C}^2)$, and that $p(g)$ is equal to the length of the geodesic $[x, gx]$, for every vertex satisfying $[g^{-1}x, x] \cap [x, gx] = \{x\}$.

q.e.d.

Remark 4. In view of remark 3. the preceding corollary holds for the 1-summable Fredholm modules γ'_w too. Remark 2. then shows that the formula obtained in chapter 5. of [3] holds for arbitrary trees.

Moreover, corollary 5. shows that ~~the~~ ^{the} results of ^{Julg and Valette in} [3] concerning the Selberg principle are true without any assumption on the tree X .

References

1. A.Connes "Non-commutative differential geometry", Publ. Math. I.H.E.S. Nr.62 (1985) 41-144.
2. P.Julg and A.Valette "K-amenability for $SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and the action on the associated tree" J.Funct. Anal. 58(1984) 194-215.
3. P.Julg and A.Valette "Twisted coboundary operator on a tree and the Selberg principle" J.Operator Theory
4. G.G.Kasparov "K-theory, group C^* -algebras and higher signatures"(conspectus) preprint Chernogolovka (1981).
5. G.G.Kasparov "Operator K-theory and its applications" in Itogi Nauki i Tehniki ,Sovremenie problem matematiki Tom 27.(in russian)
6. M.Pimsner "KK-groups of crossed products by groups acting on trees" INCREST-preprint Nr. 69(1985)
7. M.Pimsner and D.Voiculescu "K-groups of reduced crossed products by free groups", J.Operator Theory, 8(1982), 131-156.
8. J.-P.Serre "Arbres, amalgames, SL_2 " Asterisque 46(1977)