A REMARK ON A QUESTION OF M.D. CHOI AND K.R. DAVIDSON

by
Florin POP*)

July 1986

pools zur

^{*} University of Bucharest, Faculty of Mathematics, Str. Academiei 14, 70109 Bucharest, ROMANIA

A REMARK ON A QUESTION OF M.D. CHOI AND K.R. DAVIDSON

by

Florin POP

In [1], M.D. Choi and K.R. Davidson asked the following question:

"If \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{A}_k are similar subalgebras of \mathbf{M}_n (k=1,2,...) and d($\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A}_k$) tends to 0, does it follow that there are invertible operators \mathbf{S}_k such that $\mathcal{A}_k = \mathbf{S}_k^{-1} \mathcal{A} \mathbf{S}_k$ and $\lim_{k \to \infty} |\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{S}_k| = 0$?"

In the present note we prove that a general affirmative answer to the above question is roughly equivalent with an affirmative answer to the following, involving arbitrary subspaces of the complex n x n matrices \mathbf{M}_n (the precise statement is in the remark at the end of the present note).

"If $\mathcal J$ is a linear subspace of M_n and A_k , B_k are invertible operators such that $\lim_{k \to \infty} d(A_k^{-1}\mathcal J B_k, \mathcal J) = 0$, does it follow that there are invertible operators X_k , Y_k such that $X_k^{-1}\mathcal J Y_k = \mathcal J$ and $\lim_{k \to \infty} X_k A_k = \lim_{k \to \infty} Y_k B_k = \mathbb J$?"

Here the distance d(.,.) between two algebras or subspaces is the Hausdorff distance between their unit balls.

While we don't know what the answer to these questions is, we hope that their equivalence may turn out to be useful in solving them.

Let K be a Hilbert space and $\mathcal{J}\subset \mathcal{B}(K)$ be a linear subspace. We denote by $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{J})$ the algebra of all operators of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda I & S \\ 0 & \mu I \end{bmatrix} \text{ where } \lambda, \mu \in C, \ S \in \mathcal{S} \text{ and by } \mathcal{A}_O(\mathcal{S}) \text{ its subalgebra } \begin{bmatrix} \lambda I & S \\ 0 & \lambda I \end{bmatrix}.$$

If A is an algebra, its normalizer is defined to be $\mathcal{N}(A) = \{S \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \text{ invertible; } S^{-1}\!\!\!/\!\!\!/ S = A\}. \text{ If } \mathcal{S} \text{ is a linear subspace, let } \\ \ker \mathcal{S} = \bigcap_{T \in \mathcal{S}} \ker T.$

An algebra \mathcal{A} \subset $\mathcal{B}(X)$ is said to have property (A) if for every sequence of invertible operators $\{S_n\}$ \subset $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{R})$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(S_n^{-1}\mathcal{A}S_n,\mathcal{A})=0$ there are $X_n\in\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A})$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} X_n S_n=1$.

A linear subspace $\mathcal{S}\subset\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ is said to have property (B) if for every sequences of invertible operators $\{A_n\}$, $\{B_n\}\subset\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(A_n^{-1}\mathcal{S}\,B_n,\mathcal{S})=0$ there are X_n , Y_n invertible operators such that $X_n^{-1}\mathcal{S}\,Y_n=\mathcal{S}$ and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} X_n A_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Y_n B_n = I.$$

PROPOSITION 1. Let $3.7 \subset 6(H)$ be linear subspaces.

- (i) If $\ker \mathcal{G} = \ker \mathcal{G} *= \{0\}$ and $\mathcal{A}_O(\mathcal{G})$ and $\mathcal{A}_O(\mathcal{T})$ are similar (respectively $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{G})$ and $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{T})$ are similar), then $\ker \mathcal{T} = \ker \mathcal{T} *= \{0\}$ and every similarity between them is implemented by an operator of the form $\begin{bmatrix} S & X \\ 0 & T \end{bmatrix}$ where $S^{-1}\mathcal{G}T=\mathcal{T}$ (respectively $S^{-1}\mathcal{G}T=\mathcal{T}$ and $X\in S^{-1}\mathcal{T}$).
- (ii) If $\ker\mathcal{G}=\ker\mathcal{G}=\{0\}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{O}(\mathcal{G})$ and $\mathcal{A}_{O}(\mathcal{G})$ are similar (respectively $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{G})$ and $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{G})$ are similar) then every similarity between them has the preceding form.

It follows that $T_3AS_3=0$ and $T_1AS_3=T_3AS_4=\mu I$ for some $\mu \in C$. If $\mu=0$ then $S_1T_1AS_3+S_2T_3AS_3=0 \Rightarrow AS_3=0$. If $\mu\neq 0$ then $S_3T_1AS_3+S_4T_3AS_3=\mu S_3 \Rightarrow S_3=0$. In both cases, $AS_3=0$ for all $A\in \mathcal{S}$, hence Range S_3 c $\ker \mathcal{S}=\{0\}$ so $S_3=0$. It follows that $S_4T_4=I$ $\Rightarrow T_3AS_4=T_1AS_3=0 \Rightarrow T_3AS_4T_4=0 \Rightarrow T_3A=0 \Rightarrow A*T_3*=0$ for all $A\in \mathcal{S}$ \Rightarrow Range T_3^* c $\ker \mathcal{S}$ *= $\{0\}$ hence $T_3=0$. It follows that $S_1^{-1}=T_1$, $T_4=S_4^{-1}$ hence $S_1^{-1}\mathcal{S}_4=\mathcal{T}$ and $\ker \mathcal{T}=\ker \mathcal{T}$ *= $\{0\}$.

(ii) Similarly $S_3=0$ and since $\ker \mathcal{T}=\{0\}$, $T_3=0$ follows by symmetry. For the algebras $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$ and $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{T})$, notice that any similarity between them induces a similarity between their subalgebras $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{S})$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{T})$. The last assertion XeS· \mathcal{T} follows easily.

COROLLARY. Let $\mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a linear subspace, $\ker \mathcal{G} = \{0\}$. Then an operator U belongs to the normalizer of $\mathcal{H}_{o}(\mathcal{G})$ (respectively $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{G})$) if and only if $U = \begin{bmatrix} S & X \\ 0 & T \end{bmatrix}$ where $S^{-1}\mathcal{G} T = \mathcal{G}$ (respectively $S^{-1}\mathcal{G} T = \mathcal{G}$ and $X \in S \mathcal{G}$).

LEMMA. Let $\dim \mathcal{H} < \infty$ and let $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a linear subspace such that $\ker \mathcal{S} = \ker \mathcal{S} *= \{0\}$. If $\{A_n\}$, $\{B_n\} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ are such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} d(A_n \mathcal{S}_{B_n}, \mathcal{S}_n) = 0$, then there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that A_n and B_n are invertible for n greater than $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

 $\underline{\text{Proof.}}$ It is enough to prove the assertion for $\{{\tt A}_n\}$ for n sufficiently large.

Let S_1, \dots, S_p be a basis of \mathcal{G} : Since ker $\mathcal{G}^* = \{0\}$ it follows

that $\bigvee_{i=1}^{p}$ Range $S_i=\mathcal{H}$. For each $1 \le i \le p$ there is $\{T_{i,n}\}_n \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} A_n T_{i,n} B_n = S_i$. Now $\lim_{n \to \infty} d(\bigvee_{i=1}^{p} \text{Range } A_n T_{i,n} B_n + \mathcal{H}) = 0$ so $\lim_{n \to \infty} d(\text{Range } A_n,\mathcal{H}) = 0$ hence A_n are invertible for n sufficiently large.

PROPOSITION 2. Let $\dim \mathcal{H} < \infty$ and $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a unital algebra. Then \mathcal{A} has property (A) if and only if \mathcal{A} , as a linear subspace, has property (B).

Proof. " \Leftarrow " Suppose $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(s_n^- A s_n, A) = 0$. Then there are invertible operators X_n , Y_n such that $x_n^- A Y_n = A$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} X_n S_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Y_n S_n = 1$. Since A is unital, there are operators $T_n \in A$ satisfying $X_n^{-1} T_n Y_n = I$, hence $T_n = X_n Y_n^{-1}$, so T_n is invertible, $X_n^{-1} = Y_n^{-1} T_n^{-1}$ so $A = Y_n^{-1} T_n^{-1} A Y_n$. Since $T_n^{-1} A = A$, $Y_n^{-1} A Y_n = A$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} Y_n S_n = I$, hence A has property (A). (Note that $T_n \in A$ implies $T_n^{-1} \in A$ for T_n invertible in $B(\mathcal{H})$ since \mathcal{H} is finite dimensional and A is unital).

" \Rightarrow " Assume \mathcal{A} has property (A) and let $\{A_n\}$, $\{B_n\}$ be invertible operators such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(A_n^{-1}\mathcal{A}B_n,\mathcal{A})=0$. Then there are $T_n\in\mathcal{A}$ satisfying $\lim_{n\to\infty}A_n^{-1}T_nB_n=I$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $A_n^{-1}T_nB_n$ are invertible so $A_n^{-1}T_nB_n=U_n$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty}U_n=I$. Then T_n are invertible, $T_n^{-1}\mathcal{A}$ and $A_n^{-1}=U_nB_n^{-1}T_n^{-1}$ so that $A_n^{-1}\mathcal{A}B_n=U_nB_n^{-1}T_n^{-1}\mathcal{A}B_n=U_nB_n^{-1}T_n^{-1}\mathcal{A}B_n=U_nB_n^{-1}\mathcal{A}B_n$ since $T_n^{-1}\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{A}$. Now $\lim_{n\to\infty}d(U_nB_n^{-1}\mathcal{A}B_n,\mathcal{A})=0$ hence $\lim_{n\to\infty}d(B_n^{-1}\mathcal{A}B_n,\mathcal{A})=0$ so there are operators X_n , $X_n^{-1}\mathcal{A}X_n=\mathcal{A}$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty}X_nB_n=I$. It follows $A_n^{-1}T_n=U_nB_n^{-1}$ so $\lim_{n\to\infty}A_n^{-1}T_nX^{-1}=I$ and it is easy to see that $T_nX_n^{-1}\mathcal{A}X_n=\mathcal{A}$.

PROPOSITION 3. (i) If $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{K})$ is a linear subspace, $\ker \mathcal{G} = \{0\}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{o}(\mathcal{G})$ has property (A) then \mathcal{G} has property (B).

(ii) If $\dim \mathcal{H} < \infty$, $\ker \mathcal{G} = \ker \mathcal{G} *= \{0\}$ and \mathcal{G} has property (B) then $\mathcal{A}_0(\mathcal{G})$ has property (A).

 $\lim_{n \to \infty} d(u_n^{-1}A_o(S)u_n, A_o(S)) = 0.$

Taking into account that dim $T_{1n}S_{4n} \le \dim S < \infty$ it follows that $\lim_{n \to \infty} d(T_{1n}S_{4n}S) = 0$ so, by the preceding lemma, for sufficiently large n, T_{1n} and S_{4n} are invertible. Hence there are A_n , B_n in-

vertible operators,
$$A_n^{-1}SB_n = S$$
 and $\lim_{n \to \infty} T_{1n}A_n^{-1} = \lim_{n \to \infty} B_nS_{4n} = I$.
Let $U_n' = \begin{bmatrix} (T_{1n})^{-1} & 0 \\ S_{3n} & S_{4n} \end{bmatrix}$. Notice that $U_n'U_n^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} I & (T_{1n})^{-1}T_{2n} \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \in S_{3n}$

 $\in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_{o}(\mathcal{S}))$ hence $(\mathbf{U}_{n}')^{-1}\mathcal{A}_{o}(\mathcal{S})\mathbf{U}_{n}'=\mathbf{U}_{n}^{-1}\mathcal{A}_{o}(\mathcal{S})\mathbf{U}_{n}$ so we may assume

$$\mathbf{U_n} = \begin{bmatrix} (\mathbf{T_{1n}})^{-1} & 0 \\ \mathbf{S_{3n}} & \mathbf{S_{4n}} \end{bmatrix}$$
. Further, $\mathbf{Z_n} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A_n} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{B_n} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_0(\mathcal{S}))$ so

 $(\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{n}}\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{n}})^{-1}\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{0}}(\mathbf{S})\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{n}}\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{n}} = \mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{n}}^{-1}\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{0}}(\mathbf{S})\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{n}}$ so we may assume $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{n}} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{n}} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{n}} & \mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{n}} \end{bmatrix}$,

$$U_n^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} v_n^{-1} & 0 \\ n & 0 \\ -W_n^{-1}X_nV_n^{-1} & W_n^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{where } \lim_{n \to \infty} V_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} W_n = I.$$

Since $\lim_{n \to \infty} d(U_n^- \mathcal{A}_O(\mathcal{S}) U_n^-, \mathcal{A}_O(\mathcal{S})) = 0$ there are $\lambda_n \in \mathbb{C}$ and $A_n \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} U_n^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_n I & A_n \\ 0 & \lambda_n I \end{bmatrix} U_n^- = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & S \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ for a given $S \in \mathcal{S}$.

It follows $\lim_{n\to\infty} \lambda_n = 0$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} V_n^{-1} A_n X_n = 0$, so $\lim_{n\to\infty} A_n X_n = 0$. We have

also $\lim_{n\to\infty} V_n^{-1} A_n W_n = S$ hence $\lim_{n\to\infty} A_n = S$.

Let now S_1, \dots, S_p be a basis of S and $\sigma = \begin{bmatrix} S_1 \\ S_2 \\ \vdots \\ S_p \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{H}^p)$.

As above, there are $\sigma_n \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{H}^p)$ satisfying $\lim_{n \to \infty} \sigma_n = \sigma$ and

 $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sigma_n^X x_n^{=0}. \text{ Since ker } \sigma=\{0\}, \ \sigma^*\sigma\in\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{H}) \text{ is invertible and } \lim_{n\to\infty} \sigma_n^*\sigma_n^{=0}=0$

= $\sigma^*\sigma$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sigma_n^*\sigma_n^X X_n = 0$, hence $\lim_{n\to\infty} X_n = 0$. It follows $\lim_{n\to\infty} U_n = I$, which

concludes the proof.

REMARKS. By Propositions 2 and 3 (i) we conclude that the following statements are equivalent.

- I. Every unital operator algebra on a finite dimensional Hilbert space has property (A).
- II. Every operator algebra of the form $\mathcal{A}_{o}(\mathcal{S})$ with $\ker\mathcal{S}=\{0\}$ on a finite dimensional Hlbert space has property (A).
- III. Every linear space of operators $\mathcal G$ on a finite dimensional Hilbert space, with $\ker\mathcal G=\{0\}$, has property (B).

Note that Proposition 3 (ii) is a stronger version of \square \Rightarrow II.

REFERENCES

[1] M.D. Choi; K.R. Davidson, Perturbations of finite dimensional operator algebras, preprint.