

INSTITUTUL
DE
MATEMATICA

INSTITUTUL NATIONAL
PENTRU CREATIE
STIINTIFICA SI TEHNICA

ISSN 0250 3638

PARABOLIC AND ELLIPTIC PARTS IN STANDARD H-CONES OF
FUNCTIONS.

by

Lucian BEZNEA

PREPRINT SERIES IN MATHEMATICS

No.4/1986

BUCURESTI

Mea 23708

PARABOLIC AND ELLIPTIC PARTS IN STANDARD
H-CONES OF FUNCTIONS

by
Lucian BEZNEA*)

January 1986

*)

*Department of Mathematics, The National Institute for Scientific and
Technical Creation, Bd.Pacii 220, 79622 Bucharest, Romania.*

PARABOLIC AND ELLIPTIC PARTS
IN STANDARD H-CONES OF FUNCTIONS

by

Lucian BEZNEA

Introduction. The aim of this paper is to indicate how to decompose the base space of a standard H-cone of functions in disjoint parts which are parabolic or elliptic (with respect to the H-cone of restrictions). The accepted notions of parabolicity (proposed in [2]) and ellipticity are global ones.

Using increasing families of absorbent sets, the parabolic and elliptic parts are structured (Theorem 3.2).

The decomposition theorems are consequences of a new characterization of the parabolicity (Theorem 2.3).

§1. Preliminaries.

Throughout this paper \mathcal{F} will be a standard H-cone of functions on X .

Recall that a subset A of X is called absorbent set (in X) if $A = \{x \in X / s(x) = 0\}$ for some $s \in \mathcal{F}$. A subset A of X is absorbent iff $1_{X \setminus A} \in \mathcal{F}$. Every absorbent set is finely open and naturally closed.

The following remark will not be used further on.

Remark. ([2], Theorem 1 and [7], Exercise 7.2.11). Suppose that X is semi-saturated and \mathcal{F} satisfies the natural sheaf property (For these notions see [5]). Then $A \subseteq X$ is absorbent iff it is a finely open and naturally closed subset of X .

Proof. Let $A_1 = X \setminus A$ and $x \in A$. From Proposition 4.3.12 in [4], it follows that the H-measure $B_{\mathcal{E}_x}^{A_1^*}$ is supported by $A_1 = b(A_1)$. On the other hand, Theorem 3.6 in [5] implies that $B_{\mathcal{E}_x}^{A_1^*}$ is carried by the natural boundary of A_1 . Hence $B_{\mathcal{E}_x}^{A_1^*} \equiv 0$, for every $x \in A$ and therefore $A = \{x \in X / B_1^{X \setminus A}(x) = 0\}$. \square

For $M \subseteq X$, we denote by \overline{M}^f the fine closure of M .

The following assertions are direct consequences of the standard H-cone properties and of the quasi-Lindelöf principle for the fine topology (see (2.9) in [1]).

1.1 Remark. ([2], Lemma 2.1). If $(A_i)_{i \in I}$ is a family of absorbent sets in X , then :

- a) $\overline{\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i}^f$ and $\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i$ are absorbent sets in X .
- b) There exists a sequence $(i_n) \subseteq I$ such that $\overline{\bigcup_i A_i}^f = \overline{\bigcup_n A_{i_n}}^f$ and $\bigcap_i A_i = \bigcap_n A_{i_n}$.

If M is a nonempty subset of X , we denote by \mathcal{F}_M the convex cone of the restrictions to M of the elements of \mathcal{F} . If M is subbasic (i.e. $M \subseteq b(M)$), then \mathcal{F}_M is a standard H-cone of functions on M isomorphic with the standard H-cone $B^M(\mathcal{F})$ (see [4], Corollary 5.2.6 c)).

From now on, we refer to the (subbasic) set M , understanding that the H-cone of functions on M is \mathcal{F}_M .

The fine topology on M (with respect to \mathcal{F}_M) is obviously the induced topology on M by the fine topology on X .

1.2 Remark. ([2], Lemma 2.2). If A, B are absorbent sets in X , $B \subsetneq A$ (i.e. $B \subseteq A$ and $B \neq A$) and $D \subseteq A \setminus B$, then: D is an absorbent set in $A \setminus B$ iff $D \cup B$ is an absorbent set in A .

§2. Parabolic and Elliptic Parts. Another Characterization of the Parabolicity.

The base space X of the standard H-cone of functions \mathcal{F} is called parabolic ([2], Definition 14) if there exists a strictly increasing family $(A_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$ of absorbent sets in X such that :

$$(2.1) \quad \bigcup_{u > t} A_u = A_t = \overline{\bigcup_{s < t} A_s}^f, \text{ for all } t \in [0,1].$$

Particularly we have $A_0 = \emptyset$ and $A_1 = X$.

If A, B are absorbent sets in X with $B \subsetneq A$ let :

$$\mathcal{A}_{B,A} := \{D / D \text{ is absorbent in } X, B \subsetneq D \subsetneq A\}.$$

We write \mathcal{A}_A instead of $\mathcal{A}_{\emptyset,A}$.

The following definition is of the same type as that given in [8], §1.

The base space X of \mathcal{F} is called elliptic if $\mathcal{A}_X = \emptyset$.

When we call a (subbasic) subset M of X parabolic or elliptic, we consider it as the base space of the standard Π -cone of functions \mathcal{F}_M .

2.1 Remark. If $X' \subseteq X$ is a finely dense subset of X then :

a) The absorbent sets in X' are in bijection, in the natural way, with the absorbent sets in X .

b) X' is parabolic (resp. elliptic) iff X is parabolic (resp. elliptic).

2.2 Lemma. a) If X is elliptic and $M \subseteq X$ is subbasic, then M is elliptic.

b) Let M be a subbasic subset of X . Then M is elliptic iff for every absorbent set A in X either $M \subseteq A$ or $M \subseteq X \setminus A$.

c) Let $(M_i)_{i \in I}$ be a family of elliptic subsets of X with $\bigcap_{i \in I} M_i \neq \emptyset$. Then $\bigcup_{i \in I} M_i$ is elliptic.

d) If $G \subseteq X$ is elliptic and finely open, then: G is a maximal elliptic subset of X iff there exist two absorbent sets in X , A and B , $B \not\subseteq A$ such that $G = A \setminus B$.

Proof. The assertions a) and b) are clear.

c) Suppose that $\bigcup_{i \in I} M_i$ is not elliptic and let $A \in \mathcal{A}_X$ such that $\bigcup_{i \in I} M_i \cap A \neq \emptyset$ and $\bigcup_{i \in I} M_i \not\subseteq A$. Hence there exists $i_0 \in I$ with $M_{i_0} \cap A \neq \emptyset$, therefore $M_{i_0} \subseteq A$ by assertion b). The hypothesis $\bigcap_{i \in I} M_i \neq \emptyset$ implies now that $\bigcup_{i \in I} M_i \subseteq A$, which gives a contradiction.

d) From b) easily results that $A \setminus B$ is a maximal elliptic subset of X if A and B are absorbent and $A \setminus B$ is elliptic.

Let G be a maximal elliptic finely open subset of X . We take:

$$A := \bigcap \{C / C \text{ is absorbent, } G \subseteq C\}$$

and

$$B := \bigcup^f \{C / C \text{ is absorbent, } C \subseteq (X \setminus G) \cap A\}.$$

Obviously $G \subseteq A \setminus B$ and G being elliptic, again assertion b) implies that $\mathcal{A}_{B,A} = \emptyset$ hence $G = A \setminus B$ from the maximality of G . \square

2.3 Theorem. If \mathcal{J} is a standard H-cone of functions on X , the following statements are equivalent :

- a) X is parabolic.
- b) X has no finely open elliptic subsets.
- c) For every pair (A, B) of absorbent sets in X with $B \subsetneq A$, we have $\mathcal{A}_{B, A} \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. From Remark 1.2 and Lemma 2.2 c) and d) we already know that $b) \Leftrightarrow c)$.

" a) \Rightarrow b)" Let $(A_t)_{t \in [0, 1]}$ be the family which describes the parabolicity of X and suppose that there exists a finely open elliptic subset G of X , $G \neq \emptyset$. If we define $t_0 := \inf \{ t \in [0, 1] / G \cap A_t \neq \emptyset \}$, then Lemma 2.2 b) implies that $G \subseteq A_{t_0} \setminus \bigcup_{s < t_0} A_s$, which contradicts (2.1).

" c) \Rightarrow a)" One can suppose that \mathcal{J} is generated by a bounded potential kernel V which is absolutely continuous with respect to a finite measure μ on X . This is possible because the natural extension \mathcal{J}_1 of \mathcal{J} on the base set X_1 is saturated hence \mathcal{J}_1 is generated in this way (see [4]; we use also Remark 2.1).

First, let us show that:

(2.2) for every $\beta \in (0, \mu V(X))$ there exists $A \in \mathcal{A}_X$ s.t. $\mu V(A) = \beta$.

If \mathcal{A} is a class of absorbent sets in X , we define:

$$\beta \mathcal{A} := \{ B \in \mathcal{A} / \mu V(B) \leq \beta \}$$

and

$$\beta \mathcal{A} := \{ B \in \mathcal{A} / \mu V(B) \geq \beta \}.$$

Hypothesis c) implies that at least one of the sets $\beta \mathcal{A}_X$ and $\beta \mathcal{A}_X$ is nonempty. Suppose that $\beta \mathcal{A}_X \neq \emptyset$. Using Remark 1.1, the set $\beta \mathcal{A}_X$ results inductively ordered, hence by Zorn's lemma there exists a maximal absorbent set $A \in \beta \mathcal{A}_X$. Suppose that $\mu V(A) < \beta$. We have

$\mathcal{A}_{A,X} \neq \emptyset$ and $\beta \mathcal{A}_{A,X} = \emptyset$, from the maximality of A in $\beta \mathcal{A}_X$.
Therefore $\beta \mathcal{A}_{A,X} \neq \emptyset$ and we can choose a minimal element A' of $\beta \mathcal{A}_{A,X}$. We obtain $\mathcal{A}_{A,A'} = \emptyset$, contradicting the hypothesis c).
Hence $\mu V(A) = \beta$.

From this moment we can reproduce the proof of Theorem 3.7 in [2]. More precisely, for every dyadic rational number $t \in [0,1]$, an absorbent set (in X) A_t can be constructed by induction and using (2.2), such that:

$$(2.3) \quad \mu V(A_t) = t \cdot \mu V(X) \text{ and if } s < t \text{ then } A_s \subsetneq A_t.$$

The desired family $(A_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$ which verifies (2.1) is obtained extending the construction of A_t for every $t \in [0,1]$, such that (2.3) remains valid. \square

A direct consequence of the above theorem is the following:

2.4 Corollary. a) If X is parabolic and $G \subseteq X$ is finely open, then G is parabolic.

b) Let $(M_i)_{i \in I}$ be a family of parabolic (subbasic) subsets of X .

Then $\bigcup_{i \in I} M_i$ is parabolic.

c) If G_1, G_2 are finely open subsets of X such that G_1 is parabolic and G_2 is elliptic, then $G_1 \cap G_2 = \emptyset$.

§3. Decomposition Theorems. Examples.

We can formulate the following decomposition theorem:

3.1 Theorem. Let \mathcal{P} be standard H -cone of functions on X and P be the greatest finely open parabolic subset of X . Denoting by E the union of all open elliptic subsets of X , we have: $X = P \cup E^f$ and $P \cap E = \emptyset$.

Proof. Obviously, from Corollary 2.4 there exists the greatest finely

open parabolic subset P of X and $P \cap E = \emptyset$. If D is a finely open subset of X , $D \not\subseteq P$, then from Theorem 2.3 there exists a finely open elliptic subset of D , hence $D \cap E \neq \emptyset$ and the proof is finished. \square

If J is a totally ordered set, we denote by $\mathcal{I}(J)$ the set of all ideals of J (i.e. $I \in \mathcal{I}(J)$ iff $I \subseteq J$ and $j \leq i, i \in I$ implies $j \in I$).

We present now the structure of the parabolic and elliptic parts, using absorbent sets.

3.2 Theorem. Let \mathcal{F} be a standard H -cone of functions on X and P_a be the greatest parabolic absorbent set in X . If $P_a \neq X$, there exists a totally ordered set J , at most countable, such that:

a) For every $j \in J$, there exists a pair (B_j, C_j) of absorbent sets with: $P_a \subseteq B_j \subsetneq C_j$, $E_j := C_j \setminus B_j$ is a (maximal) elliptic finely open subset of X and $i < j \iff C_i \subseteq B_j$.

b) For every $I \in \mathcal{I}(J)$, let us define $B_I := \bigcup_{j \in I}^f C_j$, $C_I := \bigcap_{j \in I} B_j$ and $P_I := C_I \setminus B_I$ (particularly $P_\emptyset = P_a$ and $P_J = X \setminus \bigcup_{j \in J}^f C_j$). Then P_I is parabolic if it is nonempty.

c) $(E_j)_{j \in J}$ is the family of maximal elliptic finely open subsets of X .

d) E and P having the meaning of the above theorem, we have:

$$(3.1) \quad E = \bigcup \{E_j / j \in J\}$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad P \subseteq \bigcup \{P_I \cup P_I' / I \in \mathcal{I}(J)\},$$

where for every $I \in \mathcal{I}(J)$, P_I' denotes the totally thin set $\bigcup_{j \in I}^f C_j \setminus \bigcup_{j \in I} C_j$.

e) If J is a totally ordered set such that a) and c) are satisfied and if moreover $\mathcal{I}(J)$ is at most countable then

$$P^f = \bigcup^f \{P_I / I \in \mathcal{I}(J)\}.$$

Proof. From Corollary 2.4 b) and Remark 2.1 b), there exists the greatest parabolic absorbent set in X , denoted P_a .

Let \mathcal{F} be the set of families $(B_j, C_j)_{j \in J}$, where J is a totally ordered set such that, for every $j \in J$, B_j and C_j are absorbent sets in X with $P_a \subseteq B_j \subseteq C_j$, $\mathcal{A}_{B_j, C_j} = \emptyset$ and $1 < j \iff C_j \subseteq B_j$. Theorem 2.3 and $P_a \neq X$ imply that \mathcal{F} is nonempty. The inclusion (with order) between the totally ordered sets induces an order on \mathcal{F} . This order relation is inductive and let $(B_j, C_j)_{j \in J}$ be a maximal element of \mathcal{F} . Assertion b) is a consequence of the maximality, using again Theorem 2.3.

Let D be a finely open elliptic subset of X and suppose that $D \not\subseteq \bigcup_{j \in J} E_j$. Hence by Lemma 2.2 b) $D \cap \bigcup_{j \in J} E_j = \emptyset$. If we define $I_0 = \{j \in J / D \cap C_j = \emptyset\}$ then $I_0 \in \mathcal{I}(J)$ and $D \subseteq P_{I_0}$. Therefore, P_{I_0} is not parabolic and this contradicts b). So that assertion c) and (3.1) are proved. Let us remark that under the conditions of a) we have ; b) \iff c).

(3.2) is an obvious consequence of (3.1). As in the proof of Corollary 4.4 in [2], one can verify that P_I^* is a totally thin set, for every $I \in \mathcal{I}(J)$.

We can suppose that X is saturated, hence the fine interior of any semi-polar set is empty. From (3.2) results now easily that assertion e) is valid. \square

3.3 Remark. a) It is possible that J should not be well ordered (see Example 2 below), in contrast with the well ordered family of absorbent sets generated in [6].

b) Not all the sets P_I , $I \in \mathcal{I}(J)$ are necessarily empty (see Example 3).

In the following examples, the standard H -cone of functions on X will be the cone of positive superharmonic functions on a \mathbb{P} -harmonic space (X, \mathcal{U}) with countable base (in the sense of [7]),

with $1 \in \mathcal{U}(X)$ (see [4], page 113).

Examples. 1. Let $X=(-1,1)$ and for any open set U of X let $\mathcal{U}(U)$ be the set of lower semicontinuous, lower finite numerical functions u on U such that : $u|_{(-1,0] \cap U}$ is locally increasing (see [7], Theorem 2.1.2) and $u|_{(0,1) \cap U}$ is hyperharmonic for the Laplace equation. Obviously we have : $P_a = (-1,0]$ and $E = (0,1)$.

2. Let $X=(-1,1)$ and $F \subseteq X$ be a closed set of X such that $X \setminus F$ is dense in X . Then, following [9] (see also [7], Exercice 3.1.17), there exists a Bauer space on X (which contains the constants) such that the absorbent sets (different from \emptyset and X) are exactly the intervals $(-1, x]$ with $x \in F$. One can verify that we have obtained a \mathfrak{P} -Bauer space. We denote by J the set of all left extremities j of the components (j, j') of the open set $X \setminus F$. If we take $E_j = (j, j'] \cap X$ for every $j \in J$, the family $(E_j)_{j \in J}$ satisfies the conditions a) and c) of Theorem 3.2. Obviously $P_I = \emptyset$, for every $I \in \mathcal{I}(J)$.

A suitable choice of the closed set F proves Remark 3.3 a).

3. Let X be the topological subspace of \mathbb{R}^2

$$(-1,1) \times \{0\} \cup \{0\} \times (0,1).$$

For every open set U of X , we denote by $\mathcal{U}(U)$ the set of all lower semicontinuous, lower finite numerical functions u on U such that $(x \mapsto u(x,0))$ is hyperharmonic for the structure introduced in Example 2 and $(y \mapsto u(0,y))$ is locally increasing (where these two functions are defined). We use the notations of the above example, with $X_1 = (-1,1)$ and suppose that $0 < \sup F$. If $A \subseteq X_1$ is a nonempty absorbent set in X_1 then $A \times \{0\}$ is absorbent in X and if $x \in F$, $x > 0$ then $(-1, x] \times \{0\} \cup \{0\} \times X_2$ is also absorbent in X , where X_2 denotes the interval $(0,1)$. Let us fix a nonvoid ideal $I_0 \in \mathcal{I}(J)$ such that $0 < \sup I_0$. Then we can take $B_j := (-1, j] \times \{0\}$, $C_j := ((-1, j] \cap X_1) \times \{0\}$

if $j \in I_0$ and $B_j := (-1, j] \times \{0\} \cup \{0\} \times X_2$, $C_j := ((-1, j] \cap X_1) \times \{0\} \cup \{0\} \times X_2$

if $j \in J \setminus I_0$. These are the absorbent sets which give the decomposition

of X , with P_a empty and $P_{I_0} = \{0\} \times X_2$ nonempty. In particular, if we

take $F = \{\frac{1}{2}\} \cup \{\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{1}{n} / n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 3\}$ and $I_0 = \{-1\} \cup \{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n} / n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 3\}$,

then $P = P_{I_0}$ and $E = X \setminus (P \cup \{(\frac{1}{2}, 0)\})$.

References

- [1] H.Ben Saad, K.Janßen : Topologie fine et mesure de référence.
J.Reine Angew.Math.360 (1985), 153-159.
- [2] H.Ben Saad, K.Janßen : A characterization of parabolic potential
theory.Math.Ann.272(1985), 281-289.
- [3] Ch.Berg : Quelques propriétés de la topologie fine dans la théorie
du potentiel et des processus standard.Bull.Sc.Math.95(1971), 27-31.
- [4] N.Boboc, Gh.Bucur, A.Cornea: Order and convexity in potential
theory:H-cones.Lecture Notes in Math.853,Berlin-Heidelberg-
New York:Springer 1981.
- [5] N.Boboc, Gh.Bucur : Natural localization and natural sheaf property
in standard H-cones of functions.I,II.Rev.Roum.Math.Pures Appl.
30(1985), 1-21, 193-213.
- [6] C.Constantinescu : Harmonic spaces.Absorbent sets and balayage.
Rev.Roum.Math.Pures Appl.11(1966), 887-910.
- [7] C.Constantinescu, A.Cornea : Potential theory on harmonic spaces.
Berlin-Heidelberg-New York:Springer 1972.
- [8] B.Fuglede : Invariant characterization of the fine topology in
potential theory.Math.Ann.241(1979), 187-192.
- [9] J.Král, J.Lukeš, I.Netuka : Elliptic points in one-dimensional
harmonic spaces.Comment.Math.Univ.Carolinae 12, 3(1971), 453-483.